

## Appendix C. Source and Accuracy of Estimates

### SOURCE OF DATA

Most estimates in this report are based on data obtained in October of 1987 and 1988 in the Current Population Survey (CPS), which is conducted monthly by the Bureau of the Census. This report uses data collected in October of each year in the basic CPS and in the annual school enrollment supplement. Some estimates are based on data obtained from the CPS in earlier years.

**Basic CPS.** The basic CPS, which is conducted monthly, deals mainly with labor force data for the civilian noninstitutional population. Interviewers ask questions concerning labor force participation for each member 14 years old and over in every sample household.

**October Supplement.** In addition to the basic CPS questions, in October of each year, interviewers ask supplementary questions about school enrollment for all eligible household members 3 years old and over.

The present CPS sample was selected from the 1980 Decennial Census files with coverage in all 50 States and the District of Columbia. The sample is continually updated to account for new residential construction. It is located in 729 areas comprising 1,973 counties, independent cities, and minor civil divisions. About 56,100 occupied housing units are eligible for interview every month. Interviewers are unable to obtain interviews at about 2,500 of these units because the occupants are not found at home after repeated calls or are unavailable for some other reason.

Since its introduction, the Bureau of the Census has redesigned the CPS sample several times to improve the quality and reliability of the data and to satisfy changing data needs. The most recent changes were completely implemented in July 1985.

The following table summarizes changes in the CPS designs for the years for which data appear in this report.

Description of the October Current Population Survey

Time period	Number of sample areas	Housing units eligible	
		Interviewed	Not interviewed
1988.....	729	53,600	2,500
1985 to 1987 .....	729	57,000	2,500
1984.....	<sup>1</sup> 629/729	57,000	2,500
1981 to 1983 .....	629	59,000	2,500
1980.....	629	65,500	3,000
1978 to 1979 .....	614	55,000	3,000
1972 to 1977 .....	461	46,500	2,500
1971.....	449	45,000	2,000
1967 to 1970 .....	449	48,000	2,000
1963 to 1966 .....	357	33,500	1,500
1960 to 1962 .....	333	33,500	1,500

<sup>1</sup>The CPS was redesigned following the 1980 Decennial Census of Population and Housing. During phase-in of the new design, housing units from the new and old designs were in the sample.

**Estimation procedure.** This survey's estimation procedure inflates weighted sample results to independent estimates of the civilian noninstitutional population of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic/non-Hispanic categories. The independent estimates were based on statistics from decennial censuses of population; statistics on births, deaths, immigration, and emigration; and statistics on the size of the Armed Forces. The independent population estimates used from 1981 to the present were based on updates to controls established by the 1980 Decennial Census. Data for years prior to 1981 were based on independent population estimates from the most recent decennial census. For more details on the change in independent estimates, see the section entitled "Population Coverage" in appendix B.

The estimates in this report for 1985 and later also employ a revised survey weighting procedure for persons of Hispanic origin. In previous years, weighted sample results were inflated to independent estimates of the noninstitutional population by age, sex, and race. There was no specific control of the survey estimates for the Hispanic population. Since then, the Bureau of the Census developed independent population controls for the Hispanic population by sex and detailed age groups. Revised weighting procedures incorporate these

new controls. The independent population estimates include some, but not all, undocumented immigrants.

## ACCURACY OF THE ESTIMATES

Since the CPS estimates come from a sample, they may differ from figures from a complete census using the same questionnaires, instructions, and enumerators. A sample survey estimate has two possible types of error: sampling and nonsampling. The accuracy of an estimate depends on both types of error, but the full extent of the nonsampling error is unknown. Consequently, one should be particularly careful when interpreting results based on a relatively small number of cases or on small differences between estimates. The standard errors for CPS estimates primarily indicate the magnitude of sampling error. They also partially measure the effect of some nonsampling errors in responses and enumeration, but do not measure systematic biases in the data. (Bias is the average over all possible samples of the differences between the sample estimates and the desired value.)

**Nonsampling variability.** Nonsampling errors can be attributed to many sources. These sources include the inability to obtain information about all cases in the sample, definitional difficulties, differences in the interpretation of questions, respondents' inability or unwillingness to provide correct information or to recall information, errors made in data collection such as in recording or coding the data, errors made in processing the data, errors made in estimating values for missing data, and failure to represent all units with the sample (undercoverage). CPS undercoverage results from missed housing units and missed persons within sample households. Compared to the level of the 1980 Decennial Census, overall CPS undercoverage is about 7 percent. CPS undercoverage varies with age, sex, and race. Generally, undercoverage is larger for males than for females and larger for Blacks and other races combined than for Whites. As described previously, ratio estimation to independent age-sex-race-Hispanic population controls partially corrects for the bias due to undercoverage. However, biases exist in the estimates to the extent that missed persons in missed households or missed persons in interviewed households have different characteristics from those of interviewed persons in the same age-sex-race-Hispanic group. Furthermore, the independent population controls have not been adjusted for undercoverage in the 1980 census.

For additional information on nonsampling error including the possible impact on CPS data when known, refer to Statistical Policy Working Paper 3, *An Error Profile: Employment as Measured by the Current Population*

*Survey*, Office of Federal Statistical Policy and Standards, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1978 and Technical Paper 40, *The Current Population Survey: Design and Methodology*, Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**Comparability of data.** Data obtained from the CPS and other sources are not entirely comparable. This results from differences in interviewer training and experience and in differing survey processes. This is an example of nonsampling variability not reflected in the standard errors. Use caution when comparing results from different sources. Caution should also be used when comparing estimates in this report, which reflect 1980 census-based population controls, with estimates for 1980 and earlier years, which reflect 1970 census-based population controls. This change in population controls had relatively little impact on summary measures such as means, medians, and percentage distributions, but did have a significant impact on levels. For example, use of 1980-based population controls results in about a 2-percent increase in the civilian noninstitutional population and in the number of families and households. Thus, estimates of levels for data collected in 1981 and later years will differ from those for earlier years by more than what could be attributed to actual changes in the population. These differences could be disproportionately greater for certain subpopulation groups than for the total population.

Since no independent population control totals for persons of Hispanic origin were used before 1985, compare estimates of the Hispanic population over time cautiously.

**Note when using small estimates.** Summary measures (such as medians and percentage distributions) are shown only when the base is 75,000 or greater. Because of the large standard errors involved, summary measures would probably not reveal useful information when computed on a smaller base. However, estimated numbers are shown even though the relative standard errors of these numbers are larger than those for corresponding percentages. These smaller estimates permit combinations of the categories to suit data users' needs. Take care in the interpretation of small differences. For instance, even a small amount of nonsampling error can cause a borderline difference to appear significant or not, thus distorting a seemingly valid hypothesis test.

**Sampling variability.** Sampling variability is variation that occurred by chance because a sample was surveyed rather than the entire population. Standard errors, as calculated by methods described later in "Standard Errors and Their Use," are primarily measures of sampling variability, although they may include some nonsampling error.

**Standard errors and their use.** A number of approximations are required to derive, at a moderate cost, standard errors applicable to all the estimates in this report. Instead of providing an individual standard error for each estimate, generalized sets of standard errors are provided for various types of characteristics. Thus, the tables show levels of magnitude of standard errors rather than the precise standard errors.

The sample estimate and its standard error enable one to construct a confidence interval, a range that would include the average result of all possible samples with a known probability. For example, if all possible samples were surveyed under essentially the same general conditions and using the same sample design, and if an estimate and its standard error were calculated from each sample, then approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.6 standard errors below the estimate to 1.6 standard errors above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples.

A particular confidence interval may or may not contain the average estimate derived from all possible samples. However, one can say with specified confidence that the interval includes the average estimate calculated from all possible samples.

Some statements in the report may contain estimates followed by a number in parentheses. This number can be added to and subtracted from the estimate to calculate upper and lower bounds of the 90-percent confidence interval. For example, if a statement contains the phrase "grew by 1.7 percent ( $\pm 1.0$ )," the 90-percent confidence interval for the estimate, 1.7 percent, is 0.7 percent to 2.7 percent.

Standard errors may also be used to perform hypothesis testing, a procedure for distinguishing between population parameters using sample estimates. The most common type of hypothesis appearing in this report is that the population parameters are different. An example of this would be comparing the percentage of 18- to 24-year-old high school graduates in 1988 to that in 1978.

Tests may be performed at various levels of significance, where a significance level is the probability of concluding that the characteristics are different when, in fact, they are the same. All statements of comparison in the text have passed a hypothesis test at the 0.10 level of significance or better. This means that the absolute value of the estimated difference between characteristics is greater than or equal to 1.6 times the standard error of the difference.

**Standard errors of estimated numbers.** There are two ways to compute the approximate standard error,  $s_x$ , of an estimated number shown in this report. The first uses the formula

$$s_x = fs \quad (1)$$

where  $f$  is a factor from table C-5, and  $s$  is the standard error of the estimate obtained by interpolation from table C-1 or C-2. The second method uses formula (2), from which the standard errors in Tables C-1 and C-2 were calculated. This formula will provide more accurate results than formula (1).

$$s_x = \sqrt{-(b/T)x^2 + bx} \quad (2)$$

Here  $x$  is the size of the estimate,  $T$  is the total number of persons in a specific age group, and  $b$  is the parameter in table C-5 associated with the particular type of characteristic. If  $T$  is not known, for total or White, use 100,000,000; for Black or Hispanic, use 10,000,000. When calculating standard errors for numbers from cross-tabulations involving different characteristics, use the factor or set of parameters for the characteristic which will give the largest standard error.

*Illustration*—Table 1 shows that in October 1988 there were 2,797,000 children 3 and 4 years old enrolled in school and 7,318,000 children in that age group. Using formula (1) with  $f = 1.1$  from table C-5 and  $s = 65.1$  (in thousands) from table C-1, the approximate standard error for the number of children 3 and 4 years old enrolled in school is

$$(1.1)(65.1) = 71.6$$

The value of  $s = 65.1$  was obtained by linear interpolation in two directions in table C-1. The first interpolation was between 5,000,000 and 10,000,000 total persons for both 2,000,000 and 3,000,000 estimated persons. It yielded the values 61.5 for 2,000,000 estimated persons and 66.0 for 3,000,000 estimated persons. The second interpolation was between these two values which yielded  $s = 65.1$ .

Alternatively, using formula (2) with  $b = 3,203$  from table C-5, the approximate standard error is

$$s_x = \sqrt{\frac{3,203}{-7,318,000} (2,797,000)^2 + (3,203)(2,797,000)} = 74,000$$

So the 90-percent confidence interval for the number of children age 3 and 4 years old enrolled in school is from 2,679,000 to 2,915,000, i.e.,  $2,797,000 \pm 1.6(74,000)$ . A conclusion that the average estimate derived from all possible samples lies within a range computed in this way would be correct for roughly 90 percent of all possible samples.

**Standard errors of estimated percentages.** The reliability of an estimated percentage, computed using sample data for both numerator and denominator, depends on the size of the percentage and its base. Estimated percentages are relatively more reliable than the corresponding estimates of the numerators of the percentages, particularly if the percentages are 50 percent or

more. When the numerator and denominator of the percentage are in different categories, use the factor or parameter from table C-5 indicated by the numerator.

The approximate standard error,  $s_{x,p}$ , of an estimated percentage can be obtained by use of the formula

$$s_{x,p} = fs \quad (3)$$

In this formula,  $f$  is the appropriate factor from table C-5, and  $s$  is the standard error of the estimate obtained by interpolation from table C-3 or C-4. Alternatively, formula (4) will provide more accurate results:

$$s_{x,p} = \sqrt{bp(100 - p)/x} \quad (4)$$

Here  $x$  is the total number of persons, families, households, or unrelated individuals in the base of the percentage,  $p$  is the percentage ( $0 \leq p \leq 100$ ), and  $b$  is the parameter in table C-5 associated with the characteristic in the numerator of the percentage.

*Illustration*—Table 1 shows that an estimated 27.1 percent of the 2,041,000 Black persons 18 to 21 years old were enrolled in college in 1988. Using formula (3) with  $f = 1.0$  from table C-5 and  $s = 1.8$  from table C-4, the approximate standard error for the percent of Blacks 18 to 21 enrolled in college is

$$(1.0)(1.8) = 1.8$$

Alternatively, using formula (5) with  $b = 3,086$  from table C-5, the approximate standard error is

$$s_{x,p} = \sqrt{\frac{3,086}{2,041,000} (27.1)(100 - 27.1)} = 1.7$$

So, rounded to one decimal place, the 90-percent confidence interval for the estimated percentage of Black persons aged 18 to 21 who were enrolled in college is from 24.4 to 29.8, i.e.,  $27.1 \pm 1.6(1.7)$ .

**Standard error of a difference.** The standard error of the difference between two sample estimates is approximately equal to

$$s_{x-y} = \sqrt{s_x^2 + s_y^2} \quad (5)$$

where  $s_x$  and  $s_y$  are the standard errors of the estimates,  $x$  and  $y$ . The estimates can be numbers, percentages, ratios, etc. This will represent the actual standard error quite accurately for the difference between estimates of the same characteristic in two different areas, or for the difference between separate and uncorrelated characteristics in the same area. However, if there is a high positive (negative) correlation between the two characteristics, the formula will overestimate (underestimate) the true standard error.

*Illustration*—Table 1 shows that in 1988, 41.9 percent of the 11,720,000 Whites 18 to 21 years old were enrolled in college as compared with 27.1 percent of the 2,041,000 Blacks of the same age group. The apparent difference between the two estimates is 14.8 percent. Using formula (4) and the appropriate  $b$  parameters from table C-5, the approximate standard error for the percentage of Whites 18 to 21 years old enrolled in college is  $s_x = 0.8$  and for the percentage of Blacks 18 to 21 enrolled in college is  $s_y = 1.7$ . Using formula (5) with these standard errors, the approximate standard error of the difference is

$$s_{x-y} = \sqrt{(0.8)^2 + (1.7)^2} = 1.9$$

This means the 90-percent confidence interval around the difference is from 11.8 to 17.8; i.e.,  $14.8 \pm 1.6(1.9)$ . Because this interval does not contain zero, we can conclude with 90-percent confidence that the percentage of Whites aged 18 to 21 enrolled in college is greater than the corresponding figure for Blacks.

**Table C-1. Standard Errors for Estimated Numbers of Persons: Total or White**

(Numbers in thousands)

Estimated number of persons	Total persons in age group <sup>1</sup>									
	100	250	500	1,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	25,000	50,000	100,000
10.....	5.0	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
20.....	6.6	7.1	7.3	7.3	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4
30.....	7.6	8.5	8.8	8.9	9.0	9.0	9.1	9.1	9.1	9.1
40.....	8.1	9.6	10.0	10.3	10.4	10.4	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5
50.....	8.3	10.5	11.1	11.4	11.6	11.7	11.7	11.7	11.7	11.7
75.....	7.2	12.0	13.2	13.8	14.1	14.2	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3
100.....	-	12.8	14.8	15.7	16.2	16.4	16.5	16.5	16.5	16.6
200.....	-	10.5	18.1	21.0	22.5	23.0	23.2	23.3	23.4	23.4
300.....	-	-	18.1	24.0	26.9	27.8	28.3	28.5	28.6	28.6
400.....	-	-	14.8	25.7	30.4	31.8	32.5	32.9	33.0	33.1
500.....	-	-	-	26.2	33.1	35.1	36.1	36.7	36.9	36.9
750.....	-	-	-	22.7	38.0	41.8	43.6	44.7	45.0	45.2
1,000.....	-	-	-	-	40.6	46.9	49.7	51.3	51.9	52.1
2,000.....	-	-	-	-	33.1	57.4	66.3	71.1	72.6	73.3
3,000.....	-	-	-	-	-	57.4	75.9	85.1	88.0	89.4
4,000.....	-	-	-	-	-	46.9	81.2	96.0	100.5	102.6
5,000.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	82.8	104.8	111.1	114.2
7,500.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	71.7	120.0	132.3	138.0
10,000.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	128.3	148.2	157.1
20,000.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104.8	181.5	209.5
30,000.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	181.5	240.0
40,000.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	148.2	256.6
50,000.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	261.9
75,000.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	226.8
100,000.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Not applicable.

- <sup>1</sup>a. These standard errors must be multiplied by the appropriate factor in table C-5 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.  
b. To estimate standard errors for years 1982 to 1987, multiply the above standard errors by 0.91; for 1967 to 1981, multiply by 0.85; and for 1956 to 1966, multiply by 1.05.  
c. The standard errors were calculated using formula (2), where  $b = 2,744$  (from table C-5), and  $T$  is the total number of persons in an age group.

**Table C-2. Standard Errors for Estimated Numbers of Persons: Black or Hispanic**

(Numbers in thousands)

Estimated number of persons	Total persons in age group <sup>1</sup>						
	100	250	500	1,000	2,500	5,000	10,000
10.....	5.3	5.4	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.6
20.....	7.0	7.5	7.7	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8
30.....	8.1	9.0	9.3	9.5	9.6	9.6	9.6
40.....	8.6	10.2	10.7	10.9	11.0	11.1	11.1
50.....	8.8	11.1	11.8	12.1	12.3	12.4	12.4
75.....	7.6	12.7	14.0	14.6	15.0	15.1	15.2
100.....	-	13.6	15.7	16.7	17.2	17.4	17.5
200.....	-	11.1	19.2	22.2	23.8	24.3	24.6
300.....	-	-	19.2	25.5	28.5	29.5	30.0
400.....	-	-	15.7	27.2	32.2	33.7	34.4
500.....	-	-	-	27.8	35.1	37.3	38.3
750.....	-	-	-	24.1	40.3	44.4	46.3
1,000.....	-	-	-	-	43.0	49.7	52.7
2,000.....	-	-	-	-	35.1	60.9	70.3
3,000.....	-	-	-	-	-	60.9	80.5
4,000.....	-	-	-	-	-	49.7	86.1
5,000.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	87.8
7,500.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	76.1
10,000.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Not applicable.

- <sup>1</sup>a. These standard errors must be multiplied by the appropriate factor in table C-5 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.  
b. To estimate standard errors for years 1982 to 1987, multiply the above standard errors by 0.91; for 1967 to 1981, multiply by 0.85; and for 1956 to 1960, multiply by 1.05.  
c. The standard errors were calculated using formula (2), where  $b = 3,086$  (from table C-5) and  $T$  is the total number of persons in an age group.

**Table C-3. Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages: Total or White**

Base of percentage (thousands)	Estimated percentage					
	1 or 99	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	25 or 75	50
75.....	1.9	2.7	4.2	5.7	8.3	9.6
100.....	1.6	2.3	3.6	5.0	7.2	8.3
250.....	1.0	1.5	2.3	3.1	4.5	5.2
500.....	0.7	1.0	1.6	2.2	3.2	3.7
750.....	0.6	0.8	1.3	1.8	2.6	3.0
1,000.....	0.5	0.7	1.1	1.6	2.3	2.6
2,500.....	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.0	1.4	1.7
5,000.....	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.0	1.2
7,500.....	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0
10,000.....	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.8
15,000.....	0.13	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.7
25,000.....	0.10	0.15	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.5
50,000.....	0.07	0.10	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4
100,000.....	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.2	0.2	0.3

- <sup>1</sup>a. These values must be multiplied by the appropriate factor in table C-5 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.  
b. To estimate standard errors for years 1982 to 1987, multiply the above standard errors by 0.91; for 1967 to 1981, multiply by 0.85 and for 1956 to 1966, multiply by 1.05.  
c. The standard errors were calculated using formula (4), where  $b = 2,744$  from table C-5.

**Table C-4. Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages: Black or Hispanic**

Base of percentage (thousands)	Estimated percentage <sup>1</sup>					
	1 or 99	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	25 or 75	50
25 .....	3.5	4.9	7.7	10.5	15.2	17.6
50 .....	2.5	3.5	5.4	7.5	10.8	12.4
75 .....	2.0	2.8	4.4	6.1	8.8	10.1
100 .....	1.7	2.5	3.8	5.3	7.6	8.8
250 .....	1.1	1.6	2.4	3.3	4.8	5.6
500 .....	0.8	1.1	1.7	2.4	3.4	3.9
750 .....	0.6	0.9	1.4	1.9	2.8	3.2
1,000 .....	0.6	0.8	1.2	1.7	2.4	2.8
2,500 .....	0.3	0.5	0.8	1.1	1.5	1.8
5,000 .....	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.1	1.2
7,500 .....	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.9	1.0
10,000 .....	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.9
15,000 .....	0.14	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.7
20,000 .....	0.12	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6

- <sup>1</sup>a. These standard errors must be multiplied by the appropriate factors in table C-5 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.  
<sup>b</sup>. To estimate standard errors for years 1982 to 1987, multiply the above standard errors by 0.91; for 1967 to 1981, multiply by 0.85; and for 1956 to 1966, multiply by 1.05.  
<sup>c</sup>. The standard errors were calculated using formula (4), where  $b = 3,086$  from table C-5.

**Table C-5. Parameters and Factors for School Enrollment Characteristics**

Characteristic	b parameters <sup>1</sup>	f factors <sup>1</sup>
Persons enrolled in school		
3 to 34 years old:		
Total or White .....	2,744	1.0
Black .....	3,086	1.0
Hispanic origin .....	3,086	1.0
14 to 34 years old:		
Total or White .....	2,744	1.0
Black .....	3,086	1.0
Hispanic origin .....	3,086	1.0
Children enrolled in school		
3 to 13 years old:		
Total or White .....	3,203	1.1
Black .....	3,203	1.0
Hispanic origin .....	3,203	1.0

- <sup>1</sup>a. For nonmetropolitan data cross-tabulated with other data, multiply  $f$  by 1.2 and the  $b$  parameter by 1.5.  
<sup>b</sup>. Multiply the  $b$  parameter by 0.84 for CPS data collected from 1982 to 1987, by 0.73 for data collected from 1967 to 1981 and by 1.1 for CPS data collected from 1956 to 1966.  
<sup>c</sup>. For regional data, multiply the  $b$  parameter by 0.82 for the Northeast, 0.88 for the Midwest, 0.91 for the South, and 1.34 for the West.