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## I. INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted numerous aspects of society, including the surveys used to study social change. Many survey operations were disrupted during the early months of the pandemic as lockdown procedures and social distancing requirements were formed. Along with increases in nonresponse rates, the Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement (CPS ASEC) and the American Community Survey (ACS) found evidence of nonresponse bias in data collected in 2020 (Rothbaum and Bee, 2021; Rothbaum et al., 2021). What impact, if any, did the pandemic have on the estimates collected in the 2020 June Fertility Supplement to the CPS?

In addition to the basic CPS questionnaire, the Fertility Supplement is asked biannually in June of all female civilian household members 15-50 years old. The items included in the supplement (i.e., number of live births, year the first child was born, and living arrangement at the time of the first birth) are asked in reference to births that occurred by the interview period. As such, data from the June 2020 CPS Fertility Supplement do not capture changes in fertility caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

## TABLE 1 HERE

Response rates in the 2020 CPS declined following the suspension of personal visit interviews in March of 2020 and the employment of telephone interviews to adhere to social distancing requirements (Ward and Edwards, 2021). The response rate in March of 2020 was 73.0 percent, down from 81.5 percent in March of 2019. ${ }^{2}$ By June of 2020, the response rate had fallen to 64.9 percent, at which time the June Fertility Supplement was collected.

[^0]Previous scholars found evidence of nonresponse bias in specific estimates such as income and health insurance in the 2020 CPS ASEC (Rothbaum and Bee, 2021; Berchick, Mykyta, and Stern, 2020). Moreover, those who responded to the CPS ASEC in 2020 were more likely to have higher incomes, higher educational attainment, and more likely to be non-Hispanic and native born than in prior survey years (Rothbaum and Bee, 2021).

A sample that overrepresents individuals with higher educational attainment and income may be particularly problematic for the June CPS Fertility Supplement for several reasons. First, fertility trends are closely related to socioeconomic status. While the timing of motherhood has shifted to later ages among women across all levels of educational attainment, women with bachelor's degrees and higher have the highest median age at first birth (Livingston, 2015). This delay makes childlessness appear greater during their childbearing years, though most of these women do become mothers by their early 40s (Livingston, 2018). Second, estimates are collected biannually, which means that data users must attempt to parse out the impact of COVID-19 from legitimate social change that occurred between the two years of data collection.

Given the known anomalies in the CPS data collected just a few months before the June Fertility Supplement and the historically high nonresponse, this paper evaluates the quality of the June 2020 CPS Fertility Supplement. In particular, it takes a closer look at the distributions of many of the basic fertility measures, in about the same level of detail that is usually published in the table package released based on these data. ${ }^{3}$ It then compares the magnitude of changes between 2018 and 2020 estimates with the magnitude of change seen between earlier data collections. Comparisons are also made to National Survey of Family Growth data. The goal is to see whether the sample weights adequately compensate for any bias in the unweighted sample.

In summary, several of the estimates shown throughout this paper look reasonable and consistent with earlier data-i.e., estimates are not statistically different across survey years. We also find estimates that experienced significant change between 2018 and 2020, but the size of change seen between 2018 and 2020 is not significantly different than the size of change seen between 2016 and 2018. While these 2020 estimates may seem out of place, we are less concerned with them given this historical perspective. However, a final group of estimates experienced significant change between 2018 and 2020 that was larger than the change seen between the previous periods and suggests that the weights may not have completely ameliorated the bias in the underlying sample for several of the estimates of childlessness. The remainder of this paper details various fertility indicators, as well as allocation rates, to get a better sense of which estimates may need to be considered with caution.

Section 2 presents general sample statistics and compares the unweighted and weighted estimates. It then discusses additional socioeconomic characteristics and their interaction with fertility measures.

[^1]Section 3 examines the fertility indicators and allocation rates of the June 2020 Fertility Supplement in greater detail, comparing them to previous years.

Section 4 concludes the paper and presents a summary of the findings and recommendations for use of the June 2020 CPS Fertility Supplement.

## II. SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS

## TABLE 2 HERE

This section presents unweighted and weighted sample characteristics to assess the impact of the weights on the estimates. ${ }^{4}$

In general, the survey weights improved the basic estimates of the sample characteristics in the June 2020 Fertility Supplement, as there are fewer significant differences in the estimates between years when they are weighted. For example, while the unweighted number of survey respondents in 2020 is smaller than 2018 by about 8,000 women (Table 2), there is no statistical difference in the weighted universe of women aged $15-50$ from 2016 to 2020. Regarding race and Hispanic origin, the unweighted 2020 sample has a higher proportion of White alone, non-Hispanic women, and a smaller proportion of Black alone, non-Hispanic women than in 2018. When weighted, these proportions are not statistically different than previous years. ${ }^{5}$ This is not necessarily surprising, given that the weighted sample results are adjusted to agree with population controls, which include age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin. ${ }^{6}$ A larger concern is whether the survey weights improve estimates that are not directly adjusted for, which is examined next.

## TABLE 3 HERE

Table 3 shows additional socioeconomic and geographic characteristics of women aged 15 to 50 in 2016,2018 , and 2020 on their own and by selected fertility measures. The sample weights appear to have maintained the total distributions of race and origin and region of residence in the 2020 sample, and these estimates do not significantly differ. ${ }^{7}$ Some marital status categories experienced significant change between 2018 and 2020, but ultimately did not experience more change than was seen between earlier periods. For instance, while there was no significant change in the percentage of women 15-50 who were never married in 2016 and 2018, it increased by 1.3 percentage points between 2018 and 2020. There were also significant declines in the percentage

[^2]of women who were divorced or separated in 2020 compared to previous years. ${ }^{8}$ But for both the never married and divorced categories, the magnitude of changes seen between 2016-2018 and 2018-2020 did not significantly differ.

In contrast, educational attainment shows deviation from the previous years' distributions and points to a more educated sample overall. For example, the percentage of women without a high school degree declined by 2.0 percentage points from 2018 to 2020, though there was no statistical difference between 2016 and 2018. The percentage of women who received any college education increased by 1.0 percentage point between 2016 and 2018 but increased by 2.9 percentage points between 2018 and 2020. The magnitude of difference between the estimates in the 2018-2020 period was significantly larger than the previous period. This is consistent with findings from earlier working papers on the impact of COVID-19 to the 2020 CPS suggesting the 2020 sample contained more people of higher socioeconomic status than usual (Rothbaum and Bee, 2021).

Furthermore, many of the 2020 estimates for these sample characteristics diverge from previous years when crossed by fertility characteristics (Table 3). For instance, the percentage of White alone women who were childless did not significantly change between 2016 and 2018 but increased by 2.5 percentage points between 2018 and 2020.

The percentage of Black alone women who were childless also did not significantly change between 2016 and 2018, but increased by 3.9 percentage points between 2018 and 2020.

For Hispanic women, the percentage of women who were childless increased by 4.1 percentage points between 2018 and 2020, but this percent change was not significantly different from the previous period. ${ }^{9}$

There was no significant change in the percentage of Asian alone women who were childless between 2016, 2018 and 2020, nor in the percentage of women belonging to All Other races and race combinations who were childless. ${ }^{10}$ This is likely because these are smaller populations with more variation year to year. ${ }^{11}$

[^3]Childlessness among native born women increased by 1.2 percentage points between 2016 and 2018, and by 2.7 percentage points between 2018 and 2020, though the percent change between these two periods does not significantly differ. ${ }^{12}$

Childlessness increased among women with any college attainment and among women with a bachelor's degree between 2018 and 2020 to a greater extent than what was seen between 2016 and 2018, while the other education categories did not experience significant change between these two periods. ${ }^{13}$

Fewer women were employed in 2020 than in previous years, which is consistent with the higher rates of unemployment in 2020 due to COVID-19: Women in the 2020 sample who were employed declined by 6.6 percentage points from 2018, compared to a 2.0 percentage point increase between 2016 and 2018.

## III. FERTILITY FINDINGS

This section discusses the key fertility indicators of the June CPS Fertility Supplement in greater detail. Specifically, we examine age at first birth for women 15-50, the number of children ever born to them, and their relationship status at the time of first birth.

## TABLE 4 HERE

Table 4 shows allocation rates for several of our main fertility indicators, from 2014 through 2020. Most allocation rates for the variables collected in 2020 are not statistically different from their values in 2018, which suggests that questionable estimates are not the result of an increase in missing data among those who did respond to the survey. The allocation rates for Children Ever Born have gradually increased from 2014, but there was a decrease between 2018 and 2020 by about 1.0 percentage point. ${ }^{14}$ For the variable Year of First Birth, allocation rates have generally increased from 2014, apart from a small decline in 2020 that was not statistically significant. ${ }^{15}$

[^4]The allocation rates for Married at First birth show a gradual increase from 2014 to 2018, followed by a slight decrease in 2020 that was not statistically significant. ${ }^{16}$

Allocation rates for Cohabiting at First Birth did not significantly change between 2016, 2018, and $2020 .{ }^{17}$

## TABLE 5 HERE

Previous tables in this report showed that the unweighted sample in the June 2020 CPS Fertility Supplement contained a higher proportion of White alone, non-Hispanic women, and a smaller proportion of Black alone, non-Hispanic women than earlier samples. Tables 5, 6, and 6A through 6 E compare selected fertility indicators for women by race and Hispanic origin in 2016, 2018, and 2020.

While childlessness has been slowly increasing over the past several decades, estimates of childlessness are significantly higher in the 2020 CPS: The percentage of (all) women aged 15-50 who were childless increased by 2.6 percentage points from 2018 to 2020 (Table 5). ${ }^{18}$ There was no significant change in this estimate between 2016 and 2018, and only a 1.0 percentage point increase between 2014 and 2016. The magnitude of change seen between 2018 and 2020 was significantly larger than what was seen between the 2016 to 2018 period. ${ }^{19}$

Moreover, many estimates of childlessness in the 2020 Fertility Supplement diverge from previous years when broken down by current age group (Table 5). For example, between 2018 and 2020, there was a 5.7 percentage point increase of women aged 20 to 24 who were childless, greater than the 3.8 percentage point increase between 2016 and 2018 among women in that age group. ${ }^{20}$ Among women aged 25 to 29 , there was a 6.2 percentage point increase in childlessness between 2018 and 2020, compared to no significant change between 2016 and 2018, and a 4.2 percentage point increase between 2014 and 2016. ${ }^{21}$ The increase in childlessness for women overall, and for

[^5]these specific age groups show significant deviations from previous years, which may reflect bias in the sample that is not adequately addressed by the weights. ${ }^{22}$

## TABLE 6 HERE

Looking at the distribution of Children Ever Born for all women aged 15-50 suggests that the increase in childlessness seen in the 2020 data primarily comes from a decline in women with 1 or 2 children: The 2.6 percentage point increase in women with no children from 2018 to 2020 was accompanied by 0.9 and 0.8 percentage point declines in women with 1 or 2 children, respectively (Table 6). ${ }^{23}$

The National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG) also reported an increase in childlessness between the periods of 2015-2017 and 2017-2019, but for a slightly different universe (women aged 15-49, Table 7). Estimates of childlessness were higher in the 2016 CPS supplement than in the 20152017 NSFG. There was no statistical difference between estimates of childlessness in the 2018 CPS and the 2017-2019 NSFG, but in the 2020 CPS, estimates of childlessness are again higher than in the NSFG.

## TABLE 7 HERE

Regarding age at first birth, the 2020 estimates suggest that women were older at first birth compared to earlier samples (Table 6): There was a significant increase in the percentage of women who were 25-29 and 30-34 at first birth between 2018 and 2020. This was accompanied by a decline in the percentage of women aged 20-24 at first birth, compared to 2018. ${ }^{24}$ However, it is important to note that average maternal ages of first births have been rising for decades (Guzzo and Payne, 2018). When considered from a historical perspective, the percent changes in age at

[^6]first birth do not significantly differ between the periods of 2016-2018 and 2018-2020 for these age groups. ${ }^{25}$

There was also a significant increase in the percentage of women who were married at first birth ( 1.5 percentage points) in the 2020 sample, compared to no change between 2016 and 2018. Marriage at first birth is associated with greater socioeconomic status (Lundberg, Pollak, and Stearns, 2016) and could suggest that the 2020 data may be capturing a more advantaged sample. However, the increase seen between 2018 and 2020 was not statistically larger than the change seen between 2016 and 2018.

Examining distributions of age at first birth by race and Hispanic origin and the magnitude of changes between data years reveals that some of the 2020 estimates experienced significant change, but their magnitude of change fell within range of the previous period and is therefore not statistically different from it. For example, compared to 2018, there were fewer White alone, nonHispanic women aged 15-19 at first birth, and more aged 30-34 at first birth (about 2.0 percentage points in each direction, Table 6 A ) in the 2020 data. ${ }^{26}$ Though there were no significant differences in these age groups between 2016 and 2018, the magnitude of change between 2018 and 2020 was not large enough to statistically differ from the earlier period. ${ }^{27}$

For Black alone, non-Hispanic women (Table 6B) the percentage of women who had a first birth at ages 15-19 declined by 5.2 percentage points compared to no significant change between 2016 and 2018, and the percent change between these two periods was statistically significant. However, for those aged 20-24, 25-29, and 30-34 at first birth, the magnitude of change seen between 2018 and 2020 was not statistically larger than the previous period. ${ }^{28}$

[^7]
## IV. Conclusion and Recommendations

This paper evaluates the quality of the June 2020 CPS Fertility Supplement in response to the data collection issues resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic. The findings suggest that the sampling weights have corrected the distributions of race and Hispanic origin and region of residence for the sample as a whole, and that many of the fertility estimates look reasonable and consistent with earlier data, meaning that estimates are not statistically different across survey years. ${ }^{29}$

Other estimates experienced significant change between 2018 and 2020, but the size of change seen between 2018 and 2020 is not significantly different than the size of change seen between 2016 and 2018. While these 2020 estimates may seem out of place, we are less concerned with them given this historical perspective.

A final group of estimates experienced significant change between 2018 and 2020 that was larger than the change seen between the previous periods and suggests that the weights may not have completely ameliorated the bias in the underlying sample for several of the estimates of childlessness. For example, among all women 15-50, there was an increase in childlessness (2.6 percentage points) between 2018 and 2020. There was no significant change in this estimate between 2016 and 2018, and only a 1.0 percentage point increase between 2014 and 2016. ${ }^{30}$

Additionally, the percentage of White alone women who were childless did not significantly change between 2016 and 2018, but increased by 2.5 percentage points between 2018 and 2020.

The percentage of Black alone women who were childless also did not significantly change between 2016 and 2018, but increased by 3.9 percentage points between 2018 and 2020 .

For these estimates, the magnitude of change seen between 2018 and 2020 was statistically larger than the magnitude of change seen between 2016 and 2018. ${ }^{31}$

While the fertility patterns discussed in this paper (e.g., increases in childlessness) are part of ongoing secular trends, the magnitude of the changes between data years and the change in the composition of the sample to include more women with higher education are questionable. As such, we suggest that data users exercise more caution when working with these estimates. The June 2022 Fertility Supplement to the CPS should help to illuminate if these estimates reflect true social change.

[^8]
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Table 1. Nonresponse Rates for the June CPS: 2016-2020
(Numbers in percent.)

| June Basic CPS | Nonresponse Rates |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
|  | 13.2 | 15.7 | 35.1 |

The U.S. Census Bureau reviewed this data product for unauthorized disclosure of confidential information and approved the disclosure avoidance practices applied to this release. CBDRB-FY22-POP001-0061.

For more information about CPS, including the source and accuracy statement, see the technical documentation accessible at: http://www.census.gov/programs-
surveys/cps/technical-documentation/methodology.html

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey,
June Fertility Supplement Technical Documentation, 2016, 2018, and 2020.

Table 2. Unweighted and Weighted Sample Sizes and Distributions of Key Variables: June 2016-2020

|  | Unweighted |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of women |  |  | Percent |  |  | Number of women ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Percent |  |  |
|  | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 |
| Sample Size | 29,500 | 28,000 | 20,000 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75,920 (894) | 76,410 (905) | 76,250 (904) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Sample Size by Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 to 19 years | 4,000 | 3,700 | 2,700 | 13.5 | 13.4 | 13.5 | 10,290 (386) | 10,290 (386) | 10,180 (384) | 13.6 | 13.5 | 13.4 |
| 20 to 24 years | 3,800 | 3,400 | 2,200 | 12.7 | 12.2 | 11.1 | 10,830 (395) | 10,610 (392) | 10,470 (389) | 14.3 | 13.9 | 13.7 |
| 25 to 29 years | 4,200 | 3,800 | 2,600 | 14.2 | 13.7 | 12.9 | 11,190 (401) | 11,480 (407) | 11,290 (403) | 14.7 | 15.0 | 14.8 |
| 30 to 34 years | 4,300 | 4,200 | 3,000 | 14.4 | 15.0 | 15.1 | 10,780 (394) | 10,890 (396) | 11,180 (401) | 14.2 | 14.3 | 14.7 |
| 35 to 39 years | 4,200 | 4,300 | 3,100 | 14.3 | 15.3 | 15.5 | 10,360 (387) | 10,730 (394) | 10,830 (395) | 13.7 | 14.0 | 14.2 |
| 40 to 44 years | 4,000 | 3,800 | 2,900 | 13.6 | 13.7 | 14.4 | 9,924 (379) | 9,896 (379) | 10,140 (383) | 13.1 | 13.0 | 13.3 |
| 45 to 50 years | 5,100 | 4,700 | 3,500 | 17.2 | 16.8 | 17.5 | 12,540 (424) | 12,520 (424) | 12,170 (418) | 16.5 | 16.4 | 16.0 |
| Sample Size by Race and Hispanic Origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White alone, non-Hispanic | 18,500 | 17,500 | 13,000 | 61.9 | 61.7 | 63.8 | 43,000 (733) | 42,370 (732) | 41,870 (729) | 56.6 | 55.5 | 54.9 |
| Black alone, non-Hispanic | 3,400 | 3,100 | 2,000 | 11.6 | 11.2 | 10.0 | 10,430 (429) | 10,500 (432) | 10,470 (433) | 13.7 | 13.7 | 13.7 |
| Asian alone, non-Hispanic | 1,800 | 1,700 | 1,400 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 5,084 (295) | 5,332 (302) | 5,472 (307) | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.2 |
| Other race, non-Hispanic | 1,000 | 1,000 | 600 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2,308 (213) | 2,536 (222) | 2,533 (223) | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Hispanic (any race) | 5,000 | 4,800 | 3,300 | 16.9 | 17.3 | 16.3 | 15,090 (610) | 15,670 (623) | 15,910 (630) | 19.9 | 20.5 | 20.9 |

1. Numbers in thousands.
2. Margins of error in parentheses.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, June 2016, 2018, and 2020.

Table 3. Sample Characteristics of Women 15 to 50 by Selected Fertility Measures: June 2016-2020

|  | Women 15 to 50: 2016 |  |  |  | Women 15 to 50:2018 |  |  |  | Women 15 to 50 years: 2020 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Percent <br> Total | Percent childless | Children ever born per 1,000 women | Total | Percent <br> Total | Percent childless | Children ever born per 1,000 women | Total | Percent <br> Total | Percent childless | Children ever born per 1,000 women |
| Total Women | 75,920 | 100.0 | 43.4 | 1,252 | 76,410 | 100.0 | 44.2 | 1,227 | 76,250 | 100.0 | 46.8 | 1,169 |
| Race and Hispanic Origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White alone | 56,260 | 74.1 | 43.4 | 1,256 | 56,230 | 73.6 | 44.4 | 1,226 | 55,890 | 73.3 | 46.9 | 1,165 |
| White alone, non-Hispanic | 43,000 | 56.6 | 44.9 | 1,178 | 42,370 | 55.5 | 45.7 | 1,165 | 41,870 | 54.9 | 47.7 | 1,117 |
| Black alone | 11,190 | 14.7 | 40.6 | 1,352 | 11,260 | 14.7 | 40.8 | 1,323 | 11,370 | 14.9 | 44.7 | 1,264 |
| Asian alone | 5,190 | 6.8 | 47.6 | 1,017 | 5,519 | 7.2 | 45.5 | 1,083 | 5,618 | 7.4 | 48.1 | 1,020 |
| All other races, race combinations | 3,279 | 4.3 | 46.4 | 1,210 | 3,408 | 4.5 | 49.5 | 1,160 | 3,378 | 4.4 | 50.6 | 1,149 |
| Hispanic (of any race) | 15,090 | 19.9 | 38.8 | 1,491 | 15,670 | 20.5 | 40.2 | 1,407 | 15,910 | 20.9 | 44.3 | 1,320 |
| Nativity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native born | 63,040 | 83.0 | 46.3 | 1,167 | 63,290 | 82.8 | 47.5 | 1,138 | 63,430 | 83.2 | 50.2 | 1,079 |
| Foreign born | 12,880 | 17.0 | 29.2 | 1,665 | 13,130 | 17.2 | 28.1 | 1,656 | 12,830 | 16.8 | 30.0 | 1,611 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever Married | 41,440 | 54.6 | 17.2 | 1,892 | 41,450 | 54.2 | 17.5 | 1,873 | 40,340 | 52.9 | 18.2 | 1,849 |
| Married | 32,960 | 43.4 | 16.4 | 1,905 | 33,030 | 43.2 | 17.1 | 1,877 | 33,200 | 43.5 | 18.0 | 1,865 |
| Widowed | 763 | 1.0 | 21.3 | 1,880 | 761 | 1.0 | 22.0 | 1,791 | 493 | 0.6 | 16.6 | 1,830 |
| Divorced | 5,752 | 7.6 | 20.0 | 1,783 | 5,705 | 7.5 | 18.2 | 1,816 | 5,216 | 6.8 | 20.0 | 1,718 |
| Separated | 1,966 | 2.6 | 20.0 | 1,999 | 1,958 | 2.6 | 19.6 | 1,991 | 1,427 | 1.9 | 18.2 | 1,952 |
| Never Married | 34,480 | 45.4 | 74.9 | 482 | 34,960 | 45.8 | 75.8 | 461 | 35,920 | 47.1 | 78.9 | 404 |
| Educational Attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not a high school graduate | 13,060 | 17.2 | 63.5 | 997 | 12,920 | 16.9 | 64.9 | 951 | 11,330 | 14.9 | 68.1 | 879 |
| High school graduate | 17,080 | 22.5 | 33.4 | 1,513 | 16,610 | 21.7 | 35.1 | 1,449 | 15,960 | 20.9 | 39.1 | 1,357 |
| Any college | 45,780 | 60.3 | 41.4 | 1,227 | 46,880 | 61.3 | 41.7 | 1,224 | 48,960 | 64.2 | 44.4 | 1,174 |
| Some college, no degree | 14,550 | 19.2 | 46.2 | 1,148 | 14,210 | 18.6 | 47.7 | 1,122 | 13,270 | 17.4 | 51.8 | 1,070 |
| Associate's degree | 7,305 | 9.6 | 30.8 | 1,497 | 7,611 | 10.0 | 32.5 | 1,481 | 7,097 | 9.3 | 35.5 | 1,401 |
| Bachelor's degree | 15,630 | 20.6 | 43.9 | 1,166 | 16,430 | 21.5 | 43.1 | 1,166 | 18,580 | 24.4 | 46.7 | 1,115 |
| Graduate or professional degree | 8,294 | 10.9 | 37.6 | 1,241 | 8,633 | 11.3 | 37.0 | 1,278 | 10,010 | 13.1 | 36.6 | 1,261 |
| Labor Force Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In labor force | 52,070 | 68.6 | 41.8 | 1,238 | 53,310 | 69.8 | 42.5 | 1,229 | 52,280 | 68.6 | 44.9 | 1,166 |
| Employed | 48,860 | 64.4 | 41.4 | 1,242 | 50,710 | 66.4 | 42.0 | 1,238 | 45,600 | 59.8 | 43.7 | 1,184 |
| Unemployed | 3,206 | 4.2 | 48.2 | 1,184 | 2,607 | 3.4 | 51.6 | 1,055 | 6,681 | 8.8 | 52.9 | 1,048 |
| Not in labor force | 23,850 | 31.4 | 46.8 | 1,280 | 23,100 | 30.2 | 48.1 | 1,223 | 23,970 | 31.4 | 51.0 | 1,173 |
| Region of Residence ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 13,220 | 17.4 | 47.6 | 1,115 | 13,030 | 17.1 | 48.7 | 1,111 | 12,720 | 16.7 | 50.4 | 1,023 |
| Midwest | 15,550 | 20.5 | 42.8 | 1,295 | 15,560 | 20.4 | 42.4 | 1,286 | 15,570 | 20.4 | 44.8 | 1,250 |
| South | 28,790 | 37.9 | 41.2 | 1,278 | 29,250 | 38.3 | 42.2 | 1,254 | 29,420 | 38.6 | 45.2 | 1,203 |
| West | 18,360 | 24.2 | 44.3 | 1,272 | 18,580 | 24.3 | 45.6 | 1,216 | 18,550 | 24.3 | 48.7 | 1,146 |

1. Region is defined as the four groupings of states (Northeast, South, Midwest, and West) established by the Census Bureau in 1942 for the presentation of census data. Northeast Region:

Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania. South Region: Maryland, Delaware, West Virginia, Virginia, Kentucky,
Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas. Midwest Region: North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Mis souri, Iowa, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Illinois, Michigan, Indiana, Ohio. West Region: Washington, Idaho, Montana, Wyoming, Oregon, California, Nevada, Utah, Colorado, Arizona, New Mexico, Alaska, Hawaii.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, June 2016, 2018, and 2020.

Table 4. Allocation Rates for Key Variables: June 2014-2020
(Numbers in percent.)

| Key Measures | Allocation Rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| Allocation rate for Children Ever Born | 15.2 | 17.3 | 18.5 | 17.6 |
| Allocation rate for Year of First Birth $^{1}$ | 21.9 | 24.3 | 26.2 | 25.7 |
| Allocation rate for Married at First Birth $^{1}$ | 19.9 | 21.9 | 24.0 | 23.6 |
| Allocation rate for Cohabiting at First Birth $^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |

1. Among women who have given birth
2. Among women who have given birth and were not married at their first birth

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, June 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020.

Table 5. Comparison of Fertility Indicators by Age, Race, and Hispanic Origin: June 2014-2020
(Numbers in thousands.)


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, June 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020.

Table 6. Fertility Indicators for Women 15 to 50 Years Old: June 2016-2020, All Women

|  | Frequency |  |  | Number of women in universe |  |  | Percent |  |  | Allocation rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 |
| Children Ever Born <br> (Universe: All women age 15-50) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 32,930 | 33,740 | 35,700 | 75,920 | 76,410 | 76,250 | 43.4 | 44.2 | 46.8 | 13.9 | 14.6 | 13.9 |
| 1 | 12,980 | 12,860 | 12,120 | 75,920 | 76,410 | 76,250 | 17.1 | 16.8 | 15.9 | 21.6 | 22.9 | 21.7 |
| 2 | 16,330 | 16,610 | 15,970 | 75,920 | 76,410 | 76,250 | 21.5 | 21.7 | 20.9 | 18.3 | 20.1 | 18.9 |
| 3 | 8,434 | 8,139 | 7,814 | 75,920 | 76,410 | 76,250 | 11.1 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 19.5 | 19.8 | 22.0 |
| 4 | 3,367 | 3,312 | 2,933 | 75,920 | 76,410 | 76,250 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 20.4 | 23.1 | 23.4 |
| 5 plus | 1,878 | 1,753 | 1,714 | 75,920 | 76,410 | 76,250 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 24.1 | 28.1 | 23.7 |
| Age at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-14 | 486 | 530 | 516 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 67.4 | 67.7 | 69.9 |
| 15-19 | 8,137 | 7,594 | 6,375 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 18.9 | 17.8 | 15.7 | 26.4 | 29.3 | 27.7 |
| 20-24 | 14,840 | 14,450 | 13,020 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 34.5 | 33.9 | 32.1 | 21.9 | 24.0 | 25.9 |
| 25-29 | 10,780 | 10,990 | 11,000 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 25.1 | 25.8 | 27.1 | 23.5 | 25.1 | 22.6 |
| 30-34 | 6,409 | 6,641 | 6,985 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 14.9 | 15.6 | 17.2 | 23.6 | 24.7 | 24.0 |
| 35-39 | 1,930 | 2,132 | 2,257 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 28.1 | 29.1 | 28.2 |
| 40-50 | 403 | 334 | 400 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 35.8 | 39.0 | 26.3 |
| Year of First Birth (Universe: All women age 15-50 who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985-89 | 2,804 | 1,530 | X | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 6.5 | 3.6 | X | 21.8 | 26.1 | X |
| 1990-94 | 5,021 | 4,001 | 3,656 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 11.7 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 22.9 | 26.4 | 25.0 |
| 1995-99 | 7,781 | 6,425 | 4,982 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 18.1 | 15.1 | 12.3 | 27.3 | 28.3 | 24.2 |
| 2000-04 | 8,440 | 8,428 | 7,763 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 19.6 | 19.8 | 19.1 | 27.9 | 28.0 | 28.2 |
| 2005-09 | 8,419 | 8,682 | 8,008 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 19.6 | 20.4 | 19.8 | 23.0 | 26.5 | 27.4 |
| 2010-14 | 8,463 | 8,383 | 7,792 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 19.2 | 22.4 | 25.2 | 23.7 |
| 2015-20 | 2,057 | 5,221 | 8,353 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 4.8 | 12.2 | 20.6 | 18.6 | 22.0 | 24.6 |
| Relationship Status at First Birth (RECODE) (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 26,870 | 26,650 | 25,940 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 64.0 | - | - | - |
| Cohabiting | 8,796 | 9,084 | 8,133 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 20.5 | 21.3 | 20.1 | - | - | - |
| Neither Married nor Cohabiting | 7,319 | 6,933 | 6,478 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 17.0 | 16.3 | 16.0 | - | - | - |
| Married at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 26,870 | 26,650 | 25,940 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 64.0 | 19.7 | 21.9 | 20.9 |
| No | 16,120 | 16,020 | 14,610 | 42,980 | 42,670 | 40,550 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 36.0 | 25.7 | 27.7 | 28.3 |
| Cohabiting at First Birth (Universe: All women age 15-50 who were not married at their first birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 8,796 | 9,084 | 8,133 | 16,120 | 16,020 | 14,610 | 54.6 | 56.7 | 55.7 | 24.9 | 27.5 | 26.7 |
| No | 7,319 | 6,933 | 6,478 | 16,120 | 16,020 | 14,610 | 45.4 | 43.3 | 44.3 | 29.9 | 30.9 | 32.9 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, June 2016, 2018, and 2020.
X = Not applicable due to bottom-coding.

- = Not applicable.

Table 6A. Fertility Indicators for Women 15 to 50 Years Old, CPS June Fertility Supplement: 2016-2020, White Alone, Non-Hispanic

| Characteristic | Frequency |  |  | Number of women in universe |  |  | Percent |  |  | Allocation rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 |
| Children Ever Born <br> (Universe: All women age 15-50) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 19,330 | 19,370 | 19,970 | 43,000 | 42,370 | 41,870 | 44.9 | 45.7 | 47.7 | 12.8 | 13.5 | 12.6 |
| 1 | 7,034 | 6,807 | 6,675 | 43,000 | 42,370 | 41,870 | 16.4 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 20.6 | 22.8 | 21.0 |
| 2 | 9,869 | 9,647 | 8,990 | 43,000 | 42,370 | 41,870 | 23.0 | 22.8 | 21.5 | 16.7 | 19.2 | 18.1 |
| 3 | 4,464 | 4,292 | 4,108 | 43,000 | 42,370 | 41,870 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 9.8 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 21.2 |
| 4 | 1,551 | 1,496 | 1,407 | 43,000 | 42,370 | 41,870 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 19.8 | 20.4 | 22.2 |
| 5 plus | 759 | 759 | 721 | 43,000 | 42,370 | 41,870 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 24.9 | 28.5 | 23.9 |
| Age at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-14 | 145 | 205 | 197 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 76.5 | 81.6 | 70.8 |
| 15-19 | 3,400 | 3,075 | 2,499 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 14.4 | 13.4 | 11.4 | 25.7 | 29.6 | 26.7 |
| 20-24 | 7,658 | 7,225 | 6,521 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 32.3 | 31.4 | 29.8 | 20.4 | 22.8 | 24.9 |
| 25-29 | 6,817 | 6,644 | 6,557 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 28.8 | 28.9 | 29.9 | 20.8 | 23.5 | 21.8 |
| 30-34 | 4,176 | 4,341 | 4,526 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 17.6 | 18.9 | 20.7 | 20.7 | 23.2 | 22.0 |
| 35-39 | 1,224 | 1,310 | 1,353 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 28.6 | 28.4 | 23.7 |
| 40-50 | 256 | 200 | 249 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 28.0 | 37.3 | 28.0 |
| Year of First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985-89 | 1,413 | 746 | X | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 6.0 | 3.2 | X | 19.9 | 26.4 | X |
| 1990-94 | 2,732 | 1,928 | 1,716 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 11.5 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 19.0 | 24.1 | 24.0 |
| 1995-99 | 4,296 | 3,479 | 2,510 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 18.2 | 15.1 | 11.5 | 25.9 | 26.2 | 22.0 |
| 2000-04 | 4,686 | 4,577 | 4,186 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 19.8 | 19.9 | 19.1 | 25.6 | 28.5 | 27.0 |
| 2005-09 | 4,522 | 4,666 | 4,456 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 19.1 | 20.3 | 20.4 | 20.8 | 24.3 | 25.5 |
| 2010-14 | 4,876 | 4,633 | 4,311 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 20.6 | 20.1 | 19.7 | 20.7 | 23.3 | 21.6 |
| 2015-20 | 1,151 | 2,971 | 4,722 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 4.9 | 12.9 | 21.6 | 16.2 | 21.7 | 23.0 |
| Relationship Status at First Birth (RECODE) (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 16,890 | 16,400 | 16,070 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 71.3 | 71.3 | 73.4 | - | - | - |
| Cohabiting | 4,256 | 4,078 | 3,698 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 18.0 | 17.7 | 16.9 | - | - | - |
| Neither Married nor Cohabiting | 2,536 | 2,518 | 2,130 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 9.7 | - | - | - |
| Married at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age 15-50 who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 16,890 | 16,400 | 16,070 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 71.3 | 71.3 | 73.4 | 18.4 | 21.2 | 20.1 |
| No | 6,792 | 6,596 | 5,828 | 23,680 | 23,000 | 21,900 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 26.6 | 23.7 | 26.6 | 27.2 |
| Cohabiting at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age 15-50 who were not married at their first birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 4,256 | 4,078 | 3,698 | 6,792 | 6,596 | 5,828 | 62.7 | 61.8 | 63.5 | 23.3 | 26.7 | 26.4 |
| No | 2,536 | 2,518 | 2,130 | 6,792 | 6,596 | 5,828 | 37.3 | 38.2 | 36.5 | 27.3 | 30.2 | 30.7 |

[^9]$X=$ Not applicable due to bottom-coding.

- = Not applicable.

Table 6B. Fertility Indicators for Women 15 to 50 Years Old, CPS June Fertility Supplement: 2016-2020, Black Alone, Non-Hispanic

| Characteristic | Frequency |  |  | Number of women in universe |  |  | Percent |  |  | Allocation rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 |
| Children Ever Born (Universe: All women age 15-50) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 4,221 | 4,342 | 4,718 | 10,430 | 10,500 | 10,470 | 40.5 | 41.4 | 45.1 | 16.4 | 17.6 | 17.4 |
| 1 | 2,203 | 2,042 | 1,871 | 10,430 | 10,500 | 10,470 | 21.1 | 19.5 | 17.9 | 26.0 | 30.4 | 28.7 |
| 2 | 1,862 | 2,116 | 1,982 | 10,430 | 10,500 | 10,470 | 17.8 | 20.2 | 18.9 | 25.9 | 26.3 | 26.7 |
| 3 | 1,149 | 1,082 | 1,053 | 10,430 | 10,500 | 10,470 | 11.0 | 10.3 | 10.1 | 24.1 | 25.5 | 30.3 |
| 4 | 583 | 568 | 459 | 10,430 | 10,500 | 10,470 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 26.9 | 37.3 | 30.0 |
| 5 plus | 417 | 349 | 390 | 10,430 | 10,500 | 10,470 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 27.6 | 34.9 | 27.5 |
| Age at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-14 | 123 | 74 | 169 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 67.4 | 60.8 | 79.7 |
| 15-19 | 1,707 | 1,719 | 1,304 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 27.5 | 27.9 | 22.7 | 29.4 | 38.9 | 34.2 |
| 20-24 | 2,464 | 2,393 | 2,166 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 39.7 | 38.9 | 37.6 | 28.0 | 30.3 | 31.1 |
| 25-29 | 1,126 | 1,197 | 1,345 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 18.1 | 19.4 | 23.4 | 31.4 | 34.3 | 31.7 |
| 30-34 | 575 | 535 | 524 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 9.3 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 37.2 | 33.8 | 38.7 |
| 35-39 | 163 | 210 | 215 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 36.6 | 42.7 | 36.9 |
| 40-50 | 56 | 29 | 31 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 56.9 | 67.5 | 14.7 |
| Year of First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985-89 | 519 | 306 | X | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 8.4 | 5.0 | X | 27.1 | 24.3 | X |
| 1990-94 | 846 | 789 | 683 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 13.6 | 12.8 | 11.9 | 30.9 | 33.3 | 27.8 |
| 1995-99 | 1,091 | 910 | 802 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 17.6 | 14.8 | 13.9 | 31.2 | 41.8 | 37.5 |
| 2000-04 | 1,138 | 1,103 | 1,084 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 18.3 | 17.9 | 18.8 | 36.4 | 32.4 | 38.5 |
| 2005-09 | 1,228 | 1,170 | 965 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 19.8 | 19.0 | 16.8 | 28.5 | 40.5 | 33.1 |
| 2010-14 | 1,143 | 1,233 | 1,102 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 18.4 | 20.0 | 19.2 | 31.5 | 33.1 | 34.7 |
| 2015-20 | 247 | 646 | 1,118 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 4.0 | 10.5 | 19.4 | 27.2 | 28.3 | 32.0 |
| Relationship Status at First Birth (RECODE) (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 1,946 | 1,829 | 1,793 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 31.3 | 29.7 | 31.2 | - | - | - |
| Cohabiting | 1,541 | 1,825 | 1,509 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 24.8 | 29.7 | 26.2 | - | - | - |
| Neither Married nor Cohabiting | 2,727 | 2,503 | 2,452 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 43.9 | 40.7 | 42.6 | - | - | - |
| Married at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 1,946 | 1,829 | 1,793 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 31.3 | 29.7 | 31.2 | 28.3 | 30.5 | 31.4 |
| No | 4,268 | 4,328 | 3,961 | 6,214 | 6,157 | 5,754 | 68.7 | 70.3 | 68.8 | 29.4 | 33.2 | 32.6 |
| Cohabiting at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who were not married at their first birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 1,541 | 1,825 | 1,509 | 4,268 | 4,328 | 3,961 | 36.1 | 42.2 | 38.1 | 30.4 | 35.6 | 34.1 |
| No | 2,727 | 2,503 | 2,452 | 4,268 | 4,328 | 3,961 | 63.9 | 57.8 | 61.9 | 32.9 | 34.6 | 35.5 |

Table 6C. Fertility Indicators for Women 15 to 50 Years Old, CPS June Fertility Supplement: 2016-2020, Asian Alone, Non-Hispanic

| Characteristic | Frequency |  |  | Number of women in universe |  |  | Percent |  |  | Allocation rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 |
| Children Ever Born <br> (Universe: All women age 15-50) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 2,408 | 2,420 | 2,645 | 5,084 | 5,332 | 5,472 | 47.4 | 45.4 | 48.3 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 11.7 |
| 1 | 965 | 967 | 919 | 5,084 | 5,332 | 5,472 | 19.0 | 18.1 | 16.8 | 21.2 | 23.5 | 16.9 |
| 2 | 1,161 | 1,356 | 1,365 | 5,084 | 5,332 | 5,472 | 22.8 | 25.4 | 24.9 | 18.1 | 20.9 | 16.9 |
| 3 | 372 | 408 | 381 | 5,084 | 5,332 | 5,472 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 30.1 | 31.1 | 25.2 |
| 4 | 143 | 113 | 97 | 5,084 | 5,332 | 5,472 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 22.8 | 40.9 | 26.6 |
| 5 plus | 34 | 68 | 66 | 5,084 | 5,332 | 5,472 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 72.2 | 41.1 | 14.9 |
| Age at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-14 | 33 | 27 | 23 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 89.4 | 76.3 | 61.7 |
| 15-19 | 221 | 197 | 165 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 8.3 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 49.7 | 50.6 | 55.5 |
| 20-24 | 591 | 688 | 571 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 22.1 | 23.6 | 20.2 | 33.9 | 34.1 | 27.0 |
| 25-29 | 914 | 998 | 935 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 34.1 | 34.3 | 33.1 | 18.8 | 25.5 | 14.7 |
| 30-34 | 678 | 764 | 795 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 25.4 | 26.2 | 28.1 | 22.7 | 22.3 | 20.4 |
| 35-39 | 193 | 204 | 290 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 10.2 | 14.9 | 27.9 | 33.6 |
| 40-50 | 46 | 34 | 48 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 59.1 | 7.7 | 34.0 |
| Year of First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985-89 | 88 | 59 | X | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 3.3 | 2.0 | X | 36.1 | 64.5 | X |
| 1990-94 | 231 | 175 | 129 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 8.6 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 32.2 | 31.9 | 24.9 |
| 1995-99 | 403 | 352 | 260 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 15.1 | 12.1 | 9.2 | 37.6 | 38.0 | 20.8 |
| 2000-04 | 579 | 592 | 548 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 21.7 | 20.3 | 19.4 | 29.9 | 25.5 | 25.7 |
| 2005-09 | 597 | 643 | 614 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 22.3 | 22.1 | 21.7 | 23.4 | 27.9 | 32.4 |
| 2010-14 | 613 | 667 | 605 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 21.4 | 19.5 | 25.3 | 19.7 |
| 2015-20 | 164 | 424 | 671 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 6.1 | 14.6 | 23.7 | 18.8 | 26.4 | 19.1 |
| Relationship Status at First Birth (RECODE) (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 2,286 | 2,519 | 2,469 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 85.4 | 86.5 | 87.3 | - | - | - |
| Cohabiting | 233 | 185 | 196 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 6.9 | - | - | - |
| Neither Married nor Cohabiting | 156 | 209 | 162 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 5.7 | - | - | - |
| Married at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 2,286 | 2,519 | 2,469 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 85.4 | 86.5 | 87.3 | 19.7 | 22.8 | 18.0 |
| No | 390 | 393 | 359 | 2,676 | 2,912 | 2,827 | 14.6 | 13.5 | 12.7 | 49.6 | 51.6 | 39.9 |
| Cohabiting at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who were not married at their first birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 233 | 185 | 196 | 390 | 393 | 359 | 59.9 | 46.9 | 54.8 | 44.8 | 47.6 | 39.7 |
| No | 156 | 209 | 162 | 390 | 393 | 359 | 40.1 | 53.1 | 45.3 | 62.0 | 54.1 | 42.4 |

[^10]Table 6D. Fertility Indicators for Women 15 to 50 Years Old, CPS June Fertility Supplement: 2016-2020, Hispanic (Any Race)

| Characteristic | Frequency |  |  | Number of women in universe |  |  | Percent |  |  | Allocation rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 |
| Children Ever Born |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 5,851 | 6,298 | 7,053 | 15,090 | 15,670 | 15,910 | 38.8 | 40.2 | 44.3 | 15.7 | 15.8 | 15.4 |
| 1 | 2,376 | 2,615 | 2,261 | 15,090 | 15,670 | 15,910 | 15.8 | 16.7 | 14.2 | 19.8 | 18.2 | 20.6 |
| 2 | 3,042 | 3,124 | 3,199 | 15,090 | 15,670 | 15,910 | 20.2 | 19.9 | 20.1 | 19.1 | 18.5 | 18.0 |
| 3 | 2,219 | 2,147 | 2,053 | 15,090 | 15,670 | 15,910 | 14.7 | 13.7 | 12.9 | 15.9 | 15.2 | 18.9 |
| 4 | 996 | 985 | 868 | 15,090 | 15,670 | 15,910 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 17.2 | 17.9 | 22.0 |
| 5 plus | 607 | 504 | 477 | 15,090 | 15,670 | 15,910 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 20.3 | 21.7 | 22.0 |
| Age at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age 15-50 who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-14 | 162 | 212 | 112 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 54.1 | 54.8 | 57.5 |
| 15-19 | 2,462 | 2,311 | 2,160 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 26.6 | 24.7 | 24.4 | 24.0 | 21.5 | 23.6 |
| 20-24 | 3,695 | 3,665 | 3,357 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 40.0 | 39.1 | 37.9 | 19.2 | 20.9 | 25.0 |
| 25-29 | 1,711 | 1,911 | 1,838 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 18.5 | 20.4 | 20.8 | 30.2 | 24.7 | 23.8 |
| 30-34 | 884 | 884 | 987 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 11.1 | 29.2 | 27.8 | 28.1 |
| 35-39 | 283 | 333 | 335 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 29.6 | 26.7 | 39.6 |
| 40-50 | 43 | 60 | 70 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 31.0 | 49.4 | 20.7 |
| Year of First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985-89 | 699 | 374 | X | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 7.6 | 4.0 | X | 20.8 | 22.8 | X |
| 1990-94 | 1,105 | 1,020 | 994 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 12.0 | 10.9 | 11.2 | 23.9 | 24.2 | 25.2 |
| 1995-99 | 1,810 | 1,508 | 1,305 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 19.6 | 16.1 | 14.7 | 25.8 | 24.9 | 22.6 |
| 2000-04 | 1,822 | 1,891 | 1,778 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 19.7 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 28.2 | 25.5 | 26.4 |
| 2005-09 | 1,804 | 1,970 | 1,755 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 19.5 | 21.0 | 19.8 | 24.0 | 23.5 | 28.8 |
| 2010-14 | 1,584 | 1,629 | 1,486 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 17.1 | 17.4 | 16.8 | 22.5 | 24.9 | 23.0 |
| 2015-20 | 417 | 984 | 1,540 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 4.5 | 10.5 | 17.4 | 19.2 | 16.0 | 26.8 |
| Relationship Status at First Birth (RECODE) (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 5,245 | 5,313 | 4,984 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 56.8 | 56.7 | 56.3 | - | - | - |
| Cohabiting | 2,371 | 2,579 | 2,292 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 25.7 | 27.5 | 25.9 | - | - | - |
| Neither Married nor Cohabiting | 1,624 | 1,484 | 1,582 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 17.6 | 15.8 | 17.9 | - | - | - |
| Married at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 5,245 | 5,313 | 4,984 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 56.8 | 56.7 | 56.3 | 20.1 | 20.3 | 21.8 |
| No | 3,995 | 4,062 | 3,874 | 9,240 | 9,376 | 8,858 | 43.2 | 43.3 | 43.7 | 23.5 | 22.9 | 25.9 |
| Cohabiting at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age 15-50 who were not married at their first birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 2,371 | 2,579 | 2,292 | 3,995 | 4,062 | 3,874 | 59.3 | 63.5 | 59.2 | 23.2 | 23.0 | 22.4 |
| No | 1,624 | 1,484 | 1,582 | 3,995 | 4,062 | 3,874 | 40.7 | 36.5 | 40.8 | 27.0 | 24.2 | 31.7 |

[^11]$-=$ Not applicable.

Table 6E Fertility Indicators for Women 15 to 50 Years Old, CPS June Fertility Supplement: 2016-2020, Other Race, Non-Hispanic

| Characteristic | Frequency |  |  | Number of women in universe |  |  | Percent |  |  | Allocation rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 |
| Children Ever Born <br> (Universe: All women age 15-50) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1,129 | 1,311 | 1,318 | 2,308 | 2,536 | 2,533 | 48.9 | 51.7 | 52.1 | 14.6 | 15.7 | 18.1 |
| 1 | 397 | 425 | 399 | 2,308 | 2,536 | 2,533 | 17.2 | 16.8 | 15.7 | 24.9 | 17.8 | 17.9 |
| 2 | 396 | 367 | 434 | 2,308 | 2,536 | 2,533 | 17.2 | 14.5 | 17.1 | 16.2 | 18.8 | 13.2 |
| 3 | 231 | 210 | 219 | 2,308 | 2,536 | 2,533 | 10.0 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 25.8 | 11.7 | 20.3 |
| 4 | 94 | 150 | 104 | 2,308 | 2,536 | 2,533 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 17.9 | 16.7 | 20.2 |
| 5 plus | 61 | 73 | 60 | 2,308 | 2,536 | 2,533 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 24.4 | 20.4 |
| Age at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-14 | D | 12 | D | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | D | 1.0 | D | 73.8 | 80.1 | 51.8 |
| 15-19 | 347 | 292 | 247 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 29.5 | 23.8 | 20.3 | 21.4 | 15.8 | 20.9 |
| 20-24 | 433 | 478 | 402 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 36.7 | 39.0 | 33.1 | 20.4 | 19.9 | 19.4 |
| 25-29 | 210 | 241 | 330 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 17.9 | 19.6 | 27.1 | 31.7 | 22.5 | 16.1 |
| 30-34 | 96 | 118 | 154 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 8.1 | 9.6 | 12.7 | 25.9 | 31.3 | 26.7 |
| 35-39 | 66 | 74 | 64 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 31.1 | 16.5 | 10.7 |
| 40-50 | D | 11 | D | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | D | 0.9 | D | 25.9 | 34.5 | 0.0 |
| Year of First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age 15-50 who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985-89 | 84 | 44 | X | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 7.1 | 3.6 | X | 15.0 | 9.9 | X |
| 1990-94 | 107 | 89 | 133 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 9.1 | 7.3 | 11.0 | 30.2 | 30.1 | 22.0 |
| 1995-99 | 181 | 176 | 105 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 15.3 | 14.4 | 8.7 | 29.8 | 9.1 | 2.7 |
| 2000-04 | 214 | 266 | 167 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 18.2 | 21.7 | 13.7 | 26.7 | 24.3 | 18.6 |
| 2005-09 | 268 | 233 | 219 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 22.8 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 25.1 | 21.0 | 15.2 |
| 2010-14 | 247 | 222 | 288 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 20.9 | 18.1 | 23.7 | 20.9 | 21.2 | 24.8 |
| 2015-20 | 78 | 196 | 302 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 6.6 | 16.0 | 24.9 | 22.6 | 25.4 | 23.4 |
| Relationship Status at First Birth (RECODE) (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 508 | 589 | 624 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 43.1 | 48.0 | 51.4 | - | - | - |
| Cohabiting | 395 | 417 | 438 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 33.5 | 34.0 | 36.0 | - | - | - |
| Neither Married nor Cohabiting | 276 | 220 | 153 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 23.4 | 17.9 | 12.6 | - | - | - |
| Married at First Birth <br> (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who have given birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 508 | 589 | 624 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 43.1 | 48.0 | 51.4 | 24.2 | 21.6 | 16.6 |
| No | 671 | 637 | 590 | 1,178 | 1,226 | 1,215 | 56.9 | 52.0 | 48.6 | 21.5 | 16.9 | 19.0 |
| Cohabiting at First Birth (Universe: All women age $15-50$ who were not married at their first birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 395 | 417 | 438 | 671 | 637 | 590 | 58.9 | 65.5 | 74.2 | 19.6 | 19.5 | 20.0 |
| No | 276 | 220 | 153 | 671 | 637 | 590 | 41.1 | 34.5 | 25.8 | 24.3 | 19.8 | 23.4 |

[^12]X = Not applicable due to bottom-coding
$\mathrm{D}=$ Suppressed for disclosure avoidance.
$-=$ Not applicable.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Any opinions and conclusions expressed herein are those of the author and do not represent the views of the U.S. Census Bureau. The U.S. Census Bureau reviewed this data product for unauthorized disclosure of confidential information and approved the disclosure avoidance practices applied to this release. CBDRB-FY22-POP001-0061.
    ${ }^{2}$ For CPS response rates for 2019-2022, visit [https://cps.ipums.org/cps/covid19.shtml](https://cps.ipums.org/cps/covid19.shtml). For complete technical documentation of the CPS, visit [https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/technicaldocumentation/complete.2020.html](https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/technicaldocumentation/complete.2020.html).

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ For previously released Fertility data tables, visit
    [https://www.census.gov/topics/health/fertility/data/tables.All.List_360330750.html](https://www.census.gov/topics/health/fertility/data/tables.All.List_360330750.html).

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ All comparisons are statistically significant at the .10 level unless otherwise noted.
    ${ }^{5}$ The weighted percentage of women who were White alone, non-Hispanic in the 2020 and 2018 samples is significantly lower than the percentage of women were White alone, non-Hispanic in the 2016 sample. All other race and Hispanic origin categories are not statistically different between years when weighted.
    ${ }^{6}$ More information on confidentiality protection, methodology, sampling and nonsampling error, and definitions is available at <www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/techdocs/cpsjun20.pdf>.
    ${ }^{7}$ Refer to footnote \#5.

[^3]:    ${ }^{8}$ For women who were divorced, women who were separated, and women who were never married, the 2016-2018 changes were not statistically different from each other. The 2018-2020 changes were not statistically different from each other for women who were divorced and women who were separated.
    ${ }^{9}$ The percentage of Hispanic women who were childless did not significantly differ between 2016 and 2018.
    ${ }^{10}$ The percent change in childlessness for Asian alone women between 2016 and 2018 significantly differs from the percent change between the 2018 to 2020 period.
    ${ }^{11}$ The percentage of Asian alone women who were childless in 2016 or 2020 does not significantly differ from the percentage of women belonging to All Other races and race combinations who were childless in those years. The percent change in childlessness between 2016 and 2018 for Asian alone women is not significantly different than the percent change between 2016 and 2018 for women belonging to All Other races and race combinations, nor is the percent change between 2018 and 2020. The percent change in childlessness between 2016 and 2018 does not significantly differ from the percent change between 2018 and 2020 for women of All Other races and race combinations.

[^4]:    ${ }^{12}$ The percentage of foreign born women who were childless does not significantly differ between 2016, 2018, and 2020, nor does the percent change between 2016 and 2018 significantly differ from the percent change between the 2018 to 2020 period. The percent change in childlessness between 2016 and 2018 for native born women is not significantly different from the change between 2016 and 2018 for foreign born women, nor is the percent change between 2018 and 2020.
    ${ }^{13}$ The percentage of women with any college attainment who were childless in 2018 does not significantly differ from the percentage of women with a bachelor's degree who were childless. The percentage of women who were childless in 2016 is not significantly different from the percentage of women who were childless in 2018 for all education categories. The percentage of women who were childless with a graduate or professional degree does not significantly differ between 2016, 2018, and 2020. The percent changes in childlessness from 2018 to 2020 do not significantly differ from each other for women with any college attainment and women with a bachelor's degree. ${ }^{14}$ The allocation rates for Children Ever Born in 2016 and 2020 were not significantly different.
    ${ }^{15}$ There is no significant difference in the percent change in allocation rates between the periods of 2014-2016 and 2016-2018 for Children Ever Born or Year of First Birth.

[^5]:    ${ }^{16}$ There is no significant difference in the percent change in allocation rates between the periods of 2014-2016 and 2016-2018 for Married at First Birth.
    ${ }^{17}$ There are no significant differences in the percent change in allocation rates between the periods of 2014-2016, 2016-2018, and 2018-2020 for Cohabiting at First Birth. The allocation rate for 2016 is significantly different than the allocation rate for 2020.
    ${ }^{18}$ For historical estimates of childlessness, see "Historical Table 1. Percent Childless and Births Per 1,000 Women in the Last 12 Months: CPS, Selected Years, 1976-2018," available at <www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/fertility/his-cps.html\#par_list>.
    ${ }^{19}$ The percent change in childlessness among all women aged 15-50 between 2014 and 2016 does not significantly differ from the 2016 to 2018 period.
    ${ }^{20}$ The percentage of women aged 20-24 who were childless does not significantly differ between 2014 and 2016, and this change is not significantly different from the change between 2016 and 2018.
    ${ }^{21}$ For women aged 25-29, the percent change in women who were childless between the 2014-2016 and 2018-2020 periods is not significant, and these changes were not significantly different from the changes between those time periods for women aged 20-24 who were childless.

[^6]:    ${ }^{22}$ The percent change in childlessness between 2014 and 2016 among all women aged 15-50 does not significantly differ from the percent change in childlessness among women aged 20-24. The percent change in childlessness between 2016 and 2018 among women aged 15-50 does not significantly differ from the percent change in childlessness among women aged 20-24 and 25-29.
    ${ }^{23}$ The percentage of women with 1 child does not significantly differ between 2016 and 2018, and the change between 2016 and 2018 is not different from the change between 2018 and 2020. The percentage of women with 2 children does not significantly differ between 2016 and 2018, nor between 2016 and 2020, and the change between 2016 and 2018 is not different from the change between 2018 and 2020. The percent changes between 2018 and 2020 for women with 1 child and 2 children are not significantly different from each other. For women with 2 children, the percent change between 2016 and 2018 is not significantly different from childless women or from women with 1 child.
    ${ }^{24}$ Between 2016 and 2018, there were no significant changes in the estimates of women aged 20-24, 25-29, and 3034 at first birth. The percentage changes between 2016 and 2018 are not different for women aged 20-24, 25-29, and 30-34 at first birth, and the percent changes between 2018 and 2020 are not different for women aged 25-29 and 3034 at first birth.

[^7]:    ${ }^{25}$ The percent change for women who were aged 15-19 at first birth does not significantly differ between the periods of 2016-2018 and 2018-2020, and these percent changes do not significantly differ from the percent changes for women who were aged 20-24 at first birth, respectively.
    ${ }^{26}$ The percentage of White alone, non-Hispanic women aged 20-24 at first birth does not significantly differ between 2016 and 2018, nor between 2018 and 2020, nor are the changes between years significantly different. It also does not differ from the percentage of women aged 25-29 at first birth in 2020. The percentage of White alone, non-Hispanic women aged 25-29 at first birth does not significantly differ between 2016, 2018, and 2020, nor are the changes between consecutive years significantly different.
    ${ }^{27}$ There are no significant differences in the percent changes for the age groups of 15-19 and 30-34 at first birth among White alone, non-Hispanic women between the 2016-2018 and 2018-2020 periods. For the age groups of 1519, 20-24, and 25-29 at first birth, the percent changes between the 2016-2018 period were not significantly different from each other, nor were the percent changes between the 2016-2018 period for the 25-29 and 30-34 age groups. For the age groups of 15-19 and 20-24 at first birth and 25-29 and 30-34 at first birth, the changes in percentages between the 2018-2020 period were not significantly different from each other.
    ${ }^{28}$ The percentage of Black alone, non-Hispanic women aged 20-24 and 30-34 at first birth each do not significantly differ between 2016, 2018, and 2020. The percentage of Black alone, non-Hispanic women aged 25-29 does not significantly differ between 2016 and 2018. The percentage of Black alone, non-Hispanic women aged 15-19 at first birth does not significantly differ from the percentage of women aged 25-29 at first birth in 2020. Among all these age at first birth groups, the percent changes between 2016-2018 were each not significantly different from each other, nor were the percent changes between 2018-2020, except between age groups 15-19 and 25-29 at first birth and age groups 15-19 and 30-34 at first birth.

[^8]:    ${ }^{29}$ Refer to footnote \#5.
    ${ }^{30}$ Refer to footnote \#19.
    ${ }^{31}$ The percent change in White alone women who were childless between 2018 and 2020 does not significantly differ from the percent change in Black alone women who were childless between 2018 and 2020.

[^9]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, June 2016, 2018, and 2020.

[^10]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, June 2016, 2018, and 2020.
    $\mathrm{X}=$ Not applicable due to bottom-coding.
    $-=$ Not applicable.

[^11]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, June 2016, 2018, and 2020
    $\mathrm{X}=$ Not applicable due to bottom-coding.

[^12]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, June 2016, 2018, and 2020.

