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## Executive Summary

The objective of the 2010 Census Mail Response/Return Rates Assessment is to assess respondent behavior while implementing a mailing strategy with a replacement mailing. All questionnaires arriving at one of three processing centers (Baltimore, Maryland; Jeffersonville, Indiana; or Phoenix, Arizona) were assigned a date according to when they went through checkin processing. At the peak response times, these dates may not reflect when the questionnaire arrived at a processing center. The Decennial Response Integration System was contractually required to check-in mail returns within 48 hours of receipt (Smith and Osborne, 2011).

The mail response rate is defined as the number of unduplicated nonblank mail returns divided by the number of housing units in the mailback (Mailout/Mailback, Update/Leave, Urban Update/Leave) universe. The mail return rate is defined as the number of unduplicated nonblank mail returns divided by the number of housing units in the mailback universe that were not deleted, identified as vacant, or had an address identified as undeliverable as addressed.

This assessment will evaluate the impact of the mailing strategy on mail response and mail return rates. These rates will be analyzed at the national (without Puerto Rico), state, county, form type (English-only and Bilingual), type of enumeration area, and replacement mailing stratum levels. Mail return rates will also be analyzed by age of householder, race of householder, ethnicity of householder, tenure, and size of household.

Research Question \#1: What were the mail response and mail return rates for the nation in the 2010 Census by type of enumeration area and form type (English-only and Bilingual) when the Nonresponse Followup universe was determined?

To answer Research Question \#1, we looked at the national mail response and mail return rates by type of enumeration area and form type when the Nonresponse Followup universe was determined on April 19, 2010. The mail response rates reflect the percentage of housing units that returned their questionnaire in time to avoid the necessity of enumeration in Nonresponse Followup. The mail return rates reflect the percentage of occupied housing units that returned their questionnaire in time to avoid enumeration in Nonresponse Followup.

Overall, as of April 19, 2010, the national mail response rate was 63.5 percent and the national mail return rate was 75.8 percent. Looking at the mail response and mail return rates by type of enumeration area shows that a higher proportion of households in Mailout/Mailback (64.4 percent and 76.0 percent, respectively) areas returned a form than households in Update/Leave ( 54.2 percent and 74.4 percent) and Urban Update/Leave ( 49.2 percent and 66.8 percent) areas.

## Research Question \#2: What were the final mail response and mail return rates for the nation in the 2010 Census by type of enumeration area and form type (English-only and Bilingual)?

To answer Research Question \#2, we looked at the national mail response and mail return rates by type of enumeration area and form type at the end of questionnaire processing on September 7. The number of households in mailback areas that returned their questionnaire after

April 19, 2010 was $3,935,728$, increasing the national mail response rate from 63.5 percent to 66.5 percent and the national mail return rate from 75.8 percent to 79.3 percent.

Most of the patterns in mail response and mail return rates as of September 7, 2010 are similar to those as of April 19, 2010, though the rates for all groups are higher. Looking at the final mail response and final mail return rates by type of enumeration area shows that a higher proportion of households in Mailout/Mailback ( 67.5 percent and 79.6 percent, respectively) areas returned a form than households in Update/Leave ( 56.2 percent and 76.8 percent) and Urban Update/Leave (51.4 percent and 69.6 percent) areas.

## Research Question \#3: What were the differences between the mail response and mail return rates during the 2010 Census?

To answer Research Question \#3, we compared mail response and mail return rates by form type, type of enumeration area, and a combination of form type and type of enumeration area. Mail response rates measure the percentage of Nonresponse Followup eligible housing unit workload providing a mail return while the mail return rate measures the percentage of occupied housing unit providing a mail return. Although it is a more useful rate for determining Nonresponse Followup workloads, it is not as good as the mail return rate for measuring respondent cooperation. The mail response rate denominator ( $130,047,668$ housing units) is larger than the mail return rate denominator ( $108,879,340$ housing units) because the mail response rate denominator includes vacant housing units, Undeliverable as Addressed, and addresses deleted in the Update/Leave and Urban Update/Leave delivery.

As of the Nonresponse Followup cut on April 19, 2010, the national mail response rate ( 63.5 percent) was 12.3 percentage points lower than the national mail return rate ( 75.8 percent). The difference between the two rates was greater for areas that received English-only (12.5 percentage points) forms than areas that received Bilingual (10.3 percentage points) forms and even greater for Update/Leave ( 20.2 percentage points) and Urban Update/Leave (17.6 percentage points) areas than for Mailout/Mailback (11.6 percentage points) areas.

From April 19, 2010 to September 7, 2010, an additional 3,935,728 valid mail returns were checked in. The final mail response rate ( 66.5 percent) was 12.8 percentage points lower than the final mail return rate ( 79.3 percent), which is a slight increase in the difference between these two rates on April 19, 2010. The difference between the two rates was greater for areas that received English-only ( 13.0 percentage points) forms than for areas that received Bilingual (10.9 percentage points) forms and even greater for Update/Leave (20.6 percentage points) and Urban Update/Leave (18.2 percentage points) areas than in Mailout/Mailback (12.1 percentage points) areas.

## Research Question \#4: What impact did the replacement questionnaire have on the mail response and mail return rate by stratum?

To answer Research Question \#4, we looked at mail response and mail return rates for the initial and replacement questionnaire by replacement mailing stratum. When making comparisons between Census 2000 and the 2010 Census, it is important to note that there was no replacement mailing in Census 2000. The patterns for the initial questionnaire final mail response rates by
replacement mailing stratum were similar to what we saw in those areas during Census 2000, with the blanket replacement mailing stratum being the lowest ( 48.6 percent) while the no replacement mailing stratum was the highest ( 69.6 percent). After adding in responses to the replacement questionnaire, the final mail response rates still have the same pattern with the blanket replacement stratum being the lowest ( 56.4 percent) while the no replacement mailing stratum was still the highest ( 69.6 percent).

The initial questionnaire final mail return rates were also similar to the pattern we saw in Census 2000, with the blanket replacement mailing stratum being the lowest ( 64.6 percent) while the no replacement mail stratum was the highest ( 80.1 percent). Including responses from the replacement questionnaire shows the blanket replacement mailing stratum was still the lowest ( 74.9 percent) while the target replacement mailing stratum ( 80.5 percent) and the no replacement mailing stratum ( 80.1 percent) were about the same.

## Research Question \#5: What were the mail return rates by age of householder, race of householder, Hispanic origin of householder, tenure, and size of household?

To answer Research Question \#5, we looked at mail return rates based on demographic characteristics (age, race, and Hispanic origin) of the householder as well as the tenure and size of the household. The householder is defined as the household member in whose name the housing unit was owned or rented on Census Day (April 1,2010) and is usually the person who filled out the questionnaire. By definition, there is exactly one householder for every occupied housing unit.

The likelihood of responding to the census increased with householder's age. Householders older than age 64 had the highest final mail return rate ( 90.0 percent) and those few householders younger than 18 had an extremely low final mail return rate ( 30.4 percent).

Whites had a higher final mail return rate ( 82.5 percent) than the national final mail return rate (79.3 percent), while all other race groups had lower final mail return rates than the national final mail return rate. The lowest final mail return rates were those of Some Other Race (56.2 percent) and Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander (59.7 percent) householders.

Non-Hispanic householders had a final mail return rate of 80.5 percent, 10.8 percentage points higher than the final mail return rate of 69.7 percent for Hispanic householders. Hispanic householders responded to a higher proportion of replacement questionnaires (4.4 percentage points) than Non-Hispanic householders (3.3 percentage points).

Owner-occupied housing units had a final mail return rate of 85.8 percent, 18.9 percentage points higher than the Renter-occupied housing unit mail return rate of 66.9 percent. Renter-occupied housing units responded to a higher proportion of replacement questionnaires ( 5.2 percentage points) than Owner-occupied housing units ( 2.5 percentage points).

Households consisting of two persons had the largest proportion of residents who responded to the census. Following two-person households in respondent cooperation are three-person, fourperson, and one-person households, respectively. Households of five persons or more have increasingly lower final mail return rates as household size increases.

## Research Question \#6: What was the undeliverable as addressed rate in the 2010 Census?

To answer Research Question \#6, we looked at the undeliverable as addressed rates by form type and replacement mailing stratum. Overall, the national undeliverable as addressed rate in the 2010 Census was 11.6 percent, with 0.5 percentage points of the total undeliverable as addressed addresses being processed after the NRFU cut.

While answering Research Question \#4, we noted how the no replacement mailing stratum had the highest final mail response rate ( 69.6 percent) while the blanket replacement mailing stratum had the lowest ( 56.4 percent). Looking at the undeliverable as addressed rates shows the low responding blanket replacement mailing stratum had the highest undeliverable as addressed rate (19.0 percent) while the high responding no replacement mailing stratum had the lowest undeliverable as addressed rate ( 8.0 percent). Both the blanket replacement mailing stratum and the target replacement mailing stratum (13.3 percent) had a higher undeliverable as addressed rate than the national rate.

## Research Question \#7: How many addresses identified as undeliverable also provided a valid mail return?

To answer Research Question \#7, we looked at addresses that had both provided a valid mail return and had a questionnaire processed as undeliverable as addressed. Housing units in this scenario were included in the numerators of the mail response and mail return rates but excluded from the numerator of the undeliverable as addressed rate.

Overall, a very small portion of all mail returns ( 0.4 percent) had an address that also had a questionnaire identified as undeliverable as addressed. Looking at the final mail return and undeliverable as addressed rates if there was no mail return associated with these addresses shows there would have been very small changes in the final national rates. The final undeliverable as addressed rate ( 11.6 percent) would be 0.3 percentage points higher while the final mail response rate ( 66.5 percent) would be 0.2 percentage points lower.

## RECOMMENDATION

- Implement a full-scale replacement mailing. Although slightly less than half the country was eligible for the replacement mailing, it was effective in decreasing the gap between the highest responding areas and lower responding areas. Results from the experiment will provide additional information on the impact of the replacement mailing strategies in the various strata (Dusch and Hill, 2012).


## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Scope

The objective of the 2010 Census Mail Response/Return Rates Assessment is to assess respondent behavior while implementing a mailing strategy with a replacement mailing. All questionnaires arriving at one of three processing centers (Baltimore, Maryland;
Jeffersonville, Indiana; or Phoenix, Arizona) were assigned a date according to when they went through check-in processing. At the peak response times, these dates may not reflect when the questionnaire was actually received at a processing center. Decennial Response Integration System (DRIS) was contractually required to check-in mail returns within 48 hours of receipt (Smith and Osborne, 2011).

This assessment will analyze mail response and mail return rates at the national (without Puerto Rico), state, county, form type (English-only and Bilingual), type of enumeration area (TEA), and replacement mailing stratum levels. Mail return rates will be calculated by age of householder, race of householder, ethnicity of householder, tenure, and size of household.

### 1.2 Intended Audience

This assessment is intended for all program managers and staffs responsible for planning the 2020 Census. Results from this assessment will be used as input for modeling potential workloads in the 2020 Census.

## 2. Background

### 2.1 Census 2000

In Census 2000, the questionnaire Mailout/Mailback (MO/MB) system was the primary means of census taking. Cities, towns, and suburban areas with city-style addresses (house number and street name) as well as rural areas where city-style addresses are used for mail delivery comprised the $\mathrm{MO} / \mathrm{MB}$ areas. Update/Leave (U/L) areas were delineated as those areas thought to contain a sufficient rate of non-city style addresses as would make mail delivery of the questionnaires insufficient. Enumerators delivered addressed questionnaires to U/L housing units and made any necessary corrections or additions to census maps and address lists as they delivered the questionnaires.

The Urban Update/Leave (UU/L) operation targeted areas deemed unsuitable for MO/MB in spite of city-style addresses. Primarily, these are; 1) multi-unit buildings where the United States Postal Service (USPS) delivers the mail to a drop point instead of individual unit designations, and 2) urban communities that had city-style addresses but where many residents picked up their mail at a post office box. The UU/L operation relied on the local regions to identify areas based on their knowledge of whether the USPS could adequately deliver the census questionnaires. Operationally in UU/L areas, enumerators delivered the census questionnaires and updated their address registers and census maps concurrently. In
both delivery methodologies, the housing units were provided with first-class postage paid envelopes for returning their questionnaires.

Census 2000 included two types of questionnaires for mailback (MO/MB, U/L, and UU/L) areas:

- Short Form Questionnaire: delivered to approximately 83 percent of all housing units. This form allowed the respondent to list up to 12 household members. It provided space for reporting the basic population and housing data (i.e., name, relationship status, age, sex, race, Hispanic origin, and tenure) for up to six household members and the housing unit.
- Long Form Questionnaire: delivered to a sample - approximately 17 percent - of all housing units. This form allowed the respondent to list up to 12 household members. It included all the questions on the short form, as well as additional housing unit questions and additional person questions for up to six household members.

For Census 2000, the Census Bureau used a mail strategy consisting of multiple contacts in MO/MB areas. These contacts were:

- An advance notice letter to every address that alerted households that the census form would be sent to them soon.
- A questionnaire to every address.
- A postcard to every address that served as a reminder to those who had not yet mailed back their questionnaire and as a thank you to those who had.

This multiple mailing strategy used first-class postage for all pieces in MO/MB areas. Census 2000 was the first time the initial questionnaire package had a mandatory message on the outgoing envelope stating response was required by law. The mail response rate denominator included only housing units in mailback areas (Mailout/Mailback, Update/Leave, and Urban Update/Leave) that were not pre-identified as having inadequate addresses for mailout. The mail response rate numerator included housing units in the denominator that had a valid mail return in the form of a paper mail return, an Internet return, a Be Counted form, a Telephone Questionnaire Assistance (TQA) return, or a Coverage Edit Followup (CEFU) return (Stackhouse and Brady, 2003a).

The mail return rate denominator included occupied housing units in mailback areas that had addresses that were not identified as undeliverable by the USPS and the Census Bureau or were not deleted during the $\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{L}$ or $\mathrm{UU} / \mathrm{L}$ questionnaire deliveries. The mail return rate numerator included housing units in the denominator that had a valid mail return in the form of a paper mail return, an Internet return, a Be Counted form, a TQA return, or a CEFU return (Stackhouse and Brady, 2003b).

Results from Census 2000 show from when the Nonresponse Followup (NRFU) universe was identified to the end of operations, the mail response rate increased from 64.3 percent to 67.4 percent ( 3.1 percentage point increase) and the mail return rate increased from 74.1 percent to 78.4 percent ( 4.3 percentage point increase). One of the objectives heading
towards the 2010 Census was to identify the best strategy to increase self response, thus reducing the NRFU workload.

### 2.2 2003 National Census Test

The 2003 National Census Test (NCT) studied the impact of offering various self-response options, including new or additional contact strategies. One of the overall goals of the 2003 NCT was to identify the best strategy for increasing self response to the census, thus reducing the NRFU workload.

The contact strategy component of the 2003 NCT consisted of three experimental panels. These experimental panels were compared with a control panel to test the effects of a replacement questionnaire mailing, a telephone reminder call in place of a reminder postcard, and a due date on the envelope of the mailing package for the initial questionnaire.

The panels used to test the effects of the various contact strategies were:

- Control Panel: Included an advance letter, an initial questionnaire, a reminder postcard, and a replacement questionnaire to nonrespondents.
- Census 2000 Design Panel (CS1): Included an advance letter, an initial questionnaire, and a reminder postcard. It mimicked the Census 2000 mailing strategy (no replacement questionnaire package).
- Telephone Reminder Call Panel (CS2): Included an advance letter, an initial questionnaire, a reminder telephone call, and a replacement questionnaire to nonrespondents.
- Due Date on Initial Questionnaire's Envelope Panel (CS3): Included an advance letter, an initial questionnaire (with a due date on the mailing package envelope), a reminder postcard, and a replacement questionnaire to nonrespondents.

A cooperation rate was used to measure respondent behavior with regard to returning a questionnaire. It was defined as the number of returns divided by the number of housing units in the panel, less the number of addresses returned by the USPS as UAA for that panel. The denominator for the cooperation rate was the housing units in sample for each panel after removing housing units that were determined to be UAA. UAAs were defined on a housing unit basis as any unit having any mail piece (initial questionnaire, reminder postcard, or replacement questionnaire) returned by the USPS (Bouffard, Brady, and Stapleton, 2004).

The 2003 NCT compared the use of no replacement mailing to the use of a targeted replacement mailing. It was found that the use of a replacement mailing increased response by 10.3 percentage points (Bouffard et. al. 2004). This is consistent with other research that has shown an increase in response rate with the use of a replacement questionnaire (Edwards et. al. 2002).

### 2.3 2005 National Census Test

The 2005 NCT was a national mailout-only test that covered most regions of the U.S., not including Puerto Rico. The universe included all housing units in blocks defined in Census 2000 as MO/MB areas with direct postal mailing addresses (no P.O. Boxes). Residents of group quarters were not eligible for the test. Given that it was a mailout-only test (i.e., selfresponse only), there was no NRFU component. Unlike a typical decennial census, there were no enumerators to conduct telephone calls or personal visits to nonresponding households.

The 2005 NCT used multiple mailings to contact sampled housing units. These mailings included:

- An advance letter informing every household that they would soon receive a questionnaire.
- The initial questionnaire.
- The reminder postcard, which served as a reminder to those who had not yet mailed back their questionnaire and as a thank you to those who had.
- A replacement questionnaire sent to those housing units that had not responded as of a particular date.

Although the replacement questionnaire was found to increase response rates, there is the potential for decreased data quality when compared to the initial questionnaire (Leslie, 1997). The 2005 NCT experimented with several different methods when implementing the replacement mailing. These panels were:

- Control Panel - Provide a replacement questionnaire that looked identical to the initial questionnaire to nonresponding housing units.
- Address Imaging Panel - For the replacement mailing the mailing address and Census ID were pre-printed on a replacement questionnaire. This panel went a step further by sealing the outgoing envelope window using a clear substrate.
- Self Mailer Panel - Used an in-line production method that created the complete questionnaire-mailing package designed to reduce the production printing time relative to other methods.
- Internet Panel - Replacement mailing contained a letter with a uniform resource locator (URL) and Census Identification Number (ID) needed to access the Internet questionnaire.
- Messaging Panel - Replacement questionnaire included a flap titled "Reminder Mailing." This flap also stated: "A census form was sent to your address about two weeks ago. If it was completed and returned, there is no need to return this one. If the original form was not sent back, either this one or the Internet version at www.census.gov/census 2005 needs to be completed and returned."
- Respondent-Friendly (RF) Questionnaire Design and Messaging Panel - This panel involved testing some design concepts on the paper questionnaires in an effort to improve the "respondent-friendliness" of the form.

A self-response rate was used as a measure of respondent behavior with regard to responding to the census test. The numerator was the number of housing units for which we received a nonblank, primary return. The denominator for the self-response rate was the number of housing units minus the number of housing units identified by the USPS as a UAA. A housing unit was defined as a UAA if the initial questionnaire and replacement questionnaire were identified as a UAA.

Results from the 2005 NCT show the Internet Panel ( 57.5 percent) had a lower self-response rate than the Control Panel ( 61.2 percent), which provided a questionnaire in the replacement mailing (Bentley and Tancreto, 2006).

### 2.4 2006 Census Test

The 2006 Census Test (CT) was conducted in two sites, Travis County, Texas and the Cheyenne River American Indian Reservation and Tribal Trust Lands in South Dakota. One of the objectives for this test was to implement an optimal mailing strategy consisting of multiple contacts including, if necessary, a replacement questionnaire. All questionnaires arriving at the National Processing Center were assigned a date according to when they went through check-in processing. The use of Hand Held Computers (HHC) in NRFU during the 2006 CT allowed the Census Bureau to use check-in data to remove cases from the NRFU universe while the operation was in the field. This was an improvement over the paper operation in Census 2000, where most late mail returns could not be removed from the universe. The MO/MB and NRFU components of the 2006 CT took place in the Travis County, Texas site only ${ }^{1}$.

The multiple contacts of the optimal mailing strategy in MO/MB areas included:

- An advance notice letter alerting households that the census questionnaire will be sent to them soon.
- The initial questionnaire.
- The reminder postcard, which served as a reminder to those who had not yet mailed back their questionnaire and as a thank you to those who had.
- A replacement questionnaire sent to those housing units that had not responded as of the date the NRFU universe was determined.

Mail response rates were defined as the number of mail returns checked in divided by the total number of housing units in the $\mathrm{MO} / \mathrm{MB}$ enumeration areas. All questionnaires arriving at National Processing Center (NPC) were assigned a date according to when they went through check-in processing. With the HHCs, the NRFU universe could be updated with questionnaires that were checked in. This removed cases from the NRFU universe. Such functionality was not possible in the paper environment of Census 2000 once NRFU went into the field.

[^0]Results from the 2006 CT show that although the initial mail response rates were low the replacement questionnaire increased self response by 8.8 percentage points (Letourneau, 2007).

### 2.5 2008 Census Dress Rehearsal

The 2008 Census Dress Rehearsal was held in two sites, one urban, and the other one a mix of urban and suburban. San Joaquin County, California was the urban site. South Central North Carolina was the urban/suburban/rural mix site. This area consisted of the city of Fayetteville and the nine surrounding counties (Chatham, Cumberland, Harnett, Hoke, Lee, Montgomery, Moore, Richmond, and Scotland).

Funding constraints and operational considerations caused a reduction in the 2008 Census Dress Rehearsal operations, but the 2008 Census Dress Rehearsal was the first opportunity to apply much of what had been learned from census tests conducted throughout the decade in preparation for the nation's once-a-decade census of population and housing. The 2008 Census Dress Rehearsal used four distinct mailing pieces:

- An advance notice letter alerting households that the census questionnaire will be sent to them soon.
- The initial questionnaire.
- A reminder postcard, which served as a reminder to those who had not yet mailed back their questionnaire and as a thank you to those who had.
- A replacement questionnaire sent to those units that had not responded.

The mail response rate denominator included all NRFU eligible housing units in mailback areas. For the 2008 Census Dress Rehearsal this included only MO/MB areas, as the Update/Leave delivery operation was removed from the 2008 Census Dress Rehearsal. The mail response rate numerator included all unduplicated nonblank mail responses from the initial questionnaire and replacement questionnaire.

Originally the plan was to calculate mail return rates as a way of measuring the level of cooperation while factoring out vacant and nonexistent units that could not submit returns. However, since NRFU and related operations such as the Vacant/Delete Check were no longer part of the 2008 Census Dress Rehearsal, mail return rates could not be calculated since we did not have a meaningful measure of housing unit status.

Results from the 2008 Census Dress Rehearsal show that the replacement questionnaire increased self response by 8.1 percentage points in San Joaquin County, California and 7.8 percentage points in South Central, North Carolina (Bentley, 2009).

### 2.6 2010 Census

The 2010 Census provided only short form questionnaires to all addresses in mailback areas, with the questionnaire MO/MB system being the primary means of census taking. Cities, towns, and suburban areas with city-style addresses (house number and street name) as well as rural areas where city-style addresses are used for mail delivery comprised the MO/MB areas. U/L areas were delineated as those areas thought to contain a sufficient rate of noncity style addresses as would make mail delivery of the questionnaires insufficient. In U/L areas census enumerators delivered addressed questionnaires to housing units. U/L enumerators can make any necessary corrections or additions to census maps and address lists as they deliver the questionnaires. In both delivery methodologies, the housing units were provided with first-class postage paid envelopes for returning their questionnaires.

The 2010 Census used a mailing strategy consisting of multiple contacts. One change from the Census 2000 mailing strategy was the implementation of a replacement questionnaire. The multiple contacts in the 2010 Census included:

- An advance letter alerting households that the census questionnaire will be sent to them soon.
- The initial questionnaire.
- A direct mail postcard to select areas providing information to Asian and Russian speaking households on how to obtain assistance in completing their questionnaire.
- The reminder postcard, which served as a reminder to those who had not yet mailed back their questionnaire and as a thank you to those who had.
- An English-only replacement questionnaire distributed in one of three treatments:
- Blanket replacement mailing - where all households within these areas receive a replacement questionnaire, regardless of whether or not they sent back their initial questionnaire.
- Target replacement mailing - where households within these areas only receive a replacement questionnaire if their initial questionnaire has not been checked in by a predetermined date. Also, postal tracking data were used to identify UAAs that were not checked in yet so these addresses were not sent a questionnaire.
- No replacement mailing - where none of the households within these areas receive a replacement questionnaire, regardless of whether or not they sent back their initial questionnaire.

This particular strategy for mailing the replacement questionnaire was designed in response to NRFU reverting back to a paper operation. The ability to identify late mail returns that could be removed from the NRFU workload in a clerical operation before the start of NRFU, rather than on a continual basis through automation, dictated the need to identify and deliver replacement questionnaires in an earlier timeframe. Moving forward with a full targeted replacement mailing would have resulted in labeling more replacement questionnaires. Not increasing the replacement mailing quantities with the accelerated schedule was an internal decision made by the Census Bureau. The new plan involved dividing the country into areas that would receive a blanketed replacement mailing (which could be labeled earlier because there was no need to wait for responses to determine the universe), areas that would receive a
targeted replacement mailing, and areas that would receive no replacement mailing. This revised replacement mailing strategy was presented and approved by the Census Integration Group (CIG) in early 2008 (Jackson, 2008).

Replacement questionnaire packages were pre-assembled, with 32 million allocated for the blanket replacement mailing and 15 million allocated for the target replacement mailing. These workloads were used to include possible printing errors when attempting to print addresses on the questionnaire packages. Response area cutoffs (low, medium, and high) were driven by replacement mailing workload estimates within the blanketed and targeted areas. The implementation of the replacement questionnaire was based on Census 2000 tract-level mail response rates. We assumed these Census 2000 rates would be highly correlated with what we could expect in the 2010 Census. Our goal was to minimize the respondent burden of sending a replacement questionnaire to a housing unit that had already responded. Thus, tracts with an expected low mail response rate received blanket replacement mailing and tracts with an expected high mail response rate received no replacement mailing. Finally, for tracts with an expected mail response rate in the middle, a targeted replacement mailing was provided to nonresponding housing units. Addresses identified as UAA were included in the blanketed replacement mailing, as these replacement mailing packages were addressed before these addresses were identified as undeliverable. Although mail returns had a higher priority, UAAs went through check-in processing as they were received which was a different procedure than Census 2000, when UAAs were put to the side and then processed all together at the end of check-in processing. The targeted replacement mailing did not include UAAs identified as undeliverable when the universe was determined. Housing unit counts based on the American Community Survey (ACS) and the anticipated MO/MB universe for the 2010 Census were used along with the Census 2000 mail response rates to determine the replacement questionnaire workloads.

Since Census 2000, geography/boundaries have changed in some areas of the country. After type of enumeration area (TEA) delineation was completed in February 2009, a crosswalk file was acquired from Geography Division (GEO) to assign tract-level Census 2000 mail response rates to 2010 Collection geography. Using the housing unit counts and mail response rates to determine the replacement questionnaire workload, preliminary files were created with the following cutoffs:

- Blanket replacement mailing - all housing units within tracts with a Census 2000 mail response rate of less than 59 percent.
- Target replacement mailing - all housing units within tracts with a Census 2000 mail response rate greater than or equal to 59 percent but less than 67 percent.
- No replacement mailing - all housing units within tracts with a Census 2000 mail response rate greater than or equal to 67 percent.

In late June 2009, a file of tracts originally identified to receive no replacement mailing was sent to the Regional Census Centers (RCC). The RCCs were asked to prioritize their recommendations of tracts they believed should be included in the targeted replacement mailing. The priorities were divided into three categories with ' 1 ' being the highest priority, ' 2 ' being the second highest priority, and ' 3 ' being the third highest priority. After receiving
these recommendations, the Decennial Statistical Studies Division (DSSD) estimated how many questionnaires would possibly be added to the target replacement mailing.

After compiling these estimates, DSSD met with staff from the Decennial Management Division (DMD), Field Division (FLD), and the Decennial Systems and Processing Office (DSPO) to discuss which tracts would be added to the targeted replacement mailing. After examining these results and considering the potential for low mail response rates in 2010, the decision was made to add all RCC priority ' 1 ' tracts, as well as the priority ' 2 ' tracts from the Seattle and Kansas City RCCs. Files for identifying the blanket and target replacement mailing areas were delivered to DSPO on July 21, 2009 through Product Services.

## 3. Methodology

### 3.1 Research Questions

The objective of the Mail Response/Return Rates Assessment was to answer the following research questions:

- What were the mail response and mail return rates for the nation in the 2010 Census by type of enumeration area and form type (English-only and Bilingual) when the Nonresponse Followup universe was determined?
- What were the final mail response and mail return rates for the nation in the 2010 Census by type of enumeration area and form type (English-only and Bilingual)?
- What were the differences between the mail response and mail return rates during the 2010 Census?
- What impact did the replacement questionnaire have on the mail response and mail return rates by stratum?
- What were the mail return rates by age of householder, race of householder, Hispanic origin of householder, tenure, and size of household?
- What was the Undeliverable as Addressed rate in the 2010 Census?
- How many addresses identified as Undeliverable as Addressed also provided a valid mail return?


### 3.2 DRIS Check-in Files

DRIS provided two files for analysis, one with all mail return check-ins and the other with all questionnaires checked in as a UAA. DRIS check-in of mail returns and UAAs started on February 26, 2010 and ended on September 7, 2010. Daily mail response, mail return, and UAA rates at the tract-level were calculated using the initial and replacement questionnaire check-in dates on these files. These files had the check-in dates of mail returns and UAAs for the initial and replacement questionnaires as well as a Census ID associated with each housing unit in mailback areas.

### 3.3 Decennial Response File

The Decennial Response File (DRF) contains the data capture of questionnaire data from the 2010 Census. We used this file to identify valid mail returns and by merging with the DRIS check-in data files in order for the calculation of mail response and mail return rates to include valid mail returns only.

### 3.4 Census Edited File

The Census Edited File (CEF) was used to calculate mail return rates by various demographic characteristics. The CEF contains housing unit data that identifies the age of householder, race of householder, Hispanic origin of householder, tenure, and size of household. Along with the DRF, the CEF was merged to the DRIS check-in data files to calculate mail return rates.

### 3.5 Universe Control and Management

The Universe Control and Management (UCM) Operations Table was used to identify housing units receiving the bilingual questionnaire, how all housing units were treated in the replacement mailing, and occupied housing units. These variables were used to examine mail response rates by replacement mailing stratum and the form type received in the initial questionnaire mailing. Along with the DRF and CEF, UCM was merged to the DRIS checkin data files to calculate mail response and mail return rates.

### 3.6 Final Tabulation Master Address File Extract

The Master Address File (MAF) provided Collection and Tabulation geography at the state, county, tract and block levels for all addresses in the 2010 Census. This file also includes Census 2000 Tabulation geography at the state, county, tract, and block levels as well as the source operation for how a record was added to the MAF. Along with the DRF, CEF, and UCM, this MAF extract was merged to the DRIS check-in data files to calculate mail return rates.

### 3.7 Update/Leave Addup File

The Update/Leave Addup File contains the action codes for all housing units in scope for the Update/Leave and Urban Update/Leave delivery operations during the 2010 Census. This file was used to identify potential housing units that were identified as deletes during these delivery operations. Any address identified as a delete was not eligible for the mail return rate denominator.

### 3.8 Unmailables File

While compiling the mailing list of addresses in mailback areas, the Decennial Systems and Contract Management Office (DSCMO) identified addresses that did not have enough address information in order to be successfully delivered. These addresses were identified as unmailable and sent directly to the NRFU universe. DSCMO provided Decennial Statistical Studies Division (DSSD) a file of the MAFIDs associated with these unmailable addresses. These addresses were not included in the calculations of the mail response, mail return, and UAA rates.

### 3.9 Calculation of Rates

### 3.9.1 Mail Response Rate

The mail response rate denominator includes only housing units in mailback areas (Mailout/Mailback, Update/Leave, and Urban Update/Leave) that were not pre-identified as having inadequate addresses for mailout (UNMAIL = 0). Addresses added during the U/L and UU/L questionnaire deliveries were also included in the mail response rate denominator. The mail response rate numerator includes housing units in the denominator that had a valid mail return in the form of a paper mail return (initial or replacement questionnaire), a Be Counted form, or a Telephone Questionnaire Assistance (TQA) return (fulfillment form or interview). Daily and cumulative mail response rates were calculated at the national (without Puerto Rico), state, county, tract, LCO, form type, TEA, direct mail postcard (which provided information regarding how to obtain assistance with completing the 2010 Census form to Asian and Russian-speaking households), and replacement mailing stratum levels using the following formula:

$$
\text { Mail Response Rate }=\frac{\text { Unduplicated nonblank mail returns }}{\text { Mailback Universe }} * 100
$$

### 3.9.2 Mail Return Rate ${ }^{2}$

The mail return rate denominator includes occupied housing units in mailback areas that had addresses that were not checked in as a UAA by DRIS (MAILD_UAA is blank) and were not vacant or deleted in the census during either the U/L and UU/L questionnaire deliveries (ACTION not equal to 'D'). Addresses added during the U/L and UU/L questionnaire deliveries were also included in the mail return rate denominator. The mail return rate numerator includes housing units in the denominator that had a valid mail return (MAILD is not blank) in the form of a paper mail return (initial or replacement questionnaire), a Be Counted form, or a TQA return (fulfillment form or interview). Daily and cumulative mail return rates were calculated at the national (without Puerto Rico), state, county, tract, LCO, form type, TEA, direct mail postcard, replacement mailing stratum levels and for demographic characteristics such as age of householder, race of householder, ethnicity of householder, tenure, and size of household using the following formula:

$$
\text { Mail Return Rate }{ }^{3}=\frac{\text { Unduplicated nonblank mail returns }}{\text { Mailback Universe }-(\text { Deletes }+ \text { Vacants }+ \text { UAAs })} * 100
$$

### 3.9.3 Undeliverable As Addressed Rate ${ }^{4}$

The UAA rate denominator includes only housing units in mailback areas (TEA = ' 1 ' or ' 6 ') that were not pre-identified as having inadequate addresses for mailout (UNMAIL $=0$ ). The UAA rate numerator includes housing units in the denominator that have a questionnaire (initial or replacement) checked in as a UAA during the 2010 Census (MAILD_UAA is not blank). Daily and cumulative UAA rates were calculated at the national (with and without Puerto Rico), state, county, tract, LCO, form type, TEA, direct mail postcard, and replacement mailing stratum levels using the following formula:

$$
\text { UAA Rate }=\frac{\text { Unduplicated addresses identified as UAAs }}{\text { Mailout/Mailback Universe }} * 100
$$

[^1]
### 3.9.4 Check-in of Multiple Questionnaires from a Housing Unit

Some participants in the 2010 Census had an opportunity to provide more than one nonblank mail return. To provide an accurate portrayal regarding public cooperation in the 2010 Census, only the first nonblank questionnaire for a given address going through check-in was used when calculating the mail response and mail return rates. For example, if the initial questionnaire (SORT_KEY not equal to '112') comes back blank but the replacement questionnaire (SORT_KEY = '112') comes back completed, we would count the replacement questionnaire when calculating the rates.

If the initial questionnaire and replacement questionnaire were sent back as a UAA, we only counted the questionnaire that was checked in first, which in most instances should have been the initial questionnaire. For addresses that provide a nonblank mail return and have a questionnaire sent back as UAA, the mail return was included in the calculations for the mail response and mail return rates. The UAA was excluded from the UAA rate calculation.

## 4. Limitations

- Census 2000 did not have a replacement mailing, and should be noted when comparing rates from Census 2000 to the 2010 Census.
- Treatment in the replacement mailing was based on Census 2000 tract-level mail response rates and input from RCCs, which may not accurately portray how these areas will participate in the 2010 Census.
- Timing of mailed responses may not accurately reflect respondent behavior on a daily basis.
- Increased publicity in some areas may have had an impact on the rates.
- The Bilingual questionnaire was distributed to specific demographic areas in lieu of the English-only initial questionnaires. Due to population differences between those who receive the Bilingual questionnaire and those who receive the English-only questionnaire during the initial mailing, we cannot draw any casual conclusions based on direct comparisons between the two questionnaires (Rothhaas, Bentley, Hill, and Lestina, 2011).


## 5. Results

The results presented in this assessment are for the fifty states and the District of Columbia. They do not include Puerto Rico. There were 130,047,668 housing units in mailback areas during the 2010 Census that were eligible for NRFU and either the United States Postal Service (USPS) or Census Bureau attempted to deliver questionnaires. This number is the national mail response rate denominator. Of this number, 12,094,074 housing units or 9.3 percent of the housing units in mailback areas received a Bilingual questionnaire. There were $108,879,340$ housing units in the mail response rate denominator that were identified as being occupied. This number of occupied housing units is the national mail return rate denominator.

### 5.1 What were the mail response and mail return rates for the nation in the 2010 Census by type of enumeration area and form type (English-only and Bilingual) when the NRFU universe was determined?

During the 2010 Census, the cutoff for the NRFU universe was April 19, 2010. Table 1 shows the national mail response and mail return rates by form type based on mail returns checked in on or before April 19, 2010. Rates for these form types were also grouped by the three mailback TEAs - Mailout/Mailback (TEAs 1 and 6), Update/Leave (TEA 2), and Urban Update/Leave (TEA 7). The mail response rates reflect the percentage of housing units that returned their questionnaires in time to avoid the necessity of enumeration in NRFU. The mail return rates reflect the percentage of occupied housing units that returned their questionnaire in time to avoid being enumerated in NRFU.

Table 1: National Mail Response and Mail Return Rates as of April 19, 2010 by Form Type and Type of Enumeration Area for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

|  |  | Form Type* |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Enumeration Area | Total | English-only | Bilingual |
| Total |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Mail Response | $63.5 \%$ | $63.9 \%$ | $59.6 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Mail Return | $75.8 \%$ | $76.4 \%$ | $69.9 \%$ |
| Mailout/Mailback |  |  |  |
| Mail Response | $64.4 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Mail Return | $76.0 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ |
| Update/Leave |  |  |  |
| Mail Response | $54.2 \%$ | $54.4 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Mail Return | $74.4 \%$ | $74.8 \%$ | $63.9 \%$ |
| Urban Update/Leave |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Mail Response | $49.2 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ | $46.4 \%$ |
| Mail Return | $66.8 \%$ | $67.2 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables

* The Bilingual questionnaire was distributed to specific demographic areas in lieu of the English-only initial questionnaires. Due to population differences between those who receive the Bilingual questionnaire and those who receive the English-only questionnaire during the initial mailing, we cannot draw any casual conclusions based on direct comparisons between the two questionnaires (Rothhaas, Bentley, Hill, and Lestina, 2011).

The differences in the mail response and mail return rates by form type varies by TEA, with housing units in U/L areas having the greatest differences and housing units in UU/L areas having the smallest differences. Looking at the overall mail response and mail return rates by type of enumeration area shows that a higher proportion of housing units in MO/MB (64.4 percent and 76.0 percent, respectively) areas returned a form than housing units in U/L (54.2 percent and 74.4 percent) areas and UU/L (49.2 percent and 66.8) areas. One possible explanation for this difference is that $\mathrm{MO} / \mathrm{MB}$ areas have greater exposure to media advertising in the census than more sparsely populated U/L areas and inner-city UU/L areas.

### 5.2 What were the final mail response and mail return rates for the nation in the 2010 Census by type of enumeration area and form type (English-only and Bilingual)?

Table 2 shows the final national mail response and mail return rates by form type and TEA based on mail returns checked in on or before the end of check-in processing September 7, 2010. The number of housing units in mailback areas that returned their questionnaire after April 19, 2010 was 3,935,728, increasing the final mail response rate by 3.0 percentage points and the final mail return rate by 3.5 percentage points.

Table 2: Final National Mail Response and Mail Return Rates as of September 7, 2010 by Form Type and Type of Enumeration Area for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

| Type of Enumeration Area | Total | Form Type** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | English-only | Bilingual |
| Total |  |  |  |
| Mail Response | 66.5\% | 66.8\% | 63.2\% |
| Mail Return | 79.3\% | 79.8\% | 74.0\% |
| Mailout/Mailback |  |  |  |
| Mail Response | 67.5\% | 67.9\% | 63.7\% |
| Mail Return | 79.6\% | 80.2\% | 74.3\% |
| Update/Leave |  |  |  |
| Mail Response | 56.2\% | 56.3\% | 51.1\% |
| Mail Return | 76.8\% | 77.1\% | 67.1\% |
| Urban Update/Leave |  |  |  |
| Mail Response | 51.4\% | 51.5\% | 49.3\% |
| Mail Return | 69.6\% | 69.9\% | 64.7\% |
| Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables <br> ** The Bilingual questionnaire was distributed to specific demographic areas in lieu of the English-only initial questionnaires. Due to population differences between those who receive the Bilingual questionnaire and those who receive the English-only questionnaire during the initial mailing, we cannot draw any casual conclusions based on direct comparisons between the two questionnaires (Rothhaas, Bentley, Hill, and Lestina, 2011). |  |  |  |
| Most of the patterns in mail response and mail return rates revealed in Table 2 are similar to those on Table 1, though the rates for all groups are higher. The MO/MB areas have the highest final mail response and mail return rates ( 67.5 percent and 79.6 percent, respectively) among TEAs, and UU/L areas have the lowest ( 51.4 percent and 69.6 percent). The differences in the final mail response and mail return rates by form type ( 3.6 percent and 5.8 percent, respectively) are slightly smaller than the differences as of April 19, 2010 (4.3 percent and 6.5 percent). This gap decline by form type in the final mail response and mail return rates was true for all TEAs. For the mail response rate numerators and denominators by TEA and form type as of the NRFU cut (April 19, 2010) and end of processing (September 7, 2010), see Appendix E. |  |  |  |

### 5.3 What were the differences between the mail response rates and the mail return rates in the 2010 Census?

Mail response rates measure the percentage of NRFU-eligible housing unit workload providing a valid mail return. Although this is the more useful rate for determining the NRFU workloads, it is not as effective as the mail return rate for measuring respondent cooperation. The mail response rate denominator $(130,047,668)$ is larger than the mail return rate denominator $(108,879,340)$ because the mail response rate denominator includes vacant housing units, Undeliverable as Addressed (UAA) addresses, and addresses deleted in the $\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{L}$ and UU/L delivery. The mail response rate numerator ( $82,553,963$ housing units) is calculated similarly to the mail return rate numerator ( $82,481,708$ housing units).

Comparing mail response and mail return rates shows the impact on our rate calculations when we use a denominator of every housing unit we attempted to deliver a form (mail response rate) as compared with a denominator of all housing units identified as occupied (mail return rate). Table 3 compares the mail response rates to the mail return rates in the 2010 Census by form type, TEA, and form type and TEA as of April 19, 2010.

Table 3: Differences in Mail Response and Mail Return Rates as of April 19, 2010 by Form Type and Type of Enumeration Area for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

|  |  | Rates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Response | Return | | Difference |
| :---: |
| (Ret. - Resp.) |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables

[^2]As of the NRFU cut on April 19, 2010 the national mail response rate ( 63.5 percent) was 12.3 percentage points lower than the national mail return rate ( 75.8 percent). The difference between the two rates was greater for housing units receiving English-only ( 12.5 percentage points) forms than Bilingual (10.3 percentage points) forms and even greater for U/L (20.2 percentage points) and UU/L (17.6 percentage points) areas than for MO/MB (11.6 percentage points) areas.

The final mail response and mail return rates include all mail returns through September 7, 2010. Both rates have the same denominator as the rates at the NRFU cut, but the mail response rate numerator increased by $3,935,728$ mail returns and the mail return rate numerator increased by $3,849,535$ mail returns. Table 4 compares the final mail response rate and final mail return rate by form type, TEA, and form type and TEA.

Table 4: Differences in Mail Response and Mail Return Rates as of September 7, 2010 by Form Type and Type of Enumeration Area for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

|  |  | Rates |  | Difference (Ret. - Resp.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Response | Return |  |
| Total |  | 66.5\% | 79.3\% | 12.8\% |
| Form Type | English | 66.8\% | 79.8\% | 13.0\% |
|  | Bilingual ${ }^{6}$ | 63.2\% | 74.0\% | 10.9\% |
| Type of Enumeration Area | Mailout/Mailback | 67.5\% | 79.6\% | 12.1\% |
|  | Update/Leave | 56.2\% | 76.8\% | 20.6\% |
|  | Urban Update/Leave | 51.4\% | 69.6\% | 18.2\% |
| Form Type and Type of Enumeration Area | English |  |  |  |
|  | Mailout/Mailback | 67.9\% | 80.2\% | 12.3\% |
|  | Update/Leave | 56.3\% | 77.1\% | 20.8\% |
|  | Urban Update/Leave | 51.5\% | 69.9\% | 18.4\% |
|  | Bilingual |  |  |  |
|  | Mailout/Mailback | 63.7\% | 74.3\% | 10.6\% |
|  | Update/Leave | 51.1\% | 67.1\% | 16.0\% |
|  | Urban Update/Leave | 49.3\% | 64.7\% | 15.4\% |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables
The final national mail response rate ( 66.5 percent) was 12.8 percentage points lower than the final national mail return rate ( 79.3 percent). This is a greater difference than the difference between the mail response and mail return rates as of the NRFU cut on April 19, 2010 (Table 3). The difference between the two rates was greater for housing units that received English-only (13.0 percentage points) questionnaires than Bilingual (10.9

[^3]percentage points) questionnaires and even greater for $\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{L}$ (20.6 percentage points) and UU/L (18.2 percentage points) areas than in MO/MB (12.1 percentage points) areas.

### 5.4 What impact did the replacement questionnaire have on the mail response and mail return rates by stratum?

Replacement questionnaires were used as part of the mailing strategy in some areas of the country in an attempt to further reduce the NRFU workload. All housing units in MO/MB areas were in one of three replacement mailing stratums, assigned at the tract-level. The Blanket replacement mailing consisted of 19.0 percent ( $24,764,043$ housing units) of the mail response rate universe. The Target replacement mailing consisted of 22.2 percent ( $28,811,157$ housing units) of the mail response rate universe. The No replacement mailing consisted of 58.8 percent $(76,472,468$ housing units) of the mail response rate universe. Table 5 shows the impact of the replacement mailing on mail response and mail return rates as of April 19, 2010.

Table 5: National Mail Response and Mail Return Rates for Initial Questionnaires and Replacement Questionnaires as of April 19, 2010 by Replacement Mailing Stratum for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

| Replacement Mailing Stratum | Total | Initial <br> Questionnaire | Replacement <br> Questionnaire |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Mail Response | $63.5 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Mail Return | $75.8 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Blanket |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Mail Response | $53.6 \%$ | $47.3 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Mail Return | $71.3 \%$ | $62.9 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| Target |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Mail Response | $62.4 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Mail Return | $75.1 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| None |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Mail Response | $67.1 \%$ | $67.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Mail Return | $77.2 \%$ | $77.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables
The patterns in the mail response and mail return rates as of April 19, 2010 by replacement mailing stratum are similar to what we saw in those areas from Census 2000. When making comparisons between Census 2000 and the 2010 Census, it is important to note that there was no replacement mailing in Census 2000. The patterns for the initial questionnaire mail response rates by replacement mailing stratum were similar to what we saw in those areas during Census 2000, with the Blanket replacement mailing stratum being the lowest (47.3 percent) while the No replacement mailing stratum was the highest (67.1 percent). After adding in responses to the replacement questionnaire, the mail response rates still have the same pattern with the Blanket replacement stratum being the lowest ( 53.6 percent) while the No replacement mailing stratum was still the highest (67.1 percent).

The initial questionnaire final mail return rates were also similar to the pattern we saw in Census 2000, with the Blanket replacement mailing stratum being the lowest ( 62.9 percent) while the no replacement mail stratum was the highest ( 77.2 percent). Including responses from housing units receiving the replacement questionnaire shows the blanket replacement mailing stratum was still the lowest ( 71.3 percent) while the No replacement mailing stratum was still the highest (77.2 percent).

The gap between the initial questionnaire rates for the Blanket and No replacement mail areas was 19.8 percentage points for the mail response rate and 14.3 percentage points for the mail return rate. Adding in the replacement questionnaire rates shows that the overall gap between the Blanket and No replacement mailing areas was 13.5 percentage points for the mail response rate and 5.9 percentage points for the mail return rate.

The most interesting aspect of Table 5 is the differences in mail response and mail return rates between the target and no replacement mailing areas. The gap between the initial questionnaire rates was 8.1 percentage points for the mail response rate and 6.2 percentage points for the mail return rate. However, once you factor in replacement questionnaires, the gaps are much smaller for the mail response (4.7 percentage points) and mail return rates (2.1 percentage points).

Table 6 shows the final mail response and mail return rates for initial and replacement questionnaires as of September 7, 2010 by replacement mailing stratum. A comparison of Table 6 and Table 5 reveals how mail response and mail return rates for each of the stratums has increased after April 19.

Table 6: Final National Mail Response and Mail Return Rates for Initial Questionnaires and Replacement Questionnaires as of September 7, 2010 by Replacement Mailing Stratum for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

| Replacement Mailing Stratum | Total | Initial <br> Questionnaire | Replacement <br> Questionnaire |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Mail Response | $66.5 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Mail Return | $79.3 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| Blanket |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Mail Response | $56.4 \%$ | $48.6 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ |
| Mail Return | $74.9 \%$ | $64.6 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |
| Target | $66.9 \%$ |  |  |
| $\quad$ Mail Response | $80.5 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Mail Return |  | $72.9 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| None | $69.6 \%$ | $69.6 \%$ |  |
| $\quad$ Mail Response | $80.1 \%$ | $80.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Mail Return |  |  |  |

The patterns for the initial questionnaire final mail response rates are the same as what was observed in Table 5, with the blanket replacement mailing stratum being the lowest (48.6
percent) while the no replacement mailing stratum was the highest ( 69.6 percent). After adding in responses from housing units to the replacement questionnaire, the final mail response rates still have the same pattern with the blanket replacement stratum being the lowest ( 56.4 percent) while the no replacement mailing stratum was still the highest ( 69.6 percent).

The initial questionnaire final mail return rates were also similar to the pattern we saw in Census 2000, with the blanket replacement mailing stratum being the lowest ( 64.6 percent) while the no replacement mail stratum was the highest ( 80.1 percent). Including responses from housing units to the replacement questionnaire shows the blanket replacement mailing stratum was still the lowest ( 74.9 percent) but the target replacement mailing stratum (80.5 percent) and the no replacement mailing stratum ( 80.1 percent) were about the same.

The patterns in the initial questionnaire mail response and mail return rates are the same as was observed in Table 5, with the blanket replacement mailing stratum having the lowest rates and the no replacement mailing stratum having the highest. Initial questionnaire rate (middle column of rates) increases after April 19, 2010 shows the no replacement mailing stratum had the largest increase in mail response ( 2.5 percentage points) and mail return rates ( 2.9 percentage points), while the blanket replacement mailing stratum had the smallest increase in mail response ( 1.3 percentage points) and mail return rates ( 1.7 percentage points). Adding in responses from housing units to the replacement questionnaire (first column of rates on the left) after April 19, 2010 shows that overall the no replacement mailing stratum had the smallest increase. The target replacement mailing stratum had the largest increase in mail response ( 4.5 percentage points) and mail return rates ( 5.4 percentage points), while the blanket replacement mailing stratum had the second highest increase in the mail response ( 2.8 percentage points) and mail return rates ( 3.6 percentage points).

In order to assess the replacement mailing strategy in the 2010 Census, and to have some gauge of what would have been the effect of using the replacement mailing in all areas, we assigned a small sample of approximately 30,000 housing units to receive one of the alternative replacement mail types instead. Selected housing units in one of the predefined areas (blanket, target, none) received one of the other two replacement strategies. For instance, some selected housing units in the blanket replacement areas were instead assigned to either the targeted replacement mailing or no replacement mailing. The results from this experiment will be documented in a forthcoming memorandum titled 'Impact of the 2010 Census Replacement Mailing' (Dusch and Hill, 2012).

For the mail response rate numerators and denominators by TEA, initial questionnaires, and replacement questionnaires as of the NRFU cut (April 19, 2010) and end of processing (September 7, 2010), see Appendix F. An illustration of the daily mail response (Figure 1) and daily mail return rates (Figure 2) for initial questionnaires and all questionnaires can be seen in Appendix G. Daily numerators and rates are located in Appendix H (mail response rates), Appendix I (mail return rates), Appendix J (mail response rate numerators), and Appendix K (mail return rate numerators).

### 5.5 What were the mail return rates by age of householder, race of householder, Hispanic origin of householder, tenure, and size of household?

The householder is defined as the household member in whose name the housing unit was owned or rented on Census Day (April 1, 2010) and is usually the person who filled out the questionnaire. By definition, there is exactly one householder for every occupied housing unit. This section shows mail return rates based on the demographic characteristics of the householder /household.

### 5.5.1 Age of householder

For the presentation of mail return rates, age of householder was divided into five categories. These age categories were 15 to 17 years old, 18 to 24 years, 25 to 44 years, 45 to 64 years, and 65 years and over. To be recognized in the census as the householder, a person must be at least 15 years of age. Table 7 shows the mail return rates as of April 19, 2010 for initial and replacement questionnaires by age of householder.

Table 7: Mail Return Rates as of April 19, 2010 by Age of Householder and Form Type for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

| Age in Years | Total | Initial <br> Questionnaire | Replacement <br> Questionnaire |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $75.8 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| $15-17$ | $27.4 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| $18-24$ | $50.7 \%$ | $46.8 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| $25-44$ | $66.2 \%$ | $63.3 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $45-64$ | $79.6 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| 65 or older | $88.3 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables
Table 7 shows that the propensity to respond increases with age. The oldest householders had the highest mail return rate ( 88.3 percent) and the youngest group had an extremely low mail return rate ( 27.4 percent). Householders in the three age groups 25 years and older were more likely to provide a mail return than younger householders. Persons under 25 made up 4.4 percent of all householders and 3.1 percent of all mail returns. Conversely, persons over 65 were 22.1 percent of all householders and 25.1 percent of all mail returns.

The middle column shows the mail return rates for the initial questionnaires, which follow the same pattern as the mail return rates for each of the age categories, with older householders providing a higher proportion of mail returns. Adding in responses to the replacement questionnaires shows householders 18 to 24 years had the highest increase (3.9 percentage points) due to the replacement mailing while householders 65 and older had the smallest increase (1.4 percentage points).

Table 8 is similar to Table 7 but shows the final mail return rates as of September 7, 2010 by age of householder. The patterns seen in the table are similar to Table 7, with older age groups having higher mail return rates.

Table 8: Final Mail Return Rates as of September 7, 2010 by Age of Householder for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

| Age in Years | Total | Initial <br> Questionnaire | Replacement <br> Questionnaire |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $79.3 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| $15-17$ | $30.4 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| $18-24$ | $55.4 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| $25-44$ | $70.9 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| $45-64$ | $83.1 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| 65 or older | $90.0 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables |  |  |  |

When comparing mail return rates in Table 7 and Table 8, the increase in the total mail return rates (left column) after April 19, 2010 was greatest for the 18 to 24 year group (4.7 percentage points) and 25 to 44 year group ( 4.7 percentage points) while the smallest increase was for the 65 or older group ( 1.7 percentage points). Initial questionnaire mail return rate (middle column of rates) increases after April 19, 2010 show householders 25 to 44 years had the highest increase ( 3.1 percentage points) followed by householders 18 to 24 years ( 2.7 percentage points) while householders 65 years or older had the smallest increase (1.2 percentage points). Replacement questionnaire mail return rate (far right column) increases after April 19, 2010 show householders 18 to 24 years had the highest increase ( 2.0 percentage points) followed by householders 25 to 44 years ( 1.5 percentage points) while householders 65 years or older had the smallest increase ( 0.5 percentage points).

For the mail return rate numerators and denominators by TEA, replacement mailing stratums, and age of householder as of the NRFU cut (April 19, 2010) and end of processing (September 7, 2010), see Appendix L. Throughout the remainder of section 5.5, we will be discussing mail return rates based on race of householder, ethnicity of householder, tenure of household, and size of household. Numerators and denominators for the mail return rates of these various demographic groups as the NRFU cut (April 19, 2010) and end of processing (September 7, 2010) are located in Appendix L.

### 5.5.2 Race of householder

Participants in the 2010 Census had the opportunity to identify themselves as being in one or more race categories. The six categories for the householders who identified themselves as one race are White, Black, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander, and persons reporting some other race. The last category includes all householders who identified as being of more than one race. Table 9 shows the mail return rates as of April 19, 2010 for initial and replacement questionnaires by race of householder.

Table 9: Mail Return Rates as of April 19, 2010 by Race of Householder and Form Type for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

| Race | Total | Initial <br> Questionnaire | Replacement <br> Questionnaire |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $75.8 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| White | $79.3 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Black | $65.4 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | $65.0 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Asian | $71.0 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | $55.0 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| Some Other Race | $52.0 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $66.6 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables
White householders have a higher mail return rate ( 79.3 percent) than the total mail return rate ( 75.8 percent). All of the other householder race groups have a lower mail return rate than the total mail return rate. Asian householders have the highest mail return rate (71.0 percent) after White householders. The lowest mail return rates are those of Some Other Race ( 52.0 percent) and Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander ( 55.0 percent) householders.

The middle column shows the mail return rates for the initial questionnaires, which follow the same pattern as mail return rates for each of the race categories, with White householders providing the highest proportion of mail returns. Adding in responses to the replacement questionnaire (right column) show Black householders had the largest increase (4.6 percentage points) due to the replacement mailing while White householders had the smallest increase ( 1.9 percentage points).

White householders made up 77.0 percent $(83,831,706)$ of all householders and 80.1 percent $(69,165,983)$ of all mail returns. The remaining race groups were under-represented in mail returns. For example, Black householders made up 12.1 percent of all householders and 10.7 percent of mail returns, Asian householders made up 4.1 percent of all householders and 3.9 percent of all mail returns, and Some Other Race householders made up 4.2 percent of all householders and 3.0 percent of all mail returns.

Table 10 shows the final mail return rates as of September 7, 2010 by form type and race of householder. The patterns seen in the table are similar to Table 9, with White householders having the highest mail return rate and householders in the Some Other Race category having the lowest mail return rate.

Table 10: Final Mail Return Rates as of September 7, 2010 by Race of Householder and Form Type for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

| Race | Total | Initial <br> Questionnaire | Replacement <br> Questionnaire |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $79.3 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| White | $82.5 \%$ | $79.7 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Black | $70.0 \%$ | $63.5 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | $69.8 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Asian | $75.4 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | $59.7 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| Some Other Race | $56.2 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $71.7 \%$ | $66.6 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables
White householders were the only group with a mail return rate higher than the total final mail return rate of 79.3 percent. However, the discrepancy between White householders and all other householders declined between April 19, 2010 and September 7, 2010 as race groups, other than white, returned a higher proportion of their questionnaires after the NRFU cut. Multi-Race householders showed the greatest increase ( 5.1 percentage points) in the mail return rate after April 19, 2010 while Whites had the smallest increase (3.2 percentage points).

Initial questionnaire mail return rate (middle column of rates) increases after April 19, 2010 show Multi-Race householders had the highest increase ( 3.4 percentage points) followed by American Indian householders (3.2 percentage points) while White householders had the smallest increase ( 2.4 percentage points). Replacement questionnaire mail return rate (far right column) increases after April 19, 2010 show Black householders had the highest increase ( 1.9 percentage points) due to the replacement mailing followed by Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander householders (1.8 percentage points) while White householders had the smallest increase ( 0.9 percentage points).

### 5.5.3 Hispanic origin of householder

The goal of the 2010 Census Language Program was to provide information, assistance, and materials in languages other than English. The program intended to help improve coverage and achieve efficiencies by developing effective methods to meet the diverse language needs of the nation. As the inability to communicate well in English could be a barrier to enumeration, the Language Program attempted to lower this barrier by providing information, assistance, and materials in languages other than English.

During the 2010 Census, the Census Bureau was responsible for providing telephone support to callers who needed assistance in completing their questionnaires through a program called Telephone Questionnaire Assistance. The TQA operation provided two primary services to the general public: (1) provide general information and answers to questions about the 2010 Census and how to fill out the census questionnaires; and (2) take requests for Fulfillment questionnaires and Language Assistance Guides (LAG). The program provided support in the form of answers to frequently asked questions and context sensitive help, providing the ability to request census materials, and capturing census short form responses with the assistance of a telephone representative. Unique toll-free telephone numbers were available to provide support for the English, Spanish, Chinese, Korean, Vietnamese, and Russian languages, as well as for Puerto Rico (English and Spanish), the Census Program for Evaluations and Experiments (English and Spanish), and a Telephone Device for the Deaf.

The goal of the Bilingual questionnaire was to increase mail response and mail return rates in particular areas. Using data collected from the most recent American Community Survey (ACS) at the time of the determination, census tracts were designated according to their "Spanish Assistance" rates. This is the rate at which households have at least one person age 15 or older who speaks Spanish and does not speak English "very well", according to the answer categories on the ACS questionnaire. In mailback areas, those tracts that had at least 20 percent "Spanish Assistance" rates were flagged to receive a bilingual questionnaire (Pennington, Bentley, and Letourneau, 2011). We did not mail the Bilingual questionnaire to all households in the census due to various issues with mailing a larger form. Hispanic householders made up 11.5 percent $(12,558,138)$ of all householders and 10.1 percent $(8,758,782)$ of all mail returns. Table 11 shows the mail return rates as of April 19, 2010 for initial and replacement questionnaires by Hispanic origin of the householder.

Table 11: Mail Return Rates as of April 19, 2010 by Hispanic Origin of Householder and Form Type for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

| Hispanic Origin | Total | Initial <br> Questionnaire | Replacement <br> Questionnaire |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $75.8 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Non-Hispanic (NH) | $77.1 \%$ | $74.9 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic (H) | $65.2 \%$ | $62.3 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Difference (NH-H) | $11.9 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $-0.6 \%$ |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables

Non-Hispanic householders had a mail return rate of 77.1 percent, 11.9 percentage points higher than Hispanic householders mail return rate of 65.2 percent. The middle column shows the mail return rates for the initial questionnaire only, which follow the same pattern as the mail return rates for each of the ethnicity categories. Responses to the replacement questionnaire (far right column) shows Hispanic householders returned a higher proportion of replacement questionnaire mail returns than Non-Hispanic householders.

Table 12 shows the final mail return rates as of September 7, 2010 for initial and replacement questionnaires by ethnicity of householders. The patterns seen in the table are similar to Table 9 with Non-Hispanic householders having a highest mail return rate and a greater proportion of Hispanic householders responding to the replacement questionnaire.

Table 12: Final Mail Return Rates as of September 7, 2010 by Hispanic Origin of Householder and Form Type for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

| Hispanic Origin | Total | Initial <br> Questionnaire | Replacement <br> Questionnaire |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $79.3 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| Non-Hispanic (NH) | $80.5 \%$ | $77.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic (H) | $69.7 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| Difference (NH-H) | $10.8 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $-1.1 \%$ |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables
Non-Hispanic householders had a final mail return rate of 80.5 percent, 10.8 percentage points higher than Hispanic householders final mail return rate of 69.7 percent. The increase from April 19, 2010 to September 7, 2010 was greater for Hispanic householders (4.5 percentage points) than for Non-Hispanic householders (3.4 percentage points), which results in a decline in the difference between the two ethnic groups from 11.9 to 10.8 percentage points.

Initial questionnaire mail return rate (middle column of rates) increases after April 19, 2010 show Hispanic householders had a higher increase ( 3.0 percentage points) than Non-Hispanic householders ( 2.4 percentage points). Replacement questionnaire mail return rate (far right column) increases after April 19, 2010 show Hispanic householders had a higher increase (1.5 percentage points) than Non-Hispanic householders (1.0 percentage points).

### 5.5.4 Tenure

Tenure was grouped into two categories, housing units owned by someone in the household (Owner-occupied) and housing units rented by a member of the household (Renteroccupied). Owner-occupied housing units were 65.7 percent $(71,489,829)$ of all housing units in the return rate denominator and 71.0 percent $(61,325,229)$ of all housing units with mail returns. Table 13 shows the mail return rates as of April 19, 2010 for initial and replacement questionnaires by tenure of the household.

Table 13: Mail Return Rates as of April 19, 2010 by Tenure and Form Type for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

| Tenure | Total | Initial <br> Questionnaire | Replacement <br> Questionnaire |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $75.8 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Owner-occupied (O) | $82.6 \%$ | $80.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Renter-occupied (R) | $62.6 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Difference (O-R) | $20.0 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $-1.8 \%$ |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables
Owner-occupied housing units had a mail return rate 82.6 percent, 20.0 percentage points higher than the Renter-occupied housing unit mail return rate of 62.6 percent. The middle column shows the mail return rates for the initial questionnaire only, which follow the same pattern for each of the tenure categories. Responses to the replacement questionnaire only (far right column) shows Renter-occupied housing units provided a higher proportion of replacement questionnaire mail returns than Owner-occupied housing units.

Table 14 shows the final mail return rates as of September 7, 2010 for initial and replacement questionnaires by tenure of the household. The patterns seen in the table are similar to Table 13 , with Owner-occupied housing units having the highest mail return rate and Renteroccupied housing units providing a higher proportion of mail returns to the replacement questionnaire.

Table 14: Final Mail Return Rates as of September 7, 2010 by Tenure and Form Type for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

| Tenure | Total | Initial <br> Questionnaire | Replacement <br> Questionnaire |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $79.3 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| Owner-occupied (O) | $85.8 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Renter-occupied (R) | $66.9 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| Difference (O-R) | $18.9 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | $-2.7 \%$ |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables

Owner-occupied housing units had a final mail return rate of 85.8 percent, 18.9 percentage points higher than the Renter-occupied housing unit mail return rate of 66.9 percent. The
increase from April 19, 2010 to September 7, 2010 was greater for Renter-occupied housing units ( 4.2 percentage points) than for Owner-occupied housing units ( 3.2 percentage points), resulting in a decline in the difference between the two tenure groups from 20.0 to 18.9 percentage points.

Initial questionnaire mail return rate (middle column of rates) increases after April 19, 2010 show Renter-occupied housing units had a slightly higher increase ( 2.6 percentage points) than Owner-occupied housing units ( 2.4 percentage points). Replacement questionnaire mail return rate (far right column) increases after April 19, 2010 show Renter-occupied housing units had a higher increase ( 1.6 percentage points) than Owner-occupied housing units ( 0.8 percentage points).

### 5.5.5 Size of household

Mail return rates were calculated for seven size of household categories. Households with one, two, three, four, five, or six people had their own category while households with seven or more people were in the last category. Households of two or fewer people consisted of 59.0 percent $(64,249,539)$ of all households in the return rate denominator and 60.3 percent $(52,049,386)$ of all mail returns. Table 15 shows the mail return rates as of April 19, 2010 for initial and replacement questionnaires by size of household.

Table 15: Mail Return Rates as of April 19, 2010 by Household Size and Form Type for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

| Persons in Housing Unit | Total | Initial <br> Questionnaire | Replacement <br> Questionnaire |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $75.8 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| One | $74.1 \%$ | $71.7 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Two | $81.0 \%$ | $79.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Three | $74.5 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Four | $73.5 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Five | $69.6 \%$ | $66.9 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| Six | $68.6 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| Seven or More | $67.0 \%$ | $63.4 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables
Households consisting of two persons have a higher mail return rate (81.0 percent) than the total mail return rate ( 75.8 percent). Two-person households, who made up 32.7 percent $(35,646,042)$ of all households in the mail return rate denominator and 35.0 percent $(28,883,875)$ of all mail returns, were the only group that had a higher mail return rate than the total mail return rate. Following two-person households in respondent cooperation are three-person ( 74.5 percent), one-person ( 74.1 percent), and four-person ( 73.5 percent) households, respectively. Larger households of five people or more have increasingly lower mail return rates as household size increases. Households with seven or more people had the lowest mail return rate ( 67.0 percent). These large households comprised 2.0 percent $(2,150,995)$ of all households and 1.7 percent $(1,440,553)$ of all mail returns.

The middle column shows the mail return rates for the initial questionnaires, which follow the same pattern as the mail return rates for the size of household categories, with two person households providing the highest proportion of mail returns. Adding in responses to the replacement questionnaire (far right column) shows households with seven or more people had the largest increase ( 3.6 percentage points) due to the replacement mailing while twoperson households had the smallest increase ( 2.0 percentage points).

Table 16 shows the final mail return rates as of September 7, 2010 for initial and replacement questionnaires by size of household. A comparison of Table 16 and Table 15 reveals how mail return rates for each household size category increased after April 19, 2010.

Table 16: Final Mail Return Rates as of September 7, 2010 by Household Size and Form Type for the Fifty States and the District of Columbia

| Persons in Housing Unit | Total | Initial <br> Questionnaire | Replacement <br> Questionnaire |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $79.3 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| One | $77.5 \%$ | $73.9 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Two | $83.9 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Three | $78.3 \%$ | $74.7 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| Four | $77.6 \%$ | $74.1 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Five | $74.1 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Six | $73.5 \%$ | $68.9 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| Seven or More | $72.2 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables

The relationship between the groups is similar to that observed in Table 15, except that fourperson households have a slightly higher final mail return rate than one-person households. The range for the final mail return rates was considerably lower than in mail return rates on April 19, 2010 with a 11.6 percentage point difference between the two-person ( 83.9 percent) and seven or more person ( 72.2 percent) rates. The differential among the household size groups declined because larger households returned a higher proportion of their questionnaires after April 19, 2010.

Seven or more person households had the greatest increase (5.3 percentage points) in the mail return rate after April 19, 2010 while two-person households had the smallest increase ( 2.8 percentage points). Initial questionnaire mail return rate (middle column of rates) increases after April 19, 2010 shows seven or more person households had the highest increase ( 3.7 percentage points) followed by six-person households ( 3.5 percentage points) while two person households had the smallest increase ( 2.0 percentage points). Replacement questionnaire mail return rate (far right column) increases after April 19, 2010; seven or more person households had the highest increase ( 1.6 percentage points) followed by sixperson households (1.4 percentage points) while two-person households had the smallest increase ( 0.9 percentage points).

### 5.6 What was the Undeliverable as Addressed rate in the 2010 Census?

A UAA is defined by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as any questionnaire that could not be delivered to the address printed on the outgoing envelope containing the questionnaire. The UAA rate denominator consisted of the 119,648, 117 housing units in the mail response rate denominator that were identified as being in $\mathrm{MO} / \mathrm{MB}$ enumeration areas. As mentioned previously in Section 3.9.4, if an initial and replacement questionnaire were sent back as a UAA, we only counted the questionnaire that was checked in first, which in most instances was the initial questionnaire. For addresses that provided a nonblank mail return and have a form back sent back as UAA, the UAA was excluded from the UAA rate calculations. Table 17 shows the UAA rates as of April 19, 2010 by replacement mailing stratum.

Table 17: National Undeliverable As Addressed Rates as of April 19, 2010 and September 7, 2010 by Replacement Mailing Stratum

| Replacement Mailing Stratum | NRFU Cut <br> (April 19) | Final <br> (September 7) | Increase after <br> NRFU Cut |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $11.1 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Blanket | $18.3 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| Target | $12.4 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| None | $7.8 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables

Looking at the MO/MB universe as of April 19, 2010 shows that 11.1 percent $(13,266,550)$ of all questionnaires were identified as UAA. UAA rates as of April 19, 2010 by replacement mailing stratum shows the low responding areas have the highest UAA rate ( 18.3 percent) while the high responding areas have the lowest UAA rate ( 7.8 percent). Both the blanket and target replacement mailing stratums had a higher UAA rate than the national UAA rate (11.1 percent).

Between April 19, 2010 and September 7, 2010, an additional 580,912 ( 0.5 percentage points) UAAs were processed, increasing the national UAA rate to 11.6 percent. According to the USPS, the process of identifying UAAs was a time consuming multi-step process, meaning receiving some UAAs after the NRFU cut should be considered normal (Smith and Osborne, 2011).

The patterns in UAA rates as of September 7, 2010 are similar to the UAA rates as of April 19, 2010, with the low responding blanket replacement mailing stratum having the highest UAA rate and the high responding no replacement mailing stratum having the lowest UAA rate. The increases in the UAA rates after April 19, 2010 was highest in the target replacement mailing stratum ( 0.9 percentage points), followed by the blanket replacement mailing stratum ( 0.7 percentage points) and the no replacement mailing stratum ( 0.2 percentage points).

For the UAA rate numerators and denominators by replacement mailing stratum and form type as of the NRFU cut (April 19, 2010) and end of processing (September 7, 2010), see Appendix M. To see an illustration of the daily UAA rates (Figure 3) for initial questionnaires and all questionnaires, see Appendix N. See Appendix O for the daily UAA rates and Appendix $P$ for the daily UAA rate numerators.

### 5.7 How many addresses identified as Undeliverable as Addressed also provided a valid mail return?

The mailing of a second questionnaire in the 2010 Census made it possible for a housing unit to have a questionnaire identified as UAA, but also receive a questionnaire and provide a valid mail return. For housing units in this scenario, the mail return was included in the numerator of the mail response and mail return rates but excluded from numerator of the UAA rate. For households either providing a mail return for both the initial and replacement questionnaire or a UAA for both the initial and replacement questionnaire, the earliest checkin date was used when calculating the mail response and UAA rates. Table 18 shows the number of addresses that had a questionnaire identified as a UAA and provided a valid mail return.

Table 18: Number of Addresses with Both a Valid Mail Return and a Form Identified as Undeliverable As Addressed

|  |  | Percent of Check-ins |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mail Returns Form Type | Number | UAAs | Mail Returns |
| Total | 306,744 | 2.2 | 0.4 |
| Initial Questionnaire | 206,184 | 1.5 | 0.2 |
| Replacement Questionnaire | 100,560 | 0.7 | 0.1 |

Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables

Overall, a very small portion of all mail returns ( 0.4 percent) had an address that also had a questionnaire identified as a UAA. Looking at the final mail response and UAA rates if there was no mail return associated with these addresses shows there would have been very small changes in the final national rates, with the final UAA rate ( 11.6 percent) being 0.3 percentage points higher while the final mail response rate ( 66.5 percent) would be 0.2 percentage points lower.

## 6. Related Evaluations, Experiments, and/or Assessments

- 2010 Census Update/Leave Assessment
- 2010 Census Nonresponse Followup Operations Assessment
- 2010 Census Bilingual Questionnaire Assessment
- Integrated Communications Program Assessment: Mail Response Rates/Take 10 Program
- DRIS Paper Questionnaire Data Capture
- The Paid Advertising Heavy Up Experiment


## 7. Conclusions and Recommendations

### 7.1 Conclusions

- The final mail response rate was approximately 67 percent, with approximately 3 percentage points coming from the replacement questionnaire.
- The final mail return rate was approximately 79 percent, with slightly more than 3 percentage points coming from the replacement questionnaire.
- Mail response rates in the 2010 Census, which included a replacement mailing in some areas, followed a similar pattern to mail response rates from Census 2000, which did not have a replacement mailing. The lower responding Blanket replacement mailing stratum had a final mail response rate of slightly more than 56 percent, the middle responding Target replacement mailing stratum had a final mail response rate of approximately 67 percent, and the high responding No replacement mailing stratum had a final mail response rate of approximately 70 percent.
- The comparison of mail response and mail return rates shows the largest differences between the two was approximately 21 percentage points for housing units that received English-only forms in U/L areas while the smallest difference was approximately 11 percentage points for housing units that received Bilingual forms in MO/MB areas.
- Householders were more likely to participate as the age of householder increased, with the 65 years and older category having the highest final mail return of 90 percent while the age 15 to 17 years category had a final mail return rate slightly higher than 30 percent, which was the lowest.
- Householders identifying their race as White were the most likely to participate, having a final mail return rate of approximately 83 percent. Householders in the Some Other Race category were the least likely to respond, with a final mail return rate of approximately 56 percent.
- Non-Hispanic householders, with a final mail return rate of about 81 percent, were more likely to participate than Hispanic householders who had a final mail return rate of approximately 70 percent.
- Residents in Owner-occupied housing units had a final mail return rate of approximately 86 percent, and were more likely to participate in the census than residents in Renteroccupied housing units, who had a final mail return rate of approximately 67 percent.
- Smaller households had higher final mail return rates, with the highest being approximately 84 percent of two-person households providing a mail response. Households of seven or more had the lowest mail return rate, with a final rate slightly above 72 percent.
- Addresses identified as UAA were highest in the lower responding Blanket replacement stratum, with 19 percent of all MO/MB addresses being identified as UAA. The No replacement mailing stratum, which had the highest proportion of responses, had the lowest UAA rate of 8 percent.
- Less than 1 percent of all addresses in the mail response rate universe had a valid mail return and a questionnaire identified as UAA.


### 7.2 Recommendation

- Implement a full-scale replacement mailing. Although slightly less than half the country was eligible for the replacement mailing, it was effective in decreasing the gap between the highest responding areas and lower responding areas. Results from the experiment will provide additional information on the impact of the replacement mailing strategies in the various strata (Dusch and Hill, 2012).
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## Appendix A: Census Edited File Variable Definitions

## Housing Unit Level

FINAL_POP
HHLDRAGE

HHLDRHIS

MAFID

TEN

## Population Count

Edited Age of Householder
$0=$ Vacant
15-115 = Age of Householder
Hispanic Origin of Householder
$0=$ Vacant
$1=$ Not Hispanic
$2=$ Mexican
3 = Puerto Rican
4 = Cuban
$5=$ Central American/Dominican Republic
6 = Latin/South American
7 = Other Hispanic
Master Address File ID

Edited Tenure
0 = NIU (Vacant)
$1=$ Owned with a mortgage
$2=$ Owned free and clear
3 = Rented
4 = Occupied without payment of rent

CENRACE

> | Race Recode |
| :--- |
| $01=$ White alone |
| $02=$ Black alone |
| $03=$ American Indian or Alaska Native (AIAN) alone |
| $04=$ Asian alone |
| $05=$ Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander (NHPI) alone |
| $06=$ Some other race alone (SOR) |
| $07=$ White-Black |
| $08=$ White-AIAN |
| $09=$ White-Asian |
| $10=$ White-NHPI |
| $11=$ White-SOR |
| $12=$ Black-AIAN |
| $13=$ Black-Asian |
| $14=$ Black-NHPI |
| $15=$ Black-SOR |
| $16=$ AIAN-Asian |
| $17=$ AIAN-NHPI |
| $18=$ AIAN-SOR |
| $19=$ Asian-NHPI |
| $20=$ Asian-SOR |
| $21=$ NHPI-SOR |
| $22=$ White-Black-AIAN |
| $23=$ White-Black-Asian |
| $24=$ White-Black-NHPI |
| $25=$ White-Black-SOR |
| $26=$ White-AIAN-Asian |
| $27=$ White-AIAN-NHPI |
| $28=$ White-AIAN-SOR |
| $29=$ White-Asian-NHPI |
| $30=$ White-Asian-SOR |
| $31=$ White-NHPI-SOR |
| $32=$ Black-AIAN-Asian |
| $33=$ Black-AIAN-NHPI |
| $34=$ Black-AIAN-SOR |
| $35=$ Black-Asian-NHPI |
| $36=$ Black-Asian-SOR |
| $37=$ Black-NHPI-SOR |
| $38=$ AIAN-Asian-NHPI |
| $39=$ AIAN-Asian-SOR |
| $40=$ AIAN-NHPI-SOR |
| $41=$ Asian-NHPI-SOR |
| $42=$ White-Black-AIAN-Asian |
| $43=$ White-Black-AIAN-NHPI |

$44=$ White-Black-AIAN-SOR
$45=$ White-Black-Asian-NHPI
$46=$ White-Black-Asian-SOR
$47=$ White-Black-NHPI-SOR
$48=$ White-AIAN-Asian-NHPI
$49=$ White-AIAN-Asian-SOR
$50=$ White-AIAN-NHPI-SOR
$51=$ White-Asian-NHPI-SOR
$52=$ Black-AIAN-Asian-NHPI
$53=$ Black-AIAN-Asian-SOR
$54=$ Black-AIAN-NHPI-SOR
$55=$ Black-Asian-NHPI-SOR
$56=$ AIAN-Asian-NHPI-SOR
$57=$ White-Black-AIAN-Asian-NHPI
$58=$ White-Black-AIAN-Asian-SOR
$59=$ White-Black-AIAN-NHPI-SOR
$60=$ White-Black-Asian-NHPI-SOR
$61=$ White-AIAN-Asian-NHPI-SOR
$62=$ Black-AIAN-Asian-NHPI-SOR
$63=$ White-Black-AIAN-Asian-NHPI-SOR

## QREL

## Edited Relationship Status

1 = Householder
2 = Husband/Wife
3 = Biological Son/Daughter
4 = Adopted Son/Daughter
5 = Stepson/Stepdaughter
6 = Brother/Sister
7 = Father/Mother
$8=$ Grandchild
9 = Parent-in-law
$10=$ Son/Daughter-in-law
$11=$ Other relative
$12=$ Roomer/Boarder
13 = Housemate/Roommate
14 = Unmarried Partner
$15=$ Other Non-relative

## Appendix B: DRIS Check-in File Variable Definitions

## Mail Returns

## CENSUS_ID

MAILD

SORT_KEY

## Census ID

Check-in month and date of mail response (MMDD)
Form type of the response
111 = Mailback-English (Initial, Update/Leave (addressed))
$112=$ Replacement Mailout/Mailback
113 = Bilingual - English/Spanish (Initial)
114 = Update/Leave ADDs - English
$132=$ Update/Leave Puerto Rico addressed - Spanish
153 = Update/Leave ADD and Fulfillment - Puerto Rico (Spanish)
$201=$ TQA telephone interview instrument - English (also used for PR) - MAF ID cases only 202 = TQA telephone interview instrument - Spanish (also used for PR) - MAF ID cases only $210=$ TQA telephone interview instrument - English (also used for PR) - Processing ID cases
$269=$ TQA telephone interview instrument - Spanish (also used for PR) - Processing ID cases
$275=$ TQA telephone interview instrument - English (also used for PR) - MAF ID cases only, FOR CPEX CASES $276=$ TQA telephone interview instrument - Spanish (also used for PR) - MAF ID cases only, FOR CPEX CASES 277 = TQA telephone interview instrument - English (also used for PR) - Processing ID cases, FOR CPEX CASES $278=$ TQA telephone interview instrument - Spanish (also used for PR) - Processing ID cases, FOR CPEX CASES 301 = Mailback-English (Fulfillment) with respondent provided ID
302 = Fulfillment - Puerto Rico English
$303=$ Fulfillment - Spanish with respondent provided ID
304 = Fulfillment - Puerto Rico Spanish
$305=$ Fulfillment - Chinese (Simplified) w/respondent provided ID
$306=$ Fulfillment - Korean w/respondent provided ID
307 = Fulfillment - Russian w/respondent provided ID
$308=$ Fulfillment - Vietnamese w/respondent provided ID
$310=$ Mailback-English (Fulfillment) - Processing ID cases
320 = Fulfillment - Puerto Rico English - Processing ID cases
$330=$ Fulfillment - Spanish - Processing ID cases

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 340=\text { Fulfillment }- \text { Puerto Rico Spanish }- \text { Processing ID } \\
& \text { cases } \\
& 350=\text { Fulfillment }- \text { Chinese }- \text { Processing ID cases } \\
& 360=\text { Fulfillment }- \text { Korean }- \text { Processing ID cases } \\
& 370=\text { Fulfillment }- \text { Russian }- \text { Processing ID cases } \\
& 380=\text { Fulfillment }- \text { Vietnamese }- \text { Processing ID cases } \\
& 401=\text { NRFU, English, Stateside, Experimental D-1(E) } \\
& 402 \text { = NRFU, English, Stateside Supplemental } \\
& 403=\text { NRFU Reinterview, English, Stateside } \\
& 421=\text { NRFU, Spanish, Puerto Rico } \\
& 422=\text { NRFU, Spanish, Puerto Rico Supplemental } \\
& 423=\text { NRFU Reinterview, Spanish, Puerto Rico } \\
& 451=\text { ETL, English, Stateside } \\
& 471=\text { ETL, Spanish, Puerto Rico } \\
& 511=\text { Be Counted-English } \\
& 512=\text { Be Counted-Puerto Rico (English) } \\
& 513=\text { Be Counted-Puerto Rico (Spanish) } \\
& 514=\text { Be Counted-Spanish } \\
& 515=\text { Be Counted-Chinese } \\
& 516=\text { Be Counted-Korean } \\
& 517=\text { Be Counted-Russian } \\
& 518=\text { Be Counted-Vietnamese } \\
& 670-699=\text { Not acceptable as Form Type codes for } \\
& \text { identification of enumeration cases } \\
& 751=\text { Individual Census Report (ICR)-English, } \\
& \text { Experimental D-20 } \\
& 752=\text { Individual Census Report (ICR)-Spanish } \\
& 753=\text { Individual Census Report (ICR)-PR (English) } \\
& 754=\text { Individual Census Report (ICR)-PR (Spanish) } \\
& 771=\text { Military Census Report (MCR)-English } \\
& 772=\text { Military Census Report (MCR)-PR (English) } \\
& 791=\text { Shipboard Census Report (SCR)-English } \\
& 792=\text { Shipboard Census Report (SCR)-PR } \\
& 811=\text { Coverage Followup telephone interview instrument }- \\
& \text { English (also used for PR) } \\
& 812=\text { Coverage Followup telephone interview instrument }- \\
& \text { Spanish (also used for PR) } \\
& 840=\text { Reserved for Internet responses with Census ID } \\
& 890=\text { GQV Questionnaire - English } \\
& 891=\text { GQV Questionnaire for PR - Spanish } \\
& 892=\text { GQV Correctional Facility Continuation - English } \\
& 893=\text { GQV Correctional Facility Continuation PR - Spanish } \\
& 894=\text { GQV Housing Unit Continuation - English } \\
& 895=\text { GQV Housing Unit Continuation PR - Spanish } \\
& 896=\text { GQV Non-survivor Label Form - English } \\
& 897=\text { GQV Non-survivor Label Page PR - Spanish } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

```
901 = CONTROL A: }2010\mathrm{ Census Form (CPEX Phone #)
902 = CONTROL B: }2010\mathrm{ Census Form w/o Overcount
903 = G1:Census 2000 Form
904 = B2A: Combined Race & Hispanic Origin
905 = B2B: Combined Race & Hispanic Origin
906 = B2C: Combined Race & Hispanic Origin
907 = B2D:Combined Race & Hispanic Origin
908 = B1B: Race
909 = B1C: Hispanic Origin
910 = B1D: Hispanic Origin
911 = B1B+B1C+B1D: Race and Hispanic Origin
912 = B1B+B1C: Race and Hispanic Origin
913 = B1B+B1D: Race and Hispanic Origin
914 = B1C+B1D: Hispanic Origin
915 = C10: Coverage
916 = H1: Deadline Message 1
917 = H1: Deadline Message 2
918=H1: Deadline Message 3
919 = H1:Compressed Schedule
920 = H1: Deadline Message 1 + Comp. Schedule
921 = H1: Deadline Message 2 + Comp. Schedule
922 = H1:Deadline Message 3 + Comp. Schedule
923 = K1: Privacy
924 = K1: Privacy
925 = B4A: OMB Race Treatment 1
926 = B4B: OMB Race Treatment 2
927 = B4C: OMB Race Treatment 3
928=B4D: OMB Race Treatment 4
929 = H1: Deadline Message 4
930 = H1:Deadline Message 4 + Comp. Schedule
931 = CNTRL A: 2010 Census Form (CPEX Phone #),
Replacement Mailing
932 = CNTRL B: 2010 Census Form w/o Overcount,
Replacement Mailing
933 = G1: Census 2000 Form, Replacement Mailing
934 = B2A: Combined Race & Hispanic Origin,
Replacement Mailing
935 = B2B: Combined Race & Hispanic Origin, Replacement
Mailing
936 = B2C: Combined Race & Hispanic Origin, Replacement
Mailing
937 = B2D:Combined Race & Hispanic Origin,
Replacement Mailing
938 = B1B: Race, Replacement Mailing
939 = B1C: Hispanic Origin, Replacement Mailing
940 = B1D: Hispanic Origin, Replacement Mailing
```

$941=\mathrm{B} 1 \mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{B} 1 \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{B} 1 \mathrm{D}:$ Race and Hispanic Origin, Replacement Mailing
$942=$ B1B+B1C: Race and Hispanic Origin, Replacement Mailing
$943=$ B1B+B1D: Race and Hispanic Origin, Replacement Mailing
944 = B1C+B1D: Hispanic Origin, Replacement Mailing $945=$ C10: Coverage, Replacement Mailing
$946=\mathrm{H} 1$ : Deadline Message 1, Replacement Mailing
$947=$ H1: Deadline Message 2, Replacement Mailing
948 = H1: Deadline Message 3, Replacement Mailing
949 = H1: Compressed Schedule, Replacement Mailing
$950=\mathrm{H} 1:$ Deadline Message $1+$ Comp. Schedule,
Replacement Mailing
951 = H1: Deadline Message 2 + Comp. Schedule,
Replacement Mailing
952 = H1: Deadline Message 3+ Comp. Schedule,
Replacement Mailing
953 = K1: Privacy, Replacement Mailing
954 = K1: Privacy, Replacement Mailing
955 = B4A: OMB Race Treatment 1, Replacement Mailing
$956=$ B4B: OMB Race Treatment 2, Replacement Mailing
$957=$ B4C: OMB Race Treatment 3, Replacement Mailing
958 = B4D: OMB Race Treatment 4, Replacement Mailing
959 = H1: Deadline Message 4, Replacement Mailing
960 = H1: Deadline Message 4+ Comp. Schedule,
Replacement Mailing
961-969 = Reserved for Experiments
970-999 = NOT acceptable as Form Type codes for identification of Stateside enumeration cases

## Undeliverable As Addressed

## CENSUS_ID

MAILD_UAA
SORT_KEY_UAA

Census ID
Check-in month and date of UAA (MMDD)
Form type of a UAA (same values as SORT_KEY)

## Appendix C: Universe Control and Management Variable Definitions

## Operations Table

COLBLKCOU
COLBLKST

DSAF

FQCIDATE

GQHUFLAG

IQCIDATE

IQUAADATE

MAFID

NRFUUNV

PANEL

2010 Collection Block - County
2010 Collection Block - State
Delivery Specific Address Flag
$\mathrm{Y}=$ Valid unit for decennial census purposes, as of the current delivery
$\mathrm{N}=$ Not a valid unit for decennial census purposes, as of the current delivery

Fulfillment Questionnaire Check-in Date
$0000=$ Not checked in
MMDD = Check-in day
Group Quarters/HU Flag
$0=$ Housing Unit
$1=$ Special place (not for decennial use)
2 = Group Quarters
3 = Embedded HU at a GQ (not for decennial)
$4=$ Transient Unit with a Transient Location
$5=$ Transient Location

Initial Questionnaire Check-in Date
$0000=$ Not checked in
MMDD = Check-in day
Initial Questionnaire UAA Date
$0000=$ Not checked in
MMDD = Check-in day
Master Address File ID

Nonresponse Followup Universe Flag Y = Address is valid decennial address, eligible to be part of the NRFU Universe.
$\mathrm{N}=$ Address is a valid decennial address, eligible to be part of the Supplemental NRFU Universe

Initial Questionnaire Panel
0 = English Only
$1=$ Bilingual

REPL
In Replacement Mailing
$0=$ Not in Replacement Mailing
1 = In Replacement Mailing (Targeted), not sent
$2=$ In Replacement Mailing (Blanketed)
3 = In Replacement Mailing (Targeted), sent

RQCIDATE

RQUAADATE

TQCIDATE

TRACT

Replacement Questionnaire Check-in Date $0000=$ Not checked in
MMDD = Check-in day
Replacement Questionnaire UAA Date $0000=$ Not checked in
MMDD = Check-in day
Telephone Questionnaire Check-in Date $0000=$ Not checked in
MMDD = Check-in day
2010 Census Tract

## Appendix D: Update/Leave Addup File and Unmailables File Variable Definitions.

## Update/Leave Addup File

ACTION

MAFID
Unmailables File
MAFID

UNMAIL

Action Code in Update/Leave
A = Add
C = Change
D = Delete
$\mathrm{K}=$ Change Not Involving Address
$\mathrm{N}=$ Nonresidential
$\mathrm{V}=$ Verify
$\mathrm{Z}=$ Other Living Quarters
Master Address File ID

Master Address File ID
Unmailables Flag
$0=$ Good Address
$1=$ Not enough address information for mailing

Appendix E: Mail Response Rate Numerators and Denominators for English and Bilingual Questionnaires

|  |  | Numerator - April 19, 2010 |  |  | Numerator - September 7, 2010 |  |  | Denominator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Form Type |  | Total | Form Type |  | Total | Form Type |  |
|  |  | English | Bilingual | English |  | Bilingual | English |  | Bilingual |
|  | Total |  | 82,553,963 | 75,347,183 | 7,206,780 | 86,489,691 | 78,846,522 | 7,643,169 | 130,047,668 | 117,953,594 | 12,094,074 |
| Type of Enumeration Area | Mailout/ Mailback | 77,039,399 | 70,036,785 | 7,002,614 | 80,765,581 | 73,338,033 | 7,427,548 | 119,648,117 | 107,980,891 | 11,667,226 |
|  | Update/ <br> Leave | 4,326,272 | 4,184,030 | 142,242 | 4,484,151 | 4,334,310 | 149,841 | 7,985,608 | 7,692,165 | 293,443 |
|  | Urban Update/ Leave | 1,188,292 | 1,126,368 | 61,924 | 1,239,959 | 1,174,179 | 65,780 | 2,413,943 | 2,280,538 | 133,405 |

Source: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, and MAF

## Appendix F: Mail Response Rate Numerators and Denominators for Initial and Replacement Questionnaires

|  |  | Numerator - April 19, 2010 |  |  | Numerator - September 7, 2010 |  |  | Denominator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire |  |
|  | Total | 82,553,963 | 80,003,566 | 2,550,397 | 86,489,691 | 82,739,346 | 3,750,345 | 130,047,668 |
| Type of Enumeration Area | Mailout/ <br> Mailback | 77,039,399 | 74,489,013 | 2,550,386 | 80,765,581 | 77,015,257 | 3,750,324 | 119,648,117 |
|  | Update/ <br> Leave | 4,326,272 | 4,326,262 | 10 | 4,484,151 | 4,484,135 | 16 | 7,985,608 |
|  | Urban Update/ Leave | 1,188,292 | 1,188,291 | 1 | 1,239,959 | 1,239,954 | 5 | 2,413,943 |
| Replacement Mailing | Blanket | 13,277,923 | 11,710,185 | 1,567,738 | 13,972,590 | 12,033,873 | 1,938,717 | 24,764,043 |
|  | Target | 17,982,278 | 16,999,619 | 982,659 | 19,284,106 | 17,472,478 | 1,811,628 | 28,811,157 |
|  | None | 51,293,762 | 51,293,762 | 0 | 53,232,995 | 53,232,995 | 0 | 76,472,468 |

Source: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables

Appendix G: Two Figures Illustrating the Cumulative Mail Response and Mail Return Rates for Initial Questionnaires and All Questionnaires by Day

Figure 1: Cumulative Mail Response Rates by Date


Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables

Figure 2: Cumulative Mail Return Rates by Date


[^4]Appendix H: Mail Response Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Friday | 02/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Saturday | 02/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Sunday | 02/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Monday | 03/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Tuesday | 03/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Wednesday | 03/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Thursday | 03/04/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Friday | 03/05/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Saturday | 03/06/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Sunday | 03/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Monday | 03/08/10 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Tuesday | 03/09/10 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |
| Wednesday | 03/10/10 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |
| Thursday | 03/11/10 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% |
| Friday | 03/12/10 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Saturday | 03/13/10 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% |
| Sunday | 03/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% |
| Monday | 03/15/10 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% |
| Tuesday | 03/16/10 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% |
| Wednesday | 03/17/10 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% |
| Thursday | 03/18/10 | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% |
| Friday | 03/19/10 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.0\% |
| Saturday | 03/20/10 | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% |
| Sunday | 03/21/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% |
| Monday | 03/22/10 | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 17.4\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% |
| Tuesday | 03/23/10 | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 23.3\% | 0.0\% | 23.3\% |
| Wednesday | 03/24/10 | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 29.4\% | 0.0\% | 29.4\% |

Appendix H: Mail Response Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Thursday | 03/25/10 | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 34.1\% | 0.0\% | 34.1\% |
| Friday | 03/26/10 | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 39.3\% | 0.0\% | 39.3\% |
| Saturday | 03/27/10 | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 42.5\% | 0.0\% | 42.5\% |
| Sunday | 03/28/10 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 42.9\% | 0.0\% | 42.9\% |
| Monday | 03/29/10 | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 46.5\% | 0.0\% | 46.5\% |
| Tuesday | 03/30/10 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 47.3\% | 0.0\% | 47.3\% |
| Wednesday | 03/31/10 | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 49.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.0\% |
| Thursday | 04/01/10 | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 50.7\% | 0.0\% | 50.7\% |
| Friday | 04/02/10 | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 52.5\% | 0.0\% | 52.5\% |
| Saturday | 04/03/10 | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 54.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.0\% |
| Sunday | 04/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.0\% |
| Monday | 04/05/10 | 1.9\% | 0.2\% | 2.1\% | 55.9\% | 0.2\% | 56.1\% |
| Tuesday | 04/06/10 | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 56.4\% | 0.3\% | 56.7\% |
| Wednesday | 04/07/10 | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 57.2\% | 0.5\% | 57.6\% |
| Thursday | 04/08/10 | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 57.9\% | 0.6\% | 58.6\% |
| Friday | 04/09/10 | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 58.5\% | 0.7\% | 59.2\% |
| Saturday | 04/10/10 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 58.7\% | 0.8\% | 59.5\% |
| Sunday | 04/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 58.7\% | 0.8\% | 59.5\% |
| Monday | 04/12/10 | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 59.5\% | 0.9\% | 60.4\% |
| Tuesday | 04/13/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 59.6\% | 1.0\% | 60.6\% |
| Wednesday | 04/14/10 | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 60.0\% | 1.1\% | 61.1\% |
| Thursday | 04/15/10 | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 60.4\% | 1.3\% | 61.7\% |
| Friday | 04/16/10 | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 60.7\% | 1.6\% | 62.3\% |
| Saturday | 04/17/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.7\% | 1.6\% | 62.3\% |
| Sunday | 04/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.7\% | 1.6\% | 62.3\% |
| Monday | 04/19/10 | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 61.5\% | 2.0\% | 63.5\% |
| Tuesday | 04/20/10 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 61.6\% | 2.0\% | 63.7\% |

Appendix H: Mail Response Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Wednesday | 04/21/10 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 61.9\% | 2.2\% | 64.1\% |
| Thursday | 04/22/10 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 62.1\% | 2.3\% | 64.3\% |
| Friday | 04/23/10 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 62.2\% | 2.4\% | 64.6\% |
| Saturday | 04/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.2\% | 2.4\% | 64.6\% |
| Sunday | 04/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.2\% | 2.4\% | 64.6\% |
| Monday | 04/26/10 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 62.4\% | 2.4\% | 64.8\% |
| Tuesday | 04/27/10 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 62.5\% | 2.5\% | 65.0\% |
| Wednesday | 04/28/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 62.6\% | 2.5\% | 65.1\% |
| Thursday | 04/29/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 62.6\% | 2.5\% | 65.2\% |
| Friday | 04/30/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 62.7\% | 2.6\% | 65.3\% |
| Saturday | 05/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.7\% | 2.6\% | 65.3\% |
| Sunday | 05/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.7\% | 2.6\% | 65.3\% |
| Monday | 05/03/10 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 62.9\% | 2.6\% | 65.5\% |
| Tuesday | 05/04/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 62.9\% | 2.7\% | 65.6\% |
| Wednesday | 05/05/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 63.0\% | 2.7\% | 65.6\% |
| Thursday | 05/06/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 63.0\% | 2.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Friday | 05/07/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 63.1\% | 2.7\% | 65.8\% |
| Saturday | 05/08/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.1\% | 2.7\% | 65.8\% |
| Sunday | 05/09/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.1\% | 2.7\% | 65.8\% |
| Monday | 05/10/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 63.1\% | 2.7\% | 65.9\% |
| Tuesday | 05/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.2\% | 2.7\% | 65.9\% |
| Wednesday | 05/12/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 63.2\% | 2.8\% | 66.0\% |
| Thursday | 05/13/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 63.3\% | 2.8\% | 66.0\% |
| Friday | 05/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.3\% | 2.8\% | 66.1\% |
| Saturday | 05/15/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.3\% | 2.8\% | 66.1\% |
| Sunday | 05/16/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.3\% | 2.8\% | 66.1\% |
| Monday | 05/17/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 63.3\% | 2.8\% | 66.1\% |

Appendix H: Mail Response Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Tuesday | 05/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.4\% | 2.8\% | 66.2\% |
| Wednesday | 05/19/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.4\% | 2.8\% | 66.2\% |
| Thursday | 05/20/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.4\% | 2.8\% | 66.2\% |
| Friday | 05/21/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.4\% | 2.8\% | 66.2\% |
| Saturday | 05/22/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.4\% | 2.8\% | 66.2\% |
| Sunday | 05/23/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.4\% | 2.8\% | 66.2\% |
| Monday | 05/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.4\% | 2.8\% | 66.3\% |
| Tuesday | 05/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.8\% | 66.3\% |
| Wednesday | 05/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.8\% | 66.3\% |
| Thursday | 05/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.8\% | 66.3\% |
| Friday | 05/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.8\% | 66.3\% |
| Saturday | 05/29/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.8\% | 66.3\% |
| Sunday | 05/30/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.8\% | 66.3\% |
| Monday | 05/31/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.8\% | 66.3\% |
| Tuesday | 06/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Wednesday | 06/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Thursday | 06/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Friday | 06/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Saturday | 06/05/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Sunday | 06/06/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Monday | 06/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Tuesday | 06/08/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Wednesday | 06/09/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.5\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Thursday | 06/10/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Friday | 06/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Saturday | 06/12/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Sunday | 06/13/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |

Appendix H: Mail Response Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Monday | 06/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Tuesday | 06/15/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Wednesday | 06/16/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Thursday | 06/17/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Friday | 06/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Saturday | 06/19/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Sunday | 06/20/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Monday | 06/21/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.4\% |
| Tuesday | 06/22/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Wednesday | 06/23/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Thursday | 06/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Friday | 06/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Saturday | 06/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Sunday | 06/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Monday | 06/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Tuesday | 06/29/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Wednesday | 06/30/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Thursday | 07/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Friday | 07/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Saturday | 07/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Sunday | 07/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Monday | 07/05/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Tuesday | 07/06/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Wednesday | 07/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Thursday | 07/08/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Friday | 07/09/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Saturday | 07/10/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |

Appendix H: Mail Response Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Sunday | 07/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Monday | 07/12/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Tuesday | 07/13/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Wednesday | 07/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Thursday | 07/15/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Friday | 07/16/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Saturday | 07/17/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Sunday | 07/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Monday | 07/19/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Tuesday | 07/20/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Wednesday | 07/21/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Thursday | 07/22/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Friday | 07/23/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Saturday | 07/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Sunday | 07/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Monday | 07/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Tuesday | 07/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Wednesday | 07/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Thursday | 07/29/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Friday | 07/30/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Saturday | 07/31/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Sunday | 08/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Monday | 08/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Tuesday | 08/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Wednesday | 08/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Thursday | 08/05/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Friday | 08/06/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |

Appendix H: Mail Response Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial <br> Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Saturday | 08/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Sunday | 08/08/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Monday | 08/09/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Tuesday | 08/10/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Wednesday | 08/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Thursday | 08/12/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Friday | 08/13/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Saturday | 08/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Sunday | 08/15/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Monday | 08/16/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Tuesday | 08/17/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Wednesday | 08/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Thursday | 08/19/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Friday | 08/20/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Saturday | 08/21/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Sunday | 08/22/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Monday | 08/23/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Tuesday | 08/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Wednesday | 08/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Thursday | 08/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Friday | 08/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Saturday | 08/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Sunday | 08/29/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Monday | 08/30/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Tuesday | 08/31/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Wednesday | 09/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |
| Thursday | 09/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% | 2.9\% | 66.5\% |

Appendix H: Mail Response Rates by Day

|  |  | Daily Mail Response Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Initial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Replacement |  | Initial |  | Replacement |
| Day | Date | Questionnaire | Questionnaire | Total | Questionnaire | Questionnaire | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Friday | $09 / 03 / 10$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $66.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saturday | $09 / 04 / 10$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $66.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sunday | $09 / 05 / 10$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $66.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monday | $09 / 06 / 10$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $66.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tuesday | $09 / 07 / 10$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $66.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, and MAF

Appendix I: Mail Return Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Friday | 02/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Saturday | 02/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Sunday | 02/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Monday | 03/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Tuesday | 03/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Wednesday | 03/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Thursday | 03/04/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Friday | 03/05/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Saturday | 03/06/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
| Sunday | 03/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
| Monday | 03/08/10 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Tuesday | 03/09/10 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Wednesday | 03/10/10 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% |
| Thursday | 03/11/10 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% |
| Friday | 03/12/10 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% |
| Saturday | 03/13/10 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% |
| Sunday | 03/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% |
| Monday | 03/15/10 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% |
| Tuesday | 03/16/10 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% |
| Wednesday | 03/17/10 | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% |
| Thursday | 03/18/10 | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% |
| Friday | 03/19/10 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% |
| Saturday | 03/20/10 | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 14.9\% | 0.0\% | 14.9\% |
| Sunday | 03/21/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 15.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.0\% |
| Monday | 03/22/10 | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 20.8\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% |
| Tuesday | 03/23/10 | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 27.8\% | 0.0\% | 27.8\% |
| Wednesday | 03/24/10 | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 35.0\% | 0.0\% | 35.0\% |

Appendix I: Mail Return Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Thursday | 03/25/10 | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 40.7\% | 0.0\% | 40.7\% |
| Friday | 03/26/10 | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 46.9\% | 0.0\% | 46.9\% |
| Saturday | 03/27/10 | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 50.8\% | 0.0\% | 50.8\% |
| Sunday | 03/28/10 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 51.2\% | 0.0\% | 51.2\% |
| Monday | 03/29/10 | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 55.5\% | 0.0\% | 55.5\% |
| Tuesday | 03/30/10 | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 56.5\% | 0.0\% | 56.5\% |
| Wednesday | 03/31/10 | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 58.4\% | 0.0\% | 58.4\% |
| Thursday | 04/01/10 | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 60.6\% | 0.0\% | 60.6\% |
| Friday | 04/02/10 | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 62.6\% | 0.0\% | 62.6\% |
| Saturday | 04/03/10 | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 64.4\% | 0.0\% | 64.5\% |
| Sunday | 04/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.4\% | 0.0\% | 64.5\% |
| Monday | 04/05/10 | 2.2\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 66.7\% | 0.3\% | 67.0\% |
| Tuesday | 04/06/10 | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 67.3\% | 0.4\% | 67.7\% |
| Wednesday | 04/07/10 | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 68.2\% | 0.6\% | 68.8\% |
| Thursday | 04/08/10 | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 69.1\% | 0.7\% | 69.9\% |
| Friday | 04/09/10 | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 69.8\% | 0.9\% | 70.7\% |
| Saturday | 04/10/10 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 70.1\% | 0.9\% | 71.0\% |
| Sunday | 04/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 70.1\% | 0.9\% | 71.0\% |
| Monday | 04/12/10 | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 71.0\% | 1.1\% | 72.1\% |
| Tuesday | 04/13/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 71.1\% | 1.2\% | 72.3\% |
| Wednesday | 04/14/10 | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 71.6\% | 1.4\% | 72.9\% |
| Thursday | 04/15/10 | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 72.1\% | 1.6\% | 73.7\% |
| Friday | 04/16/10 | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 72.5\% | 1.9\% | 74.3\% |
| Saturday | 04/17/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 72.5\% | 1.9\% | 74.4\% |
| Sunday | 04/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 72.5\% | 1.9\% | 74.4\% |
| Monday | 04/19/10 | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 73.4\% | 2.3\% | 75.8\% |
| Tuesday | 04/20/10 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 73.6\% | 2.4\% | 76.0\% |

Appendix I: Mail Return Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Wednesday | 04/21/10 | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 73.8\% | 2.6\% | 76.5\% |
| Thursday | 04/22/10 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 74.1\% | 2.7\% | 76.8\% |
| Friday | 04/23/10 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 74.2\% | 2.8\% | 77.0\% |
| Saturday | 04/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 74.2\% | 2.8\% | 77.0\% |
| Sunday | 04/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 74.2\% | 2.8\% | 77.0\% |
| Monday | 04/26/10 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 74.4\% | 2.9\% | 77.3\% |
| Tuesday | 04/27/10 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 74.6\% | 3.0\% | 77.5\% |
| Wednesday | 04/28/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 74.7\% | 3.0\% | 77.6\% |
| Thursday | 04/29/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 74.8\% | 3.0\% | 77.8\% |
| Friday | 04/30/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 74.8\% | 3.1\% | 77.9\% |
| Saturday | 05/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 74.8\% | 3.1\% | 77.9\% |
| Sunday | 05/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 74.8\% | 3.1\% | 77.9\% |
| Monday | 05/03/10 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 75.0\% | 3.1\% | 78.1\% |
| Tuesday | 05/04/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 75.1\% | 3.2\% | 78.2\% |
| Wednesday | 05/05/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 75.1\% | 3.2\% | 78.3\% |
| Thursday | 05/06/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 75.2\% | 3.2\% | 78.4\% |
| Friday | 05/07/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 75.3\% | 3.2\% | 78.5\% |
| Saturday | 05/08/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.3\% | 3.2\% | 78.5\% |
| Sunday | 05/09/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.3\% | 3.2\% | 78.5\% |
| Monday | 05/10/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 75.3\% | 3.2\% | 78.6\% |
| Tuesday | 05/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.4\% | 3.3\% | 78.6\% |
| Wednesday | 05/12/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 75.4\% | 3.3\% | 78.7\% |
| Thursday | 05/13/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 75.5\% | 3.3\% | 78.8\% |
| Friday | 05/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.5\% | 3.3\% | 78.8\% |
| Saturday | 05/15/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.5\% | 3.3\% | 78.8\% |
| Sunday | 05/16/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.5\% | 3.3\% | 78.8\% |
| Monday | 05/17/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 75.5\% | 3.3\% | 78.9\% |

Appendix I: Mail Return Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Tuesday | 05/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.6\% | 3.3\% | 78.9\% |
| Wednesday | 05/19/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.6\% | 3.3\% | 78.9\% |
| Thursday | 05/20/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.6\% | 3.3\% | 79.0\% |
| Friday | 05/21/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.6\% | 3.3\% | 79.0\% |
| Saturday | 05/22/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.6\% | 3.3\% | 79.0\% |
| Sunday | 05/23/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.6\% | 3.3\% | 79.0\% |
| Monday | 05/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.7\% | 3.4\% | 79.0\% |
| Tuesday | 05/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.7\% | 3.4\% | 79.1\% |
| Wednesday | 05/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.7\% | 3.4\% | 79.1\% |
| Thursday | 05/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.7\% | 3.4\% | 79.1\% |
| Friday | 05/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.7\% | 3.4\% | 79.1\% |
| Saturday | 05/29/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.7\% | 3.4\% | 79.1\% |
| Sunday | 05/30/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.7\% | 3.4\% | 79.1\% |
| Monday | 05/31/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.7\% | 3.4\% | 79.1\% |
| Tuesday | 06/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.7\% | 3.4\% | 79.1\% |
| Wednesday | 06/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.1\% |
| Thursday | 06/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.1\% |
| Friday | 06/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Saturday | 06/05/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Sunday | 06/06/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Monday | 06/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Tuesday | 06/08/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Wednesday | 06/09/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Thursday | 06/10/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Friday | 06/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Saturday | 06/12/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Sunday | 06/13/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |

Appendix I: Mail Return Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Monday | 06/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Tuesday | 06/15/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Wednesday | 06/16/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Thursday | 06/17/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Friday | 06/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Saturday | 06/19/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Sunday | 06/20/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Monday | 06/21/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Tuesday | 06/22/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Wednesday | 06/23/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Thursday | 06/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Friday | 06/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Saturday | 06/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Sunday | 06/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Monday | 06/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Tuesday | 06/29/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.2\% |
| Wednesday | 06/30/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Thursday | 07/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Friday | 07/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Saturday | 07/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Sunday | 07/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Monday | 07/05/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Tuesday | 07/06/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Wednesday | 07/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Thursday | 07/08/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Friday | 07/09/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Saturday | 07/10/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |

Appendix I: Mail Return Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Sunday | 07/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Monday | 07/12/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Tuesday | 07/13/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Wednesday | 07/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Thursday | 07/15/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Friday | 07/16/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | $3.4 \%$ | 79.3\% |
| Saturday | 07/17/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Sunday | 07/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Monday | 07/19/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Tuesday | 07/20/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Wednesday | 07/21/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Thursday | 07/22/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Friday | 07/23/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Saturday | 07/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Sunday | 07/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Monday | 07/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Tuesday | 07/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Wednesday | 07/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Thursday | 07/29/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Friday | 07/30/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Saturday | 07/31/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Sunday | 08/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Monday | 08/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Tuesday | 08/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Wednesday | 08/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Thursday | 08/05/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Friday | 08/06/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |

Appendix I: Mail Return Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Saturday | 08/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Sunday | 08/08/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Monday | 08/09/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Tuesday | 08/10/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Wednesday | 08/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Thursday | 08/12/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Friday | 08/13/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Saturday | 08/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Sunday | 08/15/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Monday | 08/16/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Tuesday | 08/17/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Wednesday | 08/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Thursday | 08/19/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Friday | 08/20/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Saturday | 08/21/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Sunday | 08/22/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Monday | 08/23/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Tuesday | 08/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Wednesday | 08/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Thursday | 08/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Friday | 08/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Saturday | 08/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Sunday | 08/29/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Monday | 08/30/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Tuesday | 08/31/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Wednesday | 09/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |
| Thursday | 09/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.9\% | 3.4\% | 79.3\% |

## Appendix I: Mail Return Rates by Day

|  |  | Daily Mail Return Rate |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Rate |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Day | Date | Initial |  | Questionnaire | Replacement |  |  |
| Questionnaire |  | Initial |  | Replacement |  |  |  |
| Questionnaire | Questionnaire | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Friday | $09 / 03 / 10$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ |
| Saturday | $09 / 04 / 10$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ |
| Sunday | $09 / 05 / 10$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ |
| Monday | $09 / 06 / 10$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ |
| Tuesday | $09 / 07 / 10$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ |

Source: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, and MAF

Appendix J: Mail Response Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial <br> Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Friday | 02/26/10 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Saturday | 02/27/10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| Sunday | 02/28/10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| Monday | 03/01/10 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 37 | 0 | 37 |
| Tuesday | 03/02/10 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 65 | 0 | 65 |
| Wednesday | 03/03/10 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 90 | 0 | 90 |
| Thursday | 03/04/10 | 159,141 | 0 | 159,141 | 159,231 | 0 | 159,231 |
| Friday | 03/05/10 | 147,440 | 0 | 147,440 | 306,671 | 0 | 306,671 |
| Saturday | 03/06/10 | 91,489 | 0 | 91,489 | 398,160 | 0 | 398,160 |
| Sunday | 03/07/10 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 398,172 | 0 | 398,172 |
| Monday | 03/08/10 | 413,992 | 0 | 413,992 | 812,164 | 0 | 812,164 |
| Tuesday | 03/09/10 | 195,568 | 0 | 195,568 | 1,007,732 | 0 | 1,007,732 |
| Wednesday | 03/10/10 | 246,971 | 0 | 246,971 | 1,254,703 | 0 | 1,254,703 |
| Thursday | 03/11/10 | 329,602 | 0 | 329,602 | 1,584,305 | 0 | 1,584,305 |
| Friday | 03/12/10 | 265,879 | 0 | 265,879 | 1,850,184 | 0 | 1,850,184 |
| Saturday | 03/13/10 | 208,480 | 0 | 208,480 | 2,058,664 | 0 | 2,058,664 |
| Sunday | 03/14/10 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 2,058,678 | 0 | 2,058,678 |
| Monday | 03/15/10 | 381,460 | 0 | 381,460 | 2,440,138 | 0 | 2,440,138 |
| Tuesday | 03/16/10 | 256,361 | 0 | 256,361 | 2,696,499 | 0 | 2,696,499 |
| Wednesday | 03/17/10 | 786,442 | 0 | 786,442 | 3,482,941 | 0 | 3,482,941 |
| Thursday | 03/18/10 | 3,461,380 | 0 | 3,461,380 | 6,944,321 | 0 | 6,944,321 |
| Friday | 03/19/10 | 4,761,191 | 0 | 4,761,191 | 11,705,512 | 0 | 11,705,512 |
| Saturday | 03/20/10 | 4,508,651 | 0 | 4,508,651 | 16,214,163 | 0 | 16,214,163 |
| Sunday | 03/21/10 | 132,131 | 0 | 132,131 | 16,346,294 | 0 | 16,346,294 |
| Monday | 03/22/10 | 6,336,538 | 0 | 6,336,538 | 22,682,832 | 0 | 22,682,832 |
| Tuesday | 03/23/10 | 7,632,096 | 0 | 7,632,096 | 30,314,928 | 0 | 30,314,928 |
| Wednesday | 03/24/10 | 7,869,012 | 0 | 7,869,012 | 38,183,940 | 0 | 38,183,940 |

Appendix J: Mail Response Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Thursday | 03/25/10 | 6,112,691 | 0 | 6,112,691 | 44,296,631 | 0 | 44,296,631 |
| Friday | 03/26/10 | 6,758,422 | 0 | 6,758,422 | 51,055,053 | 0 | 51,055,053 |
| Saturday | 03/27/10 | 4,249,658 | 0 | 4,249,658 | 55,304,711 | 0 | 55,304,711 |
| Sunday | 03/28/10 | 449,308 | 0 | 449,308 | 55,754,019 | 0 | 55,754,019 |
| Monday | 03/29/10 | 4,719,817 | 0 | 4,719,817 | 60,473,836 | 0 | 60,473,836 |
| Tuesday | 03/30/10 | 1,100,766 | 0 | 1,100,766 | 61,574,602 | 0 | 61,574,602 |
| Wednesday | 03/31/10 | 2,091,770 | 0 | 2,091,770 | 63,666,372 | 0 | 63,666,372 |
| Thursday | 04/01/10 | 2,310,682 | 220 | 2,310,902 | 65,977,054 | 220 | 65,977,274 |
| Friday | 04/02/10 | 2,263,957 | 5,590 | 2,269,547 | 68,241,011 | 5,810 | 68,246,821 |
| Saturday | 04/03/10 | 1,973,499 | 44,312 | 2,017,811 | 70,214,510 | 50,122 | 70,264,632 |
| Sunday | 04/04/10 | 74 | 0 | 74 | 70,214,584 | 50,122 | 70,264,706 |
| Monday | 04/05/10 | 2,441,565 | 258,665 | 2,700,230 | 72,656,149 | 308,787 | 72,964,936 |
| Tuesday | 04/06/10 | 687,102 | 132,355 | 819,457 | 73,343,251 | 441,142 | 73,784,393 |
| Wednesday | 04/07/10 | 992,017 | 180,213 | 1,172,230 | 74,335,268 | 621,355 | 74,956,623 |
| Thursday | 04/08/10 | 998,999 | 188,706 | 1,187,705 | 75,334,267 | 810,061 | 76,144,328 |
| Friday | 04/09/10 | 743,753 | 145,405 | 889,158 | 76,078,020 | 955,466 | 77,033,486 |
| Saturday | 04/10/10 | 269,680 | 36,349 | 306,029 | 76,347,700 | 991,815 | 77,339,515 |
| Sunday | 04/11/10 | 90 | 1 | 91 | 76,347,790 | 991,816 | 77,339,606 |
| Monday | 04/12/10 | 965,897 | 235,531 | 1,201,428 | 77,313,687 | 1,227,347 | 78,541,034 |
| Tuesday | 04/13/10 | 162,167 | 42,267 | 204,434 | 77,475,854 | 1,269,614 | 78,745,468 |
| Wednesday | 04/14/10 | 507,639 | 216,594 | 724,233 | 77,983,493 | 1,486,208 | 79,469,701 |
| Thursday | 04/15/10 | 547,141 | 258,659 | 805,800 | 78,530,634 | 1,744,867 | 80,275,501 |
| Friday | 04/16/10 | 447,273 | 290,715 | 737,988 | 78,977,907 | 2,035,582 | 81,013,489 |
| Saturday | 04/17/10 | 15,140 | 186 | 15,326 | 78,993,047 | 2,035,768 | 81,028,815 |
| Sunday | 04/18/10 | 1,216 | 0 | 1,216 | 78,994,263 | 2,035,768 | 81,030,031 |
| Monday | 04/19/10 | 1,009,303 | 514,629 | 1,523,932 | 80,003,566 | 2,550,397 | 82,553,963 |
| Tuesday | 04/20/10 | 164,144 | 110,179 | 274,323 | 80,167,710 | 2,660,576 | 82,828,286 |

Appendix J: Mail Response Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Wednesday | 04/21/10 | 307,269 | 179,573 | 486,842 | 80,474,979 | 2,840,149 | 83,315,128 |
| Thursday | 04/22/10 | 250,739 | 118,091 | 368,830 | 80,725,718 | 2,958,240 | 83,683,958 |
| Friday | 04/23/10 | 183,764 | 99,888 | 283,652 | 80,909,482 | 3,058,128 | 83,967,610 |
| Saturday | 04/24/10 | 2,071 | 88 | 2,159 | 80,911,553 | 3,058,216 | 83,969,769 |
| Sunday | 04/25/10 | 77 | 0 | 77 | 80,911,630 | 3,058,216 | 83,969,846 |
| Monday | 04/26/10 | 203,723 | 93,576 | 297,299 | 81,115,353 | 3,151,792 | 84,267,145 |
| Tuesday | 04/27/10 | 167,327 | 72,907 | 240,234 | 81,282,680 | 3,224,699 | 84,507,379 |
| Wednesday | 04/28/10 | 79,504 | 34,587 | 114,091 | 81,362,184 | 3,259,286 | 84,621,470 |
| Thursday | 04/29/10 | 106,370 | 51,786 | 158,156 | 81,468,554 | 3,311,072 | 84,779,626 |
| Friday | 04/30/10 | 80,191 | 37,594 | 117,785 | 81,548,745 | 3,348,666 | 84,897,411 |
| Saturday | 05/01/10 | 475 | 40 | 515 | 81,549,220 | 3,348,706 | 84,897,926 |
| Sunday | 05/02/10 | 75 | 0 | 75 | 81,549,295 | 3,348,706 | 84,898,001 |
| Monday | 05/03/10 | 192,202 | 69,891 | 262,093 | 81,741,497 | 3,418,597 | 85,160,094 |
| Tuesday | 05/04/10 | 86,520 | 30,167 | 116,687 | 81,828,017 | 3,448,764 | 85,276,781 |
| Wednesday | 05/05/10 | 64,913 | 25,803 | 90,716 | 81,892,930 | 3,474,567 | 85,367,497 |
| Thursday | 05/06/10 | 78,250 | 29,250 | 107,500 | 81,971,180 | 3,503,817 | 85,474,997 |
| Friday | 05/07/10 | 67,607 | 23,593 | 91,200 | 82,038,787 | 3,527,410 | 85,566,197 |
| Saturday | 05/08/10 | 1,290 | 77 | 1,367 | 82,040,077 | 3,527,487 | 85,567,564 |
| Sunday | 05/09/10 | 39 | 0 | 39 | 82,040,116 | 3,527,487 | 85,567,603 |
| Monday | 05/10/10 | 63,189 | 25,289 | 88,478 | 82,103,305 | 3,552,776 | 85,656,081 |
| Tuesday | 05/11/10 | 38,288 | 10,248 | 48,536 | 82,141,593 | 3,563,024 | 85,704,617 |
| Wednesday | 05/12/10 | 68,318 | 21,717 | 90,035 | 82,209,911 | 3,584,741 | 85,794,652 |
| Thursday | 05/13/10 | 59,448 | 21,731 | 81,179 | 82,269,359 | 3,606,472 | 85,875,831 |
| Friday | 05/14/10 | 21,348 | 7,062 | 28,410 | 82,290,707 | 3,613,534 | 85,904,241 |
| Saturday | 05/15/10 | 43 | 4 | 47 | 82,290,750 | 3,613,538 | 85,904,288 |
| Sunday | 05/16/10 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 82,290,790 | 3,613,538 | 85,904,328 |
| Monday | 05/17/10 | 59,457 | 22,092 | 81,549 | 82,350,247 | 3,635,630 | 85,985,877 |

Appendix J: Mail Response Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Tuesday | 05/18/10 | 41,505 | 10,372 | 51,877 | 82,391,752 | 3,646,002 | 86,037,754 |
| Wednesday | 05/19/10 | 16,543 | 4,505 | 21,048 | 82,408,295 | 3,650,507 | 86,058,802 |
| Thursday | 05/20/10 | 37,275 | 11,525 | 48,800 | 82,445,570 | 3,662,032 | 86,107,602 |
| Friday | 05/21/10 | 33,093 | 8,349 | 41,442 | 82,478,663 | 3,670,381 | 86,149,044 |
| Saturday | 05/22/10 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 82,478,691 | 3,670,381 | 86,149,072 |
| Sunday | 05/23/10 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 82,478,706 | 3,670,381 | 86,149,087 |
| Monday | 05/24/10 | 21,194 | 7,162 | 28,356 | 82,499,900 | 3,677,543 | 86,177,443 |
| Tuesday | 05/25/10 | 28,264 | 8,230 | 36,494 | 82,528,164 | 3,685,773 | 86,213,937 |
| Wednesday | 05/26/10 | 10,111 | 4,346 | 14,457 | 82,538,275 | 3,690,119 | 86,228,394 |
| Thursday | 05/27/10 | 10,093 | 2,949 | 13,042 | 82,548,368 | 3,693,068 | 86,241,436 |
| Friday | 05/28/10 | 13,891 | 3,918 | 17,809 | 82,562,259 | 3,696,986 | 86,259,245 |
| Saturday | 05/29/10 | 57 | 25 | 82 | 82,562,316 | 3,697,011 | 86,259,327 |
| Sunday | 05/30/10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 82,562,320 | 3,697,011 | 86,259,331 |
| Monday | 05/31/10 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 82,562,325 | 3,697,011 | 86,259,336 |
| Tuesday | 06/01/10 | 27,270 | 9,422 | 36,692 | 82,589,595 | 3,706,433 | 86,296,028 |
| Wednesday | 06/02/10 | 8,544 | 2,037 | 10,581 | 82,598,139 | 3,708,470 | 86,306,609 |
| Thursday | 06/03/10 | 5,151 | 1,990 | 7,141 | 82,603,290 | 3,710,460 | 86,313,750 |
| Friday | 06/04/10 | 8,050 | 2,088 | 10,138 | 82,611,340 | 3,712,548 | 86,323,888 |
| Saturday | 06/05/10 | 81 | 33 | 114 | 82,611,421 | 3,712,581 | 86,324,002 |
| Sunday | 06/06/10 | 10 | 2 | 12 | 82,611,431 | 3,712,583 | 86,324,014 |
| Monday | 06/07/10 | 6,903 | 2,858 | 9,761 | 82,618,334 | 3,715,441 | 86,333,775 |
| Tuesday | 06/08/10 | 10,347 | 2,252 | 12,599 | 82,628,681 | 3,717,693 | 86,346,374 |
| Wednesday | 06/09/10 | 3,302 | 1,038 | 4,340 | 82,631,983 | 3,718,731 | 86,350,714 |
| Thursday | 06/10/10 | 18,898 | 6,536 | 25,434 | 82,650,881 | 3,725,267 | 86,376,148 |
| Friday | 06/11/10 | 5,935 | 1,716 | 7,651 | 82,656,816 | 3,726,983 | 86,383,799 |
| Saturday | 06/12/10 | 43 | 6 | 49 | 82,656,859 | 3,726,989 | 86,383,848 |
| Sunday | 06/13/10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 82,656,865 | 3,726,989 | 86,383,854 |

Appendix J: Mail Response Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Monday | 06/14/10 | 4,396 | 1,524 | 5,920 | 82,661,261 | 3,728,513 | 86,389,774 |
| Tuesday | 06/15/10 | 4,271 | 1,257 | 5,528 | 82,665,532 | 3,729,770 | 86,395,302 |
| Wednesday | 06/16/10 | 1,564 | 497 | 2,061 | 82,667,096 | 3,730,267 | 86,397,363 |
| Thursday | 06/17/10 | 4,896 | 1,471 | 6,367 | 82,671,992 | 3,731,738 | 86,403,730 |
| Friday | 06/18/10 | 6,688 | 2,017 | 8,705 | 82,678,680 | 3,733,755 | 86,412,435 |
| Saturday | 06/19/10 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 82,678,688 | 3,733,756 | 86,412,444 |
| Sunday | 06/20/10 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 82,678,693 | 3,733,756 | 86,412,449 |
| Monday | 06/21/10 | 2,973 | 929 | 3,902 | 82,681,666 | 3,734,685 | 86,416,351 |
| Tuesday | 06/22/10 | 3,606 | 1,089 | 4,695 | 82,685,272 | 3,735,774 | 86,421,046 |
| Wednesday | 06/23/10 | 1,585 | 569 | 2,154 | 82,686,857 | 3,736,343 | 86,423,200 |
| Thursday | 06/24/10 | 2,445 | 793 | 3,238 | 82,689,302 | 3,737,136 | 86,426,438 |
| Friday | 06/25/10 | 2,057 | 682 | 2,739 | 82,691,359 | 3,737,818 | 86,429,177 |
| Saturday | 06/26/10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 82,691,361 | 3,737,818 | 86,429,179 |
| Sunday | 06/27/10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 82,691,362 | 3,737,818 | 86,429,180 |
| Monday | 06/28/10 | 3,107 | 867 | 3,974 | 82,694,469 | 3,738,685 | 86,433,154 |
| Tuesday | 06/29/10 | 3,253 | 503 | 3,756 | 82,697,722 | 3,739,188 | 86,436,910 |
| Wednesday | 06/30/10 | 1,932 | 652 | 2,584 | 82,699,654 | 3,739,840 | 86,439,494 |
| Thursday | 07/01/10 | 1,696 | 534 | 2,230 | 82,701,350 | 3,740,374 | 86,441,724 |
| Friday | 07/02/10 | 1,294 | 298 | 1,592 | 82,702,644 | 3,740,672 | 86,443,316 |
| Saturday | 07/03/10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 82,702,648 | 3,740,672 | 86,443,320 |
| Sunday | 07/04/10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 82,702,649 | 3,740,672 | 86,443,321 |
| Monday | 07/05/10 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 82,702,658 | 3,740,672 | 86,443,330 |
| Tuesday | 07/06/10 | 3,107 | 1,039 | 4,146 | 82,705,765 | 3,741,711 | 86,447,476 |
| Wednesday | 07/07/10 | 1,859 | 536 | 2,395 | 82,707,624 | 3,742,247 | 86,449,871 |
| Thursday | 07/08/10 | 818 | 300 | 1,118 | 82,708,442 | 3,742,547 | 86,450,989 |
| Friday | 07/09/10 | 1,053 | 272 | 1,325 | 82,709,495 | 3,742,819 | 86,452,314 |
| Saturday | 07/10/10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 82,709,499 | 3,742,819 | 86,452,318 |

Appendix J: Mail Response Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Sunday | 07/11/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,709,499 | 3,742,819 | 86,452,318 |
| Monday | 07/12/10 | 3,816 | 917 | 4,733 | 82,713,315 | 3,743,736 | 86,457,051 |
| Tuesday | 07/13/10 | 1,663 | 170 | 1,833 | 82,714,978 | 3,743,906 | 86,458,884 |
| Wednesday | 07/14/10 | 1,479 | 456 | 1,935 | 82,716,457 | 3,744,362 | 86,460,819 |
| Thursday | 07/15/10 | 787 | 197 | 984 | 82,717,244 | 3,744,559 | 86,461,803 |
| Friday | 07/16/10 | 572 | 165 | 737 | 82,717,816 | 3,744,724 | 86,462,540 |
| Saturday | 07/17/10 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 82,717,821 | 3,744,724 | 86,462,545 |
| Sunday | 07/18/10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 82,717,825 | 3,744,724 | 86,462,549 |
| Monday | 07/19/10 | 2,041 | 583 | 2,624 | 82,719,866 | 3,745,307 | 86,465,173 |
| Tuesday | 07/20/10 | 1,558 | 379 | 1,937 | 82,721,424 | 3,745,686 | 86,467,110 |
| Wednesday | 07/21/10 | 750 | 125 | 875 | 82,722,174 | 3,745,811 | 86,467,985 |
| Thursday | 07/22/10 | 1,429 | 415 | 1,844 | 82,723,603 | 3,746,226 | 86,469,829 |
| Friday | 07/23/10 | 617 | 137 | 754 | 82,724,220 | 3,746,363 | 86,470,583 |
| Saturday | 07/24/10 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 82,724,223 | 3,746,363 | 86,470,586 |
| Sunday | 07/25/10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 82,724,224 | 3,746,363 | 86,470,587 |
| Monday | 07/26/10 | 652 | 201 | 853 | 82,724,876 | 3,746,564 | 86,471,440 |
| Tuesday | 07/27/10 | 805 | 243 | 1,048 | 82,725,681 | 3,746,807 | 86,472,488 |
| Wednesday | 07/28/10 | 873 | 183 | 1,056 | 82,726,554 | 3,746,990 | 86,473,544 |
| Thursday | 07/29/10 | 1,202 | 335 | 1,537 | 82,727,756 | 3,747,325 | 86,475,081 |
| Friday | 07/30/10 | 790 | 114 | 904 | 82,728,546 | 3,747,439 | 86,475,985 |
| Saturday | 07/31/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,728,546 | 3,747,439 | 86,475,985 |
| Sunday | 08/01/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,728,546 | 3,747,439 | 86,475,985 |
| Monday | 08/02/10 | 662 | 172 | 834 | 82,729,208 | 3,747,611 | 86,476,819 |
| Tuesday | 08/03/10 | 974 | 208 | 1,182 | 82,730,182 | 3,747,819 | 86,478,001 |
| Wednesday | 08/04/10 | 242 | 80 | 322 | 82,730,424 | 3,747,899 | 86,478,323 |
| Thursday | 08/05/10 | 888 | 279 | 1,167 | 82,731,312 | 3,748,178 | 86,479,490 |
| Friday | 08/06/10 | 357 | 74 | 431 | 82,731,669 | 3,748,252 | 86,479,921 |

Appendix J: Mail Response Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Saturday | 08/07/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,731,669 | 3,748,252 | 86,479,921 |
| Sunday | 08/08/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,731,669 | 3,748,252 | 86,479,921 |
| Monday | 08/09/10 | 535 | 153 | 688 | 82,732,204 | 3,748,405 | 86,480,609 |
| Tuesday | 08/10/10 | 635 | 202 | 837 | 82,732,839 | 3,748,607 | 86,481,446 |
| Wednesday | 08/11/10 | 264 | 56 | 320 | 82,733,103 | 3,748,663 | 86,481,766 |
| Thursday | 08/12/10 | 450 | 104 | 554 | 82,733,553 | 3,748,767 | 86,482,320 |
| Friday | 08/13/10 | 609 | 156 | 765 | 82,734,162 | 3,748,923 | 86,483,085 |
| Saturday | 08/14/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,734,162 | 3,748,923 | 86,483,085 |
| Sunday | 08/15/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,734,162 | 3,748,923 | 86,483,085 |
| Monday | 08/16/10 | 713 | 133 | 846 | 82,734,875 | 3,749,056 | 86,483,931 |
| Tuesday | 08/17/10 | 455 | 140 | 595 | 82,735,330 | 3,749,196 | 86,484,526 |
| Wednesday | 08/18/10 | 329 | 107 | 436 | 82,735,659 | 3,749,303 | 86,484,962 |
| Thursday | 08/19/10 | 220 | 53 | 273 | 82,735,879 | 3,749,356 | 86,485,235 |
| Friday | 08/20/10 | 290 | 72 | 362 | 82,736,169 | 3,749,428 | 86,485,597 |
| Saturday | 08/21/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,736,169 | 3,749,428 | 86,485,597 |
| Sunday | 08/22/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,736,169 | 3,749,428 | 86,485,597 |
| Monday | 08/23/10 | 433 | 136 | 569 | 82,736,602 | 3,749,564 | 86,486,166 |
| Tuesday | 08/24/10 | 103 | 15 | 118 | 82,736,705 | 3,749,579 | 86,486,284 |
| Wednesday | 08/25/10 | 187 | 60 | 247 | 82,736,892 | 3,749,639 | 86,486,531 |
| Thursday | 08/26/10 | 100 | 48 | 148 | 82,736,992 | 3,749,687 | 86,486,679 |
| Friday | 08/27/10 | 1,680 | 456 | 2,136 | 82,738,672 | 3,750,143 | 86,488,815 |
| Saturday | 08/28/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,738,672 | 3,750,143 | 86,488,815 |
| Sunday | 08/29/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,738,672 | 3,750,143 | 86,488,815 |
| Monday | 08/30/10 | 218 | 90 | 308 | 82,738,890 | 3,750,233 | 86,489,123 |
| Tuesday | 08/31/10 | 22 | 4 | 26 | 82,738,912 | 3,750,237 | 86,489,149 |
| Wednesday | 09/01/10 | 244 | 92 | 336 | 82,739,156 | 3,750,329 | 86,489,485 |
| Thursday | 09/02/10 | 65 | 5 | 70 | 82,739,221 | 3,750,334 | 86,489,555 |

## Appendix J: Mail Response Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Response Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Response Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Friday | 09/03/10 | 53 | 4 | 57 | 82,739,274 | 3,750,338 | 86,489,612 |
| Saturday | 09/04/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,739,274 | 3,750,338 | 86,489,612 |
| Sunday | 09/05/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,739,274 | 3,750,338 | 86,489,612 |
| Monday | 09/06/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,739,274 | 3,750,338 | 86,489,612 |
| Tuesday | 09/07/10 | 72 | 7 | 79 | 82,739,346 | 3,750,345 | 86,489,691 |

Source: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, and MAF

Appendix K: Mail Return Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Friday | 02/26/10 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Saturday | 02/27/10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| Sunday | 02/28/10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| Monday | 03/01/10 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 37 | 0 | 37 |
| Tuesday | 03/02/10 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 65 | 0 | 65 |
| Wednesday | 03/03/10 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 90 | 0 | 90 |
| Thursday | 03/04/10 | 158,705 | 0 | 158,705 | 158,795 | 0 | 158,795 |
| Friday | 03/05/10 | 147,071 | 0 | 147,071 | 305,866 | 0 | 305,866 |
| Saturday | 03/06/10 | 91,238 | 0 | 91,238 | 397,104 | 0 | 397,104 |
| Sunday | 03/07/10 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 397,115 | 0 | 397,115 |
| Monday | 03/08/10 | 413,073 | 0 | 413,073 | 810,188 | 0 | 810,188 |
| Tuesday | 03/09/10 | 195,048 | 0 | 195,048 | 1,005,236 | 0 | 1,005,236 |
| Wednesday | 03/10/10 | 246,256 | 0 | 246,256 | 1,251,492 | 0 | 1,251,492 |
| Thursday | 03/11/10 | 328,716 | 0 | 328,716 | 1,580,208 | 0 | 1,580,208 |
| Friday | 03/12/10 | 265,178 | 0 | 265,178 | 1,845,386 | 0 | 1,845,386 |
| Saturday | 03/13/10 | 207,870 | 0 | 207,870 | 2,053,256 | 0 | 2,053,256 |
| Sunday | 03/14/10 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 2,053,270 | 0 | 2,053,270 |
| Monday | 03/15/10 | 380,408 | 0 | 380,408 | 2,433,678 | 0 | 2,433,678 |
| Tuesday | 03/16/10 | 255,626 | 0 | 255,626 | 2,689,304 | 0 | 2,689,304 |
| Wednesday | 03/17/10 | 785,615 | 0 | 785,615 | 3,474,919 | 0 | 3,474,919 |
| Thursday | 03/18/10 | 3,459,729 | 0 | 3,459,729 | 6,934,648 | 0 | 6,934,648 |
| Friday | 03/19/10 | 4,759,216 | 0 | 4,759,216 | 11,693,864 | 0 | 11,693,864 |
| Saturday | 03/20/10 | 4,506,637 | 0 | 4,506,637 | 16,200,501 | 0 | 16,200,501 |
| Sunday | 03/21/10 | 132,063 | 0 | 132,063 | 16,332,564 | 0 | 16,332,564 |
| Monday | 03/22/10 | 6,331,943 | 0 | 6,331,943 | 22,664,507 | 0 | 22,664,507 |
| Tuesday | 03/23/10 | 7,628,272 | 0 | 7,628,272 | 30,292,779 | 0 | 30,292,779 |
| Wednesday | 03/24/10 | 7,864,290 | 0 | 7,864,290 | 38,157,069 | 0 | 38,157,069 |

Appendix K: Mail Return Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Thursday | 03/25/10 | 6,108,311 | 0 | 6,108,311 | 44,265,380 | 0 | 44,265,380 |
| Friday | 03/26/10 | 6,753,286 | 0 | 6,753,286 | 51,018,666 | 0 | 51,018,666 |
| Saturday | 03/27/10 | 4,246,298 | 0 | 4,246,298 | 55,264,964 | 0 | 55,264,964 |
| Sunday | 03/28/10 | 449,008 | 0 | 449,008 | 55,713,972 | 0 | 55,713,972 |
| Monday | 03/29/10 | 4,715,669 | 0 | 4,715,669 | 60,429,641 | 0 | 60,429,641 |
| Tuesday | 03/30/10 | 1,099,579 | 0 | 1,099,579 | 61,529,220 | 0 | 61,529,220 |
| Wednesday | 03/31/10 | 2,089,482 | 0 | 2,089,482 | 63,618,702 | 0 | 63,618,702 |
| Thursday | 04/01/10 | 2,308,184 | 219 | 2,308,403 | 65,926,886 | 219 | 65,927,105 |
| Friday | 04/02/10 | 2,261,606 | 5,582 | 2,267,188 | 68,188,492 | 5,801 | 68,194,293 |
| Saturday | 04/03/10 | 1,971,413 | 44,260 | 2,015,673 | 70,159,905 | 50,061 | 70,209,966 |
| Sunday | 04/04/10 | 74 | 0 | 74 | 70,159,979 | 50,061 | 70,210,040 |
| Monday | 04/05/10 | 2,438,895 | 258,314 | 2,697,209 | 72,598,874 | 308,375 | 72,907,249 |
| Tuesday | 04/06/10 | 686,191 | 132,162 | 818,353 | 73,285,065 | 440,537 | 73,725,602 |
| Wednesday | 04/07/10 | 990,691 | 179,887 | 1,170,578 | 74,275,756 | 620,424 | 74,896,180 |
| Thursday | 04/08/10 | 997,645 | 188,389 | 1,186,034 | 75,273,401 | 808,813 | 76,082,214 |
| Friday | 04/09/10 | 742,729 | 145,166 | 887,895 | 76,016,130 | 953,979 | 76,970,109 |
| Saturday | 04/10/10 | 269,283 | 36,282 | 305,565 | 76,285,413 | 990,261 | 77,275,674 |
| Sunday | 04/11/10 | 90 | 1 | 91 | 76,285,503 | 990,262 | 77,275,765 |
| Monday | 04/12/10 | 964,389 | 235,114 | 1,199,503 | 77,249,892 | 1,225,376 | 78,475,268 |
| Tuesday | 04/13/10 | 161,849 | 42,189 | 204,038 | 77,411,741 | 1,267,565 | 78,679,306 |
| Wednesday | 04/14/10 | 506,699 | 216,200 | 722,899 | 77,918,440 | 1,483,765 | 79,402,205 |
| Thursday | 04/15/10 | 546,256 | 258,282 | 804,538 | 78,464,696 | 1,742,047 | 80,206,743 |
| Friday | 04/16/10 | 446,534 | 290,334 | 736,868 | 78,911,230 | 2,032,381 | 80,943,611 |
| Saturday | 04/17/10 | 15,128 | 185 | 15,313 | 78,926,358 | 2,032,566 | 80,958,924 |
| Sunday | 04/18/10 | 1,215 | 0 | 1,215 | 78,927,573 | 2,032,566 | 80,960,139 |
| Monday | 04/19/10 | 1,007,713 | 513,856 | 1,521,569 | 79,935,286 | 2,546,422 | 82,481,708 |
| Tuesday | 04/20/10 | 163,671 | 109,860 | 273,531 | 80,098,957 | 2,656,282 | 82,755,239 |

Appendix K: Mail Return Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Wednesday | 04/21/10 | 306,157 | 178,909 | 485,066 | 80,405,114 | 2,835,191 | 83,240,305 |
| Thursday | 04/22/10 | 249,697 | 117,625 | 367,322 | 80,654,811 | 2,952,816 | 83,607,627 |
| Friday | 04/23/10 | 182,154 | 98,993 | 281,147 | 80,836,965 | 3,051,809 | 83,888,774 |
| Saturday | 04/24/10 | 2,036 | 87 | 2,123 | 80,839,001 | 3,051,896 | 83,890,897 |
| Sunday | 04/25/10 | 74 | 0 | 74 | 80,839,075 | 3,051,896 | 83,890,971 |
| Monday | 04/26/10 | 201,586 | 92,603 | 294,189 | 81,040,661 | 3,144,499 | 84,185,160 |
| Tuesday | 04/27/10 | 165,208 | 71,796 | 237,004 | 81,205,869 | 3,216,295 | 84,422,164 |
| Wednesday | 04/28/10 | 78,389 | 34,069 | 112,458 | 81,284,258 | 3,250,364 | 84,534,622 |
| Thursday | 04/29/10 | 104,615 | 50,826 | 155,441 | 81,388,873 | 3,301,190 | 84,690,063 |
| Friday | 04/30/10 | 77,840 | 36,370 | 114,210 | 81,466,713 | 3,337,560 | 84,804,273 |
| Saturday | 05/01/10 | 464 | 36 | 500 | 81,467,177 | 3,337,596 | 84,804,773 |
| Sunday | 05/02/10 | 73 | 0 | 73 | 81,467,250 | 3,337,596 | 84,804,846 |
| Monday | 05/03/10 | 187,096 | 67,681 | 254,777 | 81,654,346 | 3,405,277 | 85,059,623 |
| Tuesday | 05/04/10 | 83,829 | 28,980 | 112,809 | 81,738,175 | 3,434,257 | 85,172,432 |
| Wednesday | 05/05/10 | 62,920 | 24,903 | 87,823 | 81,801,095 | 3,459,160 | 85,260,255 |
| Thursday | 05/06/10 | 75,730 | 28,222 | 103,952 | 81,876,825 | 3,487,382 | 85,364,207 |
| Friday | 05/07/10 | 65,626 | 22,788 | 88,414 | 81,942,451 | 3,510,170 | 85,452,621 |
| Saturday | 05/08/10 | 1,269 | 76 | 1,345 | 81,943,720 | 3,510,246 | 85,453,966 |
| Sunday | 05/09/10 | 37 | 0 | 37 | 81,943,757 | 3,510,246 | 85,454,003 |
| Monday | 05/10/10 | 61,347 | 24,558 | 85,905 | 82,005,104 | 3,534,804 | 85,539,908 |
| Tuesday | 05/11/10 | 37,121 | 9,813 | 46,934 | 82,042,225 | 3,544,617 | 85,586,842 |
| Wednesday | 05/12/10 | 66,073 | 20,765 | 86,838 | 82,108,298 | 3,565,382 | 85,673,680 |
| Thursday | 05/13/10 | 57,281 | 20,756 | 78,037 | 82,165,579 | 3,586,138 | 85,751,717 |
| Friday | 05/14/10 | 20,659 | 6,848 | 27,507 | 82,186,238 | 3,592,986 | 85,779,224 |
| Saturday | 05/15/10 | 43 | 3 | 46 | 82,186,281 | 3,592,989 | 85,779,270 |
| Sunday | 05/16/10 | 38 | 0 | 38 | 82,186,319 | 3,592,989 | 85,779,308 |
| Monday | 05/17/10 | 57,298 | 21,186 | 78,484 | 82,243,617 | 3,614,175 | 85,857,792 |

Appendix K: Mail Return Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Tuesday | 05/18/10 | 39,911 | 9,859 | 49,770 | 82,283,528 | 3,624,034 | 85,907,562 |
| Wednesday | 05/19/10 | 15,967 | 4,309 | 20,276 | 82,299,495 | 3,628,343 | 85,927,838 |
| Thursday | 05/20/10 | 35,732 | 10,996 | 46,728 | 82,335,227 | 3,639,339 | 85,974,566 |
| Friday | 05/21/10 | 31,753 | 7,979 | 39,732 | 82,366,980 | 3,647,318 | 86,014,298 |
| Saturday | 05/22/10 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 82,367,007 | 3,647,318 | 86,014,325 |
| Sunday | 05/23/10 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 82,367,022 | 3,647,318 | 86,014,340 |
| Monday | 05/24/10 | 20,388 | 6,870 | 27,258 | 82,387,410 | 3,654,188 | 86,041,598 |
| Tuesday | 05/25/10 | 26,931 | 7,834 | 34,765 | 82,414,341 | 3,662,022 | 86,076,363 |
| Wednesday | 05/26/10 | 9,634 | 4,114 | 13,748 | 82,423,975 | 3,666,136 | 86,090,111 |
| Thursday | 05/27/10 | 9,586 | 2,827 | 12,413 | 82,433,561 | 3,668,963 | 86,102,524 |
| Friday | 05/28/10 | 13,170 | 3,722 | 16,892 | 82,446,731 | 3,672,685 | 86,119,416 |
| Saturday | 05/29/10 | 55 | 25 | 80 | 82,446,786 | 3,672,710 | 86,119,496 |
| Sunday | 05/30/10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 82,446,790 | 3,672,710 | 86,119,500 |
| Monday | 05/31/10 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 82,446,795 | 3,672,710 | 86,119,505 |
| Tuesday | 06/01/10 | 25,810 | 8,898 | 34,708 | 82,472,605 | 3,681,608 | 86,154,213 |
| Wednesday | 06/02/10 | 8,052 | 1,873 | 9,925 | 82,480,657 | 3,683,481 | 86,164,138 |
| Thursday | 06/03/10 | 4,832 | 1,877 | 6,709 | 82,485,489 | 3,685,358 | 86,170,847 |
| Friday | 06/04/10 | 7,498 | 1,964 | 9,462 | 82,492,987 | 3,687,322 | 86,180,309 |
| Saturday | 06/05/10 | 80 | 32 | 112 | 82,493,067 | 3,687,354 | 86,180,421 |
| Sunday | 06/06/10 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 82,493,076 | 3,687,355 | 86,180,431 |
| Monday | 06/07/10 | 6,529 | 2,709 | 9,238 | 82,499,605 | 3,690,064 | 86,189,669 |
| Tuesday | 06/08/10 | 9,638 | 2,077 | 11,715 | 82,509,243 | 3,692,141 | 86,201,384 |
| Wednesday | 06/09/10 | 3,123 | 986 | 4,109 | 82,512,366 | 3,693,127 | 86,205,493 |
| Thursday | 06/10/10 | 17,572 | 6,031 | 23,603 | 82,529,938 | 3,699,158 | 86,229,096 |
| Friday | 06/11/10 | 5,483 | 1,588 | 7,071 | 82,535,421 | 3,700,746 | 86,236,167 |
| Saturday | 06/12/10 | 41 | 5 | 46 | 82,535,462 | 3,700,751 | 86,236,213 |
| Sunday | 06/13/10 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 82,535,467 | 3,700,751 | 86,236,218 |

Appendix K: Mail Return Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Monday | 06/14/10 | 4,051 | 1,445 | 5,496 | 82,539,518 | 3,702,196 | 86,241,714 |
| Tuesday | 06/15/10 | 3,965 | 1,162 | 5,127 | 82,543,483 | 3,703,358 | 86,246,841 |
| Wednesday | 06/16/10 | 1,456 | 473 | 1,929 | 82,544,939 | 3,703,831 | 86,248,770 |
| Thursday | 06/17/10 | 4,518 | 1,372 | 5,890 | 82,549,457 | 3,705,203 | 86,254,660 |
| Friday | 06/18/10 | 6,206 | 1,884 | 8,090 | 82,555,663 | 3,707,087 | 86,262,750 |
| Saturday | 06/19/10 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 82,555,671 | 3,707,087 | 86,262,758 |
| Sunday | 06/20/10 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 82,555,676 | 3,707,087 | 86,262,763 |
| Monday | 06/21/10 | 2,744 | 861 | 3,605 | 82,558,420 | 3,707,948 | 86,266,368 |
| Tuesday | 06/22/10 | 3,322 | 970 | 4,292 | 82,561,742 | 3,708,918 | 86,270,660 |
| Wednesday | 06/23/10 | 1,447 | 527 | 1,974 | 82,563,189 | 3,709,445 | 86,272,634 |
| Thursday | 06/24/10 | 2,141 | 728 | 2,869 | 82,565,330 | 3,710,173 | 86,275,503 |
| Friday | 06/25/10 | 1,828 | 606 | 2,434 | 82,567,158 | 3,710,779 | 86,277,937 |
| Saturday | 06/26/10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 82,567,160 | 3,710,779 | 86,277,939 |
| Sunday | 06/27/10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 82,567,161 | 3,710,779 | 86,277,940 |
| Monday | 06/28/10 | 2,791 | 770 | 3,561 | 82,569,952 | 3,711,549 | 86,281,501 |
| Tuesday | 06/29/10 | 2,947 | 440 | 3,387 | 82,572,899 | 3,711,989 | 86,284,888 |
| Wednesday | 06/30/10 | 1,701 | 572 | 2,273 | 82,574,600 | 3,712,561 | 86,287,161 |
| Thursday | 07/01/10 | 1,496 | 471 | 1,967 | 82,576,096 | 3,713,032 | 86,289,128 |
| Friday | 07/02/10 | 1,165 | 267 | 1,432 | 82,577,261 | 3,713,299 | 86,290,560 |
| Saturday | 07/03/10 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 82,577,264 | 3,713,299 | 86,290,563 |
| Sunday | 07/04/10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 82,577,265 | 3,713,299 | 86,290,564 |
| Monday | 07/05/10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 82,577,271 | 3,713,299 | 86,290,570 |
| Tuesday | 07/06/10 | 2,718 | 911 | 3,629 | 82,579,989 | 3,714,210 | 86,294,199 |
| Wednesday | 07/07/10 | 1,606 | 473 | 2,079 | 82,581,595 | 3,714,683 | 86,296,278 |
| Thursday | 07/08/10 | 758 | 275 | 1,033 | 82,582,353 | 3,714,958 | 86,297,311 |
| Friday | 07/09/10 | 905 | 235 | 1,140 | 82,583,258 | 3,715,193 | 86,298,451 |
| Saturday | 07/10/10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 82,583,262 | 3,715,193 | 86,298,455 |

Appendix K: Mail Return Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Sunday | 07/11/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,583,262 | 3,715,193 | 86,298,455 |
| Monday | 07/12/10 | 3,464 | 821 | 4,285 | 82,586,726 | 3,716,014 | 86,302,740 |
| Tuesday | 07/13/10 | 1,554 | 147 | 1,701 | 82,588,280 | 3,716,161 | 86,304,441 |
| Wednesday | 07/14/10 | 1,260 | 384 | 1,644 | 82,589,540 | 3,716,545 | 86,306,085 |
| Thursday | 07/15/10 | 679 | 170 | 849 | 82,590,219 | 3,716,715 | 86,306,934 |
| Friday | 07/16/10 | 499 | 140 | 639 | 82,590,718 | 3,716,855 | 86,307,573 |
| Saturday | 07/17/10 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 82,590,723 | 3,716,855 | 86,307,578 |
| Sunday | 07/18/10 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 82,590,726 | 3,716,855 | 86,307,581 |
| Monday | 07/19/10 | 1,763 | 506 | 2,269 | 82,592,489 | 3,717,361 | 86,309,850 |
| Tuesday | 07/20/10 | 1,365 | 323 | 1,688 | 82,593,854 | 3,717,684 | 86,311,538 |
| Wednesday | 07/21/10 | 648 | 113 | 761 | 82,594,502 | 3,717,797 | 86,312,299 |
| Thursday | 07/22/10 | 1,235 | 359 | 1,594 | 82,595,737 | 3,718,156 | 86,313,893 |
| Friday | 07/23/10 | 547 | 114 | 661 | 82,596,284 | 3,718,270 | 86,314,554 |
| Saturday | 07/24/10 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 82,596,287 | 3,718,270 | 86,314,557 |
| Sunday | 07/25/10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 82,596,288 | 3,718,270 | 86,314,558 |
| Monday | 07/26/10 | 559 | 166 | 725 | 82,596,847 | 3,718,436 | 86,315,283 |
| Tuesday | 07/27/10 | 686 | 211 | 897 | 82,597,533 | 3,718,647 | 86,316,180 |
| Wednesday | 07/28/10 | 800 | 161 | 961 | 82,598,333 | 3,718,808 | 86,317,141 |
| Thursday | 07/29/10 | 1,059 | 279 | 1,338 | 82,599,392 | 3,719,087 | 86,318,479 |
| Friday | 07/30/10 | 715 | 96 | 811 | 82,600,107 | 3,719,183 | 86,319,290 |
| Saturday | 07/31/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,600,107 | 3,719,183 | 86,319,290 |
| Sunday | 08/01/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,600,107 | 3,719,183 | 86,319,290 |
| Monday | 08/02/10 | 568 | 146 | 714 | 82,600,675 | 3,719,329 | 86,320,004 |
| Tuesday | 08/03/10 | 823 | 174 | 997 | 82,601,498 | 3,719,503 | 86,321,001 |
| Wednesday | 08/04/10 | 218 | 67 | 285 | 82,601,716 | 3,719,570 | 86,321,286 |
| Thursday | 08/05/10 | 772 | 228 | 1,000 | 82,602,488 | 3,719,798 | 86,322,286 |
| Friday | 08/06/10 | 314 | 67 | 381 | 82,602,802 | 3,719,865 | 86,322,667 |

Appendix K: Mail Return Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Saturday | 08/07/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,602,802 | 3,719,865 | 86,322,667 |
| Sunday | 08/08/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,602,802 | 3,719,865 | 86,322,667 |
| Monday | 08/09/10 | 439 | 127 | 566 | 82,603,241 | 3,719,992 | 86,323,233 |
| Tuesday | 08/10/10 | 534 | 168 | 702 | 82,603,775 | 3,720,160 | 86,323,935 |
| Wednesday | 08/11/10 | 232 | 49 | 281 | 82,604,007 | 3,720,209 | 86,324,216 |
| Thursday | 08/12/10 | 403 | 93 | 496 | 82,604,410 | 3,720,302 | 86,324,712 |
| Friday | 08/13/10 | 516 | 134 | 650 | 82,604,926 | 3,720,436 | 86,325,362 |
| Saturday | 08/14/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,604,926 | 3,720,436 | 86,325,362 |
| Sunday | 08/15/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,604,926 | 3,720,436 | 86,325,362 |
| Monday | 08/16/10 | 619 | 110 | 729 | 82,605,545 | 3,720,546 | 86,326,091 |
| Tuesday | 08/17/10 | 395 | 112 | 507 | 82,605,940 | 3,720,658 | 86,326,598 |
| Wednesday | 08/18/10 | 279 | 89 | 368 | 82,606,219 | 3,720,747 | 86,326,966 |
| Thursday | 08/19/10 | 204 | 49 | 253 | 82,606,423 | 3,720,796 | 86,327,219 |
| Friday | 08/20/10 | 257 | 66 | 323 | 82,606,680 | 3,720,862 | 86,327,542 |
| Saturday | 08/21/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,606,680 | 3,720,862 | 86,327,542 |
| Sunday | 08/22/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,606,680 | 3,720,862 | 86,327,542 |
| Monday | 08/23/10 | 387 | 118 | 505 | 82,607,067 | 3,720,980 | 86,328,047 |
| Tuesday | 08/24/10 | 90 | 14 | 104 | 82,607,157 | 3,720,994 | 86,328,151 |
| Wednesday | 08/25/10 | 168 | 47 | 215 | 82,607,325 | 3,721,041 | 86,328,366 |
| Thursday | 08/26/10 | 85 | 40 | 125 | 82,607,410 | 3,721,081 | 86,328,491 |
| Friday | 08/27/10 | 1,521 | 399 | 1,920 | 82,608,931 | 3,721,480 | 86,330,411 |
| Saturday | 08/28/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,608,931 | 3,721,480 | 86,330,411 |
| Sunday | 08/29/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,608,931 | 3,721,480 | 86,330,411 |
| Monday | 08/30/10 | 210 | 88 | 298 | 82,609,141 | 3,721,568 | 86,330,709 |
| Tuesday | 08/31/10 | 18 | 3 | 21 | 82,609,159 | 3,721,571 | 86,330,730 |
| Wednesday | 09/01/10 | 235 | 90 | 325 | 82,609,394 | 3,721,661 | 86,331,055 |
| Thursday | 09/02/10 | 59 | 5 | 64 | 82,609,453 | 3,721,666 | 86,331,119 |

Appendix K: Mail Return Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Mail Return Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Mail Return Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Friday | 09/03/10 | 49 | 3 | 52 | 82,609,502 | 3,721,669 | 86,331,171 |
| Saturday | 09/04/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,609,502 | 3,721,669 | 86,331,171 |
| Sunday | 09/05/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,609,502 | 3,721,669 | 86,331,171 |
| Monday | 09/06/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,609,502 | 3,721,669 | 86,331,171 |
| Tuesday | 09/07/10 | 66 | 6 | 72 | 82,609,568 | 3,721,675 | 86,331,243 |

Source: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, and MAF

Appendix L: Mail Return Rate Numerators and Denominators for Initial and Replacement Questionnaires

|  |  | Numerator - April 19, 2010 |  |  | Numerator - September 7, 2010 |  |  | Denominator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire |  |
|  | Total | 82,481,708 | 79,935,286 | 2,546,422 | 86,331,243 | 82,609,568 | 3,721,675 | 108,879,340 |
| Type of Enumeration Area | Mailout/ <br> Mailback | 76,988,906 | 74,442,495 | 2,546,411 | 80,651,247 | 76,929,593 | 3,721,654 | 101,313,745 |
|  | Update/ Leave | 4,309,340 | 4,309,330 | 10 | 4,448,076 | 4,448,060 | 16 | 5,794,623 |
|  | Urban Update/ Leave | 1,183,462 | 1,183,461 | 1 | 1,231,920 | 1,231,915 | 5 | 1,770,972 |
| Replacement <br> Mailing | Blanket | 13,263,741 | 11,698,829 | 1,564,912 | 13,933,531 | 12,011,203 | 1,922,328 | 18,595,793 |
|  | Target | 17,970,460 | 16,988,950 | 981,510 | 19,251,910 | 17,452,563 | 1,799,347 | 23,927,726 |
|  | None | 51,247,507 | 51,247,507 | 0 | 53,145,802 | 53,145,802 | 0 | 66,355,821 |
| Age in <br> Years of Householder | 15-17 | 6,368 | 5,762 | 606 | 7,067 | 6,163 | 904 | 23,253 |
|  | 18-24 | 2,439,213 | 2,250,700 | 188,513 | 2,664,316 | 2,379,402 | 284,914 | 4,813,541 |
|  | 25-44 | 24,233,777 | 23,151,295 | 1,082,482 | 25,937,814 | 24,300,745 | 1,637,069 | 36,590,143 |
|  | 45-64 | 34,570,073 | 33,634,307 | 935,766 | 36,089,284 | 34,740,502 | 1,348,782 | 43,402,876 |
|  | $65+$ | 21,232,277 | 20,893,222 | 339,055 | 21,632,762 | 21,182,756 | 450,006 | 24,049,527 |
| Race of Householder | White | 66,443,494 | 64,854,863 | 1,588,631 | 69,165,983 | 66,827,656 | 2,338,327 | 83,831,706 |
|  | Black | 8,618,148 | 8,012,335 | 605,813 | 9,221,262 | 8,367,236 | 854,026 | 13,168,018 |
|  | American Indian | 470,878 | 447,171 | 23,707 | 505,591 | 470,344 | 35,247 | 724,052 |
|  | Asian | 3,145,021 | 3,023,239 | 121,782 | 3,335,590 | 3,152,058 | 183,532 | 4,426,620 |

Appendix L: Mail Return Rate Numerators and Denominators for Initial and Replacement Questionnaires

|  |  | Numerator - April 19, 2010 |  |  | Numerator - September 7, 2010 |  |  | Denominator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire |  |
|  | Pacific Islander | 73,229 | 68,767 | 4,462 | 79,418 | 72,589 | 6,829 | 133,117 |
|  | Other | 2,364,560 | 2,232,518 | 132,042 | 2,552,025 | 2,353,635 | 198,390 | 4,544,552 |
|  | Two or More | 1,366,378 | 1,296,393 | 69,985 | 1,471,374 | 1,366,050 | 105,324 | 2,051,275 |
| Hispanic Origin of Householder | NonHispanic | 74,290,716 | 72,107,400 | 2,183,316 | 77,572,461 | 74,401,667 | 3,170,794 | 96,321,202 |
|  | Hispanic | 8,190,992 | 7,827,886 | 363,106 | 8,758,782 | 8,207,901 | 550,881 | 12,558,138 |
| Persons in Household | One | 21,184,810 | 20,499,339 | 685,471 | 22,157,997 | 21,144,051 | 1,013,946 | 28,603,497 |
|  | Two | 28,883,875 | 28,173,154 | 710,721 | 29,891,389 | 28,872,439 | 1,018,950 | 35,646,042 |
|  | Three | 13,154,643 | 12,716,862 | 437,781 | 13,834,567 | 13,191,895 | 642,672 | 17,666,296 |
|  | Four | 10,868,113 | 10,513,644 | 354,469 | 11,480,124 | 10,957,740 | 522,384 | 14,785,213 |
|  | Five | 4,953,936 | 4,764,228 | 189,708 | 5,274,671 | 4,995,490 | 279,181 | 7,116,478 |
|  | Six | 1,995,778 | 1,904,885 | 90,893 | 2,138,604 | 2,006,104 | 132,500 | 2,910,819 |
|  | Seven or More | 1,440,553 | 1,363,174 | 77,379 | 1,553,891 | 1,441,849 | 112,042 | 2,150,995 |
| Tenure of Household | Owneroccupied | 59,062,390 | 57,842,241 | 1,220,149 | 61,325,229 | 59,544,478 | 1,780,751 | 71,489,829 |
|  | Renteroccupied | 23,419,318 | 22,093,045 | 1,326,273 | 25,006,014 | 23,065,090 | 1,940,924 | 37,389,511 |

[^5]Appendix M: Undeliverable As Addressed Rate Numerators and Denominators

|  |  | Numerator - April 19, 2010 |  |  | Numerator - September 7, 2010 |  |  | Denominator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire |  |
|  | Total | 13,266,550 | 12,659,851 | 606,699 | 13,847,462 | 12,959,887 | 887,575 | 119,648,117 |
|  | Blanket | 4,520,304 | 4,089,626 | 430,678 | 4,694,837 | 4,177,975 | 516,862 | 24,763,803 |
| Replacement <br> Mailing | Target | 3,574,888 | 3,398,867 | 176,021 | 3,842,129 | 3,471,416 | 370,713 | 28,810,828 |
|  | None | 5,171,358 | 5,171,358 | 0 | 5,310,496 | 5,310,496 | 0 | 66,073,486 |

Source: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables

## Appendix N: Figure Illustrating the Cumulative Undeliverable As Addressed Rates for Initial

 Questionnaires and All Questionnaires by DayFigure 3: Cumulative Undeliverable As Addressed Rates by Day


Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables

Appendix O: Undeliverable As Addressed Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Rate |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable As Addressed Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Friday | 02/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Saturday | 02/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Sunday | 02/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Monday | 03/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Tuesday | 03/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Wednesday | 03/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Thursday | 03/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Friday | 03/05/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Saturday | 03/06/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Sunday | 03/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Monday | 03/08/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Tuesday | 03/09/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Wednesday | 03/10/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Thursday | 03/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Friday | 03/12/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Saturday | 03/13/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Sunday | 03/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Monday | 03/15/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Tuesday | 03/16/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Wednesday | 03/17/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Thursday | 03/18/10 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Friday | 03/19/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
| Saturday | 03/20/10 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |
| Sunday | 03/21/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |
| Monday | 03/22/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |
| Tuesday | 03/23/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |

Appendix O: Undeliverable As Addressed Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Rate |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable <br> As Addressed Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Initial } \\ \text { Questionnaire } \end{array}$ | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Wednesday | 03/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |
| Thursday | 03/25/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Friday | 03/26/10 | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% |
| Saturday | 03/27/10 | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% |
| Sunday | 03/28/10 | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% |
| Monday | 03/29/10 | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% |
| Tuesday | 03/30/10 | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 9.2\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% |
| Wednesday | 03/31/10 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% |
| Thursday | 04/01/10 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 10.2\% |
| Friday | 04/02/10 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 10.2\% |
| Saturday | 04/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.3\% |
| Sunday | 04/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.3\% |
| Monday | 04/05/10 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 10.3\% | 0.1\% | 10.4\% |
| Tuesday | 04/06/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 10.4\% | 0.1\% | 10.5\% |
| Wednesday | 04/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 10.4\% | 0.2\% | 10.6\% |
| Thursday | 04/08/10 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 10.4\% | 0.2\% | 10.7\% |
| Friday | 04/09/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 10.5\% | 0.3\% | 10.7\% |
| Saturday | 04/10/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 0.3\% | 10.7\% |
| Sunday | 04/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 0.3\% | 10.7\% |
| Monday | 04/12/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 10.5\% | 0.3\% | 10.8\% |
| Tuesday | 04/13/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 0.3\% | 10.8\% |
| Wednesday | 04/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 0.4\% | 10.9\% |
| Thursday | 04/15/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 10.5\% | 0.4\% | 10.9\% |
| Friday | 04/16/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 10.6\% | 0.4\% | 11.0\% |
| Saturday | 04/17/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 0.4\% | 11.0\% |
| Sunday | 04/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 0.4\% | 11.0\% |

Appendix O: Undeliverable As Addressed Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Rate |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable <br> As Addressed Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionair <br> Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Monday | 04/19/10 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 10.6\% | 0.5\% | 11.1\% |
| Tuesday | 04/20/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 0.5\% | 11.1\% |
| Wednesday | 04/21/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 0.6\% | 11.2\% |
| Thursday | 04/22/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 0.6\% | 11.2\% |
| Friday | 04/23/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 0.6\% | 11.2\% |
| Saturday | 04/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 0.6\% | 11.2\% |
| Sunday | 04/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 0.6\% | 11.2\% |
| Monday | 04/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 0.6\% | 11.3\% |
| Tuesday | 04/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.6\% | 11.3\% |
| Wednesday | 04/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.6\% | 11.3\% |
| Thursday | 04/29/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.6\% | 11.3\% |
| Friday | 04/30/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.6\% | 11.3\% |
| Saturday | 05/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.6\% | 11.3\% |
| Sunday | 05/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.6\% | 11.3\% |
| Monday | 05/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.3\% |
| Tuesday | 05/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.3\% |
| Wednesday | 05/05/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Thursday | 05/06/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Friday | 05/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Saturday | 05/08/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Sunday | 05/09/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Monday | 05/10/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Tuesday | 05/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Wednesday | 05/12/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Thursday | 05/13/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Friday | 05/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |

Appendix O: Undeliverable As Addressed Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Rate |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable <br> As Addressed Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Saturday | 05/15/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Sunday | 05/16/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Monday | 05/17/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Tuesday | 05/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Wednesday | 05/19/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Thursday | 05/20/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Friday | 05/21/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Saturday | 05/22/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Sunday | 05/23/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Monday | 05/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Tuesday | 05/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Wednesday | 05/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Thursday | 05/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Friday | 05/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Saturday | 05/29/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Sunday | 05/30/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Monday | 05/31/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Tuesday | 06/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Wednesday | 06/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Thursday | 06/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Friday | 06/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Saturday | 06/05/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Sunday | 06/06/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Monday | 06/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Tuesday | 06/08/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Wednesday | 06/09/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |

Appendix O: Undeliverable As Addressed Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Rate |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable <br> As Addressed Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Thursday | 06/10/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Friday | 06/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Saturday | 06/12/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Sunday | 06/13/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Monday | 06/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Tuesday | 06/15/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Wednesday | 06/16/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Thursday | 06/17/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Friday | 06/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Saturday | 06/19/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Sunday | 06/20/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Monday | 06/21/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Tuesday | 06/22/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Wednesday | 06/23/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Thursday | 06/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Friday | 06/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Saturday | 06/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Sunday | 06/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Monday | 06/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Tuesday | 06/29/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Wednesday | 06/30/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Thursday | 07/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Friday | 07/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Saturday | 07/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Sunday | 07/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Monday | 07/05/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |

Appendix O: Undeliverable As Addressed Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Rate |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable <br> As Addressed Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Tuesday | 07/06/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Wednesday | 07/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Thursday | 07/08/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Friday | 07/09/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Saturday | 07/10/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Sunday | 07/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Monday | 07/12/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Tuesday | 07/13/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Wednesday | 07/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Thursday | 07/15/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Friday | 07/16/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Saturday | 07/17/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Sunday | 07/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Monday | 07/19/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Tuesday | 07/20/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Wednesday | 07/21/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Thursday | 07/22/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Friday | 07/23/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Saturday | 07/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Sunday | 07/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Monday | 07/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.5\% |
| Tuesday | 07/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Wednesday | 07/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Thursday | 07/29/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Friday | 07/30/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Saturday | 07/31/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |

Appendix O: Undeliverable As Addressed Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Rate |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable <br> As Addressed Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Sunday | 08/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Monday | 08/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Tuesday | 08/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Wednesday | 08/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Thursday | 08/05/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Friday | 08/06/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Saturday | 08/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Sunday | 08/08/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Monday | 08/09/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Tuesday | 08/10/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Wednesday | 08/11/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Thursday | 08/12/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Friday | 08/13/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Saturday | 08/14/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Sunday | 08/15/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Monday | 08/16/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Tuesday | 08/17/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Wednesday | 08/18/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Thursday | 08/19/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Friday | 08/20/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Saturday | 08/21/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Sunday | 08/22/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Monday | 08/23/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Tuesday | 08/24/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Wednesday | 08/25/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Thursday | 08/26/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |

Appendix O: Undeliverable As Addressed Rates by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Rate |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable <br> As Addressed Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Friday | 08/27/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Saturday | 08/28/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Sunday | 08/29/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Monday | 08/30/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Tuesday | 08/31/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Wednesday | 09/01/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Thursday | 09/02/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Friday | 09/03/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Saturday | 09/04/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Sunday | 09/05/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Monday | 09/06/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Tuesday | 09/07/10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% |

Appendix P: Undeliverable As Addressed Rate Numerators by Day

\left.|  |  | Daily Undeliverable |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | As Addressed Numerator |  |$\right)$

Appendix P: Undeliverable As Addressed Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable As Addressed Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Wednesday | 03/24/10 | 18,172 | 0 | 18,172 | 977,726 | 0 | 977,726 |
| Thursday | 03/25/10 | 110,802 | 0 | 110,802 | 1,088,528 | 0 | 1,088,528 |
| Friday | 03/26/10 | 2,569,291 | 0 | 2,569,291 | 3,657,819 | 0 | 3,657,819 |
| Saturday | 03/27/10 | 1,603,920 | 0 | 1,603,920 | 5,261,739 | 0 | 5,261,739 |
| Sunday | 03/28/10 | 2,314,613 | 0 | 2,314,613 | 7,576,352 | 0 | 7,576,352 |
| Monday | 03/29/10 | 1,570,211 | 0 | 1,570,211 | 9,146,563 | 0 | 9,146,563 |
| Tuesday | 03/30/10 | 1,823,259 | 0 | 1,823,259 | 10,969,822 | 0 | 10,969,822 |
| Wednesday | 03/31/10 | 777,533 | 0 | 777,533 | 11,747,355 | 0 | 11,747,355 |
| Thursday | 04/01/10 | 410,289 | 0 | 410,289 | 12,157,644 | 0 | 12,157,644 |
| Friday | 04/02/10 | 88,763 | 443 | 89,206 | 12,246,407 | 443 | 12,246,850 |
| Saturday | 04/03/10 | 41,480 | 1,197 | 42,677 | 12,287,887 | 1,640 | 12,289,527 |
| Sunday | 04/04/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,287,887 | 1,640 | 12,289,527 |
| Monday | 04/05/10 | 94,209 | 85,919 | 180,128 | 12,382,096 | 87,559 | 12,469,655 |
| Tuesday | 04/06/10 | 33,072 | 38,539 | 71,611 | 12,415,168 | 126,098 | 12,541,266 |
| Wednesday | 04/07/10 | 33,888 | 68,981 | 102,869 | 12,449,056 | 195,079 | 12,644,135 |
| Thursday | 04/08/10 | 27,784 | 75,878 | 103,662 | 12,476,840 | 270,957 | 12,747,797 |
| Friday | 04/09/10 | 29,149 | 44,825 | 73,974 | 12,505,989 | 315,782 | 12,821,771 |
| Saturday | 04/10/10 | 8,928 | 7,068 | 15,996 | 12,514,917 | 322,850 | 12,837,767 |
| Sunday | 04/11/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,514,917 | 322,850 | 12,837,767 |
| Monday | 04/12/10 | 39,235 | 46,278 | 85,513 | 12,554,152 | 369,128 | 12,923,280 |
| Tuesday | 04/13/10 | 20,498 | 23,970 | 44,468 | 12,574,650 | 393,098 | 12,967,748 |
| Wednesday | 04/14/10 | 14,677 | 28,971 | 43,648 | 12,589,327 | 422,069 | 13,011,396 |
| Thursday | 04/15/10 | 27,760 | 51,348 | 79,108 | 12,617,087 | 473,417 | 13,090,504 |
| Friday | 04/16/10 | 16,286 | 52,584 | 68,870 | 12,633,373 | 526,001 | 13,159,374 |
| Saturday | 04/17/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,633,373 | 526,001 | 13,159,374 |
| Sunday | 04/18/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,633,373 | 526,001 | 13,159,374 |

Appendix P: Undeliverable As Addressed Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable As Addressed Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Monday | 04/19/10 | 26,478 | 80,698 | 107,176 | 12,659,851 | 606,699 | 13,266,550 |
| Tuesday | 04/20/10 | 20,013 | 39,598 | 59,611 | 12,679,864 | 646,297 | 13,326,161 |
| Wednesday | 04/21/10 | 11,396 | 30,121 | 41,517 | 12,691,260 | 676,418 | 13,367,678 |
| Thursday | 04/22/10 | 12,569 | 22,270 | 34,839 | 12,703,829 | 698,688 | 13,402,517 |
| Friday | 04/23/10 | 13,246 | 21,847 | 35,093 | 12,717,075 | 720,535 | 13,437,610 |
| Saturday | 04/24/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,717,075 | 720,535 | 13,437,610 |
| Sunday | 04/25/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,717,075 | 720,535 | 13,437,610 |
| Monday | 04/26/10 | 13,022 | 20,659 | 33,681 | 12,730,097 | 741,194 | 13,471,291 |
| Tuesday | 04/27/10 | 13,085 | 11,589 | 24,674 | 12,743,182 | 752,783 | 13,495,965 |
| Wednesday | 04/28/10 | 5,253 | 5,062 | 10,315 | 12,748,435 | 757,845 | 13,506,280 |
| Thursday | 04/29/10 | 11,461 | 8,818 | 20,279 | 12,759,896 | 766,663 | 13,526,559 |
| Friday | 04/30/10 | 4,970 | 4,570 | 9,540 | 12,764,866 | 771,233 | 13,536,099 |
| Saturday | 05/01/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,764,866 | 771,233 | 13,536,099 |
| Sunday | 05/02/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,764,866 | 771,233 | 13,536,099 |
| Monday | 05/03/10 | 14,492 | 11,139 | 25,631 | 12,779,358 | 782,372 | 13,561,730 |
| Tuesday | 05/04/10 | 9,063 | 5,555 | 14,618 | 12,788,421 | 787,927 | 13,576,348 |
| Wednesday | 05/05/10 | 5,120 | 3,190 | 8,310 | 12,793,541 | 791,117 | 13,584,658 |
| Thursday | 05/06/10 | 5,572 | 4,558 | 10,130 | 12,799,113 | 795,675 | 13,594,788 |
| Friday | 05/07/10 | 3,228 | 3,045 | 6,273 | 12,802,341 | 798,720 | 13,601,061 |
| Saturday | 05/08/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,802,341 | 798,720 | 13,601,061 |
| Sunday | 05/09/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,802,341 | 798,720 | 13,601,061 |
| Monday | 05/10/10 | 5,660 | 5,059 | 10,719 | 12,808,001 | 803,779 | 13,611,780 |
| Tuesday | 05/11/10 | 4,429 | 3,413 | 7,842 | 12,812,430 | 807,192 | 13,619,622 |
| Wednesday | 05/12/10 | 5,202 | 3,310 | 8,512 | 12,817,632 | 810,502 | 13,628,134 |
| Thursday | 05/13/10 | 5,317 | 3,477 | 8,794 | 12,822,949 | 813,979 | 13,636,928 |
| Friday | 05/14/10 | 4,177 | 2,326 | 6,503 | 12,827,126 | 816,305 | 13,643,431 |

Appendix P: Undeliverable As Addressed Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable As Addressed Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Saturday | 05/15/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,827,126 | 816,305 | 13,643,431 |
| Sunday | 05/16/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,827,126 | 816,305 | 13,643,431 |
| Monday | 05/17/10 | 10,443 | 6,375 | 16,818 | 12,837,569 | 822,680 | 13,660,249 |
| Tuesday | 05/18/10 | 5,202 | 2,677 | 7,879 | 12,842,771 | 825,357 | 13,668,128 |
| Wednesday | 05/19/10 | 1,526 | 1,274 | 2,800 | 12,844,297 | 826,631 | 13,670,928 |
| Thursday | 05/20/10 | 4,775 | 2,961 | 7,736 | 12,849,072 | 829,592 | 13,678,664 |
| Friday | 05/21/10 | 4,923 | 3,226 | 8,149 | 12,853,995 | 832,818 | 13,686,813 |
| Saturday | 05/22/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,853,995 | 832,818 | 13,686,813 |
| Sunday | 05/23/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,853,995 | 832,818 | 13,686,813 |
| Monday | 05/24/10 | 4,727 | 3,399 | 8,126 | 12,858,722 | 836,217 | 13,694,939 |
| Tuesday | 05/25/10 | 6,423 | 3,304 | 9,727 | 12,865,145 | 839,521 | 13,704,666 |
| Wednesday | 05/26/10 | 1,534 | 988 | 2,522 | 12,866,679 | 840,509 | 13,707,188 |
| Thursday | 05/27/10 | 1,693 | 1,281 | 2,974 | 12,868,372 | 841,790 | 13,710,162 |
| Friday | 05/28/10 | 2,218 | 1,655 | 3,873 | 12,870,590 | 843,445 | 13,714,035 |
| Saturday | 05/29/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,870,590 | 843,445 | 13,714,035 |
| Sunday | 05/30/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,870,590 | 843,445 | 13,714,035 |
| Monday | 05/31/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,870,590 | 843,445 | 13,714,035 |
| Tuesday | 06/01/10 | 6,695 | 4,467 | 11,162 | 12,877,285 | 847,912 | 13,725,197 |
| Wednesday | 06/02/10 | 4,358 | 1,436 | 5,794 | 12,881,643 | 849,348 | 13,730,991 |
| Thursday | 06/03/10 | 794 | 844 | 1,638 | 12,882,437 | 850,192 | 13,732,629 |
| Friday | 06/04/10 | 1,435 | 742 | 2,177 | 12,883,872 | 850,934 | 13,734,806 |
| Saturday | 06/05/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,883,872 | 850,934 | 13,734,806 |
| Sunday | 06/06/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,883,872 | 850,934 | 13,734,806 |
| Monday | 06/07/10 | 2,335 | 1,639 | 3,974 | 12,886,207 | 852,573 | 13,738,780 |
| Tuesday | 06/08/10 | 4,702 | 2,324 | 7,026 | 12,890,909 | 854,897 | 13,745,806 |
| Wednesday | 06/09/10 | 1,122 | 601 | 1,723 | 12,892,031 | 855,498 | 13,747,529 |

Appendix P: Undeliverable As Addressed Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable As Addressed Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Thursday | 06/10/10 | 3,637 | 1,628 | 5,265 | 12,895,668 | 857,126 | 13,752,794 |
| Friday | 06/11/10 | 1,586 | 758 | 2,344 | 12,897,254 | 857,884 | 13,755,138 |
| Saturday | 06/12/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,897,254 | 857,884 | 13,755,138 |
| Sunday | 06/13/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,897,254 | 857,884 | 13,755,138 |
| Monday | 06/14/10 | 2,069 | 1,573 | 3,642 | 12,899,323 | 859,457 | 13,758,780 |
| Tuesday | 06/15/10 | 1,963 | 802 | 2,765 | 12,901,286 | 860,259 | 13,761,545 |
| Wednesday | 06/16/10 | 762 | 509 | 1,271 | 12,902,048 | 860,768 | 13,762,816 |
| Thursday | 06/17/10 | 2,095 | 991 | 3,086 | 12,904,143 | 861,759 | 13,765,902 |
| Friday | 06/18/10 | 3,420 | 1,594 | 5,014 | 12,907,563 | 863,353 | 13,770,916 |
| Saturday | 06/19/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,907,563 | 863,353 | 13,770,916 |
| Sunday | 06/20/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,907,563 | 863,353 | 13,770,916 |
| Monday | 06/21/10 | 2,057 | 1,293 | 3,350 | 12,909,620 | 864,646 | 13,774,266 |
| Tuesday | 06/22/10 | 1,854 | 770 | 2,624 | 12,911,474 | 865,416 | 13,776,890 |
| Wednesday | 06/23/10 | 2,060 | 1,128 | 3,188 | 12,913,534 | 866,544 | 13,780,078 |
| Thursday | 06/24/10 | 1,328 | 853 | 2,181 | 12,914,862 | 867,397 | 13,782,259 |
| Friday | 06/25/10 | 914 | 572 | 1,486 | 12,915,776 | 867,969 | 13,783,745 |
| Saturday | 06/26/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,915,776 | 867,969 | 13,783,745 |
| Sunday | 06/27/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,915,776 | 867,969 | 13,783,745 |
| Monday | 06/28/10 | 2,669 | 1,736 | 4,405 | 12,918,445 | 869,705 | 13,788,150 |
| Tuesday | 06/29/10 | 1,652 | 476 | 2,128 | 12,920,097 | 870,181 | 13,790,278 |
| Wednesday | 06/30/10 | 1,954 | 978 | 2,932 | 12,922,051 | 871,159 | 13,793,210 |
| Thursday | 07/01/10 | 730 | 497 | 1,227 | 12,922,781 | 871,656 | 13,794,437 |
| Friday | 07/02/10 | 620 | 300 | 920 | 12,923,401 | 871,956 | 13,795,357 |
| Saturday | 07/03/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,923,401 | 871,956 | 13,795,357 |
| Sunday | 07/04/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,923,401 | 871,956 | 13,795,357 |
| Monday | 07/05/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,923,401 | 871,956 | 13,795,357 |

Appendix P: Undeliverable As Addressed Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable As Addressed Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Tuesday | 07/06/10 | 3,648 | 1,704 | 5,352 | 12,927,049 | 873,660 | 13,800,709 |
| Wednesday | 07/07/10 | 293 | 140 | 433 | 12,927,342 | 873,800 | 13,801,142 |
| Thursday | 07/08/10 | 726 | 394 | 1,120 | 12,928,068 | 874,194 | 13,802,262 |
| Friday | 07/09/10 | 450 | 241 | 691 | 12,928,518 | 874,435 | 13,802,953 |
| Saturday | 07/10/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,928,518 | 874,435 | 13,802,953 |
| Sunday | 07/11/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,928,518 | 874,435 | 13,802,953 |
| Monday | 07/12/10 | 965 | 628 | 1,593 | 12,929,483 | 875,063 | 13,804,546 |
| Tuesday | 07/13/10 | 594 | 168 | 762 | 12,930,077 | 875,231 | 13,805,308 |
| Wednesday | 07/14/10 | 2,197 | 1,042 | 3,239 | 12,932,274 | 876,273 | 13,808,547 |
| Thursday | 07/15/10 | 637 | 317 | 954 | 12,932,911 | 876,590 | 13,809,501 |
| Friday | 07/16/10 | 370 | 179 | 549 | 12,933,281 | 876,769 | 13,810,050 |
| Saturday | 07/17/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,933,281 | 876,769 | 13,810,050 |
| Sunday | 07/18/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,933,281 | 876,769 | 13,810,050 |
| Monday | 07/19/10 | 2,369 | 1,248 | 3,617 | 12,935,650 | 878,017 | 13,813,667 |
| Tuesday | 07/20/10 | 1,399 | 337 | 1,736 | 12,937,049 | 878,354 | 13,815,403 |
| Wednesday | 07/21/10 | 343 | 268 | 611 | 12,937,392 | 878,622 | 13,816,014 |
| Thursday | 07/22/10 | 871 | 441 | 1,312 | 12,938,263 | 879,063 | 13,817,326 |
| Friday | 07/23/10 | 277 | 177 | 454 | 12,938,540 | 879,240 | 13,817,780 |
| Saturday | 07/24/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,938,540 | 879,240 | 13,817,780 |
| Sunday | 07/25/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,938,540 | 879,240 | 13,817,780 |
| Monday | 07/26/10 | 863 | 496 | 1,359 | 12,939,403 | 879,736 | 13,819,139 |
| Tuesday | 07/27/10 | 1,686 | 658 | 2,344 | 12,941,089 | 880,394 | 13,821,483 |
| Wednesday | 07/28/10 | 329 | 158 | 487 | 12,941,418 | 880,552 | 13,821,970 |
| Thursday | 07/29/10 | 1,649 | 586 | 2,235 | 12,943,067 | 881,138 | 13,824,205 |
| Friday | 07/30/10 | 884 | 355 | 1,239 | 12,943,951 | 881,493 | 13,825,444 |
| Saturday | 07/31/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,943,951 | 881,493 | 13,825,444 |

Appendix P: Undeliverable As Addressed Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable As Addressed Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Sunday | 08/01/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,943,951 | 881,493 | 13,825,444 |
| Monday | 08/02/10 | 1,038 | 415 | 1,453 | 12,944,989 | 881,908 | 13,826,897 |
| Tuesday | 08/03/10 | 966 | 331 | 1,297 | 12,945,955 | 882,239 | 13,828,194 |
| Wednesday | 08/04/10 | 232 | 109 | 341 | 12,946,187 | 882,348 | 13,828,535 |
| Thursday | 08/05/10 | 600 | 221 | 821 | 12,946,787 | 882,569 | 13,829,356 |
| Friday | 08/06/10 | 270 | 141 | 411 | 12,947,057 | 882,710 | 13,829,767 |
| Saturday | 08/07/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,947,057 | 882,710 | 13,829,767 |
| Sunday | 08/08/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,947,057 | 882,710 | 13,829,767 |
| Monday | 08/09/10 | 1,485 | 651 | 2,136 | 12,948,542 | 883,361 | 13,831,903 |
| Tuesday | 08/10/10 | 1,124 | 312 | 1,436 | 12,949,666 | 883,673 | 13,833,339 |
| Wednesday | 08/11/10 | 438 | 141 | 579 | 12,950,104 | 883,814 | 13,833,918 |
| Thursday | 08/12/10 | 458 | 222 | 680 | 12,950,562 | 884,036 | 13,834,598 |
| Friday | 08/13/10 | 844 | 301 | 1,145 | 12,951,406 | 884,337 | 13,835,743 |
| Saturday | 08/14/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,951,406 | 884,337 | 13,835,743 |
| Sunday | 08/15/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,951,406 | 884,337 | 13,835,743 |
| Monday | 08/16/10 | 1,051 | 483 | 1,534 | 12,952,457 | 884,820 | 13,837,277 |
| Tuesday | 08/17/10 | 887 | 267 | 1,154 | 12,953,344 | 885,087 | 13,838,431 |
| Wednesday | 08/18/10 | 536 | 217 | 753 | 12,953,880 | 885,304 | 13,839,184 |
| Thursday | 08/19/10 | 261 | 159 | 420 | 12,954,141 | 885,463 | 13,839,604 |
| Friday | 08/20/10 | 763 | 257 | 1,020 | 12,954,904 | 885,720 | 13,840,624 |
| Saturday | 08/21/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,954,904 | 885,720 | 13,840,624 |
| Sunday | 08/22/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,954,904 | 885,720 | 13,840,624 |
| Monday | 08/23/10 | 942 | 433 | 1,375 | 12,955,846 | 886,153 | 13,841,999 |
| Tuesday | 08/24/10 | 222 | 94 | 316 | 12,956,068 | 886,247 | 13,842,315 |
| Wednesday | 08/25/10 | 935 | 287 | 1,222 | 12,957,003 | 886,534 | 13,843,537 |
| Thursday | 08/26/10 | 529 | 257 | 786 | 12,957,532 | 886,791 | 13,844,323 |

Appendix P: Undeliverable As Addressed Rate Numerators by Day

| Day | Date | Daily Undeliverable As Addressed Numerator |  |  | Cumulative Undeliverable As Addressed Numerator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total | Initial Questionnaire | Replacement Questionnaire | Total |
| Friday | 08/27/10 | 504 | 182 | 686 | 12,958,036 | 886,973 | 13,845,009 |
| Saturday | 08/28/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,958,036 | 886,973 | 13,845,009 |
| Sunday | 08/29/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,958,036 | 886,973 | 13,845,009 |
| Monday | 08/30/10 | 91 | 10 | 101 | 12,958,127 | 886,983 | 13,845,110 |
| Tuesday | 08/31/10 | 89 | 8 | 97 | 12,958,216 | 886,991 | 13,845,207 |
| Wednesday | 09/01/10 | 57 | 6 | 63 | 12,958,273 | 886,997 | 13,845,270 |
| Thursday | 09/02/10 | 1,213 | 381 | 1,594 | 12,959,486 | 887,378 | 13,846,864 |
| Friday | 09/03/10 | 167 | 59 | 226 | 12,959,653 | 887,437 | 13,847,090 |
| Saturday | 09/04/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,959,653 | 887,437 | 13,847,090 |
| Sunday | 09/05/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,959,653 | 887,437 | 13,847,090 |
| Monday | 09/06/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,959,653 | 887,437 | 13,847,090 |
| Tuesday | 09/07/10 | 234 | 138 | 372 | 12,959,887 | 887,575 | 13,847,462 |

Source: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, and MAF


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Cheyenne River reservation was enumerated in an Update/Enumerate operation, where enumerators go door to door updating addresses, asking respondents the questions on the questionnaire, and filling in respondent answers. No questionnaires are left with these households.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Participation rates were calculated during the 2010 Census as part of the Take 10 program, and were used to serve as a "real-time" approximation of mail return rates.
    ${ }^{3}$ The denominator for mail return rates in this report is different that the return rate reported in the 2010 Census Bilingual Questionnaire Assessment, which bases occupied housing units according to final occupancy status (FINAL_STATUS) on the Census Unedited File (Rothhaas, Bentley, Hill, and Lestina, 2011).
    ${ }^{4}$ Replacement questionnaires were counted as a UAA if the address also had an initial questionnaire identified as a UAA and the replacement questionnaire had the earliest check-in date.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ The Bilingual questionnaire was distributed to specific demographic areas in lieu of the English-only initial questionnaires. Due to population differences between those who receive the Bilingual questionnaire and those who receive the English-only questionnaire during the initial mailing, we cannot draw any casual conclusions based on direct comparisons between the two questionnaires (Rothhaas, Bentley, Hill, and Lestina, 2011).

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ The Bilingual questionnaire was distributed to specific demographic areas in lieu of the English-only initial questionnaires. Due to population differences between those who receive the Bilingual questionnaire and those who receive the English-only questionnaire during the initial mailing, we cannot draw any casual conclusions based on direct comparisons between the two questionnaires (Rothhaas, Bentley, Hill, and Lestina, 2011).

[^4]:    Sources: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables

[^5]:    Source: DRIS Check-in, DRF, CEF, UCM, MAF, U/L Addup, and Unmailables

