

## 2007 Survey of Business Owners

Release Date: 4/1/2011

**Table 3. Summary Statistics for Native Hawaiian- and Other Pacific Islander-Owned (NHOPI-Owned) Firms in the United States and Selected Counties and Cities in California and Hawaii: 2007**

NOTE. The data include firms with paid employees and firms with no paid employees. Data are based on the 2007 Economic Census, and the estimates of business ownership by gender, ethnicity, race, and veteran status are based on the 2007 Survey of Business Owners. Detail may not add to total due to rounding or because a Hispanic firm may be of any race. Moreover, each owner had the option of selecting more than one race and therefore is included in each race selected. Firms with more than one domestic establishment are counted in each geographic area and industry in which they operate, but only once in the U.S. and state totals for all sectors. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see Introductory Text and Survey Methodology at <http://www.census.gov/econ/sbo>.

These data are available in comma separated value (CSV) format at [http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi\\_table3.csv](http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi_table3.csv).

Geographic Area	NHOPI-owned firms, 2007 (number)	NHOPI-owned firms, 2002 (number)	Percent change in number of NHOPI-owned firms (%)	All firms, 2007 (number)	NHOPI-owned firms as a percentage of all firms for this geographic area, 2007
United States	37,957	28,948	31.1	27,097,236	0.1
California	9,255	7,074	30.8 †	3,425,818	0.3
Contra Costa County, California	283	218	29.8 ‡	95,708	0.3 †
Kern County, California	102	S	S	53,060	0.2 ‡
Los Angeles County, California	2,804	S	S	1,047,037	0.3
Orange County, California	891	790	12.8 ‡	329,398	0.3
Sacramento County, California	333	S	S	108,960	0.3 †
San Bernardino County, California	409	S	S	151,888	0.3 †
San Diego County, California	908	S	S	291,152	0.3
San Francisco County, California	179	288	-37.8 *	105,030	0.2 †
San Joaquin County, California	172	S	S	41,137	0.4
San Mateo County, California	339	311	9.0 ‡	74,604	0.5
Santa Clara County, California	663	S	S	156,084	0.4
Bakersfield city, California	62	X	X	27,628	0.2 ‡

[1] - Relative standard error (RSE)

[2] - Standard error (SE)

\* - Percentage is not statistically different from zero.

† - Percentage is not statistically different from the national-level percentage.

‡ - Percentage is neither statistically different from zero nor the national-level percentage.

S - Withheld because estimate did not meet publication standards

X - Not applicable, not available, or not comparable

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2007 Survey of Business Owners and 2002 Survey of Business Owners

## 2007 Survey of Business Owners

Release Date: 4/1/2011

**Table 3. Summary Statistics for Native Hawaiian- and Other Pacific Islander-Owned (NHOPI-Owned) Firms in the United States and Selected Counties and Cities in California and Hawaii: 2007**

NOTE. The data include firms with paid employees and firms with no paid employees. Data are based on the 2007 Economic Census, and the estimates of business ownership by gender, ethnicity, race, and veteran status are based on the 2007 Survey of Business Owners. Detail may not add to total due to rounding or because a Hispanic firm may be of any race. Moreover, each owner had the option of selecting more than one race and therefore is included in each race selected. Firms with more than one domestic establishment are counted in each geographic area and industry in which they operate, but only once in the U.S. and state totals for all sectors. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see Introductory Text and Survey Methodology at <http://www.census.gov/econ/sbo>.

These data are available in comma separated value (CSV) format at [http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi\\_table3.csv](http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi_table3.csv).

Geographic Area	NHOPI-owned firms, 2007 (number)	NHOPI-owned firms, 2002 (number)	Percent change in number of NHOPI-owned firms (%)	All firms, 2007 (number)	NHOPI-owned firms as a percentage of all firms for this geographic area, 2007
Carlsbad city, California	40	X	X	14,442	0.3 ‡
Fontana city, California	82	X	X	12,630	0.6 ‡
Fremont city, California	105	X	X	19,240	0.5 †
Hayward city, California	123	X	X	10,263	1.2 ‡
Livermore city, California	72	X	X	7,402	1.0 ‡
Los Angeles city, California	978	S	S	450,108	0.2 †
Mountain View city, California	42	X	X	7,844	0.5 ‡
San Bernardino city, California	41	X	X	12,685	0.3 ‡
San Diego city, California	369	S	S	130,529	0.3 †
San Francisco city, California	179	288	-37.8 *	105,030	0.2 †
San Jose city, California	342	X	X	71,553	0.5
South San Francisco city, California	34	X	X	4,986	0.7 ‡
Hawaii	11,383	8,359	36.2 †	120,394	9.5
Hawaii County, Hawaii	1,722	1,548	11.2 ‡	18,909	9.1

[1] - Relative standard error (RSE)

[2] - Standard error (SE)

\* - Percentage is not statistically different from zero.

† - Percentage is not statistically different from the national-level percentage.

‡ - Percentage is neither statistically different from zero nor the national-level percentage.

S - Withheld because estimate did not meet publication standards

X - Not applicable, not available, or not comparable

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2007 Survey of Business Owners and 2002 Survey of Business Owners

## 2007 Survey of Business Owners

Release Date: 4/1/2011

**Table 3. Summary Statistics for Native Hawaiian- and Other Pacific Islander-Owned (NHOPI-Owned) Firms in the United States and Selected Counties and Cities in California and Hawaii: 2007**

NOTE. The data include firms with paid employees and firms with no paid employees. Data are based on the 2007 Economic Census, and the estimates of business ownership by gender, ethnicity, race, and veteran status are based on the 2007 Survey of Business Owners. Detail may not add to total due to rounding or because a Hispanic firm may be of any race. Moreover, each owner had the option of selecting more than one race and therefore is included in each race selected. Firms with more than one domestic establishment are counted in each geographic area and industry in which they operate, but only once in the U.S. and state totals for all sectors. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see Introductory Text and Survey Methodology at <http://www.census.gov/econ/sbo>.

These data are available in comma separated value (CSV) format at [http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi\\_table3.csv](http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi_table3.csv).

Geographic Area	NHOPI-owned firms, 2007 (number)	NHOPI-owned firms, 2002 (number)	Percent change in number of NHOPI-owned firms (%)	All firms, 2007 (number)	NHOPI-owned firms as a percentage of all firms for this geographic area, 2007
Honolulu County, Hawaii	6,721	5,052	33.0 †	74,076	9.1
Kauai County, Hawaii	850	594	43.1 ‡	8,316	10.2
Maui County, Hawaii	2,111	1,188	77.7 †	20,101	10.5
Ahuimanu CDP, Hawaii	89	X	X	527	16.9
Balance of Hawaii County, Hawaii	911	X	X	10,335	8.8
Balance of Honolulu County, Hawaii	1,309	X	X	8,901	14.7
Balance of Kauai County, Hawaii	567	X	X	5,564	10.2
Haiku-Pauwela CDP, Hawaii	148	X	X	1,296	11.4 ‡
Halawa CDP, Hawaii	146	X	X	1,203	12.1
Hilo CDP, Hawaii	499	369	35.2 ‡	4,436	11.2
Honolulu CDP, Hawaii	2,517	2,415	4.2 ‡	42,037	6.0
Island of Molokai, Hawaii	136	X	X	547	24.9
Kailua CDP (Hawaii County), Hawaii	141	211	-33.2 ‡	1,865	7.6
Kailua CDP (Honolulu County), Hawaii	366	S	S	4,293	8.5

[1] - Relative standard error (RSE)

[2] - Standard error (SE)

\* - Percentage is not statistically different from zero.

† - Percentage is not statistically different from the national-level percentage.

‡ - Percentage is neither statistically different from zero nor the national-level percentage.

S - Withheld because estimate did not meet publication standards

X - Not applicable, not available, or not comparable

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2007 Survey of Business Owners and 2002 Survey of Business Owners

## 2007 Survey of Business Owners

Release Date: 4/1/2011

**Table 3. Summary Statistics for Native Hawaiian- and Other Pacific Islander-Owned (NHOPI-Owned) Firms in the United States and Selected Counties and Cities in California and Hawaii: 2007**

NOTE. The data include firms with paid employees and firms with no paid employees. Data are based on the 2007 Economic Census, and the estimates of business ownership by gender, ethnicity, race, and veteran status are based on the 2007 Survey of Business Owners. Detail may not add to total due to rounding or because a Hispanic firm may be of any race. Moreover, each owner had the option of selecting more than one race and therefore is included in each race selected. Firms with more than one domestic establishment are counted in each geographic area and industry in which they operate, but only once in the U.S. and state totals for all sectors. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see Introductory Text and Survey Methodology at <http://www.census.gov/econ/sbo>.

These data are available in comma separated value (CSV) format at [http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi\\_table3.csv](http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi_table3.csv).

Geographic Area	NHOPI-owned firms, 2007 (number)	NHOPI-owned firms, 2002 (number)	Percent change in number of NHOPI-owned firms (%)	All firms, 2007 (number)	NHOPI-owned firms as a percentage of all firms for this geographic area, 2007
Kalaoa CDP, Hawaii	115	X	X	1,245	9.2
Kaneohe CDP, Hawaii	444	296	50.0 ‡	2,906	15.3
Kapaa CDP, Hawaii	124	109	13.8 ‡	1,389	8.9 †
Kihei CDP, Hawaii	87	156	-44.2 ‡	2,863	3.0
Lahaina CDP, Hawaii	196	S	S	2,277	8.6
Lihue CDP, Hawaii	158	X	X	1,441	11.0
Maili CDP, Hawaii	26	X	X	162	16.0 ‡
Makaha CDP, Hawaii	134	X	X	418	32.1
Makakilo City CDP, Hawaii	167	S	S	721	23.2
Waianae CDP, Hawaii	108	S	S	576	18.8 ‡
Waimalu CDP, Hawaii	156	143	9.1 ‡	2,204	7.1

[1] - Relative standard error (RSE)

[2] - Standard error (SE)

\* - Percentage is not statistically different from zero.

† - Percentage is not statistically different from the national-level percentage.

‡ - Percentage is neither statistically different from zero nor the national-level percentage.

S - Withheld because estimate did not meet publication standards

X - Not applicable, not available, or not comparable

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2007 Survey of Business Owners and 2002 Survey of Business Owners

## 2007 Survey of Business Owners

Release Date: 4/1/2011

**Table 3. Summary Statistics for Native Hawaiian- and Other Pacific Islander-Owned (NHOPI-Owned) Firms in the United States and Selected Counties and Cities in California and Hawaii: 2007**

NOTE. The data include firms with paid employees and firms with no paid employees. Data are based on the 2007 Economic Census, and the estimates of business ownership by gender, ethnicity, race, and veteran status are based on the 2007 Survey of Business Owners. Detail may not add to total due to rounding or because a Hispanic firm may be of any race. Moreover, each owner had the option of selecting more than one race and therefore is included in each race selected. Firms with more than one domestic establishment are counted in each geographic area and industry in which they operate, but only once in the U.S. and state totals for all sectors. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see Introductory Text and Survey Methodology at <http://www.census.gov/econ/sbo>.

These data are available in comma separated value (CSV) format at [http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi\\_table3.csv](http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi_table3.csv).

Geographic Area	RSE[1] of number of NHOPI-owned firms, 2007 (%)	RSE[1] of number of NHOPI-owned firms, 2002 (%)	SE[2] of percent change in NHOPI-owned firms (%)	RSE[1] of number of all firms, 2007 (%)	SE[2] of NHOPI-owned firms as a percentage of all firms for this geographic area, 2007
United States	4	3	6.6	0	0.0
California	8	9	15.8	0	0.0
Contra Costa County, California	49	55	95.6	3	0.1
Kern County, California	83	S	S	2	0.2
Los Angeles County, California	13	S	S	0	0.0
Orange County, California	30	28	46.3	1	0.1
Sacramento County, California	45	S	S	1	0.1
San Bernardino County, California	36	S	S	2	0.1
San Diego County, California	24	S	S	1	0.1
San Francisco County, California	49	36	37.8	3	0.1
San Joaquin County, California	38	S	S	4	0.2

[1] - Relative standard error (RSE)

[2] - Standard error (SE)

\* - Percentage is not statistically different from zero.

† - Percentage is not statistically different from the national-level percentage.

‡ - Percentage is neither statistically different from zero nor the national-level percentage.

S - Withheld because estimate did not meet publication standards

X - Not applicable, not available, or not comparable

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2007 Survey of Business Owners and 2002 Survey of Business Owners

## 2007 Survey of Business Owners

Release Date: 4/1/2011

**Table 3. Summary Statistics for Native Hawaiian- and Other Pacific Islander-Owned (NHOPI-Owned) Firms in the United States and Selected Counties and Cities in California and Hawaii: 2007**

NOTE. The data include firms with paid employees and firms with no paid employees. Data are based on the 2007 Economic Census, and the estimates of business ownership by gender, ethnicity, race, and veteran status are based on the 2007 Survey of Business Owners. Detail may not add to total due to rounding or because a Hispanic firm may be of any race. Moreover, each owner had the option of selecting more than one race and therefore is included in each race selected. Firms with more than one domestic establishment are counted in each geographic area and industry in which they operate, but only once in the U.S. and state totals for all sectors. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see Introductory Text and Survey Methodology at <http://www.census.gov/econ/sbo>.

These data are available in comma separated value (CSV) format at [http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi\\_table3.csv](http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi_table3.csv).

Geographic Area	RSE[1] of number of NHOPI-owned firms, 2007 (%)	RSE[1] of number of NHOPI-owned firms, 2002 (%)	SE[2] of percent change in NHOPI-owned firms (%)	RSE[1] of number of all firms, 2007 (%)	SE[2] of NHOPI-owned firms as a percentage of all firms for this geographic area, 2007
San Mateo County, California	36	39	57.9	3	0.2
Santa Clara County, California	19	S	S	1	0.1
Bakersfield city, California	95	X	X	3	0.2
Carlsbad city, California	89	X	X	4	0.2
Fontana city, California	73	X	X	3	0.5
Fremont city, California	51	X	X	4	0.3
Hayward city, California	80	X	X	5	1.0
Livermore city, California	70	X	X	6	0.7
Los Angeles city, California	25	S	S	1	0.1
Mountain View city, California	85	X	X	6	0.5
San Bernardino city, California	134	X	X	5	0.4

[1] - Relative standard error (RSE)

[2] - Standard error (SE)

\* - Percentage is not statistically different from zero.

† - Percentage is not statistically different from the national-level percentage.

‡ - Percentage is neither statistically different from zero nor the national-level percentage.

S - Withheld because estimate did not meet publication standards

X - Not applicable, not available, or not comparable

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2007 Survey of Business Owners and 2002 Survey of Business Owners

## 2007 Survey of Business Owners

Release Date: 4/1/2011

**Table 3. Summary Statistics for Native Hawaiian- and Other Pacific Islander-Owned (NHOPI-Owned) Firms in the United States and Selected Counties and Cities in California and Hawaii: 2007**

NOTE. The data include firms with paid employees and firms with no paid employees. Data are based on the 2007 Economic Census, and the estimates of business ownership by gender, ethnicity, race, and veteran status are based on the 2007 Survey of Business Owners. Detail may not add to total due to rounding or because a Hispanic firm may be of any race. Moreover, each owner had the option of selecting more than one race and therefore is included in each race selected. Firms with more than one domestic establishment are counted in each geographic area and industry in which they operate, but only once in the U.S. and state totals for all sectors. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see Introductory Text and Survey Methodology at <http://www.census.gov/econ/sbo>.

These data are available in comma separated value (CSV) format at [http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi\\_table3.csv](http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi_table3.csv).

Geographic Area	RSE[1] of number of NHOPI-owned firms, 2007 (%)	RSE[1] of number of NHOPI-owned firms, 2002 (%)	SE[2] of percent change in NHOPI-owned firms (%)	RSE[1] of number of all firms, 2007 (%)	SE[2] of NHOPI-owned firms as a percentage of all firms for this geographic area, 2007
San Diego city, California	43	S	S	2	0.1
San Francisco city, California	49	36	37.8	3	0.1
San Jose city, California	32	X	X	3	0.2
South San Francisco city, California	87	X	X	8	0.6
Hawaii	6	6	11.6	1	0.6
Hawaii County, Hawaii	11	16	21.6	5	1.1
Honolulu County, Hawaii	6	7	12.3	1	0.6
Kauai County, Hawaii	29	27	56.7	6	3.0
Maui County, Hawaii	10	22	42.9	2	1.1
Ahuimanu CDP, Hawaii	43	X	X	28	8.7
Balance of Hawaii County, Hawaii	14	X	X	9	1.5

[1] - Relative standard error (RSE)

[2] - Standard error (SE)

\* - Percentage is not statistically different from zero.

† - Percentage is not statistically different from the national-level percentage.

‡ - Percentage is neither statistically different from zero nor the national-level percentage.

S - Withheld because estimate did not meet publication standards

X - Not applicable, not available, or not comparable

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2007 Survey of Business Owners and 2002 Survey of Business Owners

## 2007 Survey of Business Owners

Release Date: 4/1/2011

**Table 3. Summary Statistics for Native Hawaiian- and Other Pacific Islander-Owned (NHOPI-Owned) Firms in the United States and Selected Counties and Cities in California and Hawaii: 2007**

NOTE. The data include firms with paid employees and firms with no paid employees. Data are based on the 2007 Economic Census, and the estimates of business ownership by gender, ethnicity, race, and veteran status are based on the 2007 Survey of Business Owners. Detail may not add to total due to rounding or because a Hispanic firm may be of any race. Moreover, each owner had the option of selecting more than one race and therefore is included in each race selected. Firms with more than one domestic establishment are counted in each geographic area and industry in which they operate, but only once in the U.S. and state totals for all sectors. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see Introductory Text and Survey Methodology at <http://www.census.gov/econ/sbo>.

These data are available in comma separated value (CSV) format at [http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi\\_table3.csv](http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi_table3.csv).

Geographic Area	RSE[1] of number of NHOPI-owned firms, 2007 (%)	RSE[1] of number of NHOPI-owned firms, 2002 (%)	SE[2] of percent change in NHOPI-owned firms (%)	RSE[1] of number of all firms, 2007 (%)	SE[2] of NHOPI-owned firms as a percentage of all firms for this geographic area, 2007
Balance of Honolulu County, Hawaii	21	X	X	5	3.2
Balance of Kauai County, Hawaii	32	X	X	7	3.3
Haiku-Pauwela CDP, Hawaii	68	X	X	21	8.1
Halawa CDP, Hawaii	58	X	X	21	7.5
Hilo CDP, Hawaii	22	32	52.5	13	2.9
Honolulu CDP, Hawaii	11	19	22.9	2	0.7
Island of Molokai, Hawaii	50	X	X	26	14.0
Kailua CDP (Hawaii County), Hawaii	54	31	41.6	12	4.2
Kailua CDP (Honolulu County), Hawaii	32	S	S	11	2.9
Kalaoa CDP, Hawaii	49	X	X	20	4.9
Kaneohe CDP, Hawaii	15	37	59.9	12	2.9

[1] - Relative standard error (RSE)

[2] - Standard error (SE)

\* - Percentage is not statistically different from zero.

† - Percentage is not statistically different from the national-level percentage.

‡ - Percentage is neither statistically different from zero nor the national-level percentage.

S - Withheld because estimate did not meet publication standards

X - Not applicable, not available, or not comparable

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2007 Survey of Business Owners and 2002 Survey of Business Owners



## 2007 Survey of Business Owners

Release Date: 4/1/2011

**Table 3. Summary Statistics for Native Hawaiian- and Other Pacific Islander-Owned (NHOPI-Owned) Firms in the United States and Selected Counties and Cities in California and Hawaii: 2007**

NOTE. The data include firms with paid employees and firms with no paid employees. Data are based on the 2007 Economic Census, and the estimates of business ownership by gender, ethnicity, race, and veteran status are based on the 2007 Survey of Business Owners. Detail may not add to total due to rounding or because a Hispanic firm may be of any race. Moreover, each owner had the option of selecting more than one race and therefore is included in each race selected. Firms with more than one domestic establishment are counted in each geographic area and industry in which they operate, but only once in the U.S. and state totals for all sectors. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see Introductory Text and Survey Methodology at <http://www.census.gov/econ/sbo>.

These data are available in comma separated value (CSV) format at [http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi\\_table3.csv](http://www2.census.gov/econ/sbo/07/final/tables/nhopi_table3.csv).

Geographic Area	RSE[1] of number of NHOPI-owned firms, 2007 (%)	RSE[1] of number of NHOPI-owned firms, 2002 (%)	SE[2] of percent change in NHOPI-owned firms (%)	RSE[1] of number of all firms, 2007 (%)	SE[2] of NHOPI-owned firms as a percentage of all firms for this geographic area, 2007
Kapaa CDP, Hawaii	61	48	88.3	12	5.6
Kihei CDP, Hawaii	43	79	50.2	14	1.4
Lahaina CDP, Hawaii	46	S	S	10	4.1
Lihue CDP, Hawaii	57	X	X	15	6.5
Maili CDP, Hawaii	119	X	X	40	20.1
Makaha CDP, Hawaii	40	X	X	34	16.8
Makakilo City CDP, Hawaii	51	S	S	17	12.5
Waianae CDP, Hawaii	63	S	S	22	12.5
Waimalu CDP, Hawaii	46	35	63.1	14	3.4

[1] - Relative standard error (RSE)

[2] - Standard error (SE)

\* - Percentage is not statistically different from zero.

† - Percentage is not statistically different from the national-level percentage.

‡ - Percentage is neither statistically different from zero nor the national-level percentage.

S - Withheld because estimate did not meet publication standards

X - Not applicable, not available, or not comparable

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2007 Survey of Business Owners and 2002 Survey of Business Owners