Population Estimates and Projections

JS. Department of Commerce SUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Census

.A533x no.986 c.3

HA 195 Series P-25, No. 986

Projections of the Number of Households and Families: 1986 to 2000

> BUREAU OF THE CENSUS LIBRARY

Acknowledgments

111C

This report was prepared by **Robert O. Grymes** and **Donald J. Hernandez** of the Marriage and Family Statistics Branch in Population Division. The time-series models used in the preparation of these projections were developed by **William Bell, James Bozik, Sandra McKenzie,** and **Holly Shulman** of the Statistical Research Division. Assistance in the preparation of the report was provided by **Edith L. Reeves, Gerda K. Mudd,** and **Debra N. Middleton**. Prepublication review was coordinated by **Marion Gordon**. Critical review of the report was provided by **Campbell J. Gibson**, Demographic Advisor, and overall direction for this report was planned and produced in Publications Services Division.

10

CURRENT POPULATION REPORTS

Population Estimates and Projections

> Series P-25, No. 986 Issued May 1986

Projections of the Number of Households and Families: 1986 to 2000



U.S. Department of Commerce Malcolm Baldrige, Secretary Clarence J. Brown, Deputy Secretary D. Bruce Merrifield, Acting Under Secretary for Economic Affairs

> BUREAU OF THE CENSUS John G. Keane, Director



-2

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS John G. Keane, Director C.L. Kincannon, Deputy Director William P. Butz, Associate Director for Demographic Fields Roger A. Herriot, Senior Demographic and Housing Analyst

> POPULATION DIVISON (Vacant), Chief

SUGGESTED CITATION

U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 986, *Projections of the Number of Households and Families: 1986 to 2000*, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1986.

For sale by Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Contents

		Page
Increase in the number of households		. 1
Average size of households and families		. 1
Households by type		. 2
Households by age of householder	••••••••	. 2
Methods and assumptions		. 3
Definitions of terms		. 6
Related reports		7
Rounding of estimates		7

TEXT TABLES

Α.	Estimated numbers of households from 1930 to 1985, and projections from 1990 to	
	2000	1
Β.	Estimates of average size of household and family from 1970 to 1985, and projections	
	for 2000	2
C.	Estimated percent distribution of households, by type, for 1985, and projections to 1990,	
, i	1995, and 2000	2
D.	Percent distribution of projected total increase in number of households, by type, for	
ý ::	1985-90, 1995, and 2000	3
E.	Annual projection of number and increase of households from 1986 to 2000	3
F.	Estimated average annual increase in households, by age of householder from 1980 to	
	1985, and projections from 1985 to 1990, 1990 to 1995, and 1995 to 2000	3
1. S.		

DETAILED TABLES

 Estimates of households, by type for 1985, and projections from 1986 to 2000 Estimates of household, by age of householder for 1985, and projections from 1986 to 2000 	9 10
APPENDIX	
Illustrative Economic Model-Based Predictions of Households	11
APPENDIX TABLES	
A-1. Households based on robust economy	11 11

111

Projections of the Number of Households and Families: 1986 to 2000

This report presents projections of the number of households and families for the years 1986 to 2000. Three series (Series A, B, and C) are presented on the number of households by type and by age of householder. The average size of households and families is presented for the year 2000.

These projections of households are illustrative; that is, they are designed to indicate the number of households which would result from applying certain assumptions about future rates of household formation and population change. (For further discussion, see section on methods and assumptions. The appendix presents an experimental set of illustrative economic model-based results.) Projections in this report supersede prior projections in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 805 (May 1979).

The tables show estimates for various dates based on current surveys of the Census Bureau for comparison with the projections. Since the survey data are based on samples of the population, they are subject to sampling error. For estimates of the sampling error of the survey data, see Current Population Reports, Series P-20, No. 398.

The increase in the number of households (occupied housing units) which may occur by 2000 is not necessarily identical with the volume of housing construction which may take place during this period. The number of housing units constructed is likely to differ from the increase in the number of households because of changes in the number of vacant units, the demolition of existing units, and conversions or mergers of units in existing structures.

INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS

According to the highest series of household projections (Series A), the number of households in the United States would reach 110.2 million by July 1, 2000—an increase of 23.4 million households over the 86.8 million in March 1985 (table A). The lowest series (Series C) indicates that there would be 102.4 million households in 2000 or an increase of 15.7 million households. These two series of projections show increases of 27 and 18 percent, respectively, over the 1985-2000 period.

The number of households in the United States showed an average annual increase of 1.2 million between 1980 and 1985. Between 1985 and 1990, the average annual increase may range from 1.2 to 1.6 million (table A). The highest average annual increase in the number of households for future periods, on a 5-year basis, is seen in projection Series A, which shows a gain of 1.6 million per year in the 1985-90 period. The lowest average annual increase for future 5-year

Table A. Estimated Numbers of Households From 1930 to 1985, and Projections From 1990 to 2000

1

(In thousands)

· · · ·	Num	ber of hou	seholds
Year	Series i	A Series	B Series C
Census: 1930 (April 1) 1940 (April 1)		29,905 34,949	5
Current Population Survey: 1950 (March 1) 1960 (March 1) 1970 (March 1) 1980 (March 1) 1985 (March 1)		43,554 52,799 63,401 80,776 86,789	
Projections: 1990 (July 1) 1995 (July 1) 2000 (July 1)	95,243 102,785 110,217	94,227 100,308 105,933	93,297 98,180 102,440
	Avera ove	ge annual r precedin	increase g date
Year	Series A	Series B	Series C
Census: 1930 (April 1) 1940 (April 1)		¹ 542 504	
Current Population Survey: 1950 (March 1) 1960 (March 1) 1970 (March 1) 1980 (March 1) 1985 (March 1)		868 ² 904 1,060 1,738 1,203	
Projections: 1990 (July 1) 1995 (July 1) 2000 (July 1)	1,586 1,508 1,486	1,395 1,216 1,125	1,221 977 852

¹Average annual increase between 1920 and 1930. ²Excludes increase in the number of households attributable to the addition of Alaska and Hawaii to the United States.

periods is given by Series C which shows an increase of only 0.9 million per year for the 1995-2000 period. Each series of projections implies a decline in the annual rate of increase of households over the next 15 years.

AVERAGE SIZE OF HOUSEHOLDS AND FAMILIES

In March 1985, the average number of persons per household was 2.69, and the average per family was 3.23. Table B shows projections of the average size of households and families for the year 2000. The average household size for the year 2000 ranges from 2.32 (household Series A in combination with the low population projection series) to 2.64 (household Series C in combination with the high population projection series). Average family size ranges from 2.96 to 3.17. Table B. Estimates of Average Size of Household and Family from 1970 to 1985, and Projections for 2000

	Curren	Current Population Survey			Year 2000 projections								
				Household series A			Hou	House old series B			Household series C		
Туре	1970	1980	1985	Low popula- tion series	Middle popula- tion series	High popula- tion series	Low popula- tion series	Middle popula- tion series	High popula- tion series	Low popula- tion series	Middle popula- tion series	High popula- tíon series	
Average number of persons per household: All persons Under 18 years 18 years and over	3.14 1.09 2.05	2.76 0.79 1.97	2.69 0.72 1.97	2.32 0.54 1.77	2.38 0.61 1.77	2.45 0.68 1.77	2.41 0.56 1.85	2.48 0.63 1.85	2.55 0.70 1.85	2.49 0.58 1.91	2.56 0.66 1.91	2.64 0.73 1.91	
Average number of persons per family: All persons Under 18 years 18 years and over	3.58 1.34 2.25	3.29 1.05 2.23	3.23 0.98 2.24	2.96 0.84 2.11	3.06 0.95 2.11	3.17 1.05 2.11	2.97 0.82 2.15	3.07 0.92 2.15	3.17 1.02 2.15	2.96 0.79 2.17	3.06 0.89 2.17	3.16 0.99 2.17	

HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE

Husband-wife households made up about 58 percent of all households in 1985 (table C). In the projections for the year 2000, husband-wife households range from 47 percent of all households (Series A) to 59 percent (Series C). Households consisting of a person living alone or householders living with persons to whom they are not related (nonfamily households) accounted for 28 percent of all households in 1985; in 2000, the number of nonfamily households is expected to range from 27 percent of all households (Series C) to 37 percent (Series A). At the present time, about nine-tenths of all nonfamily households are one-person households.

Nonfamily households would constitute about one-third of all households in 2000, but they would account for between about 25 percent (Series C) and 69 percent (Series A) of the total increase in households for the 1985-2000 period. However, husband-wife households would constitute from about one-half to three-fifths of all households in 2000, whereas the increase in the number of husband-wife households between 1985 and 2000 would constitute from 8 percent (Series A) to 62 percent (Series C) of the total increase.

HOUSEHOLDS BY AGE OF HOUSEHOLDER

From 1980 to 1985, much of the increase in the number of households was concentrated within two age groups: households with the householder 35 to 44 years of age (58 percent of the total growth) and households with householders 65 years and over (27 percent). The increase in households with a householder 65 years old and over is largely a function of the increase in the number of aged persons and the tendency for more elderly persons to continue to maintain their own homes after their families have dissolved (usually because of spouse's death). The increase in households with householders 35 to 44 years old in the 1980-85 period reflects the post-World War II "baby boom," the oldest members of which reached their late 30's by 1985. Over the next 15 years, the aging of the baby-boom cohorts will cause the major portion of the projected increase in the number of households from 1985 to 2000 to occur for households with householders between the ages of 35 and 54 (table F).

			Family	y households	. 1	Nonfamily households			
Series and period				Other	family				
	All households	Total	Married- couple	Male householder	Female householder	Total	Male householder	Female householder	
Current Population Survey: 1985	100.0	72.3	58.0	2.6	11.7	27.7	11.7	16.1	
Projection series A: 1990 1995 2000	100.0 100.0 100.0	69.3 66.4 63.5	54.3 50.8 47.4	2.9 3.2 3.5	12.1 12.4 12.6	30.7 33.6 36.5	13.7 15.7 17.7	17.1 18.0 18.8	
Projection series B: 1990 1995 2000	100.0 100.0 100.0	70.8 69.6 68.2	56.3 54.7 53.1	2.7 2.9 3.1	11.8 11.9 12.0	29.2 30.4 31.8	12.7 13.6 14.6	16.5 16.8 17.2	
Projection series C: 1990 1995 2000	100.0 100.0 100.0	72.4 72.6 72.7	58.2 58.5 58.6	2.6 2.7 2.8	11.6 11.4 11.2	27.6 27.4 27.3	11.7 11.7 11.7	15.9 15.7 15.6	

Table C. Estimated Percent Distribution of Households, by Type, for 1985, and Projections to 1990, 1995, and 2000

Table D. Percent Distribution of Projected Total Increase in Number of Households, by Type, for 1985-90, 1995, and 2000

	[Fami	ly households				Nonfamily house	eholds
Series and period				Other i	Eamily				
	All households	Total	Married- couple	Male householder	Female householder] I	otal	Male householder	Female householder
Projection series A: 1990	100.0	38.5	16.0	5.9	16.7		61.5	34.2	27.2
1995 2000	100.0 100.0	34.5 31.2	11.4 8.2	6.6 6.9	16.5 16.2		65.5 68.8	37.4 39.9	28.1 28.8
Projection series B:									
1990 1995 2000	100.0 100.0 100.0	54.5 52.4 50.0	35.8 33.4 31.0	4.7 5.3 5.5	13.9 13.7 13.4		45.5 47.6 50.0	24.6 26.3 27.9	20.9 21.3 22.1
Projection series C: 1990 1995 2000	100.0 100.0 100.0	74.2 75.4 75.0	60.4 62.0 62.2	3.5 3.9 4.0	10.3 9.6 8.9		25.8 24.6 25.0	12.8 12.1 12.0	13.0 12.5 13.0

Table E. Annual Projection of Number and Increase of Households from 1986 to 2000

(Numbers in thousands)

	Number							Increase over preceding year				
Year				Sei	ries A	Se	eries B	Se	Series C			
	Series A	Series B	Series C	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
1986	88,785 90,382 91,987 93,622 95,243 96,828 98,316 99,786 101,261 102,785 104,290 105,749 107,202 108,672	88,620 90,033 91,434 92,847 94,227 95,555 96,769 97,946 99,111 100,308 101,475 102,585 103,680 104,776	88,458 89,697 90,912 92,126 93,297 94,406 95,392 96,329 97,243 98,180 99,082 99,924 100,748 101,568	¹ 1,636 1,597 1,605 1,635 1,621 1,585 1,488 1,470 1,475 1,524 1,505 1,459 1,453 1,470	1.9 1.8 1.8 1.7 1.7 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.4 1.4	1,471 1,413 1,401 1,413 1,380 1,328 1,214 1,177 1,165 1,197 1,167 1,110 1,095 1,096	1.7 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.1 1.1	1,309 1,215 1,215 1,214 1,171 1,109 986 937 914 937 902 842 824 824	1.5 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.3 1.2 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8			

¹ Increase based on an estimate for July 1, 1985, based on the March 1985 Current Population Survey.

Table F. Estimated Average Annual Increase in Households, by
Age of Householder From 1980 to 1985, and
Projections From 1985 to 1990, 1990 to
1995, and 1995 to 2000

(In thousands. Reference date is July 1, except as noted. Minus sign (-) denotes net decrease)

		Age of householder (years)							
Period and Series	Total, all ages	Under 25	25- 34	35 44	45 54	55 64	65 and over		
1980 to 19851	1,203	-226	302	700	-5	110	322		
Series A: 1985 to 1990 1990 to 1995 1995 to 2000 Series B: 1985 to 1990 1990 to 1995 1995 to 2000	1,586 1,508 1,486 1,395 1,216 1,125	-137 -43 78 -145 -69 25	259 -185 -309 219 -195 -385	774 637 402 706 534 285	379 794 818 338 677 714	-137 -13 334 -143 -16 334	447 319 164 420 297 152		
Series C: 1985 to 1990 1990 to 1995 1995 to 2000	1,221 977 852	-147 -78 7	188 -291 -421	641 444 186	299 658 629	-150 -21 328	390 265 123		

Period is from March 1 to March 1.

METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS

Population. The projections of the number of households and families and the adult population in households and families shown in this report are based on the middle population projection series that was published in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 952 (May 1984). For the calculation of the average household and family size for the year 2000, the low, middle, and high population projection series were used for the population under 18 years old.

The population projections used in preparing the projections of households shown in this report include members of the Armed Forces in the United States living off post or with their families on post, and exclude members of the Armed Forces abroad and members of the Armed Forces living in the United States in military barracks and similar quarters. To derive this population, the number of Armed Forces (residing in the United States and abroad) for July 1, 1982, (as was used in Series P-25, No. 952) was subtracted from the total population for all years of the projection period, and the number of Armed Forces included in the Current Population Survey (CPS) for March 1, 1985, was added back in for all years of the projection period. This calculation was carried out before the specific calculations to develop projections of the number of households.

Current Population Survey data for 1959 through 1985 were utilized in preparing the marital status and householder proportions used in these projections. In order to make the data comparable for all years, the category "secondary families in group quarters" had to be modified for some years. Prior to 1968, related persons living in group quarters were considered as members of secondary families. However, the number of such families became so small that, beginning with CPS data in 1968, persons in these families were included in the count of secondary individuals. Therefore, before any marital status or householder proportions were developed from the survey data for the years 1959 to 1967, secondary family members in group quarters were designated secondary individuals in group quarters.

The population used in preparing the household projections also includes estimates of inmates of institutions. The number of inmates implied in the projections of population were estimated by applying age- and sex-specific proportions of inmates, developed from 1980 census data, to the projected population. These proportions of inmates were thus assumed to remain constant after April 1, 1980. It was decided to include inmates in the projections; they had been included in the CPS prior to 1972, but not between 1972 and 1985. In order to provide a comparable series of data for use in making the projections, the number of inmates (estimated as described above) and the distribution of inmates by age and marital status (developed by using data from the 1980 census) was estimated for 1972 through 1985 and added into the CPS population.

Also, to provide a series of data consistent through time, the adult universe (i.e., population of marriageable age) in these projections is considered to be 14 years old and over (the definition prior to 1980).

Marital status and household projections. The next stage in the preparation of the projections of households and families was to project the proportions of persons in two marital status groups (never married and ever married) and the proportions of persons who are householders and of persons in other relevant categories of household relationship and family status.

The data used for projecting the proportions were the CPS data on the age, sex, marital status, and householder status distributions of the population for March 1 of each year from 1959 through 1985. Ten age groups and 13 sex-marital-householder status groups were distinguished, resulting in 130 time series of proportions to project. Alternative projections thought to cover a reasonable range of possibilities (Series A, B, and C) were developed for each of the 130 series using a combination of demographic assumptions and statistical time

series model results. These assumptions and models are discussed in the next two sections.¹

Demographic assumptions. Series A, B, and C were selected for publication through demographic research involving the preparation and examination of seven different series of projections. Series A, B, and C were selected to provide a diverse range of projections by evaluating the demographic plausibility of results in light of past and possible future trends in household formation.

Past trends in household formation have been influenced by various demographic factors including the following. First. the proportion of young adults maintaining their own households has increased as a result of increases in the proportion who have never married and in the proportion who were married but then experienced a divorce. Second, the proportion of young and middle-aged adults living in marriedcouple households has declined as a result of increases in the proportion who have never married and increases in the proportion who experienced a divorce after marriage. Third, the proportion of elderly adults maintaining their own households has increased as a result of an increasing propensity to not live with younger family members, and an increasing differential between the mortality of men and women. Fourth, since the very large baby-boom cohorts were moving into the young and early middle adult ages where marriage was increasingly postponed and where divorce was especially likely, changes/ in the age composition of the population tended to accentuate the effects of change in marriage and divorce.

Shifts appear to be occurring in several of these demographic trends, however. First, during the coming years the baby-boom cohorts will pass through young adulthood to reach ages in which marriage is more prevalent and divorce less prevalent. As a result, the aging of the baby boom may produce a decline in the rate of increase in households during the next 15 years for the population as a whole. Furthermore, although the divorce rate (divorces per 1,000 married women 15 years of age and over) rose rapidly, and without interruption, from 9.4 in 1962 to 22.8 in 1979, the rate has recently fallen from the 1979 peak to 21.3 in 1983, suggesting that the divorce rate may in the future rise more slowly, remain unchanged, or perhaps decline.² Third, the propensity of young adults to live in the homes maintained by their parents has increased between 1980 and 1984. Fourth, at least for men in the young adult ages, the proportion never married rose more slowly between 1980-84 than between 1970-80. If the moderation in past rates of change in divorce, marriage, and living arrangements continues, the result will be a slowing in the rate of increase in the formation of households.³

¹For a more detailed discussion of the time series modeling of the data, readers may obtain a copy of the following report: William Bell, James Bozik, Sandra McKenzie, and Holly Shulman (1986) *Time Series Analysis* of Household Headship Proportions: 1959-1985, SRD Research Report Census/SRD/RR-86/01, Statistical Research Division, Bureau of the Census.

²National Center for Health Statistics, *Monthly Vital Statistics Report*, Vol. 34, No. 9, Supplement, December 1985, "Advance Report of Final Divorce Statistics, 1983."

⁹U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Reports, Series P-20, No. ³⁹⁹ Marital Status and Living Arrangements: March 1984.

In the past, rapid changes in population composition and marriage and divorce have led to rapid increases in the umber of households, but current changes in these trends suggest that the number of households may grow more slowly in the future. Since the aging of the baby boom is inevitable, al the projections series examined for this report take into account projected age structure changes. In addition, Series A was developed to reflect the demographic assumption that the recent moderation in marriage and divorce trends will continue but that historical changes spanning the last two and one-half decades must be taken into consideration. Hence, Series A assumes a continuation of past trends in householder proportions but changes in recent years are given more weight. consequently, Series A projects a somewhat slower but still rapid increase in the number of households. At the other extreme, Series C was developed to reflect the demographic assumption that the era of rapid change in marriage and divorce may have come to an end, and consequently that householder proportions will remain constant during the next 15 years. Finally, Series B was developed to reflect assumptions intermediate between the assumptions of Series A and C, namely that changes in marriage and divorce will slow considerably but not cease during the next 15 years.

d

0-

se

ty

íŋ.

}d

it,

In

0-

10

od-

he

More specifically, Series C was selected from three models which assumed no change in householder proportions based on (1) householder proportions in 1985, (2) mean householder roportions for 1981-85, and (3) mean householder proporions for 1976-85. Since the results from the three approaches were quite similar, the simplest one based on 1985 proportions was selected for Series C. Reflecting the consequences of projected change in the age structure only, Series C provided one basis for the evaluation of additional series. Series A was selected by evaluating the results from three types of models: (1) the model ultimately adopted, as described in the statistical methods section, (2) a random walk with trend model, and (3) a model similar to the one used in the previous set of household projections (Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 805, Projections of the Number of Households and Families: 1979 to 1995) which involved Producing target forecasts based on a linear regression on time with logistically transformed data and interpolation from the last data point to the target. Results concerning projected numbers of households and projected distributions of households by type were examined, and Series A as described In the next section was selected, because it best reflected the demographic assumption that underlying trends will ^{moderate} during the next 15 years, but that they will neither ^{reverse} direction nor achieve constancy. As noted above, ${}^{\mbox{Series}}$ B was then developed as a middle series to reflect ^{demographic} assumptions intermediate between the assumptions of Series A and C.

The demographic assumptions described here provide the asis for a plausible range of household projections. These projections are designed, as described above, to be illustrative of possible long-term changes; they are not intended to be predictions or forecasts of the future. A general war, some other catastrophe, or large unexpected changes in underlying demographic trends might lead to household changes outside the projected range. Series B, the middle series, is currently judged to be the most plausible, but depending upon the purposes and assumptions of a specific user and upon actual demographic trends in the future, the user may wish to focus instead upon Series A or C. Because these household projections are long-term extrapolations, they form smooth trends, but actual future trends will at least be somewhat erratic, because of short-term fluctuations due to various social and economic factors.⁴ The next section of this report describes the statistical methods used to implement the demographic assumptions discussed here.

Statistical methods. The statistical methods used to develop Series A, B, and C involve projecting 130 time series of proportions for age-sex-marital-householder status groups.

The proportions were first transformed using the logistic transformation. If x_t is the proportion in a given category for year t, the transformed value, Y_t , is

$$Y_t = \log_e(x_t/(1-x_t)) = \log_e(x_t) - \log_e(1-x_t)$$

Projections were made for the Y_t series and then transformed back to projections for the x_t series via the inverse of the logistic transformation:

$$x_t = \exp(Y_t)/(1 + \exp(Y_t))$$

This use of the logistic transformation assures that projections for x_t will not stray outside the allowable range of (0,1).

The limited amount of time series data available (27 annual observations in each series) precluded any serious statistical attempts at time series model selection and evaluation. Preliminary analysis of a sample of 21 of the 130 series suggested first differencing all the series, i.e., taking $\nabla Y_t = Y_t Y_{t-1}$ (the year-to-year changes). Beyond this, models for ∇Y_t were chosen based on considerations of simplicity and intuitive appeal, with little possibility of adequately checking their statistical validity.

The two models that were used produce linear projections emanating from the last data point. Both models have one parameter which was estimated from the data, and the fitted models were used to produce projections. The rationale behind the models can be understood as follows. Suppose it is reasonable to project Y_t from any given year m with a linear function of t emanating from Y_m . Obtaining the projections from the last data point of the series (m = 1985) requires estimation of the slope of the projection function. Each 5

⁴Future household changes estimated from the Current Population Survey will also vary from a smooth trend, because they are subject to sampling variability. For estimates of the sampling error of the survey data, see Current Population Reports, Series P-20, No. 398. If one of the household projection series were to accurately reflect future household change, the numbers published in this report would differ somewhat from Current Population Survey estimates, because the projections pertain to July, while the estimates pertain to March.

difference ∇Y_t provides an observation on the slope of the series, so the collection of $\nabla Y_{t's}$ from 1960 to 1985 can be used to estimate the slope to be used in the projection.

The simplest slope estimate would be a simple average of the $\nabla Y_{t's}$. This assumes the slope remains constant over time and corresponds to use of the so-called random walk with trend model

$$\nabla Y_t = \mu + e_t \tag{1}$$

where μ is the slope and the e_t 's are normal random errors. One problem with the use of the simple average of the ∇Y_t 's to estimate μ is its sensitivity to outliers in the data. The biweight estimator, which is robust to outliers, was instead used to estimate μ^s The assumption that the slope remains constant over time is another possible problem with the model. A useful generalization of the model that allows the slope to change slowly over time is the so-called integrated moving average model of order (2,1), or IMA(2,1) model

$$\nabla^{2} Y_{t} = \nabla (\nabla Y_{t}) = e_{t} - \theta e_{t-1}$$
 (2)

with the parameter θ constrained not to exceed 1 in magnitude.¹ For this model the slope of the forecast function is a weighted average of the ∇Y_t 's, with the weights decreasing exponentially back from the end of the series according to powers of $\hat{\theta}$, the estimate of the parameter θ . Use of the IMA(2,1) model thus allows more weight to be given to recent ∇Y_t 's in the determination of the slope of the projection function.

The random walk with trend model (1) is in fact a special case of the IMA(2,1) model (2) with $\theta = 1$. IMA(2,1) models were estimated for the 130 time series, and the estimate of θ was $\hat{\theta} = 1$ for 109 of the series. For these series the random walk with trend model was used instead so that the slope could be estimated robustly. For 10 of the remaining 21 series ($\hat{\theta}$ less than 1) the projections from the IMA(2,1) model and the non-robustly estimated random walk with trend model was used for these also, to guard against the effects of outliers. This meant that in the final projections the IMA(2,1) model was only used for 11 of the series. These 11 series, however, were among the more influential series with respect to their contribution to the total number of households.

Projections for each of the 130 Y_t series were obtained from either the fitted random walk with trend model or the IMA(2,1) model, with the choice made as discussed above. These were then transformed back to projections of the x_t series, and these projections were combined with the middle series population projections to produce household projection Series A. The resulting projection of the total number of households was judged to be on the high side of the range of reasonable demographic assumptions. (See demographic

^sSee Bell, Bozik, McKenzie, and Shulman (1986) or McNeil, Donald R. (1977), Interactive Data Analysis, New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

assumptions section.) The Series C projections were obtained by assuming that the levels of the marital status and 🚮 householder proportions observed in 1985 would continue unchanged to 2000, thus allowing only for changes in the age structure of the adult population. This in fact corresponds to use of the random walk model with no trend, i.e., $\mu = 0$. The resulting projections of total households are considerably lower than for Series A, and were judged to be on the low side of the range of reasonable demographic assumptions. (See demographic assumptions section.) To provide another alternative, Series B projections were developed by using projection slopes that were one-half of those obtained from the models described above for each of the 130 series, yielding Series B household projection results which lie between those of Series A and C. They are not simply the average of the Series A and C projections, because of the nonlinearity of the logistic transformation and the differential effects of the population size in the various groups. The middle series population projections was also used in producing Series B and C.

Steps involved in deriving household projections. The projected numbers of households and families were derived by following a procedure that combined the three series of projected proportions with the middle series population projections. The steps taken in calculating the number of households and families for each year of the projection period are shown in detail in Current Population Reports, Series P-25 No. 805 (May 1979).

The population under 18 years old in households and families was obtained by applying proportions developed from the 1980 census to the population under 18 in the low, middle, and high population projection series (Series P-25, No. 952).

DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

Household. A household consists of all the persons who occupy a housing unit. A house, an apartment or other group of rooms, or a single room, is regarded as a housing unit when it is occupied or intended for occupancy as separate living quarters; that is, when the occupants do not live and eat with any other persons in the structure and there is direct accessfrom the outside or through a common hall.

A household includes the related family members and all the unrelated persons, if any, such as lodgers, foster children, wards, or employees who share the housing unit. A person living alone in a housing unit, or a group of unrelated persons sharing a housing unit as partners, is also counted as a household. The count of households excludes group quarters.

Group quarters. All persons not living in households are classified by the Bureau of the Census as living in group quarters.

Householder. The householder refers to the person (or one of the persons) in whose name the housing unit is owned or rented (maintained) or, if there is no such person, any adult

member, excluding roomers, boarders, or paid employees. If the house is owned or rented jointly by a married couple, the householder may be either the husband or wife. The person designated as the householder is the "reference person" to whom the relationship of all other household members, if any, is recorded.

Prior to 1980, the husband was always considered the householder in married-couple households. The number of householders is equal to the number of households. Also, the number of family householders is equal to the number of families. For purposes of historical comparability in the preparation of householder proportions to be used in making the projections, the husband in married-couple households was viewed as the householder for the years 1980 through 1985.

Family. A family is a group of two persons or more (one of whom is the householder) related by birth, marriage, or adoption and residing together; all such persons (including related subfamily members) are considered as members of one family.

Family household. A family household is a household maintained by a family (as defined above), and any unrelated persons. The number of family households is equal to the number of families. The count of family household members differs from the count of family members, however, in that the family household members include all persons living in the household, whereas family members include only the householder and his/her relatives. (See the definition of family.)

Nonfamily householder. A nonfamily householder (formerly called a primary individual) is a person maintaining a household

while living alone or with persons to whom they are not related.

RELATED REPORTS

The household projections published in this report are consistent with household estimates published in Current Population Reports, Series P-20. The coverage of the projections is essentially the same as that for the reports on marital status and household and family characteristics based on the CPS with the major exception that the institutional population is included in the projections, as it was in CPS data prior to 1972. The data apply to the resident population of the United States, except that members of the Armed Forces living in barracks and similar guarters are excluded. Data for years prior to 1960 exclude Alaska and Hawaii. Here, as in the CPS, single persons away from home in college dormitories are included as members of their families at their home; in the decennial census these persons are included in the group quarters population as secondary individuals at the dormitories where they live while attending college.

The population projections used in preparing this report are shown in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 952.

ROUNDING OF ESTIMATES

Figures presented in this report have been rounded to the nearest thousand without being adjusted to group totals which are independently rounded; hence, the sum of the parts may differ slightly from the totals shown.

Table 1. Estimates of Households, by Type for 1985, and Projections From 1986 to 2000

(In thousands. Reference date is July 1, except as noted. See text for explanation of series)

			Famil	ly households			Nonfamily house	eholds
Year and series			[Other	family			
	All households	Total	Married- couple	Male householder	Female householder	Total	Male householder	Female householder
1985 ¹	86,789	62,706	50,350	2,228	10,129	24,082	10,114	13,968
1986: Series A Series B Series C	88,785 88,620 88,458	63,613 63,765 63,919	50,842 51,088 51,334	2,322 2,299 2,276	10,449 10,378 10,309	25,172 24,854 24,540	10,705 10,522 10,342	14,467 14,332 14,198
1987: Series A Series B Series C	90,382 90,033 89,697	64,216 64,526 64,834	51,079 51,581 52,077	2,415 2,366 2,319	10,722 10,579 10,438	26,165 25,506 24,863	11,251 10,871 10,500	14,914 14,635 14,363
1988: Series A Series B Series C	91,987 91,434 90,912	64,810 65,278 65,741	51,306 52,069 52,819	2,514 2,437 2,365	10,990 10,772 10,557	27,177 26,156 25,172	11,815 11,222 10,652	15,362 14,934 14,520
1989: Series A Series B Series C	93,622 92,847 92,126	65,403 66,034 66,653	51,521 52,554 53,562	2,616 2,508 2,410	11,266 10,972 10,681	28,219 26,814 25,473	12,403 11,583 10,802	15,816 15,231 14,671
1990: Series A Series B Series C	95,243 94,227 93,297	65,964 66,758 67,535	51,704 53,012 54,282	2,723 2,581 2,455	11,538 11,165 10,798	29,279 27,469 25,762	13,008 11,946 10,949	16,270 15,523 14,814
1991: Series A Series B Series C	96,828 95,555 94,406	66,487 67,445 68,379	51,849 53,437 54,971	2,832 2,654 2,499	11,805 11,354 10,909	30,341 28,110 26,026	13,620 12,304 11,082	16,721 15,805 14,945
1992: Series A Series B Series C	98,316 96,769 95,392	66,945 68,059 69,140	51,955 53,816 55,606	2,941 2,726 2,542	12,049 11,517 10,993	31,372 28,710 26,252	14,212 12,636 11,186	17,160 16,075 15,065
1993: Series A Series B Series C	99,786 97,946 96,329	67,370 68,638 69,864	52,030 54,166 56,209	3,051 2,797 2,584	12,289 11,675 11,071	32,416 29,308 26,465	14,820 12,970 11,286	17,596 16,338 15,179
1994: Series A Series B Series C	101,261 99,111 97,243	67,791 69,209 70,576	52,102 54,511 56,806	3,163 2,868 2,625	12,526 11,830 11,145	33,470 29,901 26,667	15,446 13,309 11,383	18,024 16,592 15,284
1995: Series A Series B Series C	102,785 100,308 98,180	68,219 69,787 71,294	52,178 54,863 57,410	3,276 2,940 2,667	12,765 11,984 11,217	34,565 30,520 26,887	16,102 13,666 11,490	18,463 16,854 15,396
1996: Series A Series B Series C	104,290 101,475 99,082	68,624 70,339 71,982	52,237 55,196 57,992	3,387 3,010 2,707	13,000 12,133 11,283	35,667 31,137 27,101	16,773 14,028 11,595	18,893 17,109 15,505
1997: Series A Series B Series C	105,749 102,585 99,924	68,974 70,834 72,614	52,243 55,476 58,522	3,499 3,077 2,745	13,232 12,280 11,347	36,774 31,751 27,311	17,442 14,383 11,693	19,332 17,368 15,617
1998: Series A Series B Series C	107,202 103,680 100,748	69,304 71,299 73,210	52,247 55,744 59,032	3,609 3,143 2,781	13,448 12,412 11,397	37,897 32,380 27,538	18,110 14,735 11,790	19,787 17,645 15,748
1999: Series A Series B Series C	108,672 104,776 101,568	69,649 71,774 73,814	52,249 56,011 59,543	3,724 3,211 2,817	13,676 12,552 11,453	39,023 33,002 27,753	18,781 15,087 11,882	20,241 17,916 15,871
2000: Series A. Series B	110,217 105,933 102,440	70,024 72,277 74,449	52,263 56,294 60,080	3,845 3,282 2,855	13,916 12,701 11,515	40,193 33,656 27,991	19,471 15,452 11,985	20,722 18,204 16,006

¹As of March 1, based on Current Population Survey.

Table 2. Estimates of Households, by Age of Householder for 1985, and Projections From 1986 to 2000

92

(In thousands. Reference date is July 1, except as noted)

	T								
Series and age of householder	1985 ¹	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	
SERIES A	1								
Total. Under 25 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 44 years. 45 to 54 years. 55 to 64 years. 65 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	86,789 5,438 9,637 10,377 17,481 12,628 13,073 10,851 7,305	88,785 5,159 9,956 10,585 18,551 12,836 12,902 11,069 7,727	90,382 5,014 9,955 10,947 19,294 13,156 12,747 11,278 7,992	91,987 4,871 9,935 11,215 19,942 13,700 12,620 11,443 8,260	93,622 4,764 9,872 11,465 20,744 14,181 12,472 11,599 8,526	95,243 4,706 9,699 11,696 21,608 14,650 12,345 11,746 8,793	96,828 4,703 9,396 11,943 22,528 15,087 12,258 11,879 9,035	98,316 4,663 9,135 12,013 22,961 16,105 12,186 11,991 9,264	
SERIES B								· · · · ·	
Total. Under 25 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 44 years. 45 to 54 years. 55 to 74 years. 65 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	86,789 5,438 9,637 10,377 17,481 12,628 13,073 10,851 7,305	88,620 5,159 9,953 10,558 18,491 12,798 12,893 11,053 7,714	90,033 5,010 9,947 10,888 19,169 13,079 12,731 11,245 7,965	91,434 4,857 9,920 11,121 19,746 13,578 12,597 11,395 8,219	92,847 4,737 9,848 11,332 20,468 14,011 12,443 11,538 8,470	94,227 4,663 9,663 11,520 21,245 14,429 12,311 11,672 8,724	95,555 4,642 9,348 11,719 22,069 14,812 12,220 11,794 8,951	96,769 4,580 9,073 11,740 22,409 15,760 12,145 11,896 9,167	
SERIES C									
Total Under 25 years	86,789 5,438 9,637 10,377 17,481 12,628 13,073 10,851 7,305	88,458 5,161 9,951 10,532 18,433 12,761 12,884 11,036 7,700	89,697 5,012 9,942 10,833 19,046 13,003 12,713 11,211 7,937	90,912 4,855 9,912 11,036 19,555 13,460 12,572 11,344 8,176	92,126 4,731 9,835 11,215 20,203 13,849 12,411 11,470 8,412	93,297 4,653 9,646 11,370 20,900 14,220 12,272 11,588 8,649	94,406 4,629 9,326 11,533 21,635 14,554 12,174 11,693 8,861	95,392 4,561 9,045 11,521 21,893 15,439 12,093 11,780 9,059	
Series and age of householder	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	,
SERIES A									Change .
Total. Under 25 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 44 years. 45 to 54 years. 55 to 64 years. 65 to 74 years. 65 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	99,786 4,632 8,847 12,063 23,556 16,943 12,169 12,073 9,503	101,261 4,562 8,629 12,061 24,173 17,779 12,203 12,104 9,750	102,785 4,492 8,542 11,928 24,792 18,618 12,279 12,120 10,014	104,290 4,416 8,570 11,636 25,396 19,477 12,432 12,068 10,296	105,749 4,458 8,496 11,395 25,928 20,260 12,690 11,943 10,579	107,202 4,549 8,409 11,119 26,332 20,951 13,164 11,844 10,834	108,672 4,702 8,227 10,924 26,652 21,797 13,565 11,724 11,081	110,217 4,882 8,033 10,891 26,801 22,710 13,947 11,627 11,326	
SERIES B					1.			•	
Total Under 25 years	97,946 4,522 8,769 11,740 22,903 16,525 12,126 11,970 9,392	99,111 4,421 8,533 11,685 23,411 17,281 12,158 11,996 9,625	100,308 4,316 8,427 11,500 23,916 18,035 12,233 12,006 9,876	101,475 4,201 8,431 11,161 24,401 18,801 12,385 11,952 10,144	102,585 4,198 8,334 10,870 24,813 19,486 12,643 11,826 10,414	103,680 4,234 8,223 10,545 25,098 20,078 13,117 11,728 10,657	104,776 4,327 8,018 10,297 25,301 20,812 13,559 11,610 10,892	105,933 4,442 7,801 10,203 25,339 21,603 13,903 11,516 11,126	
SERIES C									
Total. Under 25 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 44 years. 45 to 54 years. 55 to 64 years. 55 to 64 years. 55 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	96,329 4,495 8,735 11,486 22,298 16,140 12,069 11,840 9,267	97,243 4,382 8,492 11,397 22,713 16,828 12,096 11,851 9,483	98,180 4,264 8,378 11,181 23,120 17,508 12,166 11,849 9,715	99,082 4,134 8,373 10,816 23,503 18,196 12,313 11,783 9,964	99,924 4,115 8,268 10,499 23,813 18,802 12,565 11,647 10,215	100,748 4,132 8,147 10,148 23,999 19,313 13,031 11,540 10,438	101,568 4,204 7,934 9,873 24,106 19,958 13,427 11,413 10,653	102,440 4,299 7,708 9,747 24,052 20,651 13,805 11,311 10,867	

¹As of March 1, based on Current Population Survey.

Appendix. Illustrative Economic Model-Based Predictions of Households

The Census Bureau has recently begun to experiment with economic modeling as an additional method to estimate future households. Based on annual postwar data, regression equations for four age-householder groups (under 25 years, 25-34 years, 35-54 years, 55 years and over) were estimated and used to predict householder proportions for the 1990-2000 period. The predicted proportions were applied to Bureau population projections to obtain estimates of the number of future households.

The major independent economic variables used to explain and to predict age-householder proportions were real household income, real household net worth, and relative housing prices. Other economic and demographic variables were also tested in the householder equations.¹ Household estimates for selected future years based on two assumed economic scenarios are shown in tables A-1 and A-2.

The predicted number of households in tables A-1 and A-2 are based on an economic model of age-householder proportions. The predictions in table A-1 are consistent with a robust economy characterized by an assumed high rate of secular growth in real income and net worth, and only slightly rising relative housing prices. Table A-2 predictions assume a mildly expanding economy with moderate growth in real income, net worth, and relative housing prices.

The alternative estimates of households shown below are generally consistent with the range of autoregressive timeseries-based projections presented in this report. However, by age of householder, the economic model-based predictions tend to show slightly higher estimates for the youngest age group.

÷.

Table A-1. Households Based on Robust Economy

(Numbers in thousands)

Age of householder	1990	1995	2000
All households Under 25 years 25-34 years	95,724 5,569 22,212 34,902	103,235 5,710 21,428 41,063	110,689 6,379 19,996 45,923
55 years and over	33,041	35,034	38,391

Table A-2. Households Based on Moderately Growing Economy

(Numbers in thousands)

Age of householder	1990	1995	2000
All households	93,437	98,848	103,835
Under 25 years	5,313	5,238	5,616
25-34 years	21,472	20,142	18,237
35-54 years	34,269	39,707	43,665
55 years and over	32,383	33,761	36,317

¹For a more complete explanation of this research, including the estimated equations, readers may write to the authors, Alfred Tella, K. Chandrasekar, or Arnold Reznek, Rm. 3061-3, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C. 20233.