# Illustrative Projections of State Populations: 1975 to 2000 (Advance Report) 

This advance report presents summary data from the illustrative projections of the resident population of the 50 States and the District of Columbia for the years 1980, 1990, and 2000. The final report will present detailed projections of State population by age, race, and sex, as well as data on components of population change. These projections are based on gross migration data for the period $1965-70$ and postcensal estimates of net migration through 1975. The most recent set of State population projections released by the Census Bureau was published in 1972 as Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 477. These projections incorporated only provisional net migration data through 1970.

The current set of State population projections was prepared using the cohort-component method of demographic analysis. This method permits the separate projection of the three components of population changefertility, mortality, and net migration for each age, race, and sex group. The projections presented in this report contain a further refinement in methodology in that the gross migration flows defining net migration are treated separately, with each flow divided into three major groupscivilian noncollege, military, and college. The military and college components represent unique patterns of migration and warrant separate treatment.

This report presents three series of State population projections. The series have common assumptions concerning projected fertility and mortality derived from the fertility and mortality assumptions of Series II of the current set of national population projections. ${ }^{1}$ The major differences in

[^0]population growth between States is due to the third com-ponent--migration. Given the unpredictability of this component at the State level, several different assumptions about net migration have been relied upon to illustrate the impact of differing levels of migration on population growth.

Data on gross migration for the 1965-70 period and postcensal estimates of net migration through 1975, combined with the assumption of zero net migration, permit the definition of three different projection series. Series II-A assumes continuation from 1975 through 2000 of the civilian, noncollege interstate migration patterns by age, race, and sex observed for the 1965-75 period. Series 11-B assumes continuation from 1975 through 2000 of the civilian, noncollege interstate migration patterns by age, race, and sex observed for the 1970-75 period. Series II-C assumes no net civilian, non-college interstate migration between 1975 and 2000.

The projections represent statements about future population growth prepared using a particular methodology and a specific set of assumptions concerning the components of demographic change. This implies that a population projection is uniquely defined by the method, the data, and the assumptions it incorporates. Changing either the projection procedure, the accompanying data, or the projection assumptions will modify the projected population in some manner.

The population figures presented in this report are projections, or extensions of recent trends, rather than forecasts of population levels expected to occur. The term "illustrative" is used to underscore this important distinction. It is virtually certain that future population growth in most States will not follow the exact patterns projected in this report. Nonetheless, the projections presented here should accommodate a wide range of applications, given the variety

[^1]of growth assumptions for most States. Still some users will decide that none of the three series are acceptable. Since the projections are illustrative, there is no guarantee that the growth pattern the user has in mind will correspond closely to a particular projection series. It may be, for example, that even the smallest projected population for 1990 exceeds expected growth.

When the projections presented in this report do not satisfy user requirements, the best approach may be to consider alternative sources. One such alternative is the series of State projections recently published by the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) of the Department of Commerce. The BEA projections were prepared using an economic forecasting model in which economic factors-earnings, employment, etc.-rather than demographic factors-fertility, mortality, and migration-determine the projected State population total. The latest published State projections from the BEA are contained in the OBERS Projections of Economic Activity in the U.S., Volume 4: States published in April 1974. An updated version of these projections is being developed now and will be released in Spring 1979. Efforts are underway, however, to establish linkages between the economic projections work of the BEA and demo-graphically-based projections such as those shown in this report.

## Results

The three series of projected population in this report can best be viewed as showing what would happen if the specific migration patterns assumed for each series were to operate on the age, sex, and race structure of each State's population. They do not pretend to forecast the exact pattern of future population growth. For example, were there no net migration between States (Series II-C), each region of the United States would grow at roughly the projected national rate of population growth between 1975 and 2000. Some slight differences in growth rates among States will exist due to different age, sex, and race structure between States and to different age-specific levels of fertility and mortality rates among States. Thus the younger and more fertile population of the West would have an average annual rate of population growth of 9.8 per 1,000 compared to the national average of 7.9 per 1,000. Still, the percentage of the U.S. population in each region would change only a few tenths of a percentage point from 1975 to 2000 under the assumption of no net interstate migration (tables $A$ and $B$ ).

Differences in rates of growth among States and regions become much more pronounced when more realistic assumptions permitting interstate migration are used in the projections. For example, Series II-A, assuming the continuation

Tabie A. Percentage of the U.S. Population Contained in Regions and Divisions: 1970 to 2000

| Region and division |  | Estimate1975 | Projections 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Census April 1970 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Series } \\ \text { II-A } \end{array}$ | Series II-B | Series |
| United States..... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Northeast................ | 24.1 | 23.2 | 21.1 | 20.5 | 23.0 |
| North Central............ | 27.8 | 27.1 | 25.3 | 24.4 | 26.8 |
| South.................... | 30.9 | 31.9 | 34.0 | 35.6 | 31.5 |
| West... | 17.1 | 17.8 | 19.6 | 19.6 | 18.7 |
| Northeast: |  |  |  |  |  |
| New England............. | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.7 |
| Middle Atlantic....... | 18.3 | 17.5 | 15.5 | 14.9 | 17.33 |
| North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |
| East North Central..... | 19.8 | 19.2 | 18.1 | 17.1 | 19.2 |
| West North Central..... | 8.0 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.6 |
| South: |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Atlantic........ | 15.1 | 15.8 | 17.5 | 18.5 | 15.3 |
| East South Central..... | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| West South Central..... | 9.5 | 9.8 | 10.4 | 10.7 | 10.0. |
| West: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain. . | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 4.8 |
| Pacific................ | 13.1 | 13.3 | 14.4 | 14.0 | 13.9 |

Table B. Estimates and Projections of the Average Annual Rates of Population Change for Regions and Divisions: 1965 to 2000

| Region and division | Estimated |  | Projected 1975 to 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1965 to 1970 | $\begin{array}{r} 1970 \text { to } \\ 1975 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Series } \\ \text { II-A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Series } \\ \text { II-B } \end{array}$ | Series II-C |
| United States................ | 11.9 | 8.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| Northeast........................... | 7.6 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 7.5 |
| North Central........................ | 8.3 | 3.5 | 5.3 | 3.7 , | 7.5 |
| South..................................................................... | 14.7 | 15.2 | 10.3 | 12.0 | 7.3 |
| West..................................... | 19.4 | 16.0 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 9.8 |
| Northeast: |  |  |  |  |  |
| New England........................ | 11.1 | 5.4 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 7.9 |
| Middle AtIantic. | 6.5 | 0.3 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 7.4 |
| North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |
| East North Central................. | 9.1 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 7.9 |
| West North Central................ | 6.3 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 6.5 |
| South: |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Atlantic................... | 18.1 | 17.6 | 11.8 | 13.9 | 6.5 |
| East South Central. | 7.7 | 10.2 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 7.0 |
| West South Central................ | 14.1 | 14.6 | 10.2 | 11.3 | 8.9 |
| West: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain........................... | 17.2 | 28.4 | 12.8 | 16.5 | 10.7 |
| Pacific....................... | 20.2 | 12.0 | 11.2 | 9.8 | 9.6 |

of age, sex, race specific interstate migration rates obsenved during the $1965-75$ period, projects the population of Southern and Western States to grow twice as fast as the population of States in the North (table B). By the year 2000, the percentage of the U.S. population in the Northeast would decline from 23.2 to 21.2 and the percentage in the North Central States would decline from 27.1 to 25.3. The South would increase its percentage of the Nation's population from 31.9 to 34.0 while the West would increase from 17.8 to 19.6 percent of the U.S. population.

Since migration trends during the 1970.75 period were a departure from 1965-70 trends, ${ }^{2}$ the results of Series 11-B, which assumes that 1970-75 migration rates will continue, are considerably different from those of Series II-A. In general, use of the 1970-75 rates tends to widen differences among the projected growth of States. Average annual growth rates from 1975-2000 for the Northeastern and North Central Regions would be 2.9 and 3.7 per 1,000 while rates for the South and West would be 12.0 and 11.7

[^2](table B). As a result, the percentage of the Nation's population in the Northeast and North Central Regions by the year 2000 would be 20.5 and 24.4-considerably lower than projected in the other two series. As a result of the higher assumed net migration based on the 1970-75 period, the South and the West would represent 35.6 and 19.6 percent of the U.S. population, respectively (table A).

The detailed table shows the projected population for each State from 1970 to 2000 for each of the three migration assumptions. Were the 1965 to 1975 trends to continue (Series II-A), the five States with the largest percentage gains in population from 1975 to 2000 would be Florida, Arizona, Nevada, Colorado, and New Hampshire, while losses or the smallest percentage gains would be experienced by the District of Columbia, North and South Dakota, New York, and Pennsylvania. On the other hand, were the 1970-75 migration trends to continue (Series II-B), the fastest growing States would be Florida, Arizona, Nevada, Colorado, and Alaska, while losses or the slowest growth would be found in the District of Columbia, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Illinois. Under an assumption of no interstate migration (Series II-C), differences in population growth rates among States would be less pronounced.

Table 1. Estimates and Projections of the Population of States: 1970 to 2000
(In thousands. As of July 1, except as noted. Roman numeral II represents national projections series II. Letters A, B, and C indicate interstate migration assumption. See text for explanations)

| Region, division, and state | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apri1 } 1, \\ \text { 1970 } \\ \text { (census) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Estimate } \\ 1975 \end{array}$ | Series II-A |  |  | Series II-B |  |  | Sertes II-C |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 |
| United States. | 203,306 | 213,032 | 221,651 | 243,004 | 259,869 | 221,651 | 243,004 | 259,869 | 221,651 | 243,004 | 259,869 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast........ North Central. | -49,061 | 49,456 57,637 | 50,198 58,915 | 62,863 | 65,787 | 58,416 | 61,361 | 63,307 | 59,775 | 65,367 | 69,612 |
| South... | 62,813 | 68,043 | 72,037 | 81,036 | 88,335 | 72,853 | 83,507 | 92,402 | 70,707 | 77,011 | 81,897 |
| West........... | 34,838 | 37,899 | 40,501 | 46,259 | 50,890 | 40,547 | 45;341 | 51,009 | 39,912 | 44,673 | 48,593 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New England.......... Middle Atlantic..... | 11,848 37,213 | 12,187 37,269 | 12,596 37,602 | 13,703 39,144 | $1.4,615$ 40,239 | 12,559 37,278 | 13,600 38,196 | 14,449 38,703 | 12,644 38,612 | 13,867 42,084 | 14,855 44,912 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East North Central. | 40,266 | 40,946 | 41,920 | 44,847 | 47,005 | 41,393 | 43,260 18,101 | 44,398 18,909 | 47,195 | 18,620 | 49,951 19,661 |
| West North Central | 16,327 | 16,691 | 16,995 | 18,016 | 18,782 | 17,023 | 18,102 | 18,909 | 17,195 | 18,620 | 19,661 |
| sоитн : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Atlantic........ East South Central... | 30,678 12 1208 | 33,658 13,516 | -36,027 | 15,063 | 15,918 | 14,108 | 15,526 | 16,661 | 14,001 | 15,193 | 16,124 |
| East South Central.... west South Central... | 12,808 19,327 | 13,516 20,869 | 13,955 22,055 | 15,863 24,791 | 27,017 | 22,205 | 25,254 | 27,792 | 21,859 | 24,196 | 26,107 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Mounta }}$ | 8,289 26,549 | 9,625 28,274 | 10,419 30,082 | 12,075 34,184 | 13,351 37,539 | 10,715 29,832 | 12,936 33,405 | 14,732 36,277 | 10,720 | 33,172 | 35,991 |
| NEV ENGLAND: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,274 |
| Maine... | 994 | 1,058 | 1,094 | 1,192 | 1,273 | 1,120 869 | -1,273 | 1,405 | 1,091 | ${ }^{1,191}$ | , 991 |
| New Himpshire. | 738 <br> 445 | 812 472 | 870 497 | 1,007 560 | 1,121 | 849 | ${ }^{1,002}$ | 1,1136 | 489 | 538 | 578 |
| Vermont....... | $\begin{array}{r}745 \\ \hline 5,689\end{array}$ | 5,814 | 5,968 | 6,415 | 6,787 | 5,978 | 6,450 | 6,842 | 6,029 | 6,606 | 7,073 |
| Rhode Istand.. | 950 | 931 | 961 | 1,040 | 1,107 | 962 | 1,045 | 1,117 | 967 | 1,061 | 1,141 |
| Connecticut.. | 3,032 | 3,100 | 3,206 | 3,489 | 3,713 | 3,138 | 3,287 | 3,386 | 3,227 | 3,548 | 3,798 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York..... New Jersey... | 18,241 7,171 | 18,076 7,333 | 18,086 7,603 | 18,538 | 18,816 8,58 | 7,492 | 8 8,010 | 8,425 | 7,611 | 8,326 | 8,901 |
| Pennsylvania | 11,801 | 11,860 | 11,913 | 12,272 | 12,465 | 11,884 | 12,191 | 12,317 | 12,103 | 12,820 | 13,284 |
| Ohio..... | 10,657 5,196 | 10,735 5,313 | 10,933 5,438 1,98 | - | - | $\stackrel{1}{5,369}$ | 5,595 | 5,731 | 5,517 | 6,038 | 6,441 |
| Indiana.. | 11,113 | 11,198 | 11,376 | 12,015 | 12,491 | 11,259 | 11,665 | 11,923 | 11,660 | 12,833 | 13,777 |
| Michigan | 8,882 | 9,111 | 9,433 | 10,302 | 10,970 | 9,275 | 9,814 | 10,148 | 9,529 | 10,562 | 11,356 |
| wisconsin | 4,418 | 4,589 | 4,740 | 5,156 | 5,476 | 4,752 | 5,198 | 5,545 | 4,740 | 5,170 | 5,482 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota....... | 3,806 <br> 2,825 | 3,921 2,861 | 4,040 2,879 | 4,382 <br> 2,988 | 4,637 3,058 3 | 2,025 | 4,338 <br> 3,031 <br> 1 | 4,561 <br> 3,131 <br> 18 | 2,061 | 3,4,48 | 4,329 |
| Mowa...... | 2,825 4,678 | 2,861 | 2,879 4,882 | 2,286 5,268 | 5,506 | 4,84, | 5,129 | 5,346 | 4,887 | 5,223 | 5,469 |
| North Dakot | 61.8 | 637 | 630 | 633 | 631 | 653 | 698 | 732 | 663 | ${ }_{781}$ | ${ }^{791}$ |
| South Dakota | 666 | 681 | 674 | 679 | 679 | 690 | 724 | 748 | 706 | 781 | 839 |
| Nebraska. | 1,485 | 1,544 | 1,577 | 1,679 | 1,755 | 1,597 | 1,738 | 1,851 | 1,595 | 1,739 | 1,848 |
| Kansas........ | 2,249 | 2,280 | 2,313 | 2,429 | 2,516 | 2,318 | 2,443 | 2,540 | 2,348 | 2,528 | 2,653 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}548 \\ 3,924 \\ \hline 68\end{array}$ | 4,122 | 4,397 | 5,048 | 5,612 | 4,353 | 4,928 | 5,436 | 4,289 | 4,683 | 4,964 |
| District of Columbia. | , 757 | , 712 | 696 | 693 | 697 | 685 | 651 | 627 | 745 | 812 | 861 |
| virginia...... | 4,651 | 4,981 | 5,261 | 5,899 | 6,414 | 5,334 | 6,117 | 6,768 | 5,189 <br> 1,845 | 5,664 |  |
| West Virginia... | 1,744 | 1,799 | 1,809 | 1,869 6,332 | 1,912 6,830 | 1,844 5,790 | 1,973 6,573 | 2,076 7,226 | 1,845 5,647 | - | 2,071 6,426 |
| North Carolina.. South Carolina. | 5,084 2,591 | 5,441 2,816 | 5,712 2,978 | 6,332 3,346 | 6,830 3,644 | 5,790 3,025 | 6,573 3,494 | 7,226 3,893 | 2,047 | 3,241 | 3,472 |
| Georgia............... | 4,588 | 4,931 | 5,262 | 6,006 | 6,625 | 5,302 | 6,133 | 6,840 | 5,1.55 | 5,654 | 6,047 |
| Florida................ | 6,791 | 8,277 | 9,301 | 11,305 | 12,924 | 9,607 | 12,207 | 14,394 | 8,430 | 8,837 | 9,120 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky.............. | 3,221 <br> 3,926 <br> 3 | 3,387 | 3,500 4,345 | 3,796 <br> 4,755 | 4,032 | 4,365 | 4;816 | 5,183 | 4,304 | 4,612 | 4,827 |
| Ternessee............. Alabama.......... | 3,226 3,444 3,21 | 4,173 3,615 | 4,345 3,714 2,3 | 3,967 | 4,148 | 3,771 | 4,140 | 4,425 | 3,749 | 4,069 | 4,314 |
| Mississippi............ | 2,217 | 2,341 | 2,396 | 2,545 | 2,653 | 2,421 | 2,617 | 2,763 | 2,446 | 2,713 | 2,948 |
| WEST SOUTH Central m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas.. | 1,923 | 2,110 3,806 | 2,193 3,930 |  | 2,545 4,471 3,45 | 2,224 3,932 | 2,479 4,255 | 2,690 4,486 | 3,983 | 2,314 4 | 4,768 |
| ${ }_{\text {L }}^{\text {Louisiana. }}$ | 3,645 2,560 | 3,806 2,715 | 3,930 2,834 | 4,245 3,116 | 4,45 3,347 | 3,282 2,854 | 3,178 | 3,449 | 2,799 | 3,007 | 3,162 |
| Texas................. | 11,199 | 12,238 | 13,098 | 15,040 | 16,654 | 13,195 | 15,342 | 17,167 | 12,903 | 14,436 | 15,714 |
| mountatis: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana. | 694 713 |  | 766 | 821 982 | 1,069 | 893 | 1,061 | 1,1.95 | 857 | 968 | 1,060 |
| ( $\begin{aligned} & \text { Idaho........ } \\ & \text { Wyoming.... }\end{aligned}$ |  | 813 376 | 866 <br> 390 | 425 | 4.50 | 408 | 474 | 527 | 393 | 437 | 471 |
| Wyoming......... colorado..... | 2,210 | 2,541 | 2,765 | 3,237 | 3,615 | 2,823 | 3,409 | 3,892 | 2,668 | 2,962 | 3,183 |
| New Mexic | 1,017 | 1,144 | 1,198 | 1,322 | 1,409 | 1,249 | 1,466 | 1,636 | 1,215 | 1,395 | 1,545 |
| Arizona. | 1,775 | 2,212 | 2,489 | 3,031 | 3,452 | 2,568 | 3,261 | 3,822 | 2,337 | 2,643 | 2,913 1,780 |
| Utah..... | 1,059 | 1,203 | 1,296 | 1,493 | 1,643 | 1,321 | 1,571 | 1,775 | 1,309 | 1,557 | 1,780 |
| Nevada.... | 489 | 590 | 649 | 764 | 851 | 662 | 800 | 908 | 617 | 680 | 729 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington. | 3,413 |  | 3,784 2,437 | 4,312 |  |  |  | 3,070 | 2,355 | 2,533 | 2,655 |
| Oregon. | 2,092 19,971 | 2,284 21,198 | 2,437 22,538 | 2,781 25,588 | 3,066 28,083 | 3,68 22,386 | 25,111 | 27,309 | 22,342 | 24,994 | 27,192 |
| California Alaska.... | - 303 | 365 | 392 | 441 | 474 | 412 | 491 | 544 | 397 | 470 | 534 |
| Hawaii........... | 770 | 868 | 931 | 1,062 | 1,157 | 941 | 1,086 | 1,1.93 | 949 | 1,133 | 1,300 |
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