

May 23, 2002

List/Enumerate

FINAL REPORT

This evaluation study reports the results of research and analysis undertaken by the U.S. Census Bureau. It is part of a broad program, the Census 2000 Testing, Experimentation, and Evaluation (TXE) Program, designed to assess Census 2000 and to inform 2010 Census planning. Findings from the Census 2000 TXE Program reports are integrated into topic reports that provide context and background for broader interpretation of results.

Kevin J. Zajac

Decennial Statistical
Studies Division

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	iv
1. BACKGROUND	1
2. METHODOLOGY	2
3. LIMITATIONS	3
4. RESULTS	4
5. CONCLUSIONS	12
References	13
Appendix A: Addresses Added During List/Enumerate	14
Appendix B: List/Enumerate Addresses Eligible to be in the Census	19
Appendix C: List/Enumerate Addresses Included in the Census	26
Appendix D: March 2001 MAF Extract Variables	33

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. List/Enumerate Addresses by Action Code	4
Table 2. List/Enumerate Addresses with No Action Code by Original Source	5
Table 3. List/Enumerate Addresses by State	6
Table 4. List/Enumerate Addresses by Address Type	7
Table 5. List/Enumerate Addresses by Map Spot Status	8
Table 6. List/Enumerate Addresses by Type of Structure	8
Table 7. List/Enumerate Addresses Matching to the Delivery Sequence File	9
Table 8. List/Enumerate Block and Address Counts by Percent of Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses per Block	10
Table 9. List/Enumerate Addresses per Block by Block Size	11
Table 10. Field Cost and Percentage of Field Cost by Expenditure Category	12
Appendix A: Addresses Added During List/Enumerate	
Table A-1. Addresses Added During List/Enumerate by Map Spot Status, by State	14
Table A-2. Addresses Added During List/Enumerate by Type of Structure, by State	15
Table A-3. Addresses Added During List/Enumerate that Match to the Delivery Sequence File by State	16
Table A-4. Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses by Type of Structure, by State ..	17
Table A-5. List/Enumerate Block and Address Counts by Percent of Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses per Block by State	18
Appendix B: List/Enumerate Addresses Eligible to be in the Census	
Table B-1. List/Enumerate Addresses Eligible to be in the Census by State	19
Table B-2. List/Enumerate Addresses Eligible to be in the Census by Address Type	20
Table B-3. List/Enumerate Addresses Eligible to be in the Census by Map Spot Status, by State	21
Table B-4. List/Enumerate Addresses Eligible to be in the Census by Type of Structure, by State	22
Table B-5. List/Enumerate Addresses Eligible to be in the Census that Match to the Delivery Sequence File by State	23

Table B-6. Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses by Type of Structure, by State . . .	24
Table B-7. List/Enumerate Block and Address Counts by Percent of Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses per Block by State	25

Appendix C: List/Enumerate Addresses Eligible to be in the Census

Table C-1. List/Enumerate Addresses Included in the Census by State	26
Table C-2. List/Enumerate Addresses Included in the Census by Address Type	27
Table C-3. List/Enumerate Addresses Included in the Census by Map Spot Status, by State	28
Table C-4. List/Enumerate Addresses Included in the Census by Type of Structure, by State	29
Table C-5. List/Enumerate Addresses Included in the Census that Match to the Delivery Sequence File by State	30
Table C-6. Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses by Type of Structure, by State . . .	31
Table C-7. List/Enumerate Block and Address Counts by Percent of Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses per Block by State	32

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

List/Enumerate is an all-in-one operation used in sparsely populated areas of the country for Census 2000. During this operation, census enumerators are assigned areas to canvass and are given census maps for these areas. The enumerators are responsible for listing addresses within their area on blank address register pages, locating the addresses on census maps (map spotting), and conducting an interview to collect census information for each address. The operation, which included reinterview and field followup components, was carried out from mid-March 2000 to the beginning of July 2000.

This evaluation examines the characteristics of addresses added to the Master Address File by the List/Enumerate operation for Census 2000. Some of the more notable findings follow.

How many addresses were provided by the List/Enumerate operation and at what cost?

List/Enumerate was responsible for adding 392,368 addresses nationwide to the Master Address File. Of these 392,368 addresses, 391,276 met the eligibility criteria to be in the census. This is about 99.7 percent of all added List/Enumerate addresses. Of the 391,276 addresses eligible to be in the census, 389,749 addresses were actually included in the final census count. This represents 99.6 percent of the eligible List/Enumerate addresses and 99.3 percent of all added List/Enumerate addresses.

The List/Enumerate operation cost a total of \$19,704,944. Although the main focus of this report is on the listing part of List/Enumerate, this total cost incorporates enumeration costs along with the listing costs. As well, headquarters and Local Census Office infrastructure costs are not included in the cost. To find the average cost per address (listed and enumerated), we divide the total field cost (\$19,704,944) of the List/Enumerate operation by the number of addresses added during List/Enumerate (392,368). This amounts to roughly \$50.22 per address.

How many census blocks could we have potentially converted to a different type of enumeration methodology?

A total of 47,927 blocks had at least one List/Enumerate address. Of these 47,927 blocks, only 2,231 blocks (4.7 percent) had all of their addresses recognized by the United States Postal Service. This indicates that these 2,231 blocks could have possibly been converted to the Mailout/Mailback enumeration methodology. These blocks contain 5,504 of the 392,368 addresses (1.4 percent) added during List/Enumerate.

Was the List/Enumerate operation a success?

List/Enumerate appears to be successful for the following reasons:

- Coverage: A total of 392,368 addresses were added from the operation.
- Future Locatability of Addresses: We found that 197,525 of the 392,368 (50.3 percent) were complete city-style type addresses. Of the 160,232 addresses that were not complete city-style or not complete rural route, 85.2 percent had location description information. In addition, 98.7 percent of all added List/Enumerate addresses had a valid map spot.
- Quality of Addresses: About 99.3 percent of the 392,368 addresses made it into the census.
- Targeting of Areas: Only 2,231 of the 214,785 blocks (1.0 percent) in List/Enumerate had all of their addresses recognized by the United States Postal Service. These 2,231 blocks represent just 5,504 of the 392,368 addresses (1.4 percent) added during the operation.

1. BACKGROUND

1.1 1990 Census

In 1990, about a week before Census Day, the United States Postal Service (USPS) letter carriers delivered Advance Census Reports (ACRs) to all known residential addresses in sparsely populated rural areas. A member of the household was asked to complete the questionnaire and hold it for pick-up by an enumerator. Beginning the day before Census Day, enumerators canvassed their assignment area, listed the address of each housing unit and updated the census map to indicate the physical location of each unit. The enumerator entered a map spot number on the map and on the corresponding line on the address register page. The enumerator picked up the respondent completed questionnaire or completed a questionnaire for every housing unit in the address register area when the respondent did not have a completed form. The lines on the address register pages were preprinted to indicate whether a household was to receive a long form or a short form. For long form households who received a short form, the enumerator collected the respondent completed short form, transferred the information to the long form, and conducted an interview to obtain remaining long form information. The 1990 List/Enumerate (L/E) workload was 5.7 million housing units (U.S. Census Bureau, 1993).

1.2 Census 2000

In 2000, the L/E workload was expected to be approximately 500,000 housing units. The ACRs were eliminated because L/E areas had been delineated at the block level for Census 2000. In addition, carrier routes do not necessarily fall into entire zip codes so it was not possible to tell the USPS where to deliver the ACRs. Therefore, for Census 2000, the USPS was not used. Enumerators were responsible for visiting all housing units in their assignment areas and conducting an interview using enumerator questionnaires.

List/Enumerate areas were in portions of 20 states. These states were Alaska, Arizona, California, Hawaii, Idaho, Maine, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, and Wyoming. These states are mainly in the West and Northeast regions of the country.

2. METHODOLOGY

The March 2001 Master Address File (MAF) extracts are used for the analysis done in this evaluation (see Appendix D for a complete list of variables used). These extracts are address files created by the Geography Division. The files contain housing unit and group quarters addresses as well as several characteristics about these addresses. For this evaluation, we focus only on housing units. Therefore, we excluded all group quarters addresses prior to the analysis phase.

We used specific variables from the March 2001 MAF extracts to determine the L/E universe:

- We started by taking only records where the Type of Enumeration Area (TEA) variable was equal to List/Enumerate (TEA=3).
- Next, we restricted the universe to housing units by allowing the Group Quarters/Housing Unit Flag to be only a housing unit or a housing unit embedded in a group quarter (GQHUFLAG=0 or 3).
- Lastly, we eliminated addresses that were equivalent to other addresses on the file by taking records with no Surviving MAF ID (ORIGST||ORIGCO||MAFID||COUCHG=blank).

Evaluations of the MAF-building operations required identification of the source of every address on the MAF, which did not exist on the MAF. An original source variable was defined and created by Planning, Research and Evaluation Division (PRED) and Decennial Statistical Studies Division (DSSD). This variable identifies the first operation or file to add the address to the MAF, with the following three qualifications:

- If one operation added an address, but it was found by a later operation to exist in a different TEA, the first operation does not receive credit for adding this address.
- Not every address in the MAF has sufficient operation information to indicate how the address was added to the MAF.
- In cases where one MAF-building operation overlapped with one or more other MAF-building operations, if the address was added independently in each operation, we give credit to each operation. An example of this is LUCA 1998 and Block Canvassing.

Therefore, the original source variable identifies the first operation or operations to add the address to the TEA in which it exists for the Census, provided there is sufficient information to identify a TEA and an operation. For additional information on how the original source variable was defined, see U.S. Census Bureau, 2001a.

A portion of this evaluation looks at addresses by type of address information. We classify addresses into five categories based on the highest criteria met. The categories are: complete city-style, complete rural route, complete post office box, incomplete address and no address information.

- The complete city-style category includes all units that had complete city-style addresses, which consists of a house number and street name.
- The complete rural route category includes units that did not have a complete city-style address but did have a complete rural route address, such as Rural Route 2, Box 3.
- The complete post office box category includes units that did not have a complete city-style or complete rural route address but did have a complete post office box address, such as P.O. Box 5.
- The incomplete category includes units that had some address information but did not have a complete address of any type.
- The no address information category includes units that are missing house number, street name, Rural Route, and Post Office box information.

Addresses are further delineated by whether or not the address had a physical/location description provided during a census field operation. For additional information on how this variable was defined, see U.S. Census Bureau, 2001d.

Cost data appearing in this evaluation is taken from the Financial Management Report for L/E. The report breaks down the total money expended on each “object class”. Each object class can be thought of as an expenditure category. Examples of these object classes include salaries, civilian personnel benefits, mileage allowance, per diem allowance, telecommunications services, and other travel. The Financial Management Report data used for the cost analysis is supplied by the Decennial Management Division.

Quality assurance procedures were applied to the design, implementation, analysis, and preparation of this evaluation report. A description of the procedures used is provided in the “Census 2000 Evaluation Program Quality Assurance Process”.

3. LIMITATIONS

The following limitations to the evaluation should be noted:

- The ‘Number of Units at this Basic Street Address’ variable is overstated. It is based on addresses that are eligible to be in the census instead of on addresses included in the census. This variable is used to determine whether an address belonged to a single or multi-unit structure. Also, only city style addresses were matched to created multi-unit addresses. All non-city style addresses are treated as single unit addresses.
- The type of enumeration areas, enumeration methodologies, and analysis variables for Census 2000 may differ from previous censuses. Caution should be taken when comparing results across censuses. An example of an analysis variable that has changed from 1990 is size of structure--the closest approximation being size of basic street address in Census 2000. In the 1990 census, we had a census question asking the respondent the size of structure. In Census 2000, we defined the size of basic street address based on an address-level algorithm.

- In this evaluation, we look at address information in the following categories: complete city-style, complete rural route, complete post office box, incomplete, or no address information. Because of the way the address information is stored on the MAF, we are unable to distinguish between addresses that are used for mailing and those that are used for locating addresses in field operations.
- While the main focus of this report is on the listing part of L/E, the cost data from the Financial Management Report for L/E incorporates enumeration costs along with the listing costs. Additionally, headquarters and Local Census Office infrastructure costs are not included in the cost data.

4. RESULTS

4.1 How many addresses were provided by the List/Enumerate operation?

Table 1 shows the number of L/E addresses by action code. An action code is used to show the transaction that took place on an address by an enumerator in the field. Since L/E is an operation that has no address list in place prior to the operation, all L/E addresses should have an ‘Add’ action code. However, some L/E addresses on the file have no action code (blank). These addresses with no action code were found to have been provided by a source other than L/E, then later moved into an L/E area for tabulation purposes. (See Table 2)

There were a total of 431,076 addresses nationwide in L/E areas on the MAF. The L/E operation added 392,368 of these addresses during Census 2000. Of these added addresses, 391,276 were eligible to be in the census. This is about 99.7 percent of all added L/E addresses with an ‘Add’ action code. Of the 391,276 addresses eligible to be in the census, 389,749 addresses were actually included in the final census count. This represents 99.6 percent of the eligible L/E addresses and 99.3 percent of all added L/E addresses.

Table 1. List/Enumerate Addresses by Action Code

Action Code	All L/E Addresses	L/E Addresses Eligible to be in the Census	L/E Addresses Included in the Census
TOTAL	431,076	395,264	392,235
Add	392,368	391,276	389,749
Blank	38,708	3,988	2,486

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table 2 shows L/E addresses with no action code by original source. These addresses with no action code were initially identified on a file or in a non-L/E operation, then later moved into an L/E area. Since these addresses came from a source other than L/E - the majority of addresses

are from the 1990 Address Control File and the USPS' Delivery Sequence Files (DSF) - there could be potential duplication with addresses added by L/E. For this reason, these addresses with no action code are excluded in any remaining analysis.

Table 2. List/Enumerate Addresses with No Action Code by Original Source

Original Source	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	38,708	100.0
1990 ACF	13,641	35.2
LUCA 1998	78	0.2
Block Canvassing	311	0.8
Delivery Sequence File 1	11,874	30.7
Delivery Sequence File 2	2,979	7.7
Delivery Sequence File 3	4,189	10.8
Delivery Sequence File 4	982	2.5
Delivery Sequence File 5	620	1.6
LUCA 98 and DSF 2	1	<0.1
Block Canvassing and LUCA	1	<0.1
Address Listing	178	0.5
Special Place/Group Quarters	209	0.5
Coverage Improvement Follow-up	1	<0.1
Be Counted	763	2.0
Telephone Questionnaire Assistance (TQA)	453	1.2
Be Counted and TQA	1	<0.1
Unknown - TEA 3	2,427	6.3

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

In the remaining discussion in the results section, we will use the number of added L/E addresses (392,368) appearing in Table 1 as our base. The totals for the addresses eligible to be in the census and the addresses included in the census were also analyzed. The analysis for these universes appear in Appendix B and Appendix C, respectively, with no discussion.

4.2 From what states did List/Enumerate collect addresses?

Table 3 shows L/E addresses by state. The addresses added during L/E came from portions of 20 states. Maine and New York added the most addresses during L/E. These two states accounted for 19.2 percent and 14.3 percent, respectively, of the 392,368 addresses. New Hampshire and Vermont each added about 11 percent of all L/E addresses, Wyoming added about 9.7 percent, and California had around 9.0 percent of the addresses.

Table 3. List/Enumerate Addresses by State

State	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	392,368	100.0
Alaska	3,568	0.9
Arizona	25,196	6.4
California	35,127	9.0
Hawaii	208	0.1
Idaho	3,423	0.9
Maine	75,319	19.2
Montana	8,761	2.2
Nebraska	4,027	1.0
Nevada	17,857	4.6
New Hampshire	44,458	11.3
New Mexico	6,403	1.6
New York	55,969	14.3
North Dakota	3,910	1.0
Oregon	1,776	0.5
South Dakota	4,059	1.0
Texas	15,110	3.9
Utah	7,494	1.9
Vermont	41,599	10.6
Washington	86	<0.1
Wyoming	38,018	9.7

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

4.3 What is the profile of the addresses added during List/Enumerate?

Table 4 shows L/E addresses by address type. For a discussion on how address type is defined, see the Methodology section. About 50.3 percent of the addresses added during L/E were complete city-style type addresses. The complete rural route address category and complete post office box address category each represented around 9 percent of all L/E addresses. In both of these categories, the majority of addresses had an associated location description. There were 28.2 percent of L/E addresses with no address information. Of these addresses, a large majority had a location description.

Of the addresses that did not have a complete city-style or complete rural route address (complete post office box, incomplete, and no address information), about 85.2 percent had a location description.

Table 4. List/Enumerate Addresses by Address Type

Address Type	# of Addresses	% of Total
TOTAL	392,368	100.0
with location description	202,180	51.5
without location description	190,188	48.5
Complete City-Style Address	197,525	50.3
with location description	32,827	8.4
without location description	164,698	42.0
Complete Rural Route Address	34,611	8.8
with location description	32,787	8.4
without location description	1,824	0.5
Complete Post Office Box Address	37,227	9.5
with location description	33,602	8.6
without location description	3,625	0.9
Incomplete Address (any of the 3)	12,433	3.2
with location description	6,026	1.5
without location description	6,407	1.6
No Address Information	110,572	28.2
with location description	96,938	24.7
without location description	13,634	3.5

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table 5 breaks down L/E addresses by whether the address contains a map spot. For map spotting, an enumerator marks the location of a residential structure on a census map corresponding to the physical location of the unit on the ground. The purpose of a map spot is to help locate the address in the future. If a map spot is present on the map and corresponds to a line in the address register, it is considered to be valid.

Of the 392,368 addresses added during L/E, 387,424 addresses had a valid map spot. This represents 98.7 percent of all L/E addresses. Appendix A, Table A-1 contains a breakdown of the L/E addresses by whether the address contains a map spot at the state level.

Table 5. List/Enumerate Addresses by Map Spot Status

Map Spot Status	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	392,368	100.0
Valid Map Spot Exists	387,424	98.7
No Valid Map Spot Exists	4,944	1.3

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table 6 shows L/E addresses by type of structure (single versus multi-unit). An address can either be classified as a single unit structure or it can be part of a multi-unit structure, such as an apartment. About 91.8 percent of the 392,368 L/E addresses are single unit structures. This represents 360,381 of all added L/E addresses. The remaining 31,987 addresses (8.2 percent) are part of a multi-unit structure. Of these 31,987 addresses, almost 58 percent were included in structures that with two to four units. Appendix A, Table A-2 contains a breakdown of the L/E addresses by whether the address is contained in a single or multi-unit structure at the state level.

Table 6. List/Enumerate Addresses by Type of Structure

Type of Structure	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	392,368	100.0
Single	360,381	91.8
Multi-Unit	31,987	8.2
2 to 4 units	18,434	4.7
5 to 9 units	3,976	1.0
10 to 19 units	2,204	0.6
20 to 49 units	3,148	0.8
50+ units	4,225	1.1

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

4.4 Did the List/Enumerate operation target the correct areas?

Table 7 displays addresses added during L/E by DSF status. The DSF is a list of addresses maintained by the USPS. It contains both residential and commercial addresses that receive mail delivery.

We find that 70,751 addresses added during L/E matched to an address on the DSF. These are addresses that could have potentially been delivered a census questionnaire by the USPS. These DSF matched addresses represent about 18.0 percent of the 392,368 total L/E addresses. All 70,751 addresses were complete city-style type addresses. Appendix A, Table A-3 contains a breakdown of the L/E addresses by whether or not the address matches to the DSF at the state level.

Table 7. List/Enumerate Addresses Matching to the Delivery Sequence File

DSF Status	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	392,368	100.0
Matches	70,751	18.0
Does Not Match	321,617	82.0

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table 8 shows L/E block and address counts by percent of DSF matched addresses per block. In this table, the first column represents the percent of addresses in a block that are on the DSF. The second column shows the number of blocks that fall into each of these percentage groupings. The third and fourth columns show the number and percent of DSF matched addresses for each percentage grouping.

Out of 214,785 L/E blocks, there are 47,927 blocks that had at least one L/E address. Of these 47,927 blocks with addresses, only 2,231 L/E blocks (4.7 percent) had all of their addresses recognized by the USPS. These blocks account for 5,504 addresses, which is 7.8 percent of all DSF matched addresses and only about 1.4 percent of the 392,368 added L/E addresses. This means that we could have potentially enumerated these 5,504 addresses with another enumeration method, specifically Mailout/Mailback.

Appendix A, Table A-5 contains a breakdown of L/E block and address counts by percent of DSF matched addresses per block at the state level. For a breakdown of DSF matched addresses by type of structure (single versus multi-unit) at the state level, see Table A-4 in Appendix A.

Table 8. List/Enumerate Block and Address Counts by Percent of Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses per Block

% of DSF Matched Addresses Per Block	# of Blocks	# of DSF Matched Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	47,927	70,751	100.0
0-29%	40,298	6,585	9.3
30-59%	2,926	18,147	25.7
60-89%	2,242	30,679	43.4
90-94%	178	6,973	9.9
95-99%	52	2,863	4.1
100%	2,231	5,504	7.8

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table 9 displays L/E addresses per block by block size. The block size, which is the number of L/E addresses per block, ranges from a low of zero to a high of 1,167. Of the 214,785 blocks in L/E, a huge majority have very few L/E addresses. Blocks with no L/E addresses accounted for 166,858 of the 214,785 blocks (77.7 percent). About 90 percent of all blocks in L/E had no more than three addresses. For these 214,785 L/E blocks, the mean block size is about 1.8 and the median is zero.

If we only consider blocks with at least one L/E address per block, the total number of blocks is 47,927. The mean block size changes to 8.2 and the median is three. For these 47,927 blocks, the block size mode is one. Blocks with only one L/E address account for about 30.9 percent of these blocks.

Table 9. List/Enumerate Addresses per Block by Block Size

Block Size (# of L/E Addresses Per block)	Addresses		Blocks		Blocks with L/E Addresses	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
TOTAL	392,368	100.0	214,785	100.0	47,927	100.0
0	0	0.0	166,858	77.7	n/a	n/a
1	14,813	3.8	14,813	6.9	14,813	30.9
2	14,420	3.7	7,210	3.4	7,210	15.0
3	13,440	3.4	4,480	2.1	4,480	9.3
4 to 9	67,339	17.2	11,471	5.3	11,471	23.9
10 to 24	99,933	25.5	6,660	3.1	6,660	13.9
25 to 49	75,195	19.2	2,225	1.0	2,225	4.6
50 to 99	52,100	13.3	778	0.4	778	1.6
100+	55,128	14.1	290	0.1	290	0.6

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

4.5 What was the cost of the List/Enumerate operation?

Table 10 shows field cost and percentage of field cost by expenditure category. Although the main focus of this report is on the listing part of L/E, the cost data incorporates enumeration costs along with the listing costs. As well, headquarters and Local Census Office infrastructure costs are not included in these costs.

The L/E operation cost a total of \$19,704,944. This amount includes field employee salaries as well as civilian personnel benefits, mileage allowance, per diem allowance, other travel, and telecommunications services. Salaries accounted for \$14,348,126 (72.8 percent) of the total field cost. Mileage accounted for the second largest piece of the total field cost at 19.1 percent. Civilian personnel benefits, which includes such things as health insurance, represented about 5.9 percent of the \$19.7 million total cost. To find the average cost per address (listed and enumerated), we divide the total field cost (\$19,704,944) of the L/E operation by the number of addresses added during L/E (392,368). This amounts to roughly \$50.22 per address.

*Note: There were several sources that could have provided cost data for the L/E operation. Pre-Appointment Management Systems/Automated Decennial Administrative Management System (PAMS/ADAMS) showed a total field cost of about \$18.1 million for L/E. This total included a salaries category, which included regular, training, overtime, and night differential,

and a reimbursable category, which consisted of mileage, telephone, and other costs. The main difference between the two sources appears to be the cost of civilian personnel benefits which show up on the Financial Management Report for L/E. However, the cost of benefits does not make up the entire difference in total costs between PAMS/ADAMS and the Financial Management Report.

Table 10. Field Cost and Percentage of Field Cost by Expenditure Category

Expenditure Category	Field Cost	% of Field Cost
TOTAL	\$19,704,944	108.6
Salaries	\$14,348,126	72.8
Civilian Personnel Benefits	\$1,153,044	5.9
Mileage Allowance	\$3,770,476	19.1
Per Diem Allowance	\$337,545	1.7
Other Travel	\$36,565	0.2
Telecommunications Services	\$59,187	0.3

Source: Financial Management Report for L/E

5. CONCLUSIONS

The L/E operation added 392,368 addresses to the Master Address File. Of these, 391,276 addresses (99.7 percent) were eligible to be in the census, and 389,749 addresses (99.3 percent) were included in the census. The L/E operation was able to collect information that suggests we will be able to locate a majority of these addresses in the future. Looking at the address type breakdown, the complete city-style address category accounted for 197,525 of the 392,368 addresses added during L/E. This represents 50.3 percent of all added addresses. Additionally, the complete rural route address category accounted for 34,611 of all L/E addresses (8.8 percent). Of the remaining 160,232 addresses that were not complete city-style addresses or complete rural route addresses, 136,566 of these (85.2 percent) had some type of location description. Map spots will also help us with future locatability and we found that 98.7 percent of all added L/E addresses had a valid map spot. The L/E operation also appeared to be well-targeted. Of the 214,785 total L/E blocks, only 2,231 (1.0 percent) had all addresses within those blocks recognized by the USPS. These 2,231 blocks contained a total of 5,504 of the 392,368 addresses (1.4 percent) added during L/E.

The L/E operation was done at a cost of \$19,704,944. When the cost (\$19,704,944) is divided by the number of addresses added during L/E (392,368), the average cost per address amounts to about \$50.22.

References

U.S. Census Bureau, 1993, *Counts by TEA and Source*, Decennial Management Division, August 5, 1993.

U.S. Census Bureau, 2001a, *Determining Original Source for the November 2000 Master Address File for Evaluation Purposes*, PRED TXE/2010 Memorandum Series: MAF-EXT-S-01, March 5, 2001.

U.S. Census Bureau, 2001b, "Collection 2000 State Tally Blocks by TEA," *Geography Intranet at the U.S. Census Bureau*, March 16, 2000, <http://www.geo.census.gov/gasb/total_colblktea.html>.

U.S. Census Bureau, 2001c, "MAF Extract Layout," *Geography Intranet at the U.S. Census Bureau*, April 18, 2001, <<http://www.geo.census.gov/tsb/mafextract/MAFXlayout.html>>.

U.S. Census Bureau, 2001d, *Determining Address Classification for Master Address File (MAF) Evaluation Purposes*, PRED TXE/2010 Memorandum Series: MAF-EXT-D-01, Bureau of the Census, September 26, 2001.

U.S. Census Bureau, 2001e, *Program Master Plan: Census 2000 List/Enumerate and Program Master Plan*, Census 2000 Informational Memorandum No. 46, March 2, 2000.

Appendix A: Addresses Added During List/Enumerate

Table A-1. Addresses Added During List/Enumerate by Map Spot Status, by State

State	Total	Valid Map Spot Exists		No Valid Map Spot Exists	
		# of Addresses	% of Addresses	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	392,368	387,424	98.7	4,944	1.3
Alaska	3,568	3,564	99.9	4	0.1
Arizona	25,196	24,249	96.2	947	3.8
California	35,127	34,531	98.3	596	1.7
Hawaii	208	144	69.2	64	30.8
Idaho	3,423	3,319	97.0	104	3.0
Maine	75,319	75,022	99.6	297	0.4
Montana	8,761	8,672	99.0	89	1.0
Nebraska	4,027	4,007	99.5	20	0.5
Nevada	17,857	17,394	97.4	463	2.6
New Hampshire	44,458	44,182	99.4	276	0.6
New Mexico	6,403	6,193	96.7	210	3.3
New York	55,969	55,632	99.4	337	0.6
North Dakota	3,910	3,878	99.2	32	0.8
Oregon	1,776	1,740	98.0	36	2.0
South Dakota	4,059	4,011	98.8	48	1.2
Texas	15,110	14,721	97.4	389	2.6
Utah	7,494	7,377	98.4	117	1.6
Vermont	41,599	41,265	99.2	334	0.8
Washington	86	86	100.0	0	0.0
Wyoming	38,018	37,437	98.5	581	1.5

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table A-2. Addresses Added During List/Enumerate by Type of Structure, by State

State	Total	Single Unit Structure		Multi-Unit Structure	
		# of Addresses	% of Addresses	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	392,368	360,381	91.8	31,987	8.2
Alaska	3,568	3,456	96.9	112	3.1
Arizona	25,196	23,355	92.7	1,841	7.3
California	35,127	29,429	83.8	5,698	16.2
Hawaii	208	206	99.0	2	1.0
Idaho	3,423	3,315	96.8	108	3.2
Maine	75,319	72,826	96.7	2,493	3.3
Montana	8,761	8,388	95.7	373	4.3
Nebraska	4,027	3,871	96.1	156	3.9
Nevada	17,857	15,679	87.8	2,178	12.2
New Hampshire	44,458	40,039	90.1	4,419	9.9
New Mexico	6,403	6,153	96.1	250	3.9
New York	55,969	51,586	92.2	4,383	7.8
North Dakota	3,910	3,771	96.4	139	3.6
Oregon	1,776	1,701	95.8	75	4.2
South Dakota	4,059	3,772	92.9	287	7.1
Texas	15,110	14,618	96.7	492	3.3
Utah	7,494	6,468	86.3	1,026	13.7
Vermont	41,599	38,686	93.0	2,913	7.0
Washington	86	85	98.8	1	1.2
Wyoming	38,018	32,977	86.7	5,041	13.3

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table A-3. Addresses Added During List/Enumerate that Match to the Delivery Sequence File by State

State	Total	Matches to DSF		Does Not Match to DSF	
		# of Addresses	% of Addresses	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	392,368	70,751	18.0	321,617	82.0
Alaska	3,568	3	0.1	3,565	99.9
Arizona	25,196	1,673	6.6	23,523	93.4
California	35,127	13,445	38.3	21,682	61.7
Hawaii	208	0	0.0	208	100.0
Idaho	3,423	55	1.6	3,368	98.4
Maine	75,319	8,147	10.8	67,172	89.2
Montana	8,761	673	7.7	8,088	92.3
Nebraska	4,027	293	7.3	3,734	92.7
Nevada	17,857	4,578	25.6	13,279	74.4
New Hampshire	44,458	8,162	18.4	36,296	81.6
New Mexico	6,403	202	3.2	6,201	96.8
New York	55,969	7,512	13.4	48,457	86.6
North Dakota	3,910	680	17.4	3,230	82.6
Oregon	1,776	51	2.9	1,725	97.1
South Dakota	4,059	421	10.4	3,638	89.6
Texas	15,110	467	3.1	14,643	96.9
Utah	7,494	1,380	18.4	6,114	81.6
Vermont	41,599	11,182	26.9	30,417	73.1
Washington	86	2	2.3	84	97.7
Wyoming	38,018	11,825	31.1	26,193	68.9

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table A-4. Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses by Type of Structure, by State

State	Total	Single Unit Structure		Multi-Unit Structure	
		# of Addresses	% of Addresses	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	70,751	59,189	83.7	11,562	16.3
Alaska	3	3	100.0	0	0.0
Arizona	1,673	1,575	94.1	98	5.9
California	13,445	10,488	78.0	2,957	22.0
Idaho	55	43	78.2	12	21.8
Maine	8,147	6,935	85.1	1,212	14.9
Montana	673	606	90.0	67	10.0
Nebraska	293	273	93.2	20	6.8
Nevada	4,578	3,765	82.2	813	17.8
New Hampshire	8,162	6,942	85.1	1,220	14.9
New Mexico	202	173	85.6	29	14.4
New York	7,512	5,310	70.7	2,202	29.3
North Dakota	680	653	96.0	27	4.0
Oregon	51	47	92.2	4	7.8
South Dakota	421	310	73.6	111	26.4
Texas	467	428	91.6	39	8.4
Utah	1,380	949	68.8	431	31.2
Vermont	11,182	10,595	94.8	587	5.3
Washington	2	2	100.0	0	0.0
Wyoming	11,825	10,092	85.3	1,733	14.7

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table A-5. List/Enumerate Block and Address Counts by Percent of Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses per Block by State

State	Percent of Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses													
	Total		0-29%		30-59%		60-89%		90-94%		95-99%		100%	
	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses
TOTAL	47,927	70,751	40,298	6,585	2,926	18,147	2,242	30,679	178	6,973	52	2,863	2,231	5,504
Alaska	302	3	302	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arizona	2,836	1,673	2,756	236	49	557	17	851	0	0	0	0	14	29
California	2,343	13,445	1,712	612	236	3,137	247	5,821	22	2,108	13	1,361	113	406
Hawaii	41	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Idaho	751	55	737	1	11	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Maine	6,690	8,147	5,894	762	293	2,417	303	3,457	36	703	6	180	158	628
Montana	2,587	673	2,430	43	52	141	41	377	0	0	0	0	64	112
Nebraska	1,033	293	941	32	49	129	18	70	1	32	0	0	24	30
Nevada	2,462	4,578	2,379	227	18	313	33	1,864	8	1,367	3	341	21	466
New Hampshire	2,889	8,162	2,142	1,262	322	2,471	268	3,405	25	460	5	145	127	419
New Mexico	2,093	202	2,015	30	27	70	9	29	0	0	1	22	41	51
New York	4,802	7,512	4,245	655	186	1,666	253	4,064	31	724	5	137	82	266
North Dakota	1,593	680	1,184	44	117	177	44	147	0	0	0	0	248	312
Oregon	549	51	541	8	4	32	1	5	0	0	0	0	3	6
South Dakota	873	421	804	52	35	155	15	187	0	0	0	0	19	27
Texas	3,092	467	3,027	95	23	109	28	201	2	25	0	0	12	37
Utah	1,139	1,380	1,087	149	16	137	14	795	4	262	0	0	18	37
Vermont	4,146	11,182	2,458	1,931	823	4,543	470	3,742	8	118	4	116	383	732
Washington	15	2	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	7,691	11,825	5,588	441	665	2,042	481	5,664	41	1,174	15	561	901	1,943

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Appendix B: List/Enumerate Addresses Eligible to be in the Census

Table B-1. List/Enumerate Addresses Eligible to be in the Census by State

State	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	391,276	100.0
Alaska	3,561	0.9
Arizona	25,162	6.4
California	35,039	9.0
Hawaii	208	0.1
Idaho	3,421	0.9
Maine	75,177	19.2
Montana	8,755	2.2
Nebraska	4,012	1.0
Nevada	17,836	4.6
New Hampshire	44,364	11.3
New Mexico	6,366	1.6
New York	55,718	14.2
North Dakota	3,905	1.0
Oregon	1,757	0.4
South Dakota	4,011	1.0
Texas	15,066	3.9
Utah	7,481	1.9
Vermont	41,446	10.6
Washington	86	0.0
Wyoming	37,905	9.7

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table B-2. List/Enumerate Addresses Eligible to be in the Census by Address Type

Address Type	# of Addresses	% of Total
TOTAL	391,276	100.0
with location description	201,897	51.6
without location description	189,379	48.4
Complete City-Style Address	197,341	50.4
with location description	32,827	8.4
without location description	164,514	42.0
Complete Rural Route Address	34,611	8.8
with location description	32,787	8.4
without location description	1,824	0.5
Complete Post Office Box Address	37,227	9.5
with location description	33,602	8.6
without location description	3,625	0.9
Incomplete Address (any of the 3)	12,150	3.1
with location description	6,026	1.5
without location description	6,124	1.6
Nonexistent Address	109,947	28.1
with location description	96,655	24.7
without location description	13,292	3.4

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table B-3. List/Enumerate Addresses Eligible to be in the Census by Map Spot Status, by State

State	Total	Valid Map Spot Exists		No Valid Map Spot Exists	
		# of Addresses	% of Addresses	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	391,276	386,336	98.7	4,940	1.3
Alaska	3,561	3,557	99.9	4	0.1
Arizona	25,162	24,215	96.2	947	3.8
California	35,039	34,443	98.3	596	1.7
Hawaii	208	144	69.2	64	30.8
Idaho	3,421	3,317	97.0	104	3.0
Maine	75,177	74,881	99.6	296	0.4
Montana	8,755	8,666	99.0	89	1.0
Nebraska	4,012	3,992	99.5	20	0.5
Nevada	17,836	17,373	97.4	463	2.6
New Hampshire	44,364	44,088	99.4	276	0.6
New Mexico	6,366	6,156	96.7	210	3.3
New York	55,718	55,382	99.4	336	0.6
North Dakota	3,905	3,873	99.2	32	0.8
Oregon	1,757	1,721	98.0	36	2.0
South Dakota	4,011	3,964	98.8	47	1.2
Texas	15,066	14,677	97.4	389	2.6
Utah	7,481	7,364	98.4	117	1.6
Vermont	41,446	41,112	99.2	334	0.8
Washington	86	86	100.0	0	0.0
Wyoming	37,905	37,325	98.5	580	1.5

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table B-4. List/Enumerate Addresses Eligible to be in the Census by Type of Structure, by State

State	Total	Single Unit Structure		Multi-Unit Structure	
		# of Addresses	% of Addresses	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	391,276	359,296	91.8	31,980	8.2
Alaska	3,561	3,449	96.9	112	3.1
Arizona	25,162	23,321	92.7	1,841	7.3
California	35,039	29,341	83.7	5,698	16.3
Hawaii	208	206	99.0	2	1.0
Idaho	3,421	3,313	96.8	108	3.2
Maine	75,177	72,684	96.7	2,493	3.3
Montana	8,755	8,382	95.7	373	4.3
Nebraska	4,012	3,856	96.1	156	3.9
Nevada	17,836	15,658	87.8	2,178	12.2
New Hampshire	44,364	39,945	90.0	4,419	10.0
New Mexico	6,366	6,116	96.1	250	3.9
New York	55,718	51,338	92.1	4,380	7.9
North Dakota	3,905	3,766	96.4	139	3.6
Oregon	1,757	1,682	95.7	75	4.3
South Dakota	4,011	3,724	92.8	287	7.2
Texas	15,066	14,574	96.7	492	3.3
Utah	7,481	6,458	86.3	1,023	13.7
Vermont	41,446	38,533	93.0	2,913	7.0
Washington	86	85	98.8	1	1.2
Wyoming	37,905	32,865	86.7	5,040	13.3

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table B-5. List/Enumerate Addresses Eligible to be in the Census that Match to the Delivery Sequence File by State

State	Total	Matches to DSF		Does Not Match to DSF	
		# of Addresses	% of Addresses	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	391,276	70,751	18.1	320,525	81.9
Alaska	3,561	3	0.1	3,558	99.9
Arizona	25,162	1,673	6.6	23,489	93.4
California	35,039	13,445	38.4	21,594	61.6
Hawaii	208	0	0.0	208	100.0
Idaho	3,421	55	1.6	3,366	98.4
Maine	75,177	8,147	10.8	67,030	89.2
Montana	8,755	673	7.7	8,082	92.3
Nebraska	4,012	293	7.3	3,719	92.7
Nevada	17,836	4,578	25.7	13,258	74.3
New Hampshire	44,364	8,162	18.4	36,202	81.6
New Mexico	6,366	202	3.2	6,164	96.8
New York	55,718	7,512	13.5	48,206	86.5
North Dakota	3,905	680	17.4	3,225	82.6
Oregon	1,757	51	2.9	1,706	97.1
South Dakota	4,011	421	10.5	3,590	89.5
Texas	15,066	467	3.1	14,599	96.9
Utah	7,481	1,380	18.4	6,101	81.6
Vermont	41,446	11,182	27.0	30,264	73.0
Washington	86	2	2.3	84	97.7
Wyoming	37,905	11,825	31.2	26,080	68.8

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table B-6. Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses by Type of Structure, by State

State	Total	Single Unit Structure		Multi-Unit Structure	
		# of Addresses	% of Addresses	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	70,751	59,189	83.7	11,562	16.3
Alaska	3	3	100.0	0	0.0
Arizona	1,673	1,575	94.1	98	5.9
California	13,445	10,488	78.0	2,957	22.0
Idaho	55	43	78.2	12	21.8
Maine	8,147	6,935	85.1	1,212	14.9
Montana	673	606	90.0	67	10.0
Nebraska	293	273	93.2	20	6.8
Nevada	4,578	3,765	82.2	813	17.8
New Hampshire	8,162	6,942	85.1	1,220	14.9
New Mexico	202	173	85.6	29	14.4
New York	7,512	5,310	70.7	2,202	29.3
North Dakota	680	653	96.0	27	4.0
Oregon	51	47	92.2	4	7.8
South Dakota	421	310	73.6	111	26.4
Texas	467	428	91.6	39	8.4
Utah	1,380	949	68.8	431	31.2
Vermont	11,182	10,595	94.8	587	5.2
Washington	2	2	100.0	0	0.0
Wyoming	11,825	10,092	85.3	1,733	14.7

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table B-7. List/Enumerate Block and Address Counts by Percent of Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses per Block by State

State	Percent of Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses													
	Total		0-29%		30-59%		60-89%		90-94%		95-99%		100%	
	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses
TOTAL	47,917	70,751	40,282	6,569	2,926	18,135	2,238	30,615	180	7,009	53	2,884	2,238	5,539
Alaska	302	3	302	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arizona	2,835	1,673	2,755	236	49	557	17	851	0	0	0	0	14	29
California	2,341	13,445	1,710	612	236	3,137	246	5,805	23	2,124	13	1,361	113	406
Hawaii	41	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Idaho	751	55	737	1	11	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Maine	6,690	8,147	5,894	762	293	2,417	303	3,457	36	703	6	180	158	628
Montana	2,587	673	2,430	43	52	141	41	377	0	0	0	0	64	112
Nebraska	1,033	293	940	31	50	130	18	70	1	32	0	0	24	30
Nevada	2,462	4,578	2,379	227	18	313	33	1,864	8	1,367	3	341	21	466
New Hampshire	2,889	8,162	2,139	1,249	324	2,483	268	3,405	25	460	5	145	128	420
New Mexico	2,092	202	2,014	30	27	70	9	29	0	0	1	22	41	51
New York	4,799	7,512	4,242	655	186	1,666	251	4,036	32	744	5	137	83	274
North Dakota	1,593	680	1,183	43	118	178	44	147	0	0	0	0	248	312
Oregon	548	51	540	8	4	32	1	5	0	0	0	0	3	6
South Dakota	873	421	804	52	35	155	15	187	0	0	0	0	19	27
Texas	3,091	467	3,026	95	23	109	28	201	2	25	0	0	12	37
Utah	1,139	1,380	1,087	149	16	137	14	795	4	262	0	0	18	37
Vermont	4,146	11,182	2,458	1,931	823	4,543	470	3,742	8	118	4	116	383	732
Washington	15	2	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	7,690	11,825	5,586	440	661	2,016	480	5,644	41	1,174	16	582	906	1,969

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Appendix C: List/Enumerate Addresses Included in the Census

Table C-1. List/Enumerate Addresses Included in the Census by State

State	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	389,749	100.0
Alaska	3,558	0.9
Arizona	25,043	6.4
California	34,897	9.0
Hawaii	208	0.1
Idaho	3,411	0.9
Maine	75,032	19.3
Montana	8,717	2.2
Nebraska	3,990	1.0
Nevada	17,697	4.5
New Hampshire	44,281	11.4
New Mexico	6,323	1.6
New York	55,584	14.3
North Dakota	3,899	1.0
Oregon	1,741	0.4
South Dakota	3,994	1.0
Texas	14,961	3.8
Utah	7,437	1.9
Vermont	41,312	10.6
Washington	86	0.0
Wyoming	37,578	9.6

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table C-2. List/Enumerate Addresses Included in the Census by Address Type

Address Type	# of Addresses	% of Total
TOTAL	389,749	100.0
with location description	201,439	51.7
without location description	188,310	48.3
Complete City-Style Address	196,322	50.4
with location description	32,690	8.4
without location description	163,632	42.0
Complete Rural Route Address	34,506	8.9
with location description	32,694	8.4
without location description	1,812	0.5
Complete Post Office Box Address	37,126	9.5
with location description	33,510	8.6
without location description	3,616	0.9
Incomplete Address (any of the 3)	12,104	3.1
with location description	6,020	1.5
without location description	6,084	1.6
Nonexistent Address	109,691	28.1
with location description	96,525	24.8
without location description	13,166	3.4

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table C-3. List/Enumerate Addresses Included in the Census by Map Spot Status, by State

State	Total	Valid Map Spot Exists		No Valid Map Spot Exists	
		# of Addresses	% of Addresses	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	389,749	384,851	98.7	4,898	1.3
Alaska	3,558	3,554	99.9	4	0.1
Arizona	25,043	24,100	96.2	943	3.8
California	34,897	34,309	98.3	588	1.7
Hawaii	208	144	69.2	64	30.8
Idaho	3,411	3,309	97.0	102	3.0
Maine	75,032	74,738	99.6	294	0.4
Montana	8,717	8,628	99.0	89	1.0
Nebraska	3,990	3,971	99.5	19	0.5
Nevada	17,697	17,235	97.4	462	2.6
New Hampshire	44,281	44,006	99.4	275	0.6
New Mexico	6,323	6,116	96.7	207	3.3
New York	55,584	55,248	99.4	336	0.6
North Dakota	3,899	3,867	99.2	32	0.8
Oregon	1,741	1,705	97.9	36	2.1
South Dakota	3,994	3,947	98.8	47	1.2
Texas	14,961	14,574	97.4	387	2.6
Utah	7,437	7,323	98.5	114	1.5
Vermont	41,312	40,980	99.2	332	0.8
Washington	86	86	100.0	0	0.0
Wyoming	37,578	37,011	98.5	567	1.5

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table C-4. List/Enumerate Addresses Included in the Census by Type of Structure, by State

State	Total	Single Unit Structure		Multi-Unit Structure	
		# of Addresses	% of Addresses	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	389,749	358,063	91.9	31,686	8.1
Alaska	3,558	3,446	96.9	112	3.1
Arizona	25,043	23,218	92.7	1,825	7.3
California	34,897	29,227	83.8	5,670	16.2
Hawaii	208	206	99.0	2	1.0
Idaho	3,411	3,303	96.8	108	3.2
Maine	75,032	72,552	96.7	2,480	3.3
Montana	8,717	8,351	95.8	366	4.2
Nebraska	3,990	3,834	96.1	156	3.9
Nevada	17,697	15,541	87.8	2,156	12.2
New Hampshire	44,281	39,872	90.0	4,409	10.0
New Mexico	6,323	6,079	96.1	244	3.9
New York	55,584	51,221	92.2	4,363	7.8
North Dakota	3,899	3,763	96.5	136	3.5
Oregon	1,741	1,669	95.9	72	4.1
South Dakota	3,994	3,709	92.9	285	7.1
Texas	14,961	14,476	96.8	485	3.2
Utah	7,437	6,426	86.4	1,011	13.6
Vermont	41,312	38,426	93.0	2,886	7.0
Washington	86	85	98.8	1	1.2
Wyoming	37,578	32,659	86.9	4,919	13.1

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table C-5. List/Enumerate Addresses Included in the Census that Match to the Delivery Sequence File by State

State	Total	Matches to DSF		Does Not Match to DSF	
		# of Addresses	% of Addresses	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	389,749	70,379	18.1	319,370	81.9
Alaska	3,558	3	0.1	3,555	99.9
Arizona	25,043	1,664	6.6	23,379	93.4
California	34,897	13,400	38.4	21,497	61.6
Hawaii	208	0	0.0	208	100.0
Idaho	3,411	55	1.6	3,356	98.4
Maine	75,032	8,123	10.8	66,909	89.2
Montana	8,717	670	7.7	8,047	92.3
Nebraska	3,990	288	7.2	3,702	92.8
Nevada	17,697	4,545	25.7	13,152	74.3
New Hampshire	44,281	8,149	18.4	36,132	81.6
New Mexico	6,323	200	3.2	6,123	96.8
New York	55,584	7,489	13.5	48,095	86.5
North Dakota	3,899	679	17.4	3,220	82.6
Oregon	1,741	50	2.9	1,691	97.1
South Dakota	3,994	413	10.3	3,581	89.7
Texas	14,961	454	3.0	14,507	97.0
Utah	7,437	1,375	18.5	6,062	81.5
Vermont	41,312	11,127	26.9	30,185	73.1
Washington	86	2	2.3	84	97.7
Wyoming	37,578	11,693	31.1	25,885	68.9

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table C-6. Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses by Type of Structure, by State

State	Total	Single Unit Structure		Multi-Unit Structure	
		# of Addresses	% of Addresses	# of Addresses	% of Addresses
TOTAL	70,379	58,914	83.7	11,465	16.3
Alaska	3	3	100.0	0	0.0
Arizona	1,664	1,567	94.2	97	5.8
California	13,400	10,450	78.0	2,950	22.0
Idaho	55	43	78.2	12	21.8
Maine	8,123	6,913	85.1	1,210	14.9
Montana	670	603	90.0	67	10.0
Nebraska	288	268	93.1	20	6.9
Nevada	4,545	3,735	82.2	810	17.8
New Hampshire	8,149	6,929	85.0	1,220	15.0
New Mexico	200	171	85.5	29	14.5
New York	7,489	5,294	70.7	2,195	29.3
North Dakota	679	652	96.0	27	4.0
Oregon	50	46	92.0	4	8.0
South Dakota	413	302	73.1	111	26.9
Texas	454	415	91.4	39	8.6
Utah	1,375	945	68.7	430	31.3
Vermont	11,127	10,547	94.8	580	5.2
Washington	2	2	100.0	0	0.0
Wyoming	11,693	10,029	85.8	1,664	14.2

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Table C-7. List/Enumerate Block and Address Counts by Percent of Delivery Sequence File-matched Addresses per Block, by State

State	Percent of Delivery Sequence File Matched Addresses													
	Total		0-29%		30-59%		60-89%		90-94%		95-99%		100%	
	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses	# of Blocks	# of Addresses
TOTAL	47,820	70,379	40,207	6,527	2,916	17,969	2,226	30,218	185	7,258	53	2,692	2,233	5,715
Alaska	302	3	302	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arizona	2,829	1,664	2,751	235	47	525	18	876	0	0	0	0	13	28
California	2,337	13,400	1,705	579	238	3,184	245	5,746	25	2,151	12	1,337	112	403
Hawaii	41	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Idaho	749	55	735	1	11	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Maine	6,686	8,123	5,890	754	292	2,399	305	3,485	35	683	6	178	158	624
Montana	2,582	670	2,427	43	52	141	40	318	1	58	0	0	62	110
Nebraska	1,029	288	937	31	50	130	18	70	1	28	0	0	23	29
Nevada	2,459	4,545	2,376	231	17	206	32	1,789	10	1,520	2	127	22	672
New Hampshire	2,884	8,149	2,131	1,238	325	2,481	267	3,379	26	482	5	145	130	424
New Mexico	2,084	200	2,008	32	25	66	9	29	0	0	1	22	41	51
New York	4,791	7,489	4,235	654	186	1,663	251	4,020	31	718	6	161	82	273
North Dakota	1,589	679	1,179	43	118	178	44	147	0	0	0	0	248	311
Oregon	548	50	540	8	4	31	1	5	0	0	0	0	3	6
South Dakota	872	413	803	50	35	177	15	159	0	0	0	0	19	27
Texas	3,086	454	3,020	92	25	113	27	193	2	25	0	0	12	31
Utah	1,133	1,375	1,081	149	16	137	13	786	4	262	0	0	19	41
Vermont	4,139	11,127	2,457	1,953	818	4,494	469	3,721	8	118	4	116	383	725
Washington	15	2	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	7,665	11,693	5,574	429	657	1,993	472	5,495	42	1,213	17	606	903	1,957

Source: March 2001 MAF extracts

Appendix D: March 2001 MAF Extract Variables

Type of Enumeration Area (TEA)	Based on 2000 collection block: 1: Mailout/Mailback 2: Update/Leave 3: List/Enumerate 4: Remote Alaska 5: "Rural" Update/Enumerate (from TEA 2) 6: Military in Update/Leave area 7: Urban Update/Leave 8: "Urban" Update/Enumerate (from TEA 1) 9: Update/Leave (from TEA 1)
Surviving MAFID: Original State (ORIGST) Original County (ORIGCOU) Within-County ID (MAFID) County Change Flag (COUCHG)	0: No Change in County 1: County has changes
Map Spot ID (MAPSPOT)	
Map Spot Suffix (MSSUFFIX)	
Group Quarters/HU Flag (GQHUFLAG)	0: Housing Unit 1: Special Place 2: Group Quarters 3: GQ Embedded Unit
Customer Processing ID (CUSTID)	Contains 2000 collection block for tab MAF Extract.
Number of Units at this BSA (NUMUNITS)	1 - 9999
Delivery Specific Address Flag (DSAF)	Y: Valid Address for this Delivery N: Not a Valid Address for this Delivery

Questionnaire Delivery Action Code (QDACT)

Blank: No action or not visited

A: Add

D: Delete

2: Duplicate

E: Add and Verify

M: Block Move

C: Other Correction

N: Non-residential

U: Uninhabitable

V: Verify

DSF Flags:

DSF 1 - 11/97 DSF or Earlier (DSF1197)

DSF 2 - 9/98 (DSF0998)

DSF 3 - 11/99 (DSF1199)

Blank: DSF Not available yet

0: Not indicated in the DSF

1: Flagged as Residential in the Indicated DSF

2: Flagged as Non-residential in the indicated DSF

3: Residential Status Unknown

NOTE: For the 11/97 and 09/98 DSFs, a value of 3 means the Residential Status could not be determined from the MAF.

For 11/99 and later DSF's, a value of 3 means that it is an address with a DSF Delivery Type of "X", which is not

classified as residential or commercial. These are often units that are not yet receiving mail, but could receive it in the future.

In Census Flag (INCENSUS)

Y = Final Census 2000 record

N = Not a final Census 2000 record