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## INTRODUCTION

Age strongly affects the likelihood that a person will move. Throughout one's life, people experience changes in family, jobs, and health circumstances that create needs for a change to their current housing. Many of these life changes cluster around younger ages and moves tend to peak during this time. However, the residences that people live in become especially important as people age, and older adults, despite lower overall mobility rates, have unique housing preferences and needs.

Preferences for housing among older adults may be influenced by several life changes including retirement, children leaving the household, the potential onset of physical, mental, and cognitive declines, and disability status. These changes may necessitate the need for a shift in living arrangements. In the absence of a change in living arrangements, older adults may become more reliant on homes and neighborhoods and community services that compensate for these declines. ${ }^{1}$

Housing decisions may also vary within the older population. ${ }^{2}$ After retirement, the younger old may make amenities moves, relocating away from family and friends in search of warmer climates

[^0]
## Box 1. <br> COMMON MIGRATION TERMS

Movers can be classified by type of move and are categorized as to whether they moved within the same county, to a different county within the same state, to a different county from a different state or region, or were movers from abroad.

Migration is commonly defined as moves that cross jurisdictional boundaries (counties in particular), while moves within a jurisdiction are referred to as residential mobility. Moves between counties are often referred to as intercounty moves, while moves within the same county are often referred to as intracounty moves. Further, migration can be differentiated as movement within the United States (domestic migration or internal migration) and movement into and out of the United States (international migration).

In-migration is the number of migrants who moved into an area during a given period, while out-migration is the number of migrants who moved out of an area during a given period. Net migration is the difference between in-migration and out-migration during a given time. A positive net, or net in-migration, indicates that more migrants entered an area than left during that time. A negative net, or net out-migration, means that more migrants left an area than entered it.
and housing and neighborhood services that better fit their needs. Later in life, with the onset of physical declines, sections of the housing unit may become unsafe or difficult to navigate, and the oldest old may move again, returning to their family and friends for social, material, and physical support. In many cases, these are short-distance moves. ${ }^{3}$

Because older adults have unique housing preferences and needs, combined with the increasing number and proportion of older adults among Americans, the individual and aggregate migration

[^1]patterns of older adults have social and economic impacts on older people's well-being as well as local communities and government policies.

In 2003, the U.S. Census Bureau released a report on the domestic migration patterns of older adults using data from the 2000 Census long form. ${ }^{4}$ That report found that although older adults move less frequently than younger adults, the oldest old are the group most likely to make moves within the same county or same state. It also found that older adults were moving disproportionately from the Northeast and Midwest regions to the West and South regions. Arizona, Florida, Georgia, Nevada, North Carolina, and South Carolina had some of the largest

[^2]positive net migration rates for the older population. Since 2000, there has been a general decline in domestic migration, particularly for those making short distance moves. ${ }^{5}$ Migration patterns for older adults may have changed since 2000 as well.

This report updates the prior report and examines domestic migration patterns for older Americans using data from 20152019 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates. The focus of this report is on older people, defined here as aged 65 and over, but also contains some analyses on the younger population. The report looks at aggregate migration trends for the nation and states by sex, age, and disability status; net migration rates for states; and some state-to-state migration flows. This report is limited to the domestic migration of the older population living in United States and the District of Columbia and does not include movers from abroad or those living in Puerto Rico. ${ }^{6}$

The ACS is a nationally representative survey with an initial sample size of about 3.5 million addresses. The survey produces annual 1-year estimates of population and housing characteristics for the nation and at subnational levels. The ACS 5-year estimates are a multiyear dataset collected over a 60-month period that allow for a more detailed analysis of large and small populations across different geographic levels. ${ }^{7}$

[^3]In the ACS, respondents were asked where they lived 1 year ago, which provided the previous residence (origin) information. By comparing the previous and current residence (destination) information, it is possible to tell if a respondent moved during the last year and if that move was to an address in a different area of the United States. Since the 5-year ACS combines five 1-year ACS files together and reweights the data to the most recent population characteristics, the numbers in this report should be interpreted as showing statistics for the population that moved during the prior year, during a typical year from the 5-year period. ${ }^{8}$

The ACS collects information on people living in both institutionalized and noninstitutionalized group quarters facilities, including adults living in nursing home/ skilled nursing home facilities. Those living in both types of group quarters facilities are included in the totals presented in this report. However, the report does not show separate analyses for this population, and instead shows numbers for the entire population. During a typical year

[^4]
## Box 2. <br> MIGRATION QUESTIONS IN THE AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY

| a. Did this person live in this house or apartment |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ year ago? |
| $\square \quad$Person is under 1 year old $\rightarrow$ SKIP to <br> question 16 |
| $\square \quad$ Yes, this house $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 16 |
| $\square$ |
| No, outside the United States and <br> Puerto Rico - Print name of foreign country, <br> or U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, etc., below; <br> then SKIP to question 16 |



Name of city, town, or post office

Name of U.S. county or municipio in Puerto Rico

Name of U.S. state or Puerto Rico

## ZIP Code

from the 2015-2019 period, about 1.2 million adults aged 65 and over lived in nursing facilities/skilled nursing facilities. The median age of these adults was 80.9 years old, and about 66.9 percent were women. Those in nursing homes were also more likely to have moved in the prior year than the overall population, with 28.9 percent reporting a change of address in the prior year. ${ }^{9}$

The report first examines the general mobility of the older popula-tion-how many moved and what type of move they made-and compares different age groups among the older population.

[^5]Given that mobility patterns of the older population may differ from those of the rest of the population, people 65 years and older are compared with those under the age of 65, especially the "nearold," who are defined here as those aged 55 to 64. In addition, because women outnumber men at older ages, this report evaluates differences in mobility patterns between older men and women. This report also examines how disabilities affect older people's decision on whether to move and the type and distance of moves.

The second part of the report discusses the older population redistribution by migration during the 2015-2019 period. It examines net migration rates at the region, division, and state levels to identify areas that experienced the largest net migration gain or loss of older people, as well as the most popular destinations and origins of older migrants.

## general mobility of the OLDER POPULATION

Older people were far less likely to move than younger people, and most of their moves were short distances within the same county, especially for those 85 years and older.

Table 1 shows general mobility patterns for the population 1 year and over by age and sex from the 2015-2019 5-year ACS data. ${ }^{10}$ In a typical year during the 2015-2019 period, most people did not move, but older people were far less likely to move than

[^6]younger people. ${ }^{11}$ Over 3 million adults aged 65 and over moved during the prior year, or about 6.2 percent of the 65 and older population. Over 40 million people aged 1 to 64 moved during the same period, or about 15.1 percent of the under-65 population. People aged 85 and over were slightly more likely to move (8.4 percent) than those aged 65 to 74 (5.9 percent), and 75 to 84 (6.0 percent). People aged 1 to 54 had a mover rate of 16.5 percent, while people in the near-old group aged 55 to 64 had a mover rate of 7.4 percent. The mover rate for the near-old group was slightly higher than the rate for adults aged 65 and older (6.2 percent).

Most older people who moved made short distance moves to another residence in the same county. About 58 percent of moves during the prior year for older adults were within same county moves. However, when concerning moves within versus between states, older peoples' moves were more likely to be to a different state (19.7 percent) than younger peoples' moves (16.9 percent). Over 600,000 older people moved to a new state during the prior year. Of these, about 41.8 percent moved to a new state in the same region, while 58.2 percent moved to a new state in a different region. State-to-state moves made up a larger proportion of overall moves for people aged 65 to 74 ( 22.3 percent of

[^7]moves) than aged 75 to 84 (17.9 percent) and aged 85 and older (14.5 percent).

The slightly higher percentage of state-to-state moves for older people as a group, and in the 65 to 74 age group specifically, may reflect retirement migration where some older adults choose to move longer distances, perhaps for warmer climates or to be closer to family. ${ }^{12}$ Older people 85 years and older had the largest percentage of moves that were within county ( 64 percent) compared to all other age groups. At advanced ages, health concerns may force some people to move closer to or in with their children or to assisted care facilities.

## Older female movers far outnumbered male movers, even though the migration rates by sex differed only slightly.

Because of women's higher life expectancy, there were more women than men aged 65 and over during a typical year in the 2015-2019 period. About 28.2 million women were aged 65 and older compared to 22.4 million men. For the 85 and older age group, there were about 4.1 million women and 2.2 million men. The differences in the numbers of men and women at older ages are important to note, because women will make up larger proportions of movers in these age groups even though the likelihood of men and women moving is similar. For example, women in the 65 and over age group were only slightly more likely to move (6.5 percent) than men ( 5.9 percent). This difference in the mover rates

[^8]Table 1.
General Mobility for the Population 1 Year and Over by Sex and Age: 2015-2019

| Characteristic | Aged 65 and over |  |  |  | Aged 1 to 64 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 65 to 74 | 75 to 84 | 85 and over | Total | 1 to 54 | 55 to 64 |
| NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 50,600,000 | 29,430,000 | 14,920,000 | 6,253,000 | 268,300,000 | 226,700,000 | 41,600,000 |
| Nonmovers | 47,450,000 | 27,710,000 | 14,020,000 | 5,728,000 | 227,900,000 | 189,400,000 | 38,520,000 |
| Movers. | 3,151,000 | 1,724,000 | 901,200 | 525,200 | 40,440,000 | 37,360,000 | 3,081,000 |
| Same county | 1,828,000 | 948,100 | 543,900 | 336,300 | 23,910,000 | 22,130,000 | 1,782,000 |
| Different county, same state | 700,400 | 391,600 | 196,300 | 112,600 | 9,680,000 | 8,957,000 | 723,500 |
| Different state . . . . . . . . . . . | 622,200 | 384,800 | 161,100 | 76,360 | 6,853,000 | 6,277,000 | 576,300 |
| Different state, same region. | 260,200 | 159,800 | 67,200 | 33,210 | 3,090,000 | 2,839,000 | 251,300 |
| Different state, different region. | 362,000 | 225,000 | 93,860 | 43,160 | 3,762,000 | 3,437,000 | 325,000 |
| Male. | 22,440,000 | 13,750,000 | 6,494,000 | 2,192,000 | 134,500,000 | 114,400,000 | 20,080,000 |
| Nonmovers | 21,120,000 | 12,970,000 | 6,128,000 | 2,017,000 | 113,900,000 | 95,320,000 | 18,580,000 |
| Movers. | 1,322,000 | 781,500 | 365,600 | 175,300 | 20,560,000 | 19,060,000 | 1,498,000 |
| Same county. | 749,300 | 420,800 | 215,200 | 113,300 | 11,940,000 | 11,080,000 | 857,700 |
| Different county, same state | 300,900 | 183,900 | 81,330 | 35,600 | 5,089,000 | 4,724,000 | 364,800 |
| Different state | 272,200 | 176,700 | 69,110 | 26,370 | 3,527,000 | 3,251,000 | 276,000 |
| Different state, same region. | 113,100 | 73,800 | 27,850 | 11,450 | 1,582,000 | 1,461,000 | 120,500 |
| Different state, different region | 159,100 | 102,900 | 41,260 | 14,920 | 1,945,000 | 1,790,000 | 155,500 |
| Female | 28,170,000 | 15,680,000 | 8,427,000 | 4,061,000 | 133,800,000 | 112,300,000 | 21,520,000 |
| Nonmovers | 26,340,000 | 14,730,000 | 7,891,000 | 3,711,000 | 113,900,000 | 93,960,000 | 19,940,000 |
| Movers. | 1,828,000 | 943,000 | 535,600 | 349,900 | 19,880,000 | 18,300,000 | 1,583,000 |
| Same county. | 1,079,000 | 527,300 | 328,700 | 222,900 | 11,970,000 | 11,050,000 | 923,800 |
| Different county, same state | 399,600 | 207,700 | 114,900 | 76,960 | 4,591,000 | 4,232,000 | 358,700 |
| Different state . . . . . . . . . . | 350,000 | 208,100 | 91,960 | 50,000 | 3,326,000 | 3,026,000 | 300,200 |
| Different state, same region. | 147,100 | 85,970 | 39,350 | 21,760 | 1,509,000 | 1,378,000 | 130,700 |
| Different state, different region | 202,900 | 122,100 | 52,600 | 28,240 | 1,817,000 | 1,648,000 | 169,500 |
| PERCENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Nonmovers. | 93.8 | 94.1 | 94.0 | 91.6 | 84.9 | 83.5 | 92.6 |
| Movers. | 6.2 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 8.4 | 15.1 | 16.5 | 7.4 |
| Same county | 58.0 | 55.0 | 60.4 | 64.0 | 59.1 | 59.2 | 57.8 |
| Different county, same state | 22.2 | 22.7 | 21.8 | 21.4 | 23.9 | 24.0 | 23.5 |
| Different state | 19.7 | 22.3 | 17.9 | 14.5 | 16.9 | 16.8 | 18.7 |
| Different state, same region. | 41.8 | 41.5 | 41.7 | 43.5 | 45.1 | 45.2 | 43.6 |
| Different state, different region. | 58.2 | 58.5 | 58.3 | 56.5 | 54.9 | 54.8 | 56.4 |
| Male. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Nonmovers | 94.1 | 94.3 | 94.4 | 92.0 | 84.7 | 83.3 | 92.5 |
| Movers. | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 8.0 | 15.3 | 16.7 | 7.5 |
| Same county. | 56.7 | 53.9 | 58.9 | 64.7 | 58.1 | 58.2 | 57.2 |
| Different county, same state | 22.8 | 23.5 | 22.3 | 20.3 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.3 |
| Different state | 20.6 | 22.6 | 18.9 | 15.0 | 17.2 | 17.1 | 18.4 |
| Different state, same region | 41.6 | 41.8 | 40.3 | 43.4 | 44.8 | 44.9 | 43.7 |
| Different state, different region | 58.4 | 58.2 | 59.7 | 56.6 | 55.2 | 55.1 | 56.3 |
| Female | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Nonmovers | 93.5 | 94.0 | 93.6 | 91.4 | 85.1 | 83.7 | 92.6 |
| Movers. | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 8.6 | 14.9 | 16.3 | 7.4 |
| Same county. | 59.0 | 55.9 | 61.4 | 63.7 | 60.2 | 60.3 | 58.4 |
| Different county, same state | 21.9 | 22.0 | 21.5 | 22.0 | 23.1 | 23.1 | 22.7 |
| Different state ...... | 19.1 | 22.1 | 17.2 | 14.3 | 16.7 | 16.5 | 19.0 |
| Different state, same region. | 42.0 | 41.3 | 42.8 | 43.5 | 45.4 | 45.5 | 43.5 |
| Different state, different region | 58.0 | 58.7 | 57.2 | 56.5 | 54.6 | 54.5 | 56.5 |

[^9]Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 America Community Survey, 5-year estimates.
amounts to about 500,000 more older female movers than male movers.

## Older adults with a disability made more short distance moves than those without a disability.

Table 2 presents the general mobility numbers from Table 1 but subdivides these numbers by disability status. ${ }^{13,14}$ Having a disability may impact the likelihood that a person moves in several ways. A disability may create a mismatch between a person's housing needs and current housing situation, leading to a move, short or long distance, to a new residence. Alternatively, the effects of a disability may create barriers to moving leading some older adults to age in place even when a move may be desirable. ${ }^{15}$ Understanding the migration patterns of older people with disabilities is

[^10]important for policymakers and planners as this group may require different community services.

During the period 2015-2019, about 18.2 million older people, or about 36.0 percent of people aged 65 and older per year, reported at least one disability. For comparison, about 24.1 million people aged 1 to 64 , or about 9.0 percent, had at least one disability; among them, about 7.8 million of these people were aged 55 to 64. As a group, older people with at least one disability were more likely to move than older people without a disability. About 8.3 percent of older people with a disability moved in the prior year compared to only 5.1 percent of the same ages without a disability. The difference between the two groups indicates that older people with a disability were around 63 percent more likely to move than those without during the prior year. Younger people, while more mobile than older people, had a smaller percentage-point difference in the mobility rate between those with a disability ( 15.5 percent) and those without (15.0 percent).

Older adults with disabilities made more short-distance moves and fewer long-distances moves than those without a disability. Of those who moved, 61.8 percent of older people with a disability made within-counties moves compared to 54.6 percent of older people without a disability. Those with a disability may be more hesitant to make long-distance moves than those without, or it could be that older people with a disability are
more likely to make short-distance moves for health-related reasons.

For the 65 and older age group, there were more women with a disability ( 10.3 million) compared to men ( 7.9 million). The differences in the number of adults with a disability by sex was about 60,000 more women for the 65 to 74 age group, about 820,000 more women in the 75 to 84 age group, and over 1.5 million more women with a disability in the 85 and older age group. At each of these age groups, there are more women than men, regardless of disability status. However, there were differences by sex in the relationship between disability status and migration. Older women with a disability were more likely to move than older men with a disability. About 8.8 percent of older women with a disability moved during the prior year, while only 7.6 percent of older men did, and this relationship was present across the 65 to 74,75 to 84 , and 85 and older age groups.

## DOMESTIC MIGRATION OF OLDER MOVERS

The prior analyses described overall patterns of mobility by age, sex, and disability status, providing information of the characteristics of older people who move by distance of the move. In this section, the report focuses on long-distance moves that cross state boundaries and investigates popular destination states for older movers and their origin states. These moves can change the population composition of local areas.

Table 2.
General Mobility for the Population 1 Year and Over by Sex, Disability Status, and Age:
2015-2019

| Characteristic | Aged 65 and over |  |  |  | Aged 1 to 64 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 65 to 74 | 75 to 84 | 85 and over | Total | 1 to 54 | 55 to 64 |
| NUMBER No Disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 32,360,000 | 21,920,000 | 8,639,000 | 1,804,000 | 244,300,000 | 210,500,000 | 33,800,000 |
| Nonmovers | 30,730,000 | 20,780,000 | 8,237,000 | 1,708,000 | 207,500,000 | 176,000,000 | 31,520,000 |
| Movers | 1,639,000 | 1,140,000 | 402,100 | 96,580 | 36,710,000 | 34,430,000 | 2,283,000 |
| Same county | 894,200 | 601,400 | 233,800 | 58,980 | 21,690,000 | 20,400,000 | 1,293,000 |
| Different county | 744,900 | 539,000 | 168,300 | 37,600 | 15,030,000 | 14,040,000 | 989,300 |
| Male. | 14,500,000 | 10,030,000 | 3,761,000 | 712,300 | 121,900,000 | 105,700,000 | 16,230,000 |
| Nonmovers | 13,780,000 | 9,515,000 | 3,593,000 | 675,300 | 103,300,000 | 88,170,000 | 15,130,000 |
| Movers | 717,500 | 512,500 | 168,000 | 37,010 | 18,570,000 | 17,470,000 | 1,102,000 |
| Same county. | 384,800 | 266,400 | 95,460 | 22,940 | 10,800,000 | 10,180,000 | 624,400 |
| Different county | 332,600 | 246,100 | 72,500 | 14,070 | 7,772,000 | 7,294,000 | 477,500 |
| Female | 17,860,000 | 11,890,000 | 4,878,000 | 1,092,000 | 122,400,000 | 104,800,000 | 17,570,000 |
| Nonmovers | 16,940,000 | 11,270,000 | 4,644,000 | 1,032,000 | 104,200,000 | 87,810,000 | 16,390,000 |
| Movers | 921,600 | 627,900 | 234,200 | 59,570 | 18,140,000 | 16,960,000 | 1,181,000 |
| Same county. | 509,400 | 335,000 | 138,400 | 36,040 | 10,890,000 | 10,220,000 | 669,000 |
| Different county | 412,300 | 292,900 | 95,820 | 23,530 | 7,254,000 | 6,742,000 | 511,800 |
| With Disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 18,240,000 | 7,509,000 | 6,281,000 | 4,449,000 | 24,060,000 | 16,260,000 | 7,797,000 |
| Nonmovers | 16,730,000 | 6,925,000 | 5,782,000 | 4,020,000 | 20,340,000 | 13,340,000 | 6,998,000 |
| Movers. | 1,512,000 | 584,000 | 499,100 | 428,600 | 3,727,000 | 2,928,000 | 798,600 |
| Same county | 934,000 | 346,600 | 310,100 | 277,300 | 2,220,000 | 1,732,000 | 488,100 |
| Different county | 577,700 | 237,400 | 189,000 | 151,300 | 1,507,000 | 1,197,000 | 310,400 |
| Male. | 7,937,000 | 3,725,000 | 2,732,000 | 1,480,000 | 12,620,000 | 8,775,000 | 3,845,000 |
| Nonmovers | 7,333,000 | 3,457,000 | 2,535,000 | 1,341,000 | 10,640,000 | 7,191,000 | 3,449,000 |
| Movers | 604,900 | 268,900 | 197,600 | 138,300 | 1,987,000 | 1,590,000 | 396,600 |
| Same county. | 364,500 | 154,400 | 119,700 | 90,400 | 1,143,000 | 909,700 | 233,300 |
| Different county | 240,400 | 114,500 | 77,940 | 47,900 | 843,800 | 680,500 | 163,300 |
| Female | 10,300,000 | 3,783,000 | 3,549,000 | 2,969,000 | 11,440,000 | 7,657,000 | 3,783,000 |
| Nonmovers | 9,394,000 | 3,468,000 | 3,247,000 | 2,679,000 | 9,700,000 | 6,232,000 | 3,468,000 |
| Movers | 906,900 | 315,100 | 301,400 | 290,300 | 1,741,000 | 1,426,000 | 315,100 |
| Same county. | 569,500 | 192,300 | 190,400 | 186,900 | 1,077,000 | 884,700 | 192,300 |
| Different county | 337,300 | 122,800 | 111,100 | 103,400 | 663,200 | 540,400 | 122,800 |
| PERCENT No Disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total.. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Nonmovers. | 94.9 | 94.8 | 95.3 | 94.6 | 85.0 | 83.6 | 93.2 |
| Movers. | 5.1 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 15.0 | 16.4 | 6.8 |
| Same county | 54.6 | 52.7 | 58.1 | 61.1 | 59.1 | 59.2 | 56.7 |
| Different county . . | 45.4 | 47.3 | 41.9 | 38.9 | 40.9 | 40.8 | 43.3 |
| Male. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Nonmovers | 95.1 | 94.9 | 95.5 | 94.8 | 84.8 | 83.5 | 93.2 |
| Movers. | 4.9 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 15.2 | 16.5 | 6.8 |
| Same county. | 53.6 | 52.0 | 56.8 | 62.0 | 58.2 | 58.2 | 56.7 |
| Different county | 46.4 | 48.0 | 43.2 | 38.0 | 41.8 | 41.8 | 43.3 |
| Female | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Nonmovers. | 94.8 | 94.7 | 95.2 | 94.5 | 85.2 | 83.8 | 93.3 |
| Movers.. | 5.2 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 14.8 | 16.2 | 6.7 |
| Same county. | 55.3 | 53.4 | 59.1 | 60.5 | 60.0 | 60.3 | 56.7 |
| Different county | 44.7 | 46.6 | 40.9 | 39.5 | 40.0 | 39.7 | 43.3 |

Notes provided at end of table.

Table 2.
General Mobility for the Population 1 Year and Over by Sex, Disability Status, and Age:
2015-2019-Con.

| Characteristic | Aged 65 and over |  |  |  | Aged 1 to 64 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 65 to 74 | 75 to 84 | 85 and over | Total | 1 to 54 | 55 to 64 |
| With Disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. . | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Nonmovers. | 91.7 | 92.2 | 92.1 | 90.4 | 84.5 | 82.0 | 89.8 |
| Movers. | 8.3 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 9.6 | 15.5 | 18.0 | 10.2 |
| Same county | 61.8 | 59.4 | 62.1 | 64.7 | 59.6 | 59.1 | 61.1 |
| Different county | 38.2 | 40.6 | 37.9 | 35.3 | 40.4 | 40.9 | 38.9 |
| Male. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Nonmovers. | 92.4 | 92.8 | 92.8 | 90.7 | 84.3 | 81.9 | 89.7 |
| Movers. | 7.6 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 9.3 | 15.7 | 18.1 | 10.3 |
| Same county. . | 60.3 | 57.4 | 60.6 | 65.4 | 57.5 | 57.2 | 58.8 |
| Different county | 39.7 | 42.6 | 39.4 | 34.6 | 42.5 | 42.8 | 41.2 |
| Female . | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Nonmovers. | 91.2 | 91.7 | 91.5 | 90.2 | 84.8 | 81.4 | 91.7 |
| Movers | 8.8 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 9.8 | 15.2 | 18.6 | 8.3 |
| Same county. . | 62.8 | 61.0 | 63.2 | 64.4 | 61.9 | 62.1 | 61.0 |
| Different county | 37.2 | 39.0 | 36.8 | 35.6 | 38.1 | 37.9 | 39.0 |

Note: Numbers or shares may not sum to column total or 100.0 due to rounding. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 America Community Survey, 5-year estimates.

The South had the largest net migration gain of older people and the Northeast and Midwest had net losses from migration.

Table 3 shows in-migration, out-migration, and net domestic migration for people 65 years and over by region, division, state, and age for the 2015-2019 period. The South had the largest net migration gain of older people of any region, at about 72,900 during a typical year in 2015-2019. This outpaced the West, which had a net gain of about 8,800 older people from migration. The Northeast (about -46,800 a year) and the Midwest (about -34,900 a year) both had net losses in the number of older adults from migration. The net migration for the South translated into a rate of about 3.8 persons gained from migration for every 1,000 older people living in the South, during the prior year.

Of the two divisions in the West, one (the Mountain division) experienced net in-migration of older people and the other (the Pacific division) had net out-migration during a typical year from 2015 to 2019. The Mountain division, at 7.6, had the highest net migration rate of all nine divisions in the country. This was primarily from people migrating to Arizona, Idaho, and Nevada. The Pacific division lost about 18,700 older adults from net migration, for a rate of -2.5 , with California having the largest losses (about 19,200 persons and a rate of -3.5 ) from net migration of states in this division. ${ }^{16}$

The Northeast and Midwest regions had net losses to the older population from migration during a typical year from 2015 to 2019. Only two states, Kansas (about 1,000 people) and Maine

[^11](about 900 people) had positive increases in older adults from net migration. The Middle Atlantic division lost the largest number of older adults at 37,700. The three states that make up this division, New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania, all lost population of older adults to net migration.

## Among the states, Florida gained the most older people, and New York experienced the largest loss from domestic migration.

Florida gained more older adults from net migration than any other state, at 53,150 annually during a typical year of the 2015-2019 period. This was more than twice the 21,440 older people that Arizona added, and more than North Carolina $(8,963)$, Texas $(6,854)$, and South Carolina $(5,525)$, which were also among the states with the highest net gains from migration of older people.
Table 3.
In-Migration, Out-Migration, and Net Domestic Migration for the Population 65 Years and Over by Region, Division, State, and Age: 2015-2019

| Characteristic | Total aged 65 and over |  |  |  | Aged 65 to 74 |  |  |  | Aged 75 to 84 |  |  |  | Aged 85 and over |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | migrants | Outmigrants | Net domestic migration | Net domestic migration rate ${ }^{1}$ | migrants | Outmigrants | Net domestic migration | Net <br> domestic migration rate | migrants | Outmigrants | Net domestic migration | Net <br> domestic migration rate ${ }^{1}$ | Inmigrants | Outmigrants | Net domestic migration | Net domestic migration rate ${ }^{1}$ |
| NORTHEAST | 72,000 | 118,800 | -46,820 | -5.0 | 40,280 | 74,280 | -34,000 | -6.4 | 19,820 | 29,230 | -9,411 | -3.4 | 11,900 | 15,310 | -3,412 | -2.6 |
| New England | 28,560 | 37,640 | -9,082 | -3.6 | 16,580 | 24,360 | -7,784 | -5.4 | 7,265 | 8,337 | -1,072 | -1.5 | 4,711 | 4,937 | -226 | -0.6 |
| Maine | 4,900 | 3,951 | 949 | 3.6 | 3,268 | 2,486 | 782 | 5.0 | 961 | 1,051 | -90 | -1.2 | 671 | 414 | 257 | 7.6 |
| Vermont | 1,886 | 2,846 | -960 | -8.1 | 1,102 | 1,987 | -885 | -12.4 | 498 | 613 | -115 | -3.5 | 286 | 246 | 40 | 2.9 |
| New Hampshire | 4,965 | 5,446 | -481 | -2.0 | 3,058 | 3,359 | -301 | -2.1 | 1,174 | 1,310 | -136 | -2.1 | 733 | 777 | -44 | -1.5 |
| Massachusetts | 8,940 | 13,660 | -4,720 | -4.3 | 5,059 | 8,776 | -3,717 | -5.9 | 2,379 | 2,981 | -602 | -1.9 | 1,502 | 1,903 | -401 | -2.6 |
| Rhode Island. | 1,996 | 2,282 | -286 | -1.6 | 1,218 | 1,409 | -191 | -1.9 | 433 | 615 | -182 | -3.5 | 345 | 258 | 87 | 3.3 |
| Connecticut | 5,868 | 9,452 | -3,584 | -5.9 | 2,874 | 6,346 | -3,472 | -10.3 | 1,820 | 1,767 | 53 | 0.3 | 1,174 | 1,339 | -165 | -1.8 |
| Middle Atlantic | 43,440 | 81,180 | -37,740 | -5.5 | 23,700 | 49,910 | -26,210 | -6.8 | 12,560 | 20,900 | -8,339 | -4.1 | 7,187 | 10,370 | -3,186 | -3.3 |
| New York | 14,350 | 37,780 | -23,420 | -7.4 | 8,000 | 22,920 | -14,920 | -8.4 | 4,119 | 9,692 | -5,573 | -6.0 | 2,233 | 5,163 | -2,930 | -6.6 |
| New Jersey | 11,360 | 21,190 | -9,832 | -7.0 | 5,622 | 12,880 | -7,261 | -9.1 | 3,547 | 5,421 | -1,874 | -4.5 | 2,190 | 2,887 | -697 | -3.5 |
| Pennsylvania. | 17,730 | 22,220 | -4,482 | -2.0 | 10,080 | 14,110 | -4,031 | -3.2 | 4,892 | 5,784 | -892 | -1.3 | 2,764 | 2,323 | 441 | 1.4 |
| MIDWEST. | 90,430 | 125,300 | -34,900 | -3.2 | 52,630 | 78,010 | -25,370 | -4.0 | 25,120 | 31,460 | -6,343 | -2.0 | 12,680 | 15,860 | -3,185 | -2.2 |
| East North Central | 55,940 | 81,370 | -25,430 | -3.4 | 32,710 | 50,540 | -17,830 | -4.1 | 15,220 | 20,560 | -5,340 | -2.4 | 8,002 | 10,260 | -2,262 | -2.3 |
| Ohio | 14,380 | 19,190 | -4,804 | -2.5 | 8,343 | 12,040 | -3,693 | -3.3 | 4,019 | 4,428 | -409 | -0.7 | 2,021 | 2,723 | -702 | -2.8 |
| Indiana | 10,200 | 11,610 | -1,403 | -1.4 | 6,225 | 7,125 | -900 | -1.5 | 2,764 | 3,364 | -600 | -2.0 | 1,214 | 1,117 | 97 | 0.8 |
| Illinois | 11,520 | 23,800 | -12,280 | -6.3 | 6,321 | 14,830 | -8,510 | -7.6 | 3,394 | 5,699 | -2,305 | -4.0 | 1,804 | 3,265 | -1,461 | -5.7 |
| Michigan | 11,650 | 17,910 | -6,253 | -3.7 | 6,795 | 11,100 | -4,308 | -4.4 | 2,973 | 4,640 | -1,667 | -3.4 | 1,885 | 2,163 | -278 | -1.3 |
| Wisconsin | 8,180 | 8,875 | -695 | -0.7 | 5,027 | 5,445 | -418 | -0.8 | 2,075 | 2,434 | -359 | -1.3 | 1,078 | 996 | 82 | 0.7 |
| West North Central. | 34,490 | 43,960 | -9,470 | -2.8 | 19,920 | 27,470 | -7,544 | -3.9 | 9,891 | 10,890 | -1,003 | -1.0 | 4,676 | 5,599 | -923 | -2.0 |
| Minnesota | 6,889 | 11,200 | -4,314 | -5.0 | 3,730 | 7,505 | -3,775 | -7.7 | 1,992 | 2,794 | -802 | -3.2 | 1,167 | 904 | 263 | 2.3 |
| Iowa | 4,398 | 5,928 | -1,530 | -2.9 | 2,415 | 3,671 | -1,256 | -4.3 | 1,311 | 1,484 | -173 | -1.1 | 672 | 773 | -101 | -1.3 |
| Missouri | 10,220 | 13,300 | -3,078 | -3.1 | 6,536 | 7,630 | -1,094 | -1.9 | 2,795 | 3,365 | -570 | -1.9 | 893 | 2,307 | -1,414 | -11.2 |
| North Dakota | 1,503 | 2,209 | -706 | -6.2 | 769 | 1,431 | -662 | -10.7 | 511 | 563 | -52 | -1.5 | 223 | 215 | 8 | 0.4 |
| South Dakota | 1,771 | 2,614 | -843 | -5.9 | 1,232 | 1,548 | -316 | -3.9 | 364 | 781 | -417 | -10.1 | 175 | 285 | -110 | -5.5 |
| Nebraska | 3,083 | 3,288 | -205 | -0.7 | 1,624 | 2,235 | -611 | -3.7 | 962 | 597 | 365 | 4.2 | 497 | 456 | 41 | 1.0 |
| Kansas | 6,622 | 5,416 | 1,206 | 2.7 | 3,617 | 3,447 | 170 | 0.7 | 1,956 | 1,310 | 646 | 4.9 | 1,049 | 659 | 390 | 6.3 |

Notes provided at end of table.
Table 3.
In-Migration, Out-Migration, and Net Domestic Migration for the Population 65 Years and Over by Region, Division, State, and Age: 2015-2019-Con.

| Characteristic | Total aged 65 and over |  |  |  | Aged 65 to 74 |  |  |  | Aged 75 to 84 |  |  |  | Aged 85 and over |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inmigrants | Outmigrants | Net domestic migration | Net domestic migration rate | migrants | Outmigrants | Net domestic migration | Net domestic migration rate | Inmigrants | Outmigrants | Net domestic migration | Net domestic migration rate | Inmigrants | Outmigrants | Net domestic migration | Net domestic migration rate ${ }^{1}$ |
| SOUTH | 297,800 | 224,900 | 72,920 | 3.8 | 189,600 | 134,700 | 54,870 | 4.9 | 74,600 | 61,290 | 13,300 | 2.3 | 33,660 | 28,910 | 4,747 | 2.2 |
| South Atlantic | 209,800 | 146,800 | 63,010 | 5.8 | 133,600 | 86,180 | 47,390 | 7.6 | 52,840 | 40,900 | 11,930 | 3.7 | 23,360 | 19,680 | 3,682 | 2.9 |
| Delaware | 4,018 | 3,220 | 798 | 4.6 | 2,569 | 1,607 | 962 | 9.4 | 1,021 | 1,146 | -125 | -2.4 | 428 | 467 | -39 | -2.1 |
| Maryland | 10,100 | 14,450 | -4,342 | -4.8 | 5,658 | 9,083 | -3,425 | -6.4 | 2,942 | 3,622 | -680 | -2.6 | 1,505 | 1,742 | -237 | -2.2 |
| District of Columbia | 1,859 | 2,487 | -628 | -7.5 | 1,115 | 1,412 | -297 | -6.2 | 521 | 650 | -129 | -5.3 | 223 | 425 | -202 | -17.8 |
| Virginia. | 16,700 | 18,400 | -1,703 | -1.3 | 9,926 | 12,030 | -2,107 | -2.8 | 4,221 | 4,487 | -266 | -0.7 | 2,551 | 1,881 | 670 | 4.6 |
| West Virginia | 3,185 | 4,877 | -1,692 | -4.8 | 1,958 | 2,648 | -690 | -3.3 | 776 | 1,424 | -648 | -6.3 | 451 | 805 | -354 | -8.6 |
| North Carolina | 26,220 | 17,260 | 8,963 | 5.5 | 17,090 | 10,630 | 6,462 | 6.7 | 6,155 | 4,616 | 1,539 | 3.3 | 2,974 | 2,012 | 962 | 5.5 |
| South Carolina | 17,450 | 11,930 | 5,525 | 6.5 | 11,970 | 7,332 | 4,636 | 8.8 | 3,656 | 3,111 | 545 | 2.2 | 1,830 | 1,486 | 344 | 4.1 |
| Georgia | 21,050 | 18,120 | 2,935 | 2.1 | 13,140 | 11,490 | 1,648 | 1.9 | 4,961 | 4,683 | 278 | 0.7 | 2,957 | 1,948 | 1,009 | 7.5 |
| Florida | 109,200 | 56,030 | 53,150 | 12.9 | 70,160 | 29,960 | 40,200 | 17.8 | 28,580 | 17,160 | 11,420 | 8.7 | 10,440 | 8,915 | 1,529 | 2.8 |
| East South Central | 35,340 | 32,020 | 3,323 | 1.1 | 22,840 | 19,680 | 3,155 | 1.7 | 8,841 | 8,116 | 725 | 0.8 | 3,663 | 4,220 | -557 | -1.7 |
| Kentucky | 6,276 | 7,667 | -1,391 | -2.0 | 3,807 | 4,769 | -962 | -2.3 | 1,798 | 1,661 | 137 | 0.7 | 671 | 1,237 | -566 | -7.4 |
| Tennessee | 15,600 | 13,040 | 2,557 | 2.4 | 10,190 | 7,861 | 2,332 | 3.6 | 3,608 | 3,747 | -139 | -0.4 | 1,795 | 1,431 | 364 | 3.2 |
| Alabama. | 8,389 | 6,881 | 1,508 | 1.9 | 5,503 | 4,184 | 1,319 | 2.8 | 2,144 | 1,649 | 495 | 2.0 | 742 | 1,048 | -306 | -3.6 |
| Mississippi. | 5,081 | 4,432 | 649 | 1.4 | 3,335 | 2,869 | 466 | 1.7 | 1,291 | 1,059 | 232 | 1.7 | 455 | 504 | -49 | -1.0 |
| West South Central | 52,690 | 46,100 | 6,590 | 1.3 | 33,140 | 28,820 | 4,322 | 1.4 | 12,920 | 12,270 | 646 | 0.4 | 6,634 | 5,012 | 1,622 | 2.8 |
| Arkansas | 7,050 | 6,836 | 214 | 0.4 | 4,751 | 3,675 | 1,076 | 3.7 | 1,543 | 2,266 | -723 | -4.8 | 756 | 895 | -139 | -2.5 |
| Louisiana | 4,860 | 5,839 | -979 | -1.4 | 3,248 | 3,768 | -520 | -1.2 | 1,002 | 1,524 | -522 | -2.5 | 610 | 547 | 63 | 0.8 |
| Oklahoma | 6,609 | 6,108 | 501 | 0.8 | 3,986 | 3,755 | 231 | 0.7 | 1,821 | 1,489 | 332 | 1.8 | 802 | 864 | -62 | -0.9 |
| Texas. | 34,170 | 27,320 | 6,854 | 2.0 | 21,150 | 17,620 | 3,535 | 1.7 | 8,554 | 6,995 | 1,559 | 1.6 | 4,466 | 2,706 | 1,760 | 4.7 |
| WEST | 162,000 | 153,200 | 8,799 | 0.8 | 102,300 | 97,800 | 4,498 | 0.7 | 41,530 | 39,080 | 2,451 | 0.8 | 18,130 | 16,280 | 1,850 | 1.4 |
| Mountain | 93,440 | 65,930 | 27,510 | 7.6 | 60,800 | 41,370 | 19,430 | 8.9 | 23,350 | 17,250 | 6,104 | 5.7 | 9,297 | 7,318 | 1,979 | 5.1 |
| Montana. | 3,116 | 3,332 | -216 | -1.1 | 1,948 | 1,974 | -26 | -0.2 | 795 | 893 | -98 | -1.8 | 373 | 465 | -92 | -4.4 |
| Idaho. | 7,469 | 4,516 | 2,953 | 11.3 | 4,599 | 2,945 | 1,654 | 10.5 | 1,957 | 994 | 963 | 12.8 | 913 | 577 | 336 | 12.1 |
| Wyoming. | 1,619 | 2,371 | -752 | -8.2 | 1,196 | 1,664 | -468 | -8.4 | 323 | 458 | -135 | -5.2 | 100 | 249 | -149 | -14.8 |
| Colorado | 14,550 | 14,240 | 313 | 0.4 | 9,147 | 9,339 | -192 | -0.4 | 3,454 | 3,659 | -205 | -1.0 | 1,951 | 1,241 | 710 | 8.5 |
| New Mexico. | 6,033 | 6,509 | -476 | -1.4 | 4,181 | 3,921 | 260 | 1.2 | 1,340 | 1,680 | -340 | -3.3 | 512 | 908 | -396 | -10.1 |
| Arizona | 40,350 | 18,910 | 21,440 | 18.2 | 26,140 | 11,110 | 15,020 | 22.1 | 10,700 | 5,446 | 5,259 | 14.3 | 3,511 | 2,348 | 1,163 | 9.0 |
| Utah | 6,319 | 5,266 | 1,053 | 3.2 | 4,228 | 3,382 | 846 | 4.3 | 1,485 | 1,289 | 196 | 2.0 | 606 | 595 | 11 | 0.3 |
| Nevada. | 13,980 | 10,800 | 3,189 | 7.1 | 9,362 | 7,033 | 2,329 | 8.3 | 3,291 | 2,827 | 464 | 3.5 | 1,331 | 935 | 396 | 9.9 |
| Pacific. | 68,510 | 87,220 | -18,710 | -2.5 | 41,500 | 56,430 | -14,930 | -3.3 | 18,180 | 21,830 | -3,653 | -1.7 | 8,832 | 8,961 | -129 | -0.1 |
| Washington. | 16,340 | 16,760 | -420 | -0.4 | 9,607 | 11,110 | -1,499 | -2.2 | 4,273 | 4,140 | 133 | 0.4 | 2,464 | 1,518 | 946 | 7.4 |
| Oregon. | 13,380 | 10,900 | 2,483 | 3.5 | 8,591 | 6,627 | 1,964 | 4.6 | 3,329 | 2,937 | 392 | 2.0 | 1,465 | 1,338 | 127 | 1.5 |
| California | 34,340 | 53,580 | -19,240 | -3.5 | 20,270 | 34,660 | -14,400 | -4.5 | 9,575 | 13,300 | -3,728 | -2.3 | 4,493 | 5,612 | -1,119 | -1.6 |
| Alaska. | 1,653 | 2,227 | -574 | -6.9 | 1,148 | 1,581 | -433 | -7.6 | 368 | 523 | -155 | -7.8 | 137 | 123 | 14 | 2.2 |
| Hawaii............ | 2,791 | 3,746 | -955 | -3.8 | 1,884 | 2,447 | -563 | -3.9 | 634 | 929 | -295 | -4.1 | 273 | 370 | -97 | -2.5 |

[^12]Arizona had the highest net migration rate at 18.2. Florida and Idaho also had net migration rates among the highest of any states in the nation. Other states among those with the highest net migration rates included Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, and Utah in the West; Delaware, North Carolina, and South Carolina in the South; and Maine in the Northeast (Figure 1). ${ }^{17}$

New York had larger net losses from migration, at 23,420 people during the prior year, than any other state. Other states from the Northeast and Midwest were also among top states with net losses of older people to migration, including Illinois, Michigan, and New Jersey. ${ }^{18}$ California had the second-largest net loss of older people from migration of any state with about 19,200 older people lost.

However, California's net migration rate of -3.5 suggests fewer net losses of older adults per 1,000 than six other states and the difference between the net rate for California and many other states was not statistically different. ${ }^{19}$ This suggests that the large net losses in older people from migration for California are partly explained by the large population of older

[^13]Figure 1.
States With the Highest and Lowest Net Migration Rates for the Population 65 Years and Over: 2015-2019


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey, 5-year estimates.
people in California rather than a disproportionately high rate of older people leaving the state.

Older people frequently moved from cold weather states to warm weather states, or to states that share a geographic border.

State-to-state migration flows illustrate the geographic origin and destination of the migration of people across state boundaries. Figure 2 shows states among the top origin states for older people who moved to Arizona, Florida, Idaho, or Nevada during a typical year from 2015 to 2019. These four states were among states with the highest net migration rates of older adults. ${ }^{20}$ For Florida, the

[^14]states among the largest in-flows included Michigan, New York, and Pennsylvania, which indicates a pattern of older people moving from colder regions of the country to warmer areas. ${ }^{21}$ The states among the highest migration inflows to Arizona, Idaho, and Nevada were often in close geographic proximity, including California, Oregon, and Washington.

Figure 3 shows the top three destination states for older people who migrated out of California, Florida, New York, and Texas, the four states with the largest numbers of out-migrants aged 65 and over. Like the results from Figure 2, out-migrants often moved to states near the origin

[^15]Figure 2.
Top Three States of Origin for the Population 65 Years and Over Who Moved to Florida, Arizona, Idaho, and Nevada: 2015-2019


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey, 5-year estimates.

Figure 3.
Top Three States of Destination for the Population 65 Years and Over Who Moved From Florida, California, New York, and Texas: 2015-2019


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey, 5-year estimates.
state. The top destination states for those leaving California were Arizona, Nevada, and Oregon. The results also suggest that migration flows can include streams of in- and out-migration between origin and destination pairs. For example, the top destination for older adults leaving New York was Florida, while New York was also among the top destinations for older people leaving Florida. He and Schachter (2003) also found migration streams between Florida and New York, so the relationship between these two states has been present for multiple decades.

State-level migration rates varied by age within the older population. Some states that gained large numbers of the young-old saw migration rates drop at the oldest age group, while other states that lost the young-old
population saw migration rates increase at the oldest age group. These changes in migration rates by age suggest that, at the oldest ages, many older people who initially moved away at retirement may have returned to their states of origin, perhaps to be closer to family or simply to return home. ${ }^{22}$

Figure 4 shows net migration rates for selected states for the older population by age. The states are grouped into three categories: (1) states with mostly positive migration across age groups, (2) states with mostly negative migration across age groups, and (3) states with both positive and negative migration across age groups. States with positive net migration for each of the three older age
${ }^{22}$ Refer to Bradley, D. E., "Litwak and Longino's Developmental Model of LaterLife Migration: Evidence From the American Community Survey, 2005-2007," Journal of Applied Gerontology, 30:141-158, 2011.
groups include Arizona, Florida, and North Carolina. States with negative net migration across all three age groups include California, Illinois, New Jersey, New York, and West Virginia.

There are also age differences in migration rates for some states within each of these groupings. Popular retirement states, such as Arizona and Florida, had net migration rates that decreased when comparing those aged 65 to 74 to those aged 85 and over. On the other hand, many states that had high net out-migration of the young-old population (aged 65 to 74) saw decreasing losses or even gains at the oldest age group. An example of a state with decreasing net out-migration by the age of the population included California. States that had a net loss of the young-old population and a net gain of the oldest old, included

Figure 4.


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey, 5-year estimates.

Colorado, Minnesota, Virginia, and Washington.

## SUMMARY

People 65 years and older were much less mobile than those under the age of 65 , but over 3 million older adults move every year. The oldest old, those aged 85 and over, were the most mobile of the older population. Movers aged 65 to 74 were slightly more likely than movers under the age of 65 to have made an interstate move, probably associated with retirement. Older people with at least one disability were more likely to move, and more likely to move short distances, than older people without a disability.

The older population tended to move to the South and the West, indicating a continued pattern from 2000 (He and Schachter, 2003) that older people were leaving the colder climates of the Northeast and the Midwest. A similar trend can be observed at the state level-Arizona, Florida, and North Carolina remained the top states that gained the largest numbers of people 65 years and older, while New York lost the most.

State-to-state migration patterns of the older population varied across the country, with much of the out-migration from New York going to Florida, and much
of the in-migration to Arizona, Idaho, and Nevada coming from California. There was some evidence of return migration at advanced ages (85 and over), perhaps "reversing" their retirement move. This may explain why Arizona and Florida have lower levels of net migration at advanced ages compared to the young-old. Some states, including California, Illinois, New Jersey, New York, and West Virginia, had net losses of people at each of the 65 to 74,75 to 84 , and 85 and older age groups, while Arizona, Florida, and North Carolina, had net gains of people at each of these age groups. These migration estimates and patterns may be important for federal, state, and local governments, policymakers, and businesses for community planning.

## SOURCE AND ACCURACY

The data presented in this report are based on the ACS sample interviewed each year from January 2015 through December 2019. The estimates based on these samples describe the person, household, and housing unit characteristics over the 2015 through 2019 5-year period of data collection. The ACS estimates are subject to both sampling and nonsampling error. Sampling error is the uncertainty between an estimate based on a sample
and the corresponding value that would be obtained if the estimate were based on the entire population (as from a census). Measures of sampling error are provided in the form of margins of error for estimates included in this report. All comparative statements in this report have undergone statistical testing, and comparisons are significant at the 90 percent confidence level unless otherwise noted. In addition to sampling error, nonsampling error may be introduced during any of the operations used to collect and process survey data such as editing, reviewing, or keying data from questionnaires. For more information on sampling and estimation methods, confidentiality protection, and sampling and nonsampling errors, please refer to the 2019 ACS Accuracy of the Data document located at <www. census.gov/programs-surveys/ acs/technical-documentation/ code-lists.html>. When comparing ACS estimates over time, it is necessary to consider changes to content, methodology, or geographic definitions. For more information about comparing ACS data across years or with a decennial census, please refer to the Comparing ACS Data information located at <www.census.gov/ programs-surveys/acs/guidance/ comparing-acs-data.html>.
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Margins of Error of General Mobility for the Population 1 Year and Over by Sex and Age: 2015-2019—Con.

| Characteristic | Aged 65 and older |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aged 1 to 64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | 65 to 74 |  | 75 to 84 |  | 85 and over |  | Total |  | 1 to 54 |  | 55 to 64 |  |
|  | Number | Margin of error ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ( $\pm$ | Number | Margin of error ( $\pm$ | Number | Margin of error ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ( $\pm$ | Number | Margin of error ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ <br> ( $\pm$ ) |
| PERCENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X |
| Nonmovers | 93.8 | 0.1 | 94.1 | 0.1 | 94.0 | 0.1 | 91.6 | 0.1 | 84.9 | 0.1 | 83.5 | 0.1 | 92.6 | 0.1 |
| Movers | 6.2 | 0.1 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 6.0 | 0.1 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 15.1 | 0.1 | 16.5 | 0.1 | 7.4 | 0.1 |
| Same county. | 58.0 | 0.2 | 55.0 | 0.3 | 60.3 | 0.4 | 64.0 | 0.5 | 59.1 | 0.1 | 59.2 | 0.1 | 57.8 | 0.2 |
| Different county, same state | 22.2 | 0.2 | 22.7 | 0.2 | 21.8 | 0.3 | 21.4 | 0.4 | 23.9 | 0.1 | 24.0 | 0.1 | 23.5 | 0.2 |
| Different state | 19.7 | 0.2 | 22.3 | 0.3 | 17.9 | 0.3 | 14.5 | 0.3 | 16.9 | 0.1 | 16.8 | 0.1 | 18.7 | 0.2 |
| Different state, same region . . . . . . | 41.8 | 0.5 | 41.5 | 0.6 | 41.7 | 1.1 | 43.5 | 1.5 | 45.1 | 0.2 | 45.2 | 0.2 | 43.6 | 0.5 |
| Different state, different region | 58.2 | 0.5 | 58.5 | 0.6 | 58.3 | 1.1 | 56.5 | 1.5 | 54.9 | 0.2 | 54.8 | 0.2 | 56.4 | 0.5 |
| Male. | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X |
| Nonmovers | 94.1 | 0.1 | 94.3 | 0.1 | 94.4 | 0.1 | 92.0 | 0.1 | 84.7 | 0.1 | 83.3 | 0.1 | 92.5 | 0.1 |
| Movers | 5.9 | 0.1 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 5.6 | 0.1 | 8.0 | 0.1 | 15.3 | 0.1 | 16.7 | 0.1 | 7.5 | 0.1 |
| Same county. | 56.7 | 0.3 | 53.9 | 0.4 | 58.9 | 0.6 | 64.7 | 0.8 | 58.1 | 0.1 | 58.2 | 0.1 | 57.2 | 0.3 |
| Different county, same state | 22.8 | 0.2 | 23.5 | 0.3 | 22.2 | 0.4 | 20.3 | 0.7 | 24.8 | 0.1 | 24.8 | 0.1 | 24.3 | 0.2 |
| Different state | 20.6 | 0.2 | 22.6 | 0.3 | 18.9 | 0.4 | 15.0 | 0.6 | 17.2 | 0.1 | 17.1 | 0.1 | 18.4 | 0.2 |
| Different state, same region ...... | 41.6 | 0.6 | 41.8 | 0.6 | 40.3 | 1.5 | 43.4 | 2.2 | 44.8 | 0.3 | 45.0 | 0.3 | 43.7 | 0.6 |
| Different state, different region.. | 58.4 | 0.6 | 58.2 | 0.6 | 59.7 | 1.5 | 56.6 | 2.2 | 55.2 | 0.3 | 55.1 | 0.3 | 56.3 | 0.6 |
| Female .............. | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X |
| Nonmovers | 93.5 | 0.1 | 94.0 | 0.1 | 93.6 | 0.1 | 91.4 | 0.1 | 85.1 | 0.1 | 83.7 | 0.1 | 92.7 | 0.1 |
| Movers | 6.5 | 0.1 | 6.0 | 0.1 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 8.6 | 0.1 | 14.9 | 0.1 | 16.3 | 0.1 | 7.4 | 0.1 |
| Same county. | 59.0 | 0.3 | 55.9 | 0.4 | 61.4 | 0.5 | 63.7 | 0.6 | 60.2 | 0.1 | 60.3 | 0.1 | 58.4 | 0.3 |
| Different county, same state | 21.9 | 0.2 | 22.0 | 0.3 | 21.5 | 0.4 | 22.0 | 0.5 | 23.1 | 0.1 | 23.1 | 0.1 | 22.7 | 0.3 |
| Different state | 19.1 | 0.2 | 22.1 | 0.3 | 17.2 | 0.4 | 14.3 | 0.4 | 16.7 | 0.1 | 16.5 | 0.1 | 19.0 | 0.2 |
| Different state, same region | 42.0 | 0.6 | 41.3 | 0.8 | 42.8 | 1.1 | 43.5 | 1.8 | 45.4 | 0.3 | 45.5 | 0.3 | 43.5 | 0.7 |
| Different state, different region. | 58.0 | 0.6 | 58.7 | 0.8 | 57.2 | 1.1 | 56.5 | 1.8 | 54.6 | 0.3 | 54.5 | 0.3 | 56.5 | 0.7 |

[^16]Appendix Table 2.
Margins of Error of General Mobility for the Population 1 Year and Over by Sex, Disability Status, and Age: 2015-2019

| Characteristic | Aged 65 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aged 1 to 64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | 65 to 74 |  | 75 to 84 |  | 85 and over |  | Total |  | 1 to 54 |  | 55 to 64 |  |
|  | Number | Margin of error <br> ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ <br> ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error <br> ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ | Number | Margin of error $( \pm)$ | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ <br> ( $\pm$ ) |
| NUMBER No Disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 32,360,000 | 35,650 | 21,920,000 | 21,700 | 8,639,000 | 18,880 | 1,804,000 | 7,266 | 244,300,000 | 63,340 | 210,500,000 | 45,500 | 33,800,000 | 28,080 |
| Nonmove | 30,730,000 | 35,690 | 20,780,000 | 21,260 | 8,237,000 | 19,140 | 1,708,000 | 7,765 | 207,500,000 | 205,200 | 176,000,000 | 175,200 | 31,520,000 | 36,390 |
| Movers | 1,639,000 | 9,105 | 1,140,000 | 6,745 | 402,100 | 5,229 | 96,580 | 2,403 | 36,710,000 | 162,300 | 34,430,000 | 152,700 | 2,283,000 | 13,780 |
| Same county | 894,200 | 6,425 | 601,400 | 4,982 | 233,800 | 3,603 | 58,980 | 1,861 | 21,690,000 | 123,100 | 20,400,000 | 116,900 | 1,293,000 | 11,120 |
| Different county | 744,900 | 6,440 | 539,000 | 5,258 | 168,300 | 3,323 | 37,600 | 1,614 | 15,030,000 | 59,780 | 14,040,000 | 56,160 | 989,300 | 7,540 |
| Male. | 14,500,000 | 21,160 | 10,030,000 | 14,050 | 3,761,000 | 10,760 | 712,300 | 4,896 | 121,900,000 | 37,910 | 105,700,000 | 29,010 | 16,230,000 | 16,220 |
| Nonmovers | 13,780,000 | 20,280 | 9,515,000 | 13,850 | 3,593,000 | 10,110 | 675,300 | 5,137 | 103,300,000 | 105,900 | 88,180,000 | 90,960 | 15,130,000 | 20,490 |
| Movers | 717,500 | 5,653 | 512,500 | 4,544 | 168,000 | 3,357 | 37,010 | 1,458 | 18,570,000 | 82,170 | 17,470,000 | 77,210 | 1,102,000 | 8,282 |
| Same county. | 384,800 | 3,709 | 266,400 | 2,944 | 95,460 | 2,110 | 22,940 | 1,125 | 10,800,000 | 61,350 | 10,180,000 | 58,140 | 624,400 | 6,560 |
| Different county | 332,600 | 3,812 | 246,100 | 3,218 | 72,500 | 2,284 | 14,070 | 926 | 7,772,000 | 31,920 | 7,295,000 | 30,150 | 477,500 | 4,409 |
| Female | 17,860,000 | 21,220 | 11,890,000 | 13,030 | 4,878,000 | 12,650 | 1,092,000 | 5,803 | 122,400,000 | 34,480 | 104,800,000 | 25,750 | 17,570,000 | 16,850 |
| Nonmover | 16,940,000 | 21,630 | 11,270,000 | 12,890 | 4,644,000 | 12,740 | 1,032,000 | 6,224 | 104,200,000 | 105,800 | 87,840,000 | 90,360 | 16,390,000 | 20,880 |
| Movers | 921,600 | 6,599 | 627,900 | 4,961 | 234,200 | 3,492 | 59,570 | 1,936 | 18,140,000 | 85,280 | 16,960,000 | 80,730 | 1,181,000 | 8,255 |
| Same county. | 509,400 | 4,943 | 335,000 | 3,830 | 138,400 | 2,704 | 36,040 | 1,416 | 10,890,000 | 66,750 | 10,220,000 | 63,350 | 669,000 | 6,623 |
| Different county | 412,300 | 4,567 | 292,900 | 3,659 | 95,820 | 2,325 | 23,530 | 1,251 | 7,254,000 | 32,670 | 6,742,000 | 30,860 | 511,800 | 4,950 |
| With Disability $\qquad$ | 18,240,000 |  | 7,509,000 |  | 6,281,000 |  | 4,449,000 |  | 24,060,000 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Nonmove | 16,730,000 | 31,150 | 6,925,000 | 18,230 | 5,782,000 | 15,790 | 4,020,000 | 12,700 | 20,340,000 | 49,020 | 13,340,000 | 35,500 | 6,998,000 | 24,230 |
| Movers | 1,512,000 | 8,229 | 584,000 | 5,441 | 499,100 | 5,229 | 428,600 | 4,644 | 3,727,000 | 21,010 | 2,929,000 | 18,570 | 798,600 | 7,139 |
| Same county | 934,000 | 6,228 | 346,600 | 4,499 | 310,100 | 3,450 | 277,300 | 3,289 | 2,220,000 | 16,400 | 1,732,000 | 14,330 | 488,100 | 5,260 |
| Different county | 577,700 | 5,826 | 237,400 | 4,134 | 189,000 | 3,380 | 151,300 | 2,883 | 1,507,000 | 10,580 | 1,197,000 | 9,157 | 310,400 | 3,957 |
| Male. | 7,937,000 | 19,880 | 3,725,000 | 13,330 | 2,732,000 | 10,350 | 1,480,000 | 7,465 | 12,620,000 | 34,810 | 8,778,000 | 25,140 | 3,845,000 | 16,740 |
| Nonmovers | 7,333,000 | 19,060 | 3,457,000 | 12,960 | 2,535,000 | 9,796 | 1,341,000 | 7,791 | 10,640,000 | 30,110 | 7,188,000 | 22,120 | 3,449,000 | 15,500 |
| Movers | 604,900 | 5,397 | 268,900 | 3,513 | 197,600 | 2,844 | 138,300 | 2,592 | 1,987,000 | 13,420 | 1,590,000 | 12,110 | 396,600 | 4,567 |
| Same county. | 364,500 | 3,897 | 154,400 | 2,791 | 119,700 | 2,008 | 90,400 | 1,775 | 1,143,000 | 10,940 | 909,700 | 9,736 | 233,300 | 3,520 |
| Different county | 240,400 | 3,679 | 114,500 | 2,610 | 77,940 | 1,858 | 47,900 | 1,654 | 843,800 | 6,584 | 680,500 | 5,872 | 163,300 | 2,908 |
| Female | 10,300,000 | 19,520 | 3,783,000 | 11,900 | 3,549,000 | 10,880 | 2,969,000 | 9,936 | 11,440,000 | 30,950 | 7,489,000 | 21,600 | 3,783,000 | 11,900 |
| Nonmovers | 9,394,000 | 19,340 | 3,468,000 | 11,230 | 3,247,000 | 10,730 | 2,679,000 | 9,330 | 9,700,000 | 25,490 | 6,150,000 | 18,750 | 3,468,000 | 11,230 |
| Movers | 906,900 | 6,019 | 315,100 | 3,915 | 301,400 | 4,331 | 290,300 | 3,626 | 1,741,000 | 11,720 | 1,339,000 | 10,150 | 315,100 | 3,915 |
| Same county. . | 569,500 | 4,850 | 192,300 | 3,374 | 190,400 | 2,884 | 186,900 | 2,832 | 1,077,000 | 10,040 | 822,500 | 8,457 | 192,300 | 3,374 |
| Different county . | 337,300 | 4,054 | 122,800 | 2,601 | 111,100 | 2,655 | 103,400 | 2,134 | 663,200 | 6,473 | 516,100 | 5,697 | 122,800 | 2,601 |

Appendix Table 2.
Margins of Error of General Mobility for the Population 1 Year and Over by Sex, Disability Status, and Age: 2015-2019-Con.

| Characteristic | Aged 65 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aged 1 to 64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | 65 to 74 |  | 75 to 84 |  | 85 and over |  | Total |  | 1 to 54 |  | 55 to 64 |  |
|  | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error <br> ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ | Number | Margin of error ( $\pm)$ | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ $( \pm)$ |
| PERCENT No Disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total............ | 100.0 | $\mathbf{X}$ | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X |
| Nonmovers | 94.9 | 0.1 | 94.8 | 0.1 | 95.3 | 0.1 | 94.6 | 0.1 | 85.0 | 0.1 | 83.6 | 0.1 | 93.3 | 0.1 |
| Movers. | 5.1 | 0.1 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 0.1 | 15.0 | 0.1 | 16.4 | 0.1 | 6.8 | 0.1 |
| Same county | 54.6 | 0.3 | 52.7 | 0.3 | 58.1 | 0.6 | 61.1 | 1.3 | 59.1 | 0.1 | 59.2 | 0.1 | 56.7 | 0.3 |
| Different county | 45.4 | 0.3 | 47.3 | 0.3 | 41.9 | 0.6 | 38.9 | 1.3 | 40.9 | 0.1 | 40.8 | 0.1 | 43.3 | 0.3 |
| Male. . . . . . . . . . . | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X |
| Nonmovers | 95.1 | 0.1 | 94.9 | 0.1 | 95.5 | 0.1 | 94.8 | 0.2 | 84.8 | 0.1 | 83.5 | 0.1 | 93.2 | 0.1 |
| Movers | 4.9 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 5.2 | 0.2 | 15.2 | 0.1 | 16.5 | 0.1 | 6.8 | 0.1 |
| Same county. | 53.6 | 0.3 | 52.0 | 0.4 | 56.8 | 0.9 | 62.0 | 1.9 | 58.2 | 0.1 | 58.3 | 0.1 | 56.7 | 0.3 |
| Different county . . | 46.4 | 0.3 | 48.0 | 0.4 | 43.2 | 0.9 | 38.0 | 1.9 | 41.8 | 0.1 | 41.8 | 0.1 | 43.3 | 0.3 |
| Female . . . . . . . . . | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X |
| Nonmovers......... | 94.8 | 0.1 | 94.7 | 0.1 | 95.2 | 0.1 | 94.5 | 0.2 | 85.2 | 0.1 | 83.8 | 0.1 | 93.3 | 0.1 |
| Movers. | 5.2 | 0.1 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 14.8 | 0.1 | 16.2 | 0.1 | 6.7 | 0.1 |
| Same county...... | 55.3 | 0.4 | 53.4 | 0.4 | 59.1 | 0.8 | 60.5 | 1.5 | 60.0 | 0.1 | 60.3 | 0.1 | 56.7 | 0.3 |
| Different county . . | 44.7 | 0.4 | 46.6 | 0.4 | 40.9 | 0.8 | 39.5 | 1.5 | 40.0 | 0.1 | 39.8 | 0.1 | 43.3 | 0.3 |
| Total <br> With Disability | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X |
| Nonmovers............ | 91.7 | 0.1 | + 92.2 | 0.1 | 92.1 | 0.1 | 90.4 | 0.1 | 84.5 | 0.1 | 82.0 | 0.1 | 89.8 | 0.1 |
| Movers. | 8.3 | 0.1 | 7.8 | 0.1 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 9.6 | 0.1 | 15.5 | 0.1 | 18.0 | 0.1 | 10.2 | 0.1 |
| Same county ....... | 61.8 | 0.3 | 59.4 | 0.6 | 62.1 | 0.5 | 64.7 | 0.5 | 59.6 | 0.2 | 59.1 | 0.2 | 61.1 | 0.4 |
| Different county .... | 38.2 | 0.3 | 40.6 | 0.6 | 37.9 | 0.5 | 35.3 | 0.5 | 40.4 | 0.2 | 40.9 | 0.2 | 38.9 | 0.4 |
| Male. . . . . . . . . . . | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X |
| Nonmovers....... | 92.4 | 0.1 | 92.8 | 0.1 | 92.8 | 0.1 | 90.7 | 0.2 | 84.3 | 0.1 | 81.9 | 0.1 | 89.7 | 0.1 |
| Movers | 7.6 | 0.1 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 9.3 | 0.2 | 15.7 | 0.1 | 18.1 | 0.1 | 10.3 | 0.1 |
| Same county. . | 60.3 | 0.4 | 57.4 | 0.8 | 60.6 | 0.7 | 65.4 | 0.8 | 57.5 | 0.3 | 57.2 | 0.3 | 58.8 | 0.6 |
| Different county . . | 39.7 | 0.4 | 42.6 | 0.8 | 39.4 | 0.7 | 34.6 | 0.8 | 42.5 | 0.3 | 42.8 | 0.3 | 41.2 | 0.6 |
| Female . . . . . . . . . | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X | 100.0 | X |
| Nonmovers........ | 91.2 | 0.1 | 91.7 | 0.1 | 91.5 | 0.1 | 90.2 | 0.1 | 84.8 | 0.1 | 82.1 | 0.1 | 91.7 | 0.1 |
| Movers............. | 8.8 | 0.1 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 8.5 | 0.1 | 9.8 | 0.1 | 15.2 | 0.1 | 17.9 | 0.1 | 8.3 | 0.1 |
| Same county...... | 62.8 | 0.4 | 61.0 | 0.7 | 63.2 | 0.6 | 64.4 | 0.6 | 61.9 | 0.3 | 61.4 | 0.4 | 61.0 | 0.7 |
| Different county . . | 37.2 | 0.4 | 39.0 | 0.7 | 36.8 | 0.6 | 35.6 | 0.6 | 38.1 | 0.3 | 38.6 | 0.4 | 39.0 | 0.7 |

[^17]Appendix Table 3.
Margins of Error of In-Migration, Out-Migration, and Net Domestic Migration for the Population 65 Years and Over by Region, Division, State, and Age: 2015-2019

| Characteristic | Total aged 65 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aged 65 to 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inmigrants |  | Outmigrants |  | Net domestic migration |  | Net domestic migration rate ${ }^{1}$ |  | In-migrants |  | Out-migrants |  | Net domestic migration |  | Net domestic migration rate ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Num- } \\ \text { ber } \end{array}$ | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Num- } \\ \text { ber } \end{array}$ | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm)$ | Number | Margin of error' ( $\pm$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Num- } \\ \text { ber } \end{array}$ | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm)$ |
| NORTHEAS | 72,000 | 2,163 | 118,800 | 2,838 | -46,820 | 2,844 | -5.0 | 0.3 | 40,280 | 1,351 | 74,280 | 2,180 | -34,000 | 2,102 | -6.4 | 0.4 |
| New Englan | 28,560 | 1,256 | 37,640 | 1,493 | -9,082 | 1,691 | -3.6 | 0.7 | 16,580 | 959 | 24,360 | 1,243 | -7,784 | 1,210 | -5.4 | 0.8 |
| Maine | 4,900 | 490 | 3,951 | 492 | 949 | 667 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 3,268 | 400 | 2,486 | 402 | 782 | 527 | 5.0 | 3.4 |
| Vermont. | 1,886 | 248 | 2,846 | 341 | -960 | 428 | -8.1 | 3.6 | 1,102 | 199 | 1,987 | 306 | -885 | 364 | -12.4 | 5.0 |
| New Hampshire. | 4,965 | 616 | 5,446 | 600 | -481 | 772 | -2.0 | 3.3 | 3,058 | 487 | 3,359 | 425 | -301 | 614 | -2.1 | 4.3 |
| Massachusetts | 8,940 | 792 | 13,660 | 922 | -4,720 | 1,273 | -4.3 | 1.1 | 5,059 | 585 | 8,776 | 761 | -3,717 | 952 | -5.9 | 1.5 |
| Rhode Island | 1,996 | 407 | 2,282 | 414 | -286 | 641 | -1.6 | 3.6 | 1,218 | 315 | 1,409 | 305 | -191 | 465 | -1.9 | 4.6 |
| Connecticut | 5,868 | 578 | 9,452 | 866 | -3,584 | 1,011 | -5.9 | 1.7 | 2,874 | 405 | 6,346 | 709 | -3,472 | 779 | -10.3 | 2.3 |
| Middle Atlantic | 43,440 | 1,561 | 81,180 | 2,471 | -37,740 | 2,612 | -5.5 | 0.4 | 23,700 | 1,078 | 49,910 | 1,706 | -26,210 | 1,869 | -6.8 | 0.5 |
| New York. | 14,350 | 876 | 37,780 | 1,631 | -23,420 | 1,895 | -7.4 | 0.6 | 8,000 | 638 | 22,920 | 1,201 | -14,920 | 1,341 | -8.4 | 0.7 |
| New Jersey. | 11,360 | 841 | 21,190 | 1,114 | -9,832 | 1,324 | -7.0 | 0.9 | 5,622 | 535 | 12,880 | 823 | -7,261 | 981 | -9.1 | 1.2 |
| Pennsylvania | 17,730 | 1,030 | 22,220 | 1,339 | -4,482 | 1,460 | -2.0 | 0.6 | 10,080 | 756 | 14,110 | 1,052 | -4,031 | 1,175 | -3.2 | 0.9 |
| MIDWEST | 90,430 | 2,199 | 125,300 | 3,560 | -34,900 | 3,482 | -3.2 | 0.3 | 52,630 | 1,472 | 78,010 | 2,635 | -25,370 | 2,518 | -4.0 | 0.4 |
| East North |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central. | 55,940 | 1,583 | 81,370 | 2,543 | -25,430 | 2,738 | -3.4 | 0.4 | 32,710 | 1,263 | 50,540 | 1,877 | -17,830 | 2,046 | -4.1 | 0.5 |
| Ohio | 14,380 | 851 | 19,190 | 1,240 | -4,804 | 1,522 | -2.5 | 0.8 | 8,343 | 694 | 12,040 | 958 | -3,693 | 1,148 | -3.3 | 1.0 |
| Indiana | 10,200 | 788 | 11,610 | 949 | -1,403 | 1,254 | -1.4 | 1.2 | 6,225 | 578 | 7,125 | 666 | -900 | 893 | -1.5 | 1.5 |
| Illinois | 11,520 | 884 | 23,800 | 1,077 | $-12,280$ | 1,541 | -6.3 | 0.8 | 6,321 | 664 | 14,830 | 904 | -8,510 | 1,220 | -7.6 | 1.1 |
| Michigan | 11,650 | 792 | 17,910 | 1,093 | -6,253 | 1,397 | -3.7 | 0.8 | 6,795 | 536 | 11,100 | 793 | -4,308 | 972 | -4.4 | 1.0 |
| Wisconsin | 8,180 | 600 | 8,875 | 805 | -695 | 1,021 | -0.7 | 1.1 | 5,027 | 441 | 5,445 | 624 | -418 | 774 | -0.8 | 1.4 |
| West North |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central. | 34,490 | 1,321 | 43,960 | 1,951 | -9,470 | 1,978 | -2.8 | 0.6 | 19,920 | 949 | 27,470 | 1,555 | -7,544 | 1,530 | -3.9 | 0.8 |
| Minnesota | 6,889 | 568 | 11,200 | 1,044 | -4,314 | 1,153 | -5.0 | 1.3 | 3,730 | 407 | 7,505 | 861 | -3,775 | 886 | -7.7 | 1.8 |
| Iowa | 4,398 | 374 | 5,928 | 652 | -1,530 | 743 | -2.9 | 1.4 | 2,415 | 299 | 3,671 | 542 | -1,256 | 611 | -4.3 | 2.1 |
| Missouri | 10,220 | 667 | 13,300 | 921 | -3,078 | 1,032 | -3.1 | 1.0 | 6,536 | 638 | 7,630 | 630 | -1,094 | 828 | -1.9 | 1.4 |
| North Dakota | 1,503 | 308 | 2,209 | 349 | -706 | 470 | -6.2 | 4.1 | 769 | 228 | 1,431 | 292 | -662 | 372 | -10.7 | 6.0 |
| South Dakota | 1,771 | 321 | 2,614 | 424 | -843 | 533 | -5.9 | 3.7 | 1,232 | 254 | 1,548 | 349 | -316 | 432 | -3.9 | 5.3 |
| Nebraska | 3,083 | 385 | 3,288 | 379 | -205 | 482 | -0.7 | 1.6 | 1,624 | 247 | 2,235 | 330 | -611 | 394 | -3.7 | 2.4 |
| Kansas | 6,622 | 752 | 5,416 | 603 | 1,206 | 994 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 3,617 | 451 | 3,447 | 446 | 170 | 563 | 0.7 | 2.2 |
| SOUTH | 297,800 | 4,734 | 224,900 | 4,174 | 72,920 | 4,194 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 189,600 | 3,616 | 134,700 | 3,121 | 54,870 | 3,258 | 4.9 | 0.3 |
| South Atlantic. | 209,800 | 4,139 | 146,800 | 3,530 | 63,010 | 4,007 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 133,600 | 3,049 | 86,180 | 2,534 | 47,390 | 2,821 | 7.6 | 0.5 |
| Delaware | 4,018 | 483 | 3,220 | 482 | 798 | 657 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 2,569 | 358 | 1,607 | 349 | 962 | 503 | 9.4 | 4.9 |
| Maryland. | 10,100 | 617 | 14,450 | 967 | -4,342 | 1,167 | -4.8 | 1.3 | 5,658 | 506 | 9,083 | 861 | -3,425 | 1,006 | -6.4 | 1.9 |
| District of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia | 1,859 | 298 | 2,487 | 332 | -628 | 460 | -7.5 | 5.4 | 1,115 | 207 | 1,412 | 239 | -297 | 305 | -6.2 | 6.3 |
| Virginia | 16,700 | 1,075 | 18,400 | 1,228 | -1,703 | 1,603 | -1.3 | 1.3 | 9,926 | 834 | 12,030 | 827 | -2,107 | 1,212 | -2.8 | 1.6 |
| West Virginia . | 3,185 | 485 | 4,877 | 539 | -1,692 | 752 | -4.8 | 2.1 | 1,958 | 333 | 2,648 | 396 | -690 | 462 | -3.3 | 2.2 |
| North Carolina | 26,220 | 1,219 | 17,260 | 1,104 | 8,963 | 1,601 | 5.5 | 1.0 | 17,090 | 930 | 10,630 | 751 | 6,462 | 1,141 | 6.7 | 1.2 |
| South Carolina | 17,450 | 1,215 | 11,930 | 958 | 5,525 | 1,475 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 11,970 | 900 | 7,332 | 816 | 4,636 | 1,260 | 8.8 | 2.4 |
| Georgia | 21,050 | 1,121 | 18,120 | 1,185 | 2,935 | 1,578 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 13,140 | 930 | 11,490 | 797 | 1,648 | 1,215 | 1.9 | 1.4 |
| Florida | 109,200 | 2,858 | 56,030 | 1,738 | 53,150 | 3,283 | 12.9 | 0.8 | 70,160 | 2,296 | 29,960 | 1,236 | 40,200 | 2,444 | 17.8 | 1.1 |
| East South |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central. | 35,340 | 1,386 | 32,020 | 1,441 | 3,323 | 1,833 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 22,840 | 1,065 | 19,680 | 1,169 | 3,155 | 1,532 | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| Kentucky.. | 6,276 | 582 | 7,667 | 707 | -1,391 | 840 | -2.0 | 1.2 | 3,807 | 437 | 4,769 | 585 | -962 | 732 | -2.3 | 1.7 |
| Tennessee | 15,600 | 980 | 13,040 | 997 | 2,557 | 1,542 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 10,190 | 803 | 7,861 | 759 | 2,332 | 1,194 | 3.6 | 1.9 |
| Alabama | 8,389 | 711 | 6,881 | 609 | 1,508 | 909 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 5,503 | 634 | 4,184 | 464 | 1,319 | 766 | 2.8 | 1.6 |
| Mississippi. | 5,081 | 522 | 4,432 | 492 | 649 | 749 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 3,335 | 376 | 2,869 | 392 | 466 | 572 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| West South |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central. | 52,690 | 2,072 | 46,100 | 1,650 | 6,590 | 2,428 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 33,140 | 1,775 | 28,820 | 1,326 | 4,322 | 1,948 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| Arkansas | 7,050 | 704 | 6,836 | 607 | 214 | 955 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 4,751 | 591 | 3,675 | 444 | 1,076 | 762 | 3.7 | 2.6 |
| Louisiana. | 4,860 | 540 | 5,839 | 594 | -979 | 858 | -1.4 | 1.2 | 3,248 | 452 | 3,768 | 459 | -520 | 674 | -1.2 | 1.6 |
| Oklahoma | 6,609 | 520 | 6,108 | 542 | 501 | 790 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 3,986 | 349 | 3,755 | 456 | 231 | 603 | 0.7 | 1.7 |
| Texas. | 34,170 | 1,858 | 27,320 | 1,269 | 6,854 | 2,154 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 21,150 | 1,568 | 17,620 | 1,129 | 3,535 | 1,813 | 1.7 | 0.9 |

[^18]Appendix Table 3.
Margins of Error of In-Migration, Out-Migration, and Net Domestic Migration for the Population 65 Years and Over by Region, Division, State, and Age: 2015-2019—Con.

| Aged 75 to 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aged 85 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Characteristic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-migrants |  | Out-migrants |  | Net domestic migration |  | Net domestic migration rate ${ }^{1}$ |  | In-migrants |  | Ou-tmigrants |  | Net domestic migration |  | Net domestic migration rate ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm)$ | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm)$ | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm)$ | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm)$ |  |
| 19,820 | 1,089 | 29,230 | 1,519 | -9,411 | 1,509 | -3.4 | 0.5 | 11,900 | 757 | 15,310 | 887 | -3,412 | 955 | -2.6 | 0.7 | NORTHEAST |
| 7,265 | 680 | 8,337 | 756 | -1,072 | 870 | -1.5 | 1.2 | 4,711 | 424 | 4,937 | 525 | -226 | 605 | -0.6 | 1.7 | New England |
| 961 | 167 | 1,051 | 242 | -90 | 300 | -1.2 | 4.0 | 671 | 182 | 414 | 134 | 257 | 224 | 7.6 | 6.6 | Maine |
| 498 | 109 | 613 | 170 | -115 | 199 | -3.5 | 6.1 | 286 | 110 | 246 | 94 | 40 | 147 | 2.9 | 10.5 | Vermont |
| 1,174 | 267 | 1,310 | 313 | -136 | 403 | -2.1 | 6.2 | 733 | 204 | 777 | 190 | -44 | 286 | -1.5 | 9.8 | New Hampshire |
| 2,379 | 382 | 2,981 | 404 | -602 | 531 | -1.9 | 1.7 | 1,502 | 298 | 1,903 | 380 | -401 | 489 | -2.6 | 3.1 | Massachusetts |
| 433 | 141 | 615 | 218 | -182 | 240 | -3.5 | 4.6 | 345 | 140 | 258 | 109 | 87 | 168 | 3.3 | 6.5 | Rhode Island |
| 1,820 | 373 | 1,767 | 319 | 53 | 505 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 1,174 | 200 | 1,339 | 328 | -165 | 368 | -1.8 | 4.1 | Connecticut |
| 12,560 | 887 | 20,900 | 1,364 | -8,339 | 1,491 | -4.1 | 0.7 | 7,187 | 586 | 10,370 | 832 | -3,186 | 832 | -3.3 | 0.9 | Middle Atlantic |
| 4,119 | 432 | 9,692 | 821 | -5,573 | 972 | -6.0 | 1.0 | 2,233 | 298 | 5,163 | 596 | -2,930 | 644 | -6.6 | 1.5 | New York |
| 3,547 | 475 | 5,421 | 635 | -1,874 | 780 | -4.5 | 1.9 | 2,190 | 370 | 2,887 | 354 | -697 | 548 | -3.5 | 2.8 | New Jersey |
| 4,892 | 580 | 5,784 | 594 | -892 | 943 | -1.3 | 1.4 | 2,764 | 397 | 2,323 | 319 | 441 | 474 | 1.4 | 1.5 | Pennsylvania |
| 25,120 | 1,313 | 31,460 | 1,355 | -6,343 | 1,558 | -2.0 | 0.5 | 12,680 | 745 | 15,860 | 994 | -3,185 | 1,180 | -2.2 | 0.8 | MIDWEST <br> East North |
| 15,220 | 1,007 | 20,560 | 1,075 | -5,340 | 1,286 | -2.4 | 0.6 | 8,002 | 524 | 10,260 | 794 | -2,262 | 853 | -2.3 | 0.9 | Central |
| 4,019 | 464 | 4,428 | 478 | -409 | 642 | -0.7 | 1.1 | 2,021 | 283 | 2,723 | 473 | -702 | 557 | -2.8 | 2.2 | Ohio |
| 2,764 | 466 | 3,364 | 524 | -600 | 680 | -2.0 | 2.3 | 1,214 | 253 | 1,117 | 241 | 97 | 352 | 0.8 | 2.8 | Indiana |
| 3,394 | 554 | 5,699 | 547 | -2,305 | 890 | -4.0 | 1.5 | 1,804 | 291 | 3,265 | 421 | -1,461 | 506 | -5.7 | 2.0 | Illinois |
| 2,973 | 413 | 4,640 | 519 | $-1,667$ | 629 | -3.4 | 1.3 | 1,885 | 354 | 2,163 | 413 | -278 | 547 | -1.3 | 2.6 | Michigan |
| 2,075 | 308 | 2,434 | 377 | -359 | 460 | -1.3 | 1.7 | 1,078 | 230 | 996 | 200 | 82 | 313 | 0.7 | 2.5 | Wisconsin West North |
| 9,891 | 721 | 10,890 | 784 | -1,003 | 984 | -1.0 | 1.0 | 4,676 | 540 | 5,599 | 567 | -923 | 754 | -2.0 | 1.6 | Central |
| 1,992 | 304 | 2,794 | 451 | -802 | 552 | -3.2 | 2.2 | 1,167 | 219 | 904 | 191 | 263 | 309 | 2.3 | 2.7 | Minnesota |
| 1,311 | 229 | 1,484 | 280 | -173 | 356 | -1.1 | 2.2 | 672 | 155 | 773 | 230 | -101 | 282 | -1.3 | 3.6 | lowa |
| 2,795 | 307 | 3,365 | 496 | -570 | 584 | -1.9 | 1.9 | 893 | 209 | 2,307 | 416 | -1,414 | 465 | -11.2 | 3.6 | Missouri |
| 511 | 206 | 563 | 184 | -52 | 261 | -1.5 | 7.8 | 223 | 87 | 215 | 86 | 8 | 127 | 0.4 | 7.1 | North Dakota |
| 364 | 131 | 781 | 208 | -417 | 231 | -10.1 | 5.6 | 175 | 78 | 285 | 89 | -110 | 122 | -5.5 | 6.1 | South Dakota |
| 962 | 182 | 597 | 166 | 365 | 240 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 497 | 117 | 456 | 131 | 41 | 182 | 1.0 | 4.4 | Nebraska |
| 1,956 | 472 | 1,310 | 247 | 646 | 573 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 1,049 | 276 | 659 | 145 | 390 | 319 | 6.3 | 5.2 | Kansas |
| 74,600 | 2,273 | 61,290 | 2,211 | 13,300 | 2,012 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 33,660 | 1,524 | 28,910 | 1,395 | 4,747 | 1,392 | 2.2 | 0.6 | SOUTH |
| 52,840 | 1,900 | 40,900 | 1,828 | 11,930 | 2,021 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 23,360 | 1,299 | 19,680 | 1,063 | 3,682 | 1,151 | 2.9 | 0.9 | South Atlantic |
| 1,021 | 275 | 1,146 | 328 | -125 | 424 | -2.4 | 8.2 | 428 | 162 | 467 | 127 | -39 | 195 | -2.1 | 10.5 | Delaware |
| 2,942 | 411 | 3,622 | 360 | -680 | 526 | -2.6 | 2.0 | 1,505 | 215 | 1,742 | 335 | -237 | 386 | -2.2 | 3.5 | Maryland District of |
| 521 | 178 | 650 | 151 | -129 | 246 | -5.3 | 10.1 | 223 | 99 | 425 | 165 | -202 | 194 | -17.8 | 17.1 | Columbia |
| 4,221 | 476 | 4,487 | 673 | -266 | 874 | -0.7 | 2.4 | 2,551 | 371 | 1,881 | 346 | 670 | 504 | 4.6 | 3.5 | Virginia |
| 776 | 215 | 1,424 | 310 | -648 | 413 | -6.3 | 4.0 | 451 | 148 | 805 | 189 | -354 | 248 | -8.6 | 5.9 | West Virginia |
| 6,155 | 498 | 4,616 | 619 | 1,539 | 785 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 2,974 | 409 | 2,012 | 416 | 962 | 578 | 5.5 | 3.3 | North Carolina |
| 3,656 | 479 | 3,111 | 442 | 545 | 647 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1,830 | 366 | 1,486 | 315 | 344 | 468 | 4.1 | 5.5 | South Carolina |
| 4,961 | 556 | 4,683 | 668 | 278 | 828 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 2,957 | 495 | 1,948 | 323 | 1,009 | 579 | 7.5 | 4.3 | Georgia |
| 28,580 | 1,382 | 17,160 | 1,002 | 11,420 | 1,671 | 8.7 | 1.3 | 10,440 | 849 | 8,915 | 625 | 1,529 | 930 | 2.8 | 1.7 | Florida <br> East South |
| 8,841 | 732 | 8,116 | 689 | 725 | 802 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 3,663 | 443 | 4,220 | 394 | -557 | 484 | -1.7 | 1.5 | East South Central |
| 1,798 | 250 | 1,661 | 308 | 137 | 340 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 671 | 181 | 1,237 | 243 | -566 | 300 | -7.4 | 3.9 | Kentucky |
| 3,608 | 460 | 3,747 | 500 | -139 | 665 | -0.4 | 2.1 | 1,795 | 341 | 1,431 | 252 | 364 | 399 | 3.2 | 3.5 | Tennessee |
| 2,144 | 334 | 1,649 | 285 | 495 | 439 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 742 | 189 | 1,048 | 243 | -306 | 294 | -3.6 | 3.5 | Alabama |
| 1,291 | 309 | 1,059 | 254 | 232 | 413 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 455 | 141 | 504 | 152 | -49 | 193 | -1.0 | 3.8 | Mississippi West South |
| 12,920 | 838 | 12,270 | 820 | 646 | 1,106 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 6,634 | 570 | 5,012 | 575 | 1,622 | 830 | 2.8 | 1.4 | Central |
| 1,543 | 247 | 2,266 | 476 | -723 | 556 | -4.8 | 3.6 | 756 | 220 | 895 | 229 | -139 | 285 | -2.5 | 5.0 | Arkansas |
| 1,002 | 217 | 1,524 | 332 | -522 | 356 | -2.5 | 1.7 | 610 | 208 | 547 | 160 | 63 | 247 | 0.8 | 3.3 | Louisiana |
| 1,821 | 343 | 1,489 | 249 | 332 | 434 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 802 | 181 | 864 | 200 | -62 | 290 | -0.9 | 4.2 | Oklahoma |
| 8,554 | 723 | 6,995 | 557 | 1,559 | 976 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 4,466 | 544 | 2,706 | 397 | 1,760 | 747 | 4.7 | 2.0 | Texas |
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## Appendix Table 3.

Margins of Error of In-Migration, Out-Migration, and Net Domestic Migration for the Population 65 Years and Over by Region, Division, State, and Age: 2015-2019—Con.

| Characteristic | Total aged 65 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aged 65 to 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In-migrants |  | Out-migrants |  | Net domestic migration |  | Net domestic migration rate ${ }^{1}$ |  | In-migrants |  | Out-migrants |  | Net domestic migration |  | Net domestic migration rate ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm)$ | Number | Margin of error' ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) |
| WEST | 162,000 | 3,308 | 153,200 | 3,397 | 8,799 | 3,263 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 102,300 | 2,610 | 97,800 | 2,682 | 4,498 | 2,817 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Mountain | 93,440 | 2,764 | 65,930 | 2,095 | 27,510 | 3,004 | 7.6 | 0.8 | 60,800 | 2,067 | 41,370 | 1,690 | 19,430 | 2,139 | 8.9 | 1.0 |
| Montana | 3,116 | 480 | 3,332 | 489 | -216 | 726 | -1.1 | 3.8 | 1,948 | 345 | 1,974 | 331 | -26 | 485 | -0.2 | 4.2 |
| Idaho | 7,469 | 1,040 | 4,516 | 544 | 2,953 | 1,186 | 11.3 | 4.6 | 4,599 | 661 | 2,945 | 465 | 1,654 | 765 | 10.5 | 4.9 |
| Wyoming. | 1,619 | 264 | 2,371 | 392 | -752 | 446 | -8.2 | 4.8 | 1,196 | 236 | 1,664 | 341 | -468 | 403 | -8.4 | 7.1 |
| Colorado | 14,550 | 946 | 14,240 | 976 | 313 | 1,327 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 9,147 | 663 | 9,339 | 717 | -192 | 1,029 | -0.4 | 2.2 |
| New Mexico | 6,033 | 718 | 6,509 | 737 | -476 | 1,111 | -1.4 | 3.1 | 4,181 | 592 | 3,921 | 535 | 260 | 838 | 1.2 | 4.0 |
| Arizona | 40,350 | 1,689 | 18,910 | 1,060 | 21,440 | 2,175 | 18.2 | 1.9 | 26,140 | 1,398 | 11,110 | 915 | 15,020 | 1,767 | 22.1 | 2.7 |
| Utah | 6,319 | 650 | 5,266 | 546 | 1,053 | 843 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 4,228 | 523 | 3,382 | 444 | 846 | 653 | 4.3 | 3.3 |
| Nevada. | 13,980 | 876 | 10,800 | 771 | 3,189 | 1,146 | 7.1 | 2.6 | 9,362 | 665 | 7,033 | 570 | 2,329 | 925 | 8.3 | 3.3 |
| Pacific. | 68,510 | 1,709 | 87,220 | 2,582 | -18,710 | 2,932 | -2.5 | 0.4 | 41,500 | 1,451 | 56,430 | 1,808 | -14,930 | 2,212 | -3.3 | 0.5 |
| Washington | 16,340 | 869 | 16,760 | 1,120 | -420 | 1,394 | -0.4 | 1.3 | 9,607 | 619 | 11,110 | 883 | -1,499 | 1,088 | -2.2 | 1.6 |
| Oregon. | 13,380 | 785 | 10,900 | 865 | 2,483 | 1,200 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 8,591 | 636 | 6,627 | 698 | 1,964 | 961 | 4.6 | 2.3 |
| California. | 34,340 | 1,343 | 53,580 | 2,012 | -19,240 | 2,427 | -3.5 | 0.4 | 20,270 | 1,186 | 34,660 | 1,572 | -14,400 | 2,012 | -4.5 | 0.6 |
| Alaska | 1,653 | 310 | 2,227 | 396 | -574 | 553 | -6.9 | 6.6 | 1,148 | 254 | 1,581 | 310 | -433 | 435 | -7.6 | 7.6 |
| Hawaii | 2,791 | 347 | 3,746 | 477 | -955 | 666 | -3.8 | 2.6 | 1,884 | 276 | 2,447 | 398 | -563 | 548 | -3.9 | 3.8 |
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Margins of Error of In-Migration, Out-Migration, and Net Domestic Migration for the Population 65 Years and Over by Region, Division, State, and Age: 2015-2019—Con.

| Aged 75 to 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aged 85 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Characteristic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-mig | ts | Out-migrants |  | Net domestic migration |  | Net domestic migration rate ${ }^{1}$ |  | In-migrants |  | Out-migrants |  | Net domestic migration |  | Net domestic migration rate ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm)$ | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm)$ | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ $( \pm)$ | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm)$ | Number | Margin of error' ( $\pm)$ | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm)$ | Number | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm)$ |  |
| 41,530 | 1,620 | 39,080 | 1,541 | 2,451 | 1,470 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 18,130 | 941 | 16,280 | 926 | 1,850 | 846 | 1.4 | 0.6 | WEST |
| 23,350 | 1,268 | 17,250 | 1,100 | 6,104 | 1,439 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 9,297 | 692 | 7,318 | 650 | 1,979 | 818 | 5.1 | 2.1 | Mountain |
| 795 | 211 | 893 | 209 | -98 | 286 | -1.8 | 5.2 | 373 | 134 | 465 | 197 | -92 | 246 | -4.4 | 11.6 | Montana |
| 1,957 | 484 | 994 | 235 | 963 | 517 | 12.8 | 6.9 | 913 | 274 | 577 | 248 | 336 | 343 | 12.1 | 12.5 | Idaho |
| 323 | 104 | 458 | 126 | -135 | 165 | -5.2 | 6.3 | 100 | 62 | 249 | 124 | -149 | 135 | -14.8 | 13.4 | Wyoming |
| 3,454 | 434 | 3,659 | 513 | -205 | 592 | -1.0 | 2.8 | 1,951 | 329 | 1,241 | 233 | 710 | 369 | 8.5 | 4.4 | Colorado |
| 1,340 | 286 | 1,680 | 296 | -340 | 444 | -3.3 | 4.3 | 512 | 192 | 908 | 244 | -396 | 306 | -10.1 | 7.8 | New Mexico |
| 10,700 | 799 | 5,446 | 507 | 5,259 | 985 | 14.3 | 2.7 | 3,511 | 434 | 2,348 | 316 | 1,163 | 518 | 9.0 | 4.0 | Arizona |
| 1,485 | 268 | 1,289 | 269 | 196 | 372 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 606 | 186 | 595 | 198 | 11 | 261 | 0.3 | 7.3 | Utah |
| 3,291 | 444 | 2,827 | 425 | 464 | 628 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 1,331 | 280 | 935 | 197 | 396 | 343 | 9.9 | 8.6 | Nevada |
| 18,180 | 957 | 21,830 | 1,205 | -3,653 | 1,262 | -1.7 | 0.6 | 8,832 | 666 | 8,961 | 725 | -129 | 934 | -0.1 | 1.0 | Pacific |
| 4,273 | 536 | 4,140 | 509 | 133 | 768 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 2,464 | 389 | 1,518 | 253 | 946 | 494 | 7.4 | 3.9 | Washington |
| 3,329 | 392 | 2,937 | 385 | 392 | 523 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 1,465 | 279 | 1,338 | 261 | 127 | 401 | 1.5 | 4.9 | Oregon |
| 9,575 | 744 | 13,300 | 896 | -3,728 | 1,075 | -2.3 | 0.7 | 4,493 | 496 | 5,612 | 536 | -1,119 | 707 | -1.6 | 1.0 | California |
| 368 | 128 | 523 | 161 | -155 | 207 | -7.8 | 10.3 | 137 | 76 | 123 | 76 | 14 | 108 | 2.2 | 16.8 | Alaska |
| 634 | 144 | 929 | 224 | -295 | 280 | -4.1 | 3.9 | 273 | 102 | 370 | 171 | -97 | 202 | -2.5 | 5.3 | Hawaii |

[^21]
[^0]:    ' For a more detailed review of the state of housing for the older population, refer to Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies,
    "Housing America's Older Adults 2018," Cambridge, MA, 2018.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is important to note that many older adults prefer to age in place in their own homes rather than move to new ones. Examples are available in Roy, Noe'mie et. al., "Choosing Between Staying at Home or Moving: A Systematic Review of Factors Influencing Housing Decisions Among Frail Older Adults," PLoS ONE 13, 2018; and Wiles, Janine L. et. al., "The Meaning of 'Aging in Place' to Older People," The Gerontologist, 52:357-366, 2012.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ For discussions of the correlates of moving intentions and moving among older adults, refer to Bradley, Don E., Charles F. Longino, Jr., Eleanor P. Stoller, and William H. Haas, "Actuation of Mobility Intentions Among the YoungOld: An Event-History Analysis," The Gerontologist, 48:190-202, 2008; and Granbom, Marianne et. al., "Household Accessibility and Residential Relocation in Older Adults," The Journals of Gerontology: Series B, 74:e72-e83, 2019.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$, Wan and Jason P. Schacter,
    "International Migration of the Older Population: 1995 to 2000," Census 2000
    Special Reports, CENSR-10, U.S. Census
    Bureau, Washington, DC, 2003.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ For a discussion of the decline in domestic migration in recent decades, refer to Molloy, Raven et al., "Internal Migration in The United States," Journal of Economic Perspectives, 25: 173-196, 2011.
    ${ }^{6}$ For information on the characteristics of those moving to and from Puerto Rico, there are tables available on data.census.gov.
    ${ }^{7}$ For information on the ACS, visit <www.census.gov/acs>

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ The prior report used data from the 2000 Census long form, which had a migration question that asked respondents where they lived 5 years ago. When the ACS replaced the decennial census long form and became an annual survey, the migration question was changed to reference where the respondent lived in the prior year. Because of this change to a 1-year reference period, the $5-y e a r ~ A C S ~ m i g r a t i o n ~$ data reflects migration estimates during a typical year in the 5-year period and should not be interpreted as the number of people who moved during the previous 5 years. Therefore, the estimates in this report are not directly comparable to the data used in the prior report. For additional information on comparing 5-year ACS migration estimates to other sources of migration data, refer to <www.census.gov/content/ dam/Census/library/working-papers/2012/ demo/benetsky-01.pdf> and <www.census. gov/library/working-papers/2017/demo/ SEHSD-WP2017-02.html>.

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ These data and more estimates of the group quarters population are reported in Table S2606 on data.census.gov. For more information on group quarters, please refer to "American Community Survey Design and Methodology" located at <www.census. gov/programs-surveys/acs/methodology/ design-and-methodology.html>.

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ The U.S. Census Bureau reviewed this data product for unauthorized disclosure of confidential information and approved the disclosure avoidance practices applied to this release. CBDRB-FY22-POPO01-0067.

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ The estimates in this paper (which may be shown in text, figures, or tables) are based on responses from a sample of the population and may differ from the actual values because of sampling variability or other factors. As a result, apparent differences between the estimates for two or more groups may not be statistically significant. All comparative statements have undergone statistical testing and are significant at the 90 percent confidence level unless otherwise noted.

[^8]:    ${ }^{12}$ Refer to Clark, W. A. V., "Life Course Events and Residential Change: Unpacking Age Effects on the Probability of Moving," J Pop Research, 30: 319-334, 2013.

[^9]:    Note: Numbers or shares may not sum to column total or 100.0 due to rounding.

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ The categories of moved to a different county in same state and moved to a county in a different state are combined into one category of "different county" in Table 2 to simplify the table while still distinguishing between short-distance and long-distance moves.
    ${ }^{14}$ Disability is a complex process between individuals and their environment. Broadly speaking, individuals experience disability if they have difficulty with certain daily tasks due to a physical, mental, or emotional condition. Measures of disability in the ACS are based on self-reports (or proxy reports), as opposed to medical diagnoses. The ACS considers someone to have a disability if the individual is reported to have vision, hearing, cognitive, ambulatory, self-care, or independent living difficulty. For more information on disability, refer to Young, Natalie A. E., "Childhood Disability in the United States: 2019," American Community Survey Briefs, ACSBR-006, U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC, 2021, and Taylor, Danielle M., "Americans With Disabilities: 2014," Current Population Reports, P70-152, U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC, 2018.
    ${ }^{15}$ For an analysis of the predictors of moving, including disability status, refer to Mateyka, Peter J., "Desire to Move and Residential Mobility: 2010-2011," Current Population Reports, P70-140, U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC, 2015.

[^11]:    ${ }^{16}$ The net rate for California was not statistically different from Alaska and Hawaii, two states in the same division.

[^12]:    The net migration rate divides net migration, which is in-migration minus out-migration, by the approximated prior year population and multiplies the result by 1,000 .
    

    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 America Community Survey, 5-year estimates.

[^13]:    ${ }^{17}$ The net rates for Delaware, Maine, Oregon, and Utah are not statistically different from some states excluded from Figure 1, including Alabama, Georgia, Kansas, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Texas. The net rates for Delaware, Maine, and Utah are not statistically different from the rates for Arkansas and Oklahoma. Additionally, the net rate for Utah is not statistically different from the rate for Colorado.
    ${ }^{18}$ The net estimate for Michigan is not statistically different from the net estimates for Massachusetts, Ohio, and Pennsylvania.
    ${ }^{19}$ Connecticut, Illinois, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, and Vermont all had negative net migration rates that were larger and statistically different from California, indicating that each of these states lost more people to migration per 1,000 residents than California. Additionally, 16 other states had net migration rates that were not statistically different from the rate for California.

[^14]:    ${ }^{20}$ The net migration rate for Nevada was not statistically different from Delaware, Maine, North Carolina, and South Carolina. The net migration rate for Idaho was not statistically different from the rate for South Carolina.

[^15]:    ${ }^{21}$ The estimate for Michigan was not statistically significant from the estimates for New Jersey and Ohio.

[^16]:     and subtracted from the estimate, forms the 90 percent confidence interval. The MOEs shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights. Note: Numbers or shares may not sum to column total or 100.O due to rounding.
    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 America Community Survey, 5 -year estimates.

[^17]:     and subtracted from the estimate, forms the 90 percent confidence interval. The MOEs shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights.
    Note: Numbers or shares may not sum to column total or 100.0 due to rounding.

[^18]:    Notes provided at end of table.

[^19]:    Notes provided at end of table.

[^20]:    Notes provided on next page.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ The net migration rate divides net migration, which is in-migration minus out-migration, by the approximated prior year population and multiplies the result by 1,000.

    Note: Numbers may not sum to column total due to rounding. Differences are calculated from using unrounded numbers that may produce different results from using the rounded numbers in the tables.

    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 America Community Survey, 5-year estimates.

