# Technical Paper 52

# Estimates of Poverty Including the Value of Noncash Benefits: 1983

U.S. Department of Commerce
Bureau of the Census

# **Technical Paper 52**

# Estimates of Poverty Including the Value of Noncash Benefits: 1983

Issued August 1984

U.S. Department of Commerce
Malcolm Baldrige, Secretary
Clarence J. Brown, Deputy Secretary

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
John G. Keane, Director



#### **BUREAU OF THE CENSUS**

John G. Keane, Director C.L. Kincannon, Deputy Director William P. Butz, Associate Director for Demographic Fields

POPULATION DIVISION Roger A. Herriot, Chief

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This report was prepared by Dan Burkhead and Carol Fendler under the general direction of John M. McNeil, Chief of the Poverty and Wealth Statistics Branch. Computer programming and data analysis were provided by Robert W. Cleveland, Angela M. Feldman, Mary F. Henson, and Edward J. Welniak. Patricia A. Wilkinson typed the report. Overall direction was provided by Gordon W. Green, Jr., Assistant Chief (Socioeconomic Statistics Programs), Population Division. Sampling review was conducted by Sidney Schwartz of the Statistical Methods Division:

#### SUGGESTED CITATION

U.S. Bureau of the Census, Technical Paper 52, Estimates of Poverty Including the Value of Noncash Benefits: 1983, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 1984.

# **CONTENTS**

	•	Page
Intro	duction	VII
Grow	rth of noncash benefits	VII
	nation of valuation techniques	VIII
	rket value	IX
	cipient or cash equivalent value	1X
Po	verty budget share value	×
	ration of valuation techniques	X
	od stamps	X
	edicaid	×
	in poverty, 1982-83	ΧI
Off	ficial poverty statistics	ΧI
	verty statistics after valuing noncash benefits	XII
	Market value	XIII
	Recipient or cash equivalent value	XV
	Poverty budget share value	ΧV
	ipt of noncash benefits and average noncash benefit values	ΧV
	rty before and after cash and noncash benefits	ΧV
	eations of the study	XVI
Chine	ations of the study	
•	TEXT TABLES	
A.	Means-tested cash assistance and the market value of noncash benefits valued in this	
	study: 1965, 1970, 1975, and 1979 to 1983	VIII
В.	Persons in poverty, by selected characteristics: 1979 to 1983	ΧI
C.	Number of persons in poverty, by valuation technique and type of noncash benefits	
	included: 1979 to 1983	XII
D.	Percent of persons in poverty, by valuation technique and type of noncash benefits	
	included: 1979 to 1983	XIII
E.	Percent of persons in poverty, by valuation technique by selected characteristics:	
	1983 and 1982	XIV
F.	Percent of families and unrelated individuals in poverty receiving noncash benefits and	
	mean market value of noncash benefits, by selected characteristics: 1983 and 1982	XVI
G.	Poverty status of families and unrelated individuals before and after cash and noncash	
-	transfers: 1983 and 1982	XVII
	DETAILED TABLES	
	PEINISE INDEC	
1.	Number of persons below the poverty level and poverty rate—current poverty definition	
	and alternative methods of valuing noncash benefits, by selected characteristics:	2
_	1979 to 1983	2
2.	Poverty status of persons and families, by the official poverty definition and alternative methods of valuing noncash benefits, by selected characteristics: 1983	8

## DETAILED TABLES - CONTINUED

		Page
3.	Number of families and unrelated individuals receiving noncash benefits and average benefits received, by type of benefit and valuation method, by poverty status: 1983	32
4.	Families and unrelated individuals below the poverty thresholds based on income, cash transfers, and noncash transfers, by selected characteristics: 1983	38
	APPENDIXES	
A. B.	U.S. Senate Statement, "Data Collection and Poverty Level"  Description of noncash valuation techniques	″ A-1
	Market value	B-1
	Recipient or cash equivalent value	B-3
C.	Poverty budget shares	B-11 C-1
	Source of data	C-1
	Reliability of estimates	C-3
	Program descriptions and data collection	00
D.	Food stamps	D-1
	School lunches	D-1
	Public or other subsidized housing	D-1
	Medicaid	D-2
	Medicare	D-2
E.	Definitions and explanations	E-1
F.	Underreporting of cash income and noncash benefits	F-1
	APPENDIX TABLES	
B-1.	Contributions per meal and annual market value subsidies for national school lunch	
	program benefits, by type of lunch: 1979 to 1983	B-2
B-2.	Mean annual market rent for public or other subsidized housing units, by total	B-3
B-3.	household money income and size of family unit	B-4
	household money income and size of family unit	D-4
B-4.	housing units, by total household money income and size of family unit	8-5
B-5.	Annual market values for Medicare, by State and risk class for 1979 and 1983	B-6
B-6.	Annual market values for Medicaid including institutional expenditures, by State and	
	risk class for 1979	B-7
B-7.	risk class for 1983	B-8
B-8.	Annual market values for Medicaid excluding institutional expenditures, by State and risk class for 1979	B-9
B-9.	the state of the s	
	risk class for 1983	B-10
B-10	). Mean annual normal expenditures for food, by total household money income and	
B-11	type and size of family unit	B-11
	size of family unit	B-12
B-12	2. Mean annual normal expenditures for rental units in nonsubsidized housing, by total	
	household money income and size of family unit	B-13
B-13	3. Normal expenditure values for medical care, by age or disability status of the	B-14
	householder and size of household	D-14

# APPENDIX TABLES-CONTINUED

		Page
B-14.	Poverty budget shares for food, by year and size of family unit	B-14
B-15.	Poverty budget shares for public or other subsidized rental housing by size of	
	family unit	B-15
B-16.	Poverty budget shares for medical benefits, by size of family unit	B-15
C-1.	Standard errors of estimated numbers of households or persons: 1979-83	C-2
C-2.	Standard errors of estimated percentages of households or persons: 1979-83	C-3
C-3.	"a" and "b" parameters for computing approximate standard errors of estimated	
	numbers and percentages of households and persons: 1979-83	C-4
C-4.	Parameters for estimated numbers and percentages of persons by poverty status,	
	age, sex, race, and Spanish origin: 1966-83	C-6
C-5.	Year-to-year correlation coefficients for poverty estimates of households and persons:	
	1979-82	C-7
E-1.	Weighted average poverty thresholds: 1979-83	E-1
E-2.	Annual average consumer price index (CPI): 1947-1983	E-2
F-1.	Comparisons of CPS aggregate money income in 1982 with independently derived	
	estimates by income type	F-2

### **SYMBOLS USED IN TABLES**

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
- B Base less than 75,000.
- X Not applicable.

## Estimates of Poverty Including the Value of Noncash Benefits: 1983

#### INTRODUCTION

This report describes alternative procedures for valuing noncash benefits received by the low-income population and estimates the effect of these benefits on the size and composition of the poverty population in 1983. The report updates the estimates for 1979 to 1982 presented in Technical Paper 51 (issued in February 1984), using identical methods to value noncash benefits. Each of these methods is subject to many conceptual and measurement problems. In addition, there is as yet no consensus concerning either the relative merits of the three methods or the appropriateness of incorporating noncash benefits into the poverty measure in any form; therefore, all the work in this report should be viewed as exploratory in nature.

The Bureau of the Census has been the source of the official estimates of the poverty population since 1969 as specified in Directive No. 14 issued by the Office of Management and Budget. Estimates of the poverty population have been published annually since that time based on the cash or money income data collected in the March Current Population Survey (CPS). In March 1980, the Bureau began collecting data on participation in a selected group of noncash benefit programs. Questions covering participation in the National School Lunch Program, Food Stamp Program, public and other subsidized rental housing programs, Medicare, and Medicaid have been a permanent part of the March survey since that time. These serve as the basis for examining procedures for valuing benefits and estimating the effect on poverty for this study.

The Bureau's work in the area of noncash valuation research began in the fall of 1980, following concerns expressed by Congress as outlined in appendix A. At that time Dr. Timothy Smeeding came to the Census Bureau as a visiting scholar under the American Statistical Association Fellowship Program, Dr. Smeeding, a leading expert in this field, worked closely with the Census Bureau staff to investigate various procedures that might be used to value noncash benefits for 1979. This investigation resulted in the publication of Technical Paper No. 50, issued in March 1982, showing the effect of including the value of noncash benefits as income for purposes of measuring the poverty population. The report examined three different valuation methods: the market value, the cash equivalent value, and the poverty budget share value. (The conceptual basis of these methods is described later.) The three valuation approaches were applied to three different combinations of food, housing, and medical care benefits, thus producing nine different alternative estimates of poverty for 1979. It was found that the estimated number of persons in poverty would be reduced between 12 and 42 percent in 1979, depending upon the choice of valuation technique and combination of benefits. The calculations that resulted in these estimates were based on the assumption that it is appropriate to include the value of noncash benefits as part of the income measure that is compared to the official poverty thresholds. For a discussion of this point and other issues, see the section on "Limitations of the Study."

This report is organized into several sections. Following the introduction are sections covering the growth of noncash benefits programs and a description of the three valuation concepts used in this analysis. Succeeding those are sections on the poverty population in 1983, changes in receipt and average values of noncash benefits, and estimates of poverty before and after inclusion of both cash and noncash benefits. This material is followed by a discussion of the study's limitations. Next are the detailed tables, providing data on noncash benefits and their effect on poverty for various demographic and socioeconomic subgroups of the population. Technical appendixes are included after the detailed tables. Appendix A describes the U.S. Senate statement that initiated noncash benefit research at the Bureau. Appendix B provides the technical details about the methods used to value noncash benefits under each of the different approaches. Appendix C provides information on the source and reliability of the estimates. Appendix D gives a description of each of the noncash benefit programs. Appendix E is a glossary of standard statistical definitions and explanations. Appendix F discusses problems of underreporting of recipiency and amounts in the March CPS.

#### **GROWTH OF NONCASH BENEFITS**

The majority of Federal expenditures intended to assist the low-income population are now concentrated in programs that provide in-kind or noncash benefits. The market value of these means-tested benefits surpassed that of means-tested cash assistance during the early 1970's and has continued to grow in importance. The growth of both cash and noncash benefit programs is illustrated in table A. This table shows that in 1965, the market value of means-tested noncash benefits was about \$5.5 billion (in 1983 constant dollars). About three-fourths of

these means-tested benefits were in the form of medical assistance to low-income elderly persons. The means-tested cash assistance in 1965 was about \$17.8 billion, more than three times the expenditure on noncesh programs. By 1970, the market value of noncesh benefits had risen climost four-fold to about \$20.3 billion. Expenditures on cash assistance programs increased by only 3 percent to \$18.3 billion. In 1979, the first year for which noncesh benefit data were collected in the March CPS, noncesh benefits to the low-income population stood at about \$45.2 billion compared to \$31.8 billion for the meanstested cash assistance programs.

Data in table A indicate that the market value (the estimated cost of an equivalent good or service in the private market place as described in detail later) of means-tested noncash benefits continued to increase between 1979 and 1981, declined in 1982, but rose again in 1983 to slightly exceed the level of two years before. Means-tested cash benefits declined for each year of this period and were lower in 1983 than in 1975 after adjusting for the change in prices. It is clear from table A that Medicaid is, by far, the largest means-tested noncash benefit program, accounting for about 63 percent of the total in 1983. The market value of Medicaid alone, \$31.5 billion, exceeds the \$27.6 billion in means-tested cash assistance.

The lower portion of table A shows the two nonmeans-tested benefits that were valued in this study. The market value of Medicare has risen rapidly since the program began in 1966. The

\$55.6 billion figure for 1983 was the largest of any government noncash benefit program. Over the period from 1979 to 1983 the market value of Medicare increased by 35 percent after adjusting for changes in the price of medical care. This compares to only a 10 percent increase in the market value for Medicaid benefits during the period.

The other nonmeans-tested benefit, paid or full-price school lunches, declined in market value during the 1979 to 1983 period, mainly the result of reduced Federal subsidies. The market value of full-price school lunch subsidies fell during this period from \$941 million in 1979 to \$590 million in 1983.

#### **EXPLANATION OF VALUATION TECHNIQUES**

The valuation of noncash benefits in this report is based on the three valuation methods presented in Technical Paper No. 50. Before examining each valuation technique in detail, it is useful to understand the major conceptual differences between them and their general relationship to one another. "Market value" is the estimated private market cost of the goods and services transferred to the recipient. "Recipient or cash equivalent value" is the estimated cash amount for which recipients would be willing to trade their right to the noncash benefit given their current incomes (including cash and the market value of any noncash benefits received). The "poverty budget share value" approach assigns a value to the benefit equal to the

Table A. Means-Tested Cash Assistance and the Market Value of Noncash Benefits Valued in This Study: 1965, 1970, 1975, and 1979-83

(In millions of 1983 constant dollars)

Type of benefit	1965	1970	1975	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Means-tested cash								
assistance <sup>1</sup>	\$17,772	\$18,284	\$33,122	\$31,764	\$30,812	\$29,444	\$28,069	\$27,589
Noncash benefits, total	5,940	38,992	66,304	87,416	93,236	99,972	101,699	105,993
Means-tested, total	5,514	20,321	36,591	45,208	47,222	49,634	48,405	49,845
Food stamps	101	1,414	8,119	8,901	10,502	11,629	10,534	11,117
School lunches	(NA)	316	1,529	1,811	1,851	1,847	1,827	1,989
Public housing <sup>2</sup>	1,109	4,208	4,190	5,740	5,445	5,039	5,175	5,223
Medicaid	4.304	14,383	22,754	28,755	29,424	31,119	30,868	31,516
Nonmeans-tested, total	426	18,671	29,712	42,156	46.014	50,338	53,294	56,148
Medicare	(NA)	18,215	28,855	41,215	45,080	49,564	52,729	55,55
School lunches	426	456	857	941	934	774	565	590

NA Not applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Includes Aid to Families with Dependent Children, general assistance, Supplemental Security Income, and means-tested veteran's pensions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Estimates for 1979 through 1983 were derived directly from the noncash valuation techniques studied in this report.

estimated amount by which the poverty threshold could be reduced for the family receiving the benefit. This reduction is equal to the average dollar amount of the good or service consumed by households with money income approximately equal to the poverty level. The value assigned by either of the latter two approaches cannot exceed the value assigned by the market value approach.

#### Market Value

The market value (MV) of an in-kind transfer is equal to the private market value of the benefits received by the individual. In the case of food stamps, the market value is directly measurable as the dollar value of food coupons. In other cases, MV is not so easily determined.

The market values of Medicaid and Medicare benefits were estimated by dividing total medical benefits paid by the programs by the number of persons covered. The calculations were carried out after persons were placed in various risk categories. For Medicare, the risk classes were (1) age 65 and over, and (2) blind and disabled. For Medicaid, the risk classes were (1) age 65 and over, (2) blind and disabled, (3) age 21 to 64, nondisabled, and (4) age less than 21, nondisabled. The market value assigned varied by risk class, State of residence, and whether the value of benefits going to institutionalized persons was included with the value of benefits going to those not in institutions. For example, the market value of Medicaid benefits in 1983 was estimated to be \$7,883 for a person 65 and over living in New York and counting the benefits going to the institutionalized. If the benefits going to the institutionalized were not counted, the estimated market value dropped to \$3,222. For nondisabled persons under 21 living in New York, the estimated market value of Medicaid was \$602 when benefits going to the institutionalized were included and \$546 when they were not included.

In the case of public housing, the conceptual measure of MV was defined as the difference between the private market rental value of the unit and the rent paid by the tenants. Estimating MV for public housing is difficult because the private market rental value of public housing units is not available directly from surveys or other sources. Complex statistical procedures were used to link data from the Annual Housing Survey and the March CPS in order to arrive at estimates of MV for this benefit.

#### Recipient or Cash Equivalent Value

The receipt of noncash benefits may distort consumption patterns and, therefore, add less to a recipient's economic well-being than an equal dollar value cash transfer. If so, the benefits should be discounted from their market value to their recipient value to reflect this lower value. Recipient value (RV) theoretically reflects the program beneficiary's own valuation of the benefit. Theoretically, it would be measured by the amount of cash that would make the recipient feel just as well off as the noncash benefit. Many economists feel that, in theory, cash equivalent value is the proper measure for valuing noncash benefits to evaluate their effect on the economic well-being of the poor. Not all economists are in full agreement on this issue, however, since

many earlier studies of the effect of noncash benefits on poverty have used MV. The Congressional Budget Office (1977) and Hoagland (1980) both used MV but included a statement that the cash value of noncash benefits to recipients may be less than the MV.

In theory, the recipient or cash equivalent value can be estimated by assigning a utility function¹ to all recipients. The cash equivalent measure is the amount of cash transfer that leaves the recipient at the same level of well-being or utility as the noncash transfers. Accurate estimates of cash equivalent value necessitate knowledge of all recipients' differing utility functions and the prices they pay. Because utility functions cannot be observed and measured with a high degree of accuracy, and because of difficulties with current consumption data, a simplified measure of recipient value was developed as a substitute.

The cash equivalent value estimates in this study are based on household survey data that allow the calculation of normal (average) expenditures at different income levels. These estimates were derived by assuming that the cash equivalent value of a noncash benefit is equal to the normal expenditure on that good or service by unsubsidized consumers with similar characteristics (e.g., income size, location, and age). Calculating cash equivalent value in this manner implicitly assumes that there is no difference between the comparable family and the recipient family. However, if both units are eligible for a given benefit and only one actually participates in the program while the other (the comparison unit) does not, it may be incorrect to infer that the expenditures for the given good by the nonparticipant are equivalent to those of the participant if there was no program. This may result in selectivity bias, one of the limitations of the cash equivalent value approach.

If the recipient normally spends less than the MV of the noncash benefit on the subsidized good or service, the noncash benefit will cause a change in the expenditure pattern. This means that the noncash benefit is worth less to the individual than an equal amount of cash that would not lead to a change in spending habits. If the MV of the benefit exceeds the normal expenditure level, RV can be approximated by the level of normal expenditures. If normal expenditures exceed the MV of the benefit, RV is equal to MV. That is, because the noncash benefit recipient would normally spend at least as much as the MV on the good, it would not alter the normal expenditure pattern.

The estimates of RV's were based on data from several sources. The normal expenditures for food were computed using diary data from the new Consumer Expenditure Survey. Those for public housing were based on the complex linkage of March CPS and Annual Housing Survey data for 1979 and 1981. The data used to compute the RV's for medical benefits are especially weak. They were derived from the 1972-1973 Consumer Expenditure Survey and required the inclusion of persons covered by Medicare and employer-provided health insurance. More details on these problems can be found in appendix B and Technical Paper No. 50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A utility function is an economic construct that indicates consumers' relative preferences for various goods and services depending on how consumers substitute these goods and services for one another.

#### **Poverty Budget Share Value**

The third valuation method examined in this study was poverty budget share (PBS). The PBS approach is a different type of valuation technique that links the value of noncash benefits directly to the current concept of poverty. PBS is not strictly a measure of the value of noncash benefits, but rather, it is a method for dealing with such benefits in the determination of a person's poverty status. The poverty thresholds can be thought of as the amount of money which, if spent wisely, will be sufficient to meet the basic needs of a family or single person. The amount of money needed to meet their needs will be reduced if some of the needs are met by noncash benefits. For example, if a low-income person participates in the Medicaid program, then PBS assumes that at least some of his or her medical needs are being met and the amount of money required to achieve a basic standard of living is reduced. The amount that the money poverty thresholds would be reduced would be no more than that implied by observed consumption levels for people near the poverty level who were not receiving such benefits. This assumption does not presume that benefits above this amount have no worth to the individual; it merely presumes that recipients have little ability to use excess amounts of one noncash benefit to meet different types of needs. To assign a substantially larger value to a particular benefit would require the assumption that recipients can make such substitutions to a significant extent.

Derivation of PBS values were based on data from the Annual Housing Survey and the 1960-61 Consumer Expenditure Survey. Because the poverty levels were developed assuming one-third of income is spent on food, the PBS value limits on food were set at one-third of the poverty levels. The PBS value limits for housing were obtained from the Annual Housing Surveys for 1979 and 1981 by computing the average proportions of income spent on housing by families with incomes near the poverty level not residing in public housing. Values for medical benefits were estimated based on the 1960-61 Consumer Expenditure Survey. Poverty levels were multiplied by the proportions of income spent on medical care during the 1960-61 period to arrive at the PBS limits.

#### **ILLUSTRATION OF VALUATION TECHNIQUES**

Two of the three valuation techniques used in this study, recipient value and poverty budget shares, are difficult for many people to understand. To help provide a clearer picture of these concepts and the relationship between the three approaches, examples have been included for food stamp and Medicaid benefits.

#### Food Stamps

The market value has been defined as the price of the good or service provided for by the noncash benefit. A four-person family with an annual cash income of \$6,000 in 1983 and receiving an annual face value of \$1,500 in food stamps would be assigned \$1,500 as a market value. This value was assigned because the food stamps purchase that amount of the good, in

this case food. The total income of the family would then be \$7,500, still below the poverty level of \$10,178.

The recipient value assigned would, in most cases, be somewhat less than the market value because most recipients would prefer cash and would be willing to exchange the food stamps for an amount which is less than the face value of \$1,500. The normal expenditure approach used in this study assigned recipient values for food stamps that averaged about 96 percent of the market value. Hence, this hypothetical family would have been assigned a value of \$1,440 for the recipient value.

The third approach, poverty budget shares, requires the calculation of the amount that the family needs to meet its basic food requirements. Because the official poverty definition assumes that one-third of total income is required for food, the food budget is calculated by multiplying the poverty threshold (\$10,178 for a four-person family) by one-third. In this case, the amount required for food is set at \$3,393. The value assigned by the poverty budget share approach is equal to the market value of the benefit if the market value is less than or equal to the calculated required budget amount. If the market value of the benefit exceeds the calculated required budget amount, then the latter amount is assigned as the value of the benefit. In this example, the poverty budget share approach assigns the market value of the food stamps (\$1,500).

#### Medicaid

An insurance value approach was used to assign the market value of Medicaid benefits. Under this concept total medical benefits paid were divided by the number of persons enrolled in the program. Beneficiaries were grouped into four categories: aged, blind or disabled, nondisabled persons age 21 to 64 years, and nondisabled persons under age 21. Insurance values for persons in these four groups were computed by state of residence and by whether total benefits were defined to include or exclude those going to persons in institutions. For example, an elderly person living in New York with money income of \$4,400 in 1983 would have been assigned a market value of \$7,884 if covered by Medicaid, if expenditures for institutional care were included in the calculation of average benefits. This amount is \$3,109 higher than the poverty level of \$4,775 for elderly unrelated individuals.

The normal expenditure approach to assigning recipient value for Medicaid would have used data from the 1972-73 Consumer Expenditure Survey to assign a value of \$567 for the insurance value of Medicaid to this individual. Under this concept, the value of the benefit is limited to the amount spent for the good or service, on average, by persons not covered by the program.

The poverty budget shares for medical care were based on the 1960-61 Consumer Expenditure Survey. This survey showed that aged persons living alone, with money income near the poverty level, spent about 11.4 percent of their income on medical care. Based on this figure, the required budget for medical care was \$544, 11.4 percent of the \$4,775 poverty level for this aged person in 1983. Because the market value of Medicaid exceeded the calculated required budget amount,

the poverty budget shares approach valued the benefits this person received from Medicaid coverage at \$544.

#### **CHANGES IN POVERTY, 1982-83**

#### Official Poverty Statistics

Between 1982 and 1983, the number of poor persons showed some evidence of an increase, rising from 34.4 to 35.3 million (the difference was statistically significant at the 90-percent confidence level). These persons represented 15.2 percent of the noninstitutional population, about the same as in 1982. The poverty threshold for a family of four was \$10,178 in 1983, 3.2 percent higher than in the previous year.

Few of the major population subgroups experienced changes in the number of poor or the poverty rate during 1983 (see table B). The changes in these figures for Whites, Blacks, and persons of Spanish origin were not statistically significant. Neither the elderly (persons 65 years and over) nor the young (persons under 18 years) showed significant changes, although the number of poor persons under 6 years old was 6.5 percent higher in 1983 than in 1982 (the change was statistically significant at the 90-percent confidence level).

The poverty rate in 1983 for all persons in families was 13.8 percent, not significantly different from 1982. The poverty rate for persons in married-couple families was 9.1 percent in 1983, and the poverty rate for persons in families with a female householder, no husband present, was 40.2 percent.

Table B. Persons in Poverty, by Selected Characteristics: 1979-83

(Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March of the following year)

Selected characteristics  NUMBER IN POVERTY	1983	1982	1981	1980	1979
NUMBER IN POVERTY					
All persons	35,266	34,398	31,822	29,272	26,072
White	23,974	23,517	21,553	19,699	17,214
Black	9,885	9,697	9,173	8,579	8,050
Spanish origin 1	4,249	4,301	3,713	3,491	2,921
Persons under 6 years	5,302	4,977	4,555	4,107	3,521
Persons 6 to 17 years	8,505	8,670	7,950	7,436	6,856
Persons 65 years and over	3,711	3,751	3,853	3,871	3,682
Persons in families, total	27,804	27,349	24,850	22,601	19,964
Persons in married-couple families	15,001	14,839	13,177	11,861	10,074
Persons in families maintained by women, no					
husband present	12,020	11,701	11,051	10,120	9,400
Unrelated individuals	6,832	6,458	6,490	6,227	5,743
Males	2,619	2,347	2,239	2,109	1,972
Females	4,213	4,110	4,251	4,118	3,771
PERCENT IN POVERTY					
All persons	15.2	15.0	14.0	13.0	11.7
White	12.1	12.0	11.1	10.2	9.0
Black	35.7	35.6	34.2	32.5	31.0
Spanish origin <sup>1</sup>	28.4	29.9	26.5	25.7	21.8
Persons under 6 years	25.0	23.8	22.4	20.7	18.2
Persons 6 to 17 years	20.8	20.9	18.9	17.3	15.6
Persons 65 years and over	14.1	14.6	15.3	15.7	15.2
Persons in families, total	13.8	13.6	12.5	11.5	10.2
Persons in married-couple families	9.1	8.9	8.0	7.2	6.1
Persons in families maintained by women, no				į	
husband present	40.2	40.6	38.7	36.7	34.9
Unrelated individuals	23.4	23.1	23.4	22.9	21.9
Males	19.9	18.8	18.1	17.4	16.9
	26.2	26.6	27.7	27.4	26.0

<sup>1</sup>Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

The poverty rate for unrelated individuals did not change significantly between 1982 and 1983, remaining at about 23 percent. However, the actual number of these individuals who were classified as poor rose by almost 400,000.

Between 1979 and 1983, the number of persons below the poverty level rose by 9.2 million or 35.3 percent, and the poverty rate rose from 11.7 to 15.2 percent. Most segments of the U.S. population experienced increases in poverty during this period. Persons 65 years and over were a notable exception to this trend; the number of elderly poor was about 3.7 million in both 1979 and 1983.

See Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 145, Money Income and Poverty Status of Families and Persons in the United States: 1983 (Advance Data from the 1984 Current Population Survey) for a more detailed examination of the official poverty estimates for 1983.

#### **Poverty Statistics After Valuing Noncash Benefits**

Comparisons of estimates of the poverty population before and after inclusion of the value of noncash benefits are summarized in tables C, D, and E. Because there are different viewpoints concerning the desirability of including the value of noncash benefits in the measure of income used to determine poverty and because there are serious questions concerning some of the techniques used to value noncash benefits, the estimates shown in this report must be viewed as experimental. The tables show data for nine different combinations of the three valuation approaches and three groupings of benefits valued. These nine alternative estimates are identical to those shown in Technical Papers 50 and 51. Three different groupings of the food, housing, and medical benefits were chosen because of the overwhelming importance of medical benefits and concerns over the proper treatment of medical expenditures for the institutionalized in the calculation of insurance values for Medicare and Medicaid. Detailed discussions of these issues are contained in Technical Paper No. 50. The three categories of benefits used were 1) food and housing benefits only, 2) food, housing, and medical benefits including expenditures for institutional care, and 3) food, housing, and medical benefits excluding expenditures for institutional care.

The effect of the value of noncash benefits on estimates of poverty varies significantly for different subgroups of the population since certain subgroups tend to receive larger or smaller amounts than others. The poverty rate for the aged population,

Table C. Number of Persons in Poverty, by Valuation Technique and Type of Noncash Benefits Included: 1979-83

(Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March of the following year)

Type of measure	1983	1982	1981	1980	1979
Official definition	35,266	34,398	31,822	29,272	26,072
Market value approach:					
Including food and housing	31,903	30,688	27,932	25,042	21,698
Including food, housing, and medical care for noninstitutionalized persons	24,334	23,563	21,046	18,221	15,696
Including food, housing, and all medical care	23,739	22,885	20,500	17,706	15,099
Recipient value approach:					
Including food and housing	32,528	31,365	28,651	25,633	22,270
care for noninstitutionalized persons	30,585	29,407	26,784	23,895	20,478
Including food, housing, and all medical care	30,202	29,058	26,500	23,512	20,152
Poverty budget share value approach:					
Including food and housing	32,237	31,111	28,317	25,602	22,409
Including food, housing, and medical care for noninstitutionalized persons	29,935	28,720	26,175	23,299	20,186
Including food, housing, and all medical care	29,935	28,713	26,175	23,299	20,184

Table D. Percent of Persons in Poverty, by Valuation Technique and Type of Noncash Benefits Included: 1979-83

1983	1982	1981	1980	1979
15.2	15.0	14.0	13.0	11.7
	:			
13.8	13.4	12.3	11.1	9.7
10.5	10.3	9.3	8.1	7.0
10.2	10.0	9.0	7.9	. 6.8
14.0	13.7	12.6	11.4	10.0
13.2	12.8	11.8	10.6	9.2
13.0	12.7	11.7	10.4	9.0
13.9	13.6	12.5	11.4	10.1
12.9	12.5	11.5	10.4	9.1
12.9	12.5	11.5	10.4	9.1
	15.2 13.8 10.5 10.2 14.0 13.2 13.0	15.2 15.0  13.8 13.4  10.5 10.3  10.2 10.0  14.0 13.7  13.2 12.8  13.0 12.7  13.9 13.6  12.9 12.5	15.2 15.0 14.0  13.8 13.4 12.3  10.5 10.3 9.3  10.2 10.0 9.0  14.0 13.7 12.6  13.2 12.8 11.8  13.0 12.7 11.7  13.9 13.6 12.5  12.9 12.5 11.5	15.2       15.0       14.0       13.0         13.8       13.4       12.3       11.1         10.5       10.3       9.3       8.1         10.2       10.0       9.0       7.9         14.0       13.7       12.6       11.4         13.2       12.8       11.8       10.6         13.0       12.7       11.7       10.4         13.9       13.6       12.5       11.4         12.9       12.5       11.5       10.4

persons 65 years or older, is especially sensitive to the value of medical benefits since such a large proportion of this group is covered by Medicare.

Market value. The market value approach assigns the largest values to noncash benefits and, therefore, yields the lowest estimates of poverty. In 1983, accounting for the market value of food and housing benefits alone resulted in a poverty population of 31.9 million, 9.5 percent lower than the estimate based on money income. When all medical benefits were valued as well, the number of poor was estimated at 23.7 million in 1983, 32.7 percent lower than the cash-only figure. Medical benefits are responsible for 71 percent of this difference.

Although valuation of noncash benefits at their market value results in a poverty population that is much smaller than the official estimate, the percentage increases in the two estimates between 1982 and 1983 were not significantly different: 2.5 percent under the official definition and 3.7 percent including the market value of all food, housing, and medical benefits. During the 1979-83 period, however, the number of poor rose by 57 percent with market valuation of these benefits, substantially larger than the 35-percent increase based on money income only. Under the official definition of poverty, there was no significant change between 1982 and 1983 in the overall poverty rate or in the rate for any major population subgroup. The same is true when the market value of noncash benefits is added to cash income.

As discussed in the earlier technical papers, the inclusion of noncash benefits at their market value reduces the official poverty rates more for some groups than for others. In general, the groups that are affected most are those with higher than average poverty rates, since they are more likely to be eligible for means-tested benefits, and the elderly, because almost all are covered by Medicare. For 1983, the poverty rate for all persons under the market value approach (valuing food, housing, and all medical benefits) was 10.2 percent, about one-third less than the official rate. However, the poverty rate for Blacks under this approach was 41 percent lower than their official rate; for persons in families maintained by women with no husband present, 39 percent lower;2 and for persons 65 years old and over, 77 percent lower. Table E shows the effect of each valuation method on the poverty rates for these and other selected groups.

The very low 3.3 percent poverty rate for the aged results almost entirely from counting the market values of Medicare and Medicaid as income (the poverty rate for the aged was 12.3 percent valuing only food and housing). The method used to value medical benefits involved calculation of their insurance value, so that people covered by the programs are not assigned large amounts of "income" when they become ill. Nevertheless, critics of the market value approach have contended that even

<sup>\*</sup>The difference between the 39-percent and 41-percent reductions was not statistically significant.

Table E. Percent of Persons in Poverty, by Valuation Technique by Selected Characteristics: 1983 and 1982

<b>、</b> .		Including value of all food, housing, and medical benefits (including institutional care expenditures)				
Year and characteristic	Official poverty definition	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value		
983						
. All persons	15.2	10.2	13.0	12.9		
White	12.1	8.6	10.5	10.5		
Black	35.7	21.2	29.4	28.7		
Spanish origin 1		20.2	25.0	24.8		
Persons under 6 years		18.2	22.6	21.9 17.5		
Persons 6 to 17 years		14.2	17.9 8.7	9.1		
Persons 65 years and over	1	3.3 9.4	11.8	11.7		
Persons in families, total	9.1	6.6	7.8	7.9		
present	40.2	24.7	34.1	32.6		
Unrelated individuals	23.4	15.0	19.7	20.0		
Males	19.9	15.5	18.4	18.7		
Females	26.2	14.5	20.9	21.1		
982						
All persons	15.0	10.0	12.7	12.5		
White	12.0	8.3	10.3	10.2		
Black	35.6	21.5	29.3	28.7		
Spanish origin 1	29.9	20.5	26.1	25.5		
Persons under 6 years	23.8	17.2	21.2	20.6		
Persons 6 to 17 years	20.9	14.0	17.6	17.2 9.6		
Persons 65 years and over	14.6	3.5 9.1	9.3 11.5	11.3		
Persons in families, total	8.9	9.1 6.4	7.5	7.5		
Persons in families maintained by women, no husband		0.4	,,,,	,.,		
present	40.6	24.8	33.9	32.7		
Unrelated individuals	23.1	14.7	19.6	19.7		
Males	18.8	14.9	17.2	17.5		
Females	26.6	14.5	21.5	21.6		

Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

the insurance value concept overstates the value of medical benefits, at least for the purpose of determining poverty status. One argument is that a high percentage of program benefits are paid during the last year of life, and that the guarantee of medical care during a final illness does not improve the well-being of persons who have not reached that stage. Another point is that health care costs have risen much faster in recent years than the overall rate of inflation, so that the market value of benefits

has risen faster than the poverty thresholds (which are linked to the all-items Consumer Price Index). This has the effect of lowering poverty rates even though there may have been no change in the amount or quality of health care paid for by the programs. A third argument would question the assignment of a market value for Medicare that would raise people above the poverty threshold, with regard to whether their nonmedical needs are met.

Recipient or cash equivalent value. Because recipient values for noncash benefits are based on the normal expenditure approach and are limited to be no greater than the market value, the recipient value technique results in higher estimates of poverty than the market value. In 1983, the number of poor after inclusion of food and housing was 32.5 million, 7.8 percent lower than the cash-only figure. Adding all medical benefits, there were 30.2 million persons classified as poor, 14.4 percent below the official estimate. Between 1982 and 1983, the poverty population increased by 3.9 percent; from 1979 to 1983 the increase was 50 percent.

The estimate of the number of poor persons based on recipient values for food and housing is similar to that based on market values; however, when medical care is included, recipient values produce a much higher estimate than market values. The 1983 poverty rate for the elderly using recipient value was 8.7 percent, in between the rate based on market value and the official rate.

Problems with the recipient value approach center around the difficulty of estimating recipient values accurately. One problem is the possibility of selectivity bias, which is discussed in the earlier section, "Explanation of Valuation Techniques." The effect of this type of bias on the estimates is unknown. Another problem is the absence of a sufficiently large nonsubsidized group from which to estimate values for medical transfers. The inclusion in the so-called counter-factual groups of persons who, in fact, receive some type of health care coverage probably leads to a downward bias in the recipient values calculated for Medicaid and subjects the estimated values for Medicare to a high degree of unreliability.

Poverty budget shares value. The poverty budget share approach results in an overall estimate of poverty similar to that produced by the recipient value approach. In 1983, there were 32.2 million persons classified as poor using this method to value food and housing only, dropping to 29.9 million when medical benefits were also valued. These figures are respectively 8.6 percent and 15.1 percent below the official poverty estimates. Including all food, housing, and medical benefits, the poverty population using poverty budget shares rose by 4.3 percent between 1982 and 1983, and by 48.3 percent from 1979 to 1983.

Critics of the poverty budget share approach have focused on the fact that it is not really a valuation technique at all, contending that the cap it places on the value of in-kind transfers is arbitrary and fails to account for all the goods and services provided to recipients. In addition, poverty budget shares are determined on the basis of 1960-61 expenditure data, which may be considered a problem by those who are concerned about the currency of the data underlying the poverty measure.

# RECEIPT OF NONCASH BENEFITS AND AVERAGE NONCASH BENEFIT VALUES

In 1983, 80.3 percent of all families below the poverty level received at least one noncash benefit, as shown in table F. This proportion was not significantly different from the previous

year's figure but was significantly lower than the 83.1 percent receiving benefits in 1979. Similarly, the proportion of unrelated individuals in poverty who received one or more noncash benefits in 1983 (55.1 percent) was unchanged from 1982 but lower than in 1979 (60.2 percent).

Consistent with the overall lack of change between 1982 and 1983, there were no significant changes in the receipt of noncash benefits in most of the various family size categories. In general, larger families were more likely to receive benefits than smaller ones; for example, 79.6 percent of poor three-person families received one or more benefits in 1983, compared with 94.2 percent of poor families with seven or more members. Furthermore, families on the whole were more likely to receive benefits than unrelated individuals. Aged individuals and two-person families with an aged householder were exceptions to these overall patterns because of the universality of Medicare coverage for persons 65 years old and over.

The average market value of benefits received by poor families was \$3,503 in 1983, not significantly different from the 1982 figure. The 1983 figure indicates a leveling off of the decline in real average market value that ocurred between 1979 and 1982. For unrelated individuals below the poverty level, there was no change in the average market value of benefits (\$2,749 in 1983). For unrelated individuals 65 years and over, the average benefit value rose by 5 percent, while the nonelderly showed no significant change.

### POVERTY BEFORE AND AFTER CASH AND NON-CASH BENEFITS

The cumulative effect of cash assistance programs and noncash benefit programs on the poverty population is summarized in table G for families and unrelated individuals for 1982 and 1983. The detailed tables contain similar data for these two groups by selected characteristics.

If neither cash assistance nor the value of noncash benefits is considered, 12.1 million families would have been classified as poor in 1983, resulting in a poverty rate of 19.5 percent. Both of these figures are unchanged from 1982. Social Security, by far the largest cash transfer program, effects a 33-percent reduction in the number of poor families in 1983, to 8.1 million. The inclusion of means-tested cash transfers lowers this figure by another 6 percent, to 7.6 million, and yields a poverty rate of 12.3 percent. These are the estimates produced by the official definition of poverty.

The impact of counting noncash benefits, including the value of medical care with institutional expenditures, depends on the valuation method used. Market value lowers the official 1983 estimates of poor families by one-third, to 5.1 million. Cash equivalent value results in a 15-percent reduction, to 6.5 million; poverty budget shares, approximately the same.

The lower portion of table G shows data for unrelated individuals, 29 percent of whom were age 65 or older in 1983. Before transfers, there were 10.9 million unrelated individuals classified as poor in 1983, with a poverty rate of 37.1 percent. Social Security alone reduced the number in poverty by 34 percent, to 7.1 million. The addition of means-tested cash transfers

Table F. Percent of Families and Unrelated Individuals in Poverty Receiving Noncash Benefits and Mean Market Value of Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983 and 1982

	Numbe	er in po	overty	more	related	l indi- overty ne or nsh	· · ·		
Characteristic	1983	1982	Dif- fer- ence	1983	1982	Dif- fer- ence	1983	1982	Percent change
FAMILIES									
Total2-person families, householder	7,641	7,512	129	80.3	81.4	-1.1	\$3,503	\$3,437	1.9
under 65 years2-person families, householder	1,863	1,765	98	64.3	65.3	1.0	2,787	2,607	. 6.9
65 years old or over	639	674	-35	96.4	96.1	0.3	4,301	4,288	0.3
3-person families	1,645	1,715	-70	79.6		1.2	3,043	3,238	-6.0
4-person families	1,553	1,413	140	81.3		2.8	3,216	3,139	2.5
5-person families	974	958	16	87.4		-0.6	3,352	3,303	1.5
6-person families	489	508	-19	91.4		-2.3	4,508	3,934	14.6
7-or-more-person families	479	478	1	94.2	96.2	-2.0	5,737	5,386	6.5
UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS									
Total	6,832	6,458	374	55.1	55.9	-0.8	2,749	2,710	1.4
Under age 65	4,559	4,183	376	34.3		-	2,256	2,354	-4.2
65 years and over	2,273	2,275	-2	96.7	95.7	1.0	3,099	2,945	5.2

<sup>-</sup> Rounds to zero.

yielded the official estimate of 6.8 million,<sup>3</sup> with a poverty rate of 23.4 percent. The value of noncash benefits resulted in estimates ranging from 4.4 million to 5.9 million for the number of poor in 1983 and from 15.0 to 20.0 percent for the poverty rate.<sup>4</sup>

#### LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

There are many conceptual and empirical problems associated with the procedures used to value noncash benefits for purposes of measuring the poverty population. Limitations of the valuation techniques themselves are discussed separately in the sections describing each procedure. While Technical Paper No. 50 discussed many of these limitations in detail, some of the problems are outlined below.

A major empirical problem that was encountered in this research effort was the lack of a more comprehensive data base from which to launch the study. First, the March CPS does not collect information on all sources of noncash benefits. Data for some public noncash programs such as free or reduced-price school breakfasts, the Women and Infants Care Program, and several smaller programs for child nutrition, low-income energy assistance, etc., assisting the low-income population were not included. Data covering all noncash benefits received by the lowincome population have not been collected because of constraints on interview time and questionnaire size. It should be noted, however, that the value of the benefits covered in the March CPS represent over 90 percent of the noncash benefits to the low-income population. Second, no data were collected on in-kind assistance provided by private charities or other organizations. Third, the questions on the March CPS were not specifically designed for purposes of noncash valuation. The lack of detailed information covering participation in the National School Lunch Program including amounts paid and days of participation probably prevented a more accurate distribution of these benefits. Estimating the value of subsidies for public or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The difference between 6.8 million and 7.1 million was not statistically significant.

<sup>\*</sup>For both the number of poor persons and the poverty rate, there was no significant difference between the results of the recipient value and poverty budget share approaches.

Table G. Poverty Status of Families and Unrelated Individuals Before and After Cash and Noncash Transfers: 1983 and 1982

(Numbers in thousands)

Recipiency	Num	ber in po	verty	Percent in poverty			
	1983	1982	Differ- ence	1983	1982	Differ- ence	
FAMILIES							
Before transfers	12,085	12,024	61	19.5	19.6	-0.1	
After Social Security	8,127	8,008	119	13.1	13.0	0.1	
After all cash transfers i	7,641	7,512	129	12.3	12.2	0.1	
After all cash and noncash transfers		_	ł				
Market value	5,080	4,904	176	8.2	8.0	0.2	
Cash equivalent value	6,478	6,274	204	10.4	10.2	0.2	
Poverty budget share	6,389	6,157	232	10.3	10.0	0.3	
UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS							
Before transfers	10,860	10,558	302	37.1	37.8	-0.7	
After Social Security	7,124	6,711	413	24.3	24.0	0.3	
After all cash transfers 1	6,832	6,458	374	23.4	23.1	0.3	
After all cash and noncash transfers			Ì		_		
Market value	4,385	4,094	291	15.0	14.7	0.3	
Cash equivalent value	5,775	5,462	313	19.7	19.6	0.1	
Poverty budget share	5,850	5,506	344	20.0	19.7	0.3	

<sup>1</sup> Income concept used in the official poverty definition.

other low-rent housing was also difficult. Data from the Annual Housing Survey were used to assign market rents and subsidized rents paid to households reporting residence in public and other subsidized rental housing. The lack of data on the "true" market rent of the subsidized unit is probably the most serious problem in this area. As was the case for all benefits except food stamps, no data were available on the number of months of participation. All recipients were assigned amounts that reflect 12-month participation. This procedure tended to distribute benefits evenly, thus overestimating the values for part-year participants and underestimating the values for full-year participants.

A second empirical problem is the underreporting of cash income and noncash benefits. This is a common problem encountered in household surveys that attempt to collect these types of data. The effect of underreporting is downward biased estimates of income and program participation and overestimation of the extent of poverty. The magnitude of this problem is unknown. While income underreporting is a serious problem in household surveys such as the March CPS, its effect on measures of year-to-year change in levels of income and poverty

is much less important because year-to-year variations in underreporting are relatively small. Estimates of underreporting are contained in appendix F.

There is a conceptual issue concerning the measurement of poverty that is germane to this report. The official poverty definition is based essentially on the cost of an economy food plan and a "multiplier" which was intended to account for amounts needed to cover the basic costs of housing, medical care, clothing, transportation, and other items. The value of the multiplier was set at three on the basis of a 1955 survey which showed that families spent one-third of their after-tax income on food. The poverty thresholds are updated annually to account for price changes. The value of the multiplier, then, depended only on the total value of money income after taxes and on the proportion spent on food. The introduction of noncash benefits into the income measure raises the question of whether it is desirable to compare this augmented income measure against poverty thresholds which were developed without taking into account noncash benefits or the proportion of total resources, cash and noncash, allocated to food.

Table 1. Number of Persons Below The Poverty Level and Poverty Rate—Current Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1979 to 1983

				Nu	mber below th	e poverty level				
		Val housi	uing food and ing benefits only		Valuing all	i food, housing, ar medical benefits	nd	Valuing medica institu	food, housing, ar benefits, excludir tional expenditure	nd ng s
ear and characteristic	Current poverty definition	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value
ALL PERSONS				ļ					20 505	20 025
1983	35 266 34 396 31 822 29 272 26 072	31 903 30 688 27 932 25 042 21 698	32 528 31 385 28 651 25 633 22 270	32 237 31 111 28 317 25 602 22 409	23 739 22 885 20 500 17 706 15 099	30 202 29 058 26 500 23 512 20 152	29 935 28 713 26 175 23 299 20 184	24 334 23 563 21 048 18 221 15 696	30 585 29 407 26 784 23 895 20 478	29 935 28 720 26 175 23 299 20 188
ORIGIN	ļ	-				!				
White			02 275	22 285	16 958	20 792	20 744 19 937	17 323 16 653	21 020	20 744 19 938
1983	23 974 23 517 21 553 19 699 17 214	22 105 21 280 19 219 17 381 14 897	22 375 21 685 19 632 17 727 15 135	21 507 19 440 17 689 15 253	16 272 14 482 12 728 10 645	20 102 18 092 16 257 13 701	19 937 17 936 16 151 13 748	16 653 14 767 12 997 10 965	20 363 18 288 16 503 13 888	19 938 17 936 16 151 13 748
Black					5 866	B 148	7 941	6 091	6 299	7 941
1983	9 685 9 697 9 173 8 579 8 050	6 480 8 347 7 764 6 787 6 088	8 813 8 633 8 060 7 006 6 407	8 628 8 533 7 925 7 004 6 425	5 839 5 278 4 291 3 867	7 982 7 498 6 404 5 747	7 811 7 327 6 289 5 741	6 126 5 536 4 525 4 126	8 068 7 579 6 529 5 884	7 817 7 327 6 289 5 743
Spanish Origin <sup>1</sup>							2 704	3 067	3 764	3 704
1983	4 249 4 301 3 713 3 491 2 921	3 870 3 806 3 201 2 923 2 328	3 930 3 917 3 307 3 014 2 398	3 912 3 867 3 270 2 990 2 416	3 015 2 949 2 355 2 069 1 608	3 739 3 755 3 118 2 785 2 214	3 704 3 673 3 032 2 733 2 185	3 029 2 401 2 111 1 668	3 780 3 137 2 829 2 234	3 673 3 032 2 733 2 185
AGE			-			ĺ				
Under 6 Years 1963	5 302 4 977 4 555 4 107 3 521	4 833 4 472 3 964 3 502 2 870	4 955 4 597 4 113 3 602 2 973	4 891 4 535 4 034 3 607 2 983	3 868 3 587 3 113 2 670 2 192	4 792 4 423 3 935 3 468 2 803	4 649 4 297 3 818 3 376 2 744	3 940 3 649 3 160 2 722 2 253	4 810 4 431 3 949 3 482 2 815	4 649 4 297 3 818 3 376 2 744
6 to 17 Years	ľ						7 100	5 935	7 360	7 166
1983	8 505 8 670 7 950 7 436 6 856	7 542 7 514 6 732 6 032 5 296	7 691 7 663 6 930 6 239 5 550	7 597 7 623 8 814 6 179 5 564	5 812 5 811 5 193 4 334 3 824	7 325 7 275 6 645 5 900 5 205	7 166 7 121 8 482 5 726 5 125	5 982 5 314 4 452 3 934	7 320 6 661 5 940 5 251	7 123 6 482 5 726 5 125
18 to 24 Years						4 463	4 430	3 921	4 490	4 430
1983	4 938 4 546 4 329 3 818 3 366	4 574 4 182 3 932 3 429 2 883	4 634 4 259 4 015 3 482 2 925	4 606 4 224 3 978 3 484 2 947	3 857 3 557 3 359 2 868 2 381	4 463 4 122 3 676 3 370 2 800	4 053 3 842 3 337 2 793	3 613 3 407 2 902 2 433	4 143 3 884 3 386 2 816	4 05- 3 84- 3 33- 2 79-
25 to 44 Years		ļ	ļ			7 460	7 353	6 399	7 499	7 35
1983	8 379 8 031 7 010 6 242 4 949	7 630 7 178 6 170 5 319 4 106	7 754 7 344 6 304 5 458 4 227	7 695 7 272 6 249 5 438 4 253	6 313 6 011 5 156 4 311 3 271	7 033 6 057 5 224	6 897 5 958 5 137 3 993	6 124 5 236 4 365 3 348	7 069	7 35: 6 89: 5 95: 5 13 3 99:

1Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 1. Number of Persons Below The Poverty Level and Poverty Rate—Current Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1979 to 1983—Con.

					Poverty	y rate				
Year and characteristic		Va hous	uluing food and sing benefits only		Valuing ail	g food, housing, a medical benefits	nd	Valuin medica instit	g food, housing, a al benefits, exclud utional expenditur	ind ing es
	Current poverty definition	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value
ALL PERSONS										
1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	15.2 15.0 14.0 13.0 11.7	13.8 13.4 12.3 11.1 9.7	14.0 13.7 12.6 11.4 10.0	13.9 13.6 12.5 11.4 10.1	10.2 10.0 9.0 7.9 6.8	13.0 12.7 11.7 10.4 9.0	12.9 12.5 11.5 10.4 9.1	10.5 10.3 9.3 8.1 7.0	13.2 12.8 11.8 10.6 9.2	12.9 12.5 11.5 10.4 9.1
RACE AND SPANISH ORIGIN										
White					Ì	}				
1983	12.1 12.0 11.1 10.2 9.0	11.2 10.9 9.9 9.0 7.8	11.3 11.1 10.1 9.2 7.9	11.3 11.0 10.0 9.2 8.0	8.6 8.3 7.4 6.6 5.6	10.5 10.3 9.3 8.4 7.1	10.5 10.2 9.2 8.4 7.2	8.8 8.5 7.6 6.7 5.7	10.6 10.4 9.4 8.6 7.2	10.5 10.2 9.2 8.4 7.2
Black										
1983	35.7 35.6 34.2 32.5 31.0	30.7 30.7 28.9 25.6 23.5	31.9 31.7 30.0 26.5 24.7	31.2 31.4 29.5 26.5 24.8	21.2 21.5 19.7 16.2 14.9	29.4 29.3 27.9 24.2 22.2	28.7 28.7 27.3 23.8 22.1	22.0 22.5 20.6 17.1 15.9	30.0 29.6 28.2 24.7 22.7	28.7 28.7 27.3 23.8 22.1
Spanish Origin¹				-						
1983	28.4 29.9 26.5 25.7 21.8	25.9 26.5 22.8 21.5 17.4	26.3 27.2 23.6 22.2 17.9	26.2 26.9 23.3 22.0 18.1	20.2 20.5 16.8 15.2 12.0	25.0 26.1 22.2 20.5 16.6	24.8 25.5 21.8 20.1 16.3	20.5 21.1 17.1 15.5 12.5	25.2 26.3 22.4 20.8 16.7	24.8 25.5 21.6 20.1 16.3
AGE										
Under 6 Years			İ	İ						
1983	25.0 23.8 22.4 20.7 18.2	22.8 21.4 19.5 17.6 14.8	23.4 22.0 20.3 18.1 15.4	23.1 21.7 19.9 18.2 15.4	18.2 17.2 15.3 13.4 11.3	22.6 21.2 19.4 17.5 14.5	21.9 20.6 18.8 17.0 14.2	18.6 17.5 15.6 13.7 11.6	22.7 21.2 19.4 17.5 14.5	21.9 20.6 18.8 17.0 14.2
6 to 17 Years										
1983	20.8 20.9 18.9 17.3 15.6	18.4 18.1 16.0 14.0 12.0	18.8 18.5 16.4 14.5	18.6 18.4 16.2 14.3 12.6	14.2 14.0 12.3 10.1 8.7	17.9 17.6 15.8 13.7 11.8	17.5 17.2 15.3 13.3 11.6	14.5 14.4 12.6 10.3 8.9	18.0 17.7 15.8 13.8 11.9	17.5 17.2 15.3 13.3 11.6
18 to 24 Years										
1963	17.2 15.7 14.8 13.1 11.6	15.9 14.4 13.5 11.7 9.9	16.2 14.7 13.8 11.9	16.1 14.5 13.6 11.9 10.1	13.4 12.2 11.5 9.8 8.2	15.6 14.2 13.3 11.5 9.8	15.4 14.4 13.2 11.4 9.6	13.7 12.4 11.7 9.9 8.4	15.7 14.3 13.3 11.6 9.7	15.4 14.0 13.2 11.4 9.6
25 to 44 Years			1							
1983	11.9 11.8 10.6 9.8 8.0	10.9 10.5 9.3 8.3 6.6	11.0 10.8 9.5 8.5 6.8	11.0 10.7 9.5 8.5 6.9	9.0 8.8 7.8 6.7 5.3	10.6 10.3 9.2 8.2 6.4	10.5 10.1 9.0 8.0 6.4	9.1 9.0 7.9 6.8 5.4	10.7 10.4 9.2 8.2 6.5	10.5 10.1 9.0 8.0 6.4

Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 1. Number of Persons Below The Poverty Level and Poverty Rate--Current Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1979 to 1983—Con.

				N	umber below th	e poverty level				
		Va hous	uluing food and sing benefits only		Valuing all	food, housing, er medical benefits	nd	Valuing medica institu	food, housing, a benefits, excludi- tional expenditure	nd ng ss
Year and characteristic	Current poverty definition	Market vake	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value
AGE-CONTINUED						:				
45 to 64 Years										
1983	4 430 4 423 4 125 3 799 3 697	4 081 4 048 3 787 3 405 3 304	4 192 4 133 3 859 3 460 3 353	4 203 4 151 3 883 3 530 3 415	3 021 3 006 2 755 2 489 2 399	3 883 3 807 3 560 3 145 3 039	3 953 3 874 3 629 3 296 3 150	3 177 3 153 2 870 2 611 2 527	3 942 3 877 3 623 3 232 3 097	3 953 3 876 3 629 3 296 3 150
65 Years and Over								200	0.495	2 384
1983	3 711 3 751 3 853 3 871 3 682	3 242 3 294 3 347 3 355 3 237	3 301 3 368 3 430 3 395 3 242	3 248 3 306 3 360 3 364 3 248	969 912 924 1 034 1 033	2 299 2 399 2 427 2 405 2 304	2 384 2 471 2 466 2 427 2 378	963 1 043 1 059 1 169 1 200	2 485 2 566 2 591 2 600 2 476	2 471 2 486 2 427 2 379
FAMILY STATUS										
In Families, Total <sup>2</sup>								40.000	24 200	22 488
1983	27 804 27 349 24 850 22 601 19 964	24 975 24 144 21 491 18 968 16 070	25 449 24 665 22 074 19 477 16 604	25 182 24 438 21 764 19 379 16 668	18 831 18 273 16 085 13 553 11 258	23 628 23 019 20 533 18 038 15 056	23 486 22 631 20 216 17 723 15 006	19 308 18 809 16 500 13 914 11 896	24 028 23 219 20 717 18 281 15 274	23 486 22 638 20 216 17 723 15 008
In Married-Couple Families			40.000	10 500	10 870	12 961	13 041	11 137	13 073	13 041
1983	15 001 14 839 13 177 11 881 10 074	13 829 13 342 11 722 10 264 8 644	13 889 13 478 11 807 10 377 8 743	13 880 13 412 11 781 10 381 8 772	10 572 9 253 7 826 6 471	12 961 12 547 10 961 9 578 7 895	12 534 10 985 9 597 8 002	10 762 9 372 7 946 6 613	12 647 11 085 9 745 8 010	13 041 12 534 10 985 9 597 8 002
In Families With A Female Householder, No Husband Present					-					
1983	12 020 11 701 11 051 10 120 9 400	10 419 10 064 9 214 6 163 6 968	10 842 10 437 9 710 8 572 7 425	10 571 10 284 9 428 8 470 7 458	7 401 7 137 6 437 5 316 4 473	10 192 9 788 9 071 7 965 6 772	9 755 9 417 9 710 7 645 6 607	7 570 7 438 6 716 5 535 4 741	10 278 9 870 9 122 8 039 6 861	9 755 9 423 8 710 7 645 6 608
All Unrelated Individuals								4 493	5 963	5 850
1983	6 832 6 458 6 490 8 227 5 743	6 317 5 958 5 981 5 669 5 280	6 469 6 115 6 116 5 741 5 314	6 445 6 088 6 089 5 802 5 389	4 385 4 094 3 989 3 793 3 537	5 775 5 482 5 519 5 084 4 745	5 850 5 508 5 511 5 170 4 830	4 228 4 119 3 946 3 696	5 603 5 618 5 202 4 853	5 850 5 508 5 511 5 170 4 830
Male Unrelated Individuals								6 005	0.450	2 400
1983	2 619 2 347 2 239 2 109 1 972	2 515 2 231 2 150 2 010 1 875	2 547 2 269 2 181 2 025 1 885	2 557 2 282 2 184 2 050 1 910	2 044 1 863 1 749 1 584 1 505	2 416 2 146 2 071 1 883 1 762	2 460 2 162 2 071 1 911 1 788	2 085 1 908 1 779 1 623 1 542	2 450 2 174 2 086 1 914 1 779	2 460 2 182 2 071 1 911 1 788
Female Unrelated Individuals									1	
1963	4 213 4 110 4 251 4 118 3 771	3 602 3 728 3 831 3 659 3 405	3 922 3 847 3 935 3 716 3 429	3 888 3 805 3 905 3 751 3 479	2 341 2 231 2 240 2 209 2 031	3 359 3 318 3 448 3 182 2 983	3 390 3 324 3 440 3 258 3 042	2 408 2 320 2 340 2 323 2 154	3 503 3 429 3 532 3 288 3 074	3 390 3 324 3 440 3 258 3 042

Encludes families with a male householder, no wife present, not shown separately.

Table 1. Number of Persons Below The Poverty Level and Poverty Rate—Current Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1979 to 1983—Con.

					Povert	y rate				
Year and characteristic		Va hous	uluing food and sing benefits only		Valuin ati	g food, housing, a medical benefits	nd ,	Valuin medica institu	g food, housing, a al benefits, excludi utional expenditure	nd ng sa
	Current poverty definition	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value
AGE-CONTINUED										
45 to 64 Years					ĺ			İ	}	
1983	10.0 10.0 9.3 8.5 8.4	9.2 9.2 8.6 7.7 7.5	9.5 9.4 8.7 7.8 7.6	9.5 9.4 8.8 8.0 7.7	6.8 6.8 6.2 5.6 5.4	8.7 8.6 8.1 7.1 6.9	8.9 8.8 8.2 7.5 7.1	7.2 7.1 6.5 5.9 5.7	8.9 8.8 8.2 7.3 7.0	8.9 8.8 8.2 7.5 7.1
65 Years and Over			}					İ		
1983	14.1 14.8 15.3 15.7 15.2	12.3 12.8 13.3 13.6 13.4	12.6 13.1 13.6 13.8 13.4	12.3 12.8 13.3 13.6 13.4	3.3 3.5 3.7 4.2 4.3	8.7 9.3 9.6 9.7 9.5	9.1 9.6 9.8 9.8 9.8	3.7 4.1 4.2 4.7 5.0	9.5 10.0 10.3 10.5 10.2	9.1 9.5 9.8 9.8 9.8
FAMILY STATUS										
in Families, Total <sup>2</sup>							+			
1983	13.8 13.6 12.5 11.5 10.2	12.4 12.0 10.8 9.8 8.2	12.7 12.3 11.1 9.9 8.5	12.5 12.2 11.0 9.8 8.5	9.4 9.1 8.1 8.9 5.7	11.8 11.5 10.3 9.2 7.7	11.7 11.3 10.2 9.0 7.7	9.6 9.4 8.3 7.1 6.0	11.9 11.6 10.4 9.3 7.8	11.7 11.3 10.2 9.0 7.7
In Married-Couple Families										
1983	9.1 8.9 8.0 7.2 6.1	8.3 8.0 7.1 6.2 5.3	8.4 8.1 7.2 8.3 5.3	8.4 8.1 7.2 6.3 5.3	6.6 6.4 5.6 4.8 3.9	7.8 7.5 8.7 5.8 4.8	7.9 7.5 6.7 5.8 4.9	6.7 6.5 5.7 4.8 4.0	7.9 7.5 6.7 5.9 4.9	7.9 7.5 6.7 5.8 4.9
In Families With A Female Householder, No Husband Present				Ì						
1983	40.2 40.6 38.7 36.7 34.9	34.8 34.9 32.2 29.7 26.0	36.2 36.2 34.0 31.1 27.6	35.3 35.7 33.0 30.7 27.7	24.7 24.8 22.5 19.3 16.6	34.1 33.9 31.7 28.9 25.2	32.6 32.7 30.5 27.7 24.5	25.3 25.8 23.5 20.1 17.6	34.3 34.2 31.9 29.2 25.5	32.6 32.7 30.5 27.7 24.5
All Unrelated Individuals										
1983	23.4 23.1 23.4 22.9 21.9	21.6 21.4 21.6 20.9 20.2	22.1 21.9 22.1 21.2 20.3	22.0 21.8 22.0 21.4 20.6	15.0 14.7 14.4 14.0 13.5	19.7 19.8 19.9 18.7 18.1	20.0 19.7 19.9 19.1 18.5	15.4 15.2 14.9 14.5 14.1	20.3 20.1 20.3 19.2 18.5	20.0 19.7 19.9 19.1 18.5
Male Unrelated Individuals										
1983	19.9 18.8 18.1 17.4 16.9	19.1 17.9 17.4 16.6 16.1	19.4 18.2 17.6 16.7 16.2	19.4 18.3 17.7 18.9 16.4	15.5 14.9 14.1 13.1 12.9	18.4 17.2 16.8 15.5	18.7 17.5 16.8 15.8 15.3	15.8 15.3 14.4 13.4 13.2	18.6 17.4 18.9 15.8 15.3	18.7 17.5 16.8 15.8 15.3
Female Unrelated Individuals				1						
1983	26.2 26.6 27.7 27.4 26.0	23.6 24.2 24.9 24.4 23.5	24.4 24.9 25.6 24.7 23.6	24.1 24.7 25.4 25.0 24.0	14.5 14.5 14.6 14.7 14.0	20.9 21.5 22.5 21.2 20.6	21.1 21.8 22.4 21.7 21.0	15.0 15.0 15.2 15.5 14.8	21.8 22.2 23.0 21.9 21.2	21.1 21.8 22.4 21.7 21.0

\*Includes families with a male householder, no wife present, not shown separately.

Table 1. Number of Persons Below The Poverty Level and Poverty Rate—Current Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1979 to 1983—Con.

	<del></del>			Nt.	imber below th	ne poverty level	,		·······	
Year and characteristic		Va hous	lluing food and sing benefits only		Vatuinç ali	g food, housing, a medical benefits	nd	medica	g food, housing, a al benefits, exclud utional expenditur	ing
	Current poverty definition	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budge share value
REGION										
Northeast										
983	6 561 6 364 5 815 5 369 5 058	5 863 5 451 5 049 4 456 3 932	5 983 5 631 5 212 4 613 4 095	5 950 5 590 5 154 4 567 4 127	3 718 3 579 3 377 2 609 2 299	5 379 5 102 4 850 4 135 3 640	5 353 4 971 4 718 4 032 3 607	3 891 3 685 3 442 2 683 2 443	5 515 5 228 4 887 4 226 3 684	5 35: 4 97: 4 71: 4 03: 3 60:
lorth Central										
983	8 536 7 772 7 142 6 592 5 639	7 752 7 113 6 277 5 698 4 753	7 932 7 278 6 477 5 893 4 901	7 819 7 202 6 371 5 883 4 891	5 715 5 189 4 518 4 009 3 238	7 432 6 720 5 999 5 451 4 388	7 303 6 610 5 879 5 324 4 343	5 800 5 343 4 632 4 114 3 329	7 496 6 792 6 050 5 533 4 455	7 303 8 616 5 878 5 324 4 343
outh										
983 982 981 980	13 484 13 967 13 256 12 353 11 098	12 142 12 507 11 675 10 498 9 248	12 356 12 705 11 893 10 693 9 467	12 253 12 611 11 613 10 684 9 558	9 482 9 588 8 906 7 783 6 772	11 492 11 841 10 956 9 859 8 620	11 446 11 854 10 985 9 882 8 753	9 779 9 967 9 247 6 058 7 073	11 655 11 961 11 123 10 037 8 814	11 446 11 854 10 985 9 885 8 754
Vest					1					
983	6 684 6 296 5 609 4 958 4 276	6 146 5 617 4 931 4 391 3 765	6 258 5 752 5 069 4 434 3 808	6 216 5 707 4 980 4 467 3 833	4 824 4 528 3 699 3 305 2 789	5 899 5 395 4 696 4 066 3 504	5 833 5 279 4 594 4 062 3 482	4 864 4 569 3 725 3 366 2 851	5 920 5 426 4 724 4 100 3 524	5 833 5 280 4 594 4 062 3 482
IETROPOLITAN- NONMETROPOLITAN RESIDENCE										
nside Metropolitan Areas, Total										
983	21 750 21 247 19 347 18 021 16 134	19 650 18 763 16 776 15 287 13 196	20 100 19 275 17 346 15 763 13 636	19 895 19 117 17 072 15 718 13 711	14 276 13 809 12 056 10 604 9 178	18 616 17 863 16 034 14 460 12 445	18 404 17 547 15 761 14 287 12 379	14 599 14 187 12 338 10 892 9 513	18 831 18 062 16 163 14 668 12 573	18 404 17 553 15 761 14 287 12 379
nside Central Cities		1								
983	12 872 12 696 11 231 10 644 9 720	11 458 11 073 9 593 8 795 7 609	11 802 11 447 9 981 9 167 7 924	11 625 11 309 9 805 9 122 7 975	8 015 7 770 6 625 5 818 4 999	10 930 10 640 9 273 8 390 7 159	10 751 10 408 9 084 8 249 7 110	8 159 8 026 6 834 6 005 5 223	11 061 10 744 9 343 8 542 7 251	10 751 10 413 9 064 8 249 7 110
Outside Central Cities										
983	8 878 8 551 8 116 7 377 6 415	8 191 7 691 7 183 6 492 5 587	8 298 7 828 7 365 6 596 5 712	8 270 7 808 7 267 6 596 5 738	6 261 6 039 5 430 4 786 4 179	7 686 7 223 6 762 6 070 5 285	7 653 7 141 6 696 6 037 5 269	6 440 6 161 5 505 4 887 4 290	7 770 7 318 6 820 6 125 5 322	7 653 7 141 6 696 6 037 5 269
Outside Metropolitan Areas		.								
983	13 518 13 152 12 475 11 251 9 937	12 253 11 925 11 156 9 755 8 502	12 429 12 091 11 305 9 870 8 634	12 342 11 994 11 245 9 884 8 698	9 463 9 076 8 444 7 101 5 921	11 587 11 195 10 466 9 052 7 707	11 531 11 166 10 414 9 013 7 805	9 735 9 376 8 708 7 329 6 182	11 754 11 345 10 621 9 228 7 904	11 531 11 167 10 414 9 013 7 807

Note: The metropolitan population is based on standard metropolitan statistical areas as defined in the 1970 census and does not include any subsequent additions or changes.

Table 1. Number of Persons Below The Poverty Level and Poverty Rate—Current Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1979 to 1983—Con.

*.	<u> </u>				Povert	y rate				
Year and characteristic		Vs hous	aluing food and sing benefits only		Valuin ali	g food, housing, a medical benefits	ind	Valuin medica instit	g food, housing, a al benefits, exclud utional expenditur	nd ng sa
	Current poverty definition	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value
REGION										
Northeast										
1983	13.4 13.0 11.9 11.1 10.4	11.9 11.1 10.3 9.2 8.1	12.2 11.5 10.8 9.5 8.4	12.1 11.4 10.5 9.4 8.5	7.6 7.3 6.9 5.4 4.7	10.9 10.4 9.9 8.5 7.5	10.9 10.1 9.6 8.3 7.4	7.9 7.5 7.0 5.6 5.0	11.2 10.7 10.0 8.7 7.6	10.9 10.1 9.8 8.3 7.4
North Central				į	Ì					
1983	14.6 13.3 12.3 11.4 9.7	13.3 12.2 10.8 9.8 8.2	13.8 12.5 11.1 10.2 8.5	13.4 12.4 10.9 10.1 8.4	9.8 8.9 7.8 6.9 5.6	12.7 11.5 10.3 9.4 7.8	12.5 11.4 10.1 9.2 7.5	9.9 9.2 8.0 7.1 5.7	12.9 11.7 10.4 9.5 7.7	12.5 11.4 10.1 9.2 7.5
South					İ					
1983	17.2 18.1 17.4 18.5 15.0	15.5 16.2 15.4 14.0 12.5	15.7 16.4 15.6 14.3 12.8	15.6 16.3 15.5 14.2 12.9	12.1 12.4 11.7 10.4 9.2	14.6 15.3 14.4 13.1	14.6 15.3 14.4 13.2 11.8	12.4 12.9 12.2 10.7 9.6	14.8 15.5 14.6 13.4 11.9	14.6 15.3 14.4 13.2 11.8
West	-		İ							
1983	14.7 14.1 12.7 11.4 10.1	13.5 12.5 11.2 10.1 8.9	13.7 12.9 11.5 10.2 9.0	13.8 12.8 11.3 10.3 9.0	10.6 10.1 8.4 7.6 6.6	12.9 12.1 10.7 9.4 8.3	12.8 11.8 10.4 9.3 8.2	10.7 10.2 8.5 7.7 6.7	13.0 12.1 10.7 9.4 8.3	12.8 11.9 10.4 9.3 8.2
METROPOLITAN- NONMETROPOLITAN RESIDENCE										
Inside Metropolitan Areas, Total										
1983	13.8 13.7 12.6 11.9 10.7	12.5 12.1 10.9 10.1 8.7	12.8 12.4 11.3 10.4 9.0	12.6 12.3 11.1 10.3 9.1	9.1 8.9 7.8 7.0 6.1	11.8 11.5 10.4 9.5 8.2	11.7 11.3 10.3 9.4 8.2	9.3 9.1 8.0 7.2 6.3	11.9 11.8 10.5 9.7 8.3	11.7 11.3 10.3 9.4 8.2
Inside Central Cities		-		Ì				1		
1983	19.8 19.9 18.0 17.2 15.7	17.7 17.4 15.4 14.2 12.3	18.2 18.0 16.0 14.8 12.8	17.9 17.8 15.7 14.7 12.9	12.3 12.2 10.8 9.4 8.1	16.8 16.7 14.9 13.5	16.6 16.3 14.5 13.3	12.6 12.6 11.0 9.7 8.4	17.0 16.9 15.0 13.8 11.7	18.6 16.4 14.5 13.3 11.5
Outside Central Cities				Ì						
983	9.6 9.3 8.9 8.2 7.2	8.8 8.4 7.9 7.2 6.3	9.0 8.5 8.1 7.3 6.4	8.9 8.5 8.0 7.3 6.4	6.8 6.8 5.9 5.3 4.7	8.3 7.9 7.4 6.7 5.9	8.3 7.8 7.3 6.7 5.9	6.9 6.7 6.0 5.4 4.8	8.4 8.0 7.5 6.8 6.0	8.3 7.8 7.3 6.7 5.9
Outside Metropolitan Areas										
1983	18.3 17.8 17.0 15.4 13.8	16.6 16.2 15.2 13.4 11.8	16.8 16.4 15.4 13.5 12.0	16.7 16.3 15.3 13.5 12.1	12.8 12.3 11.5 9.7 8.2	15.7 15.2 14.2 12.4 10.7	15.8 15.1 14.2 12.3 10.9	13.2 12.7 11.8 10.0 8.6	15.9 15.4 14.4 12.6 11.0	15.6 15.1 14.2 12.3 10.9

Note: The metropolitan population is based on standard metropolitan statistical areas as defined in the 1970 census and does not include any subsequent additions or changes.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

		_		Belo	w 100 percent of	the poverty level	<u></u>		
Characteristic		Current :	poverty tion	Market vi		Recipient va concept	itue	Poverty but share cond	
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate
YALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY									
All Races			.						
Persons	ļ		l		-		]		
Total	231 612	35 266	15.2	31 903	13.8	32 528	14.0	32 237	13.9
Age				į.					
Under 6 years	21 205 40 935 28 676 70 230 44 273 26 291	5 302 8 505 4 938 8 379 4 430 3 711	25.0 20.8 17.2 11.9 10.0 14.1	4 833 7 542 4 574 7 630 4 081 3 242	22.8 18.4 15.9 10.9 9.2 12.3	4 955 7 691 4 634 7 754 4 192 3 301	23.4 18.8 16.2 11.0 9.5 12.8	4 891 7 597 4 606 7 695 4 203 3 246	23.1 18.6 16.1 11.0 9.5 12.3
Family Status	Ŧ								40.0
in families  Married-couple families  Related children under 18 years  Female householder, no husband	201 142 165 661 47 601	27 804 15 001 6 282	13.8 9.1 13.1	24 975 13 829 5 773	12.4 8.3 12.1	25 449 13 889 5 784	12.7 8.4 12.1	25 182 13 880 5 793	12.5 8.4 12.1
remain future in the search present. Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wite present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	29 929 12 100 5 552 1 483 1 213 29 257 13 155 1 865 16 102 6 727	12 020 6 709 782 335 630 6 832 2 619 412 4 213 1 861	40.2 55.4 14.1 22.6 51.9 23.4 19.9 22.1 26.2 27.7	10 419 5 830 727 304 611 6 317 2 515 3 802 1 553	34.8 48.2 13.1 20.5 50.4 21.6 19.1 19.9 23.6 23.1	10 842 6 094 718 299 611 6 489 2 547 381 3 922 1 612	36.2 50.4 12.9 20.2 50.4 22.1 19.4 20.4 24.4	10 571 5 919 730 308 611 6 445 2 557 372 3 888 1 555	35.3 48.9 13.2 20.6 50.4 22.0 19.4 19.9 24.1 23.1
Residence	i								
Inside metropolitan areas	157 615 64 907 92 709 73 997	21 750 12 872 8 878 13 516	13.8 19.8 9.6 18.3	19 650 11 458 8 191 12 253	12.5 17.7 8.8 16.6	20 100 11 802 8 298 12 429	12.8 18.2 9.0 16.8	19 895 11 625 6 270 12 342	12.6 17.9 8.9 16.7
Region			- 1						
Northeast	49 132 58 295 78 570 45 616	6 561 8 536 13 484 6 684	13.4 14.6 17.2 14.7	5 863 7 752 12 142 6 146	11.9 13.3 15.5 13.5	5 983 7 932 12 356 6 258	12.2 13.6 15.7 13.7	5 950 7 819 12 253 6 216	12.1 13.4 15.6 13.6
Families						ļ	Ì		
Total	61 997	7 641	12.3	6 849	11.0	7 007	11.3	6 921	11.2
Age of Householder	1								
Under 25 years	3 258 26 695 20 362 9 682	962 4 061 1 776 841	29.5 14.2 8.7 8.7	862 3 591 1 630 767	26.4 12.5 8.0 7.9	894 3 696 1 656 761	27.4 12.9 8.1 7.9	878 3 630 1 645 767	27.0 12.0 8.1 7.0
Size of Family	ļ	·							
2 persons	24 831 14 427 13 228 5 916 2 276 1 319	2 501 1 645 1 553 974 489 478	10.1 11.4 11.7 18.5 21.5 36.3	2 261 1 415 1 416 890 438 429	9.1 9.8 10.7 15.0 19.2 32.5	2 319 1 469 1 458 892 439	9.3 10.2 11.0 15.1 19.3 32.7	2 297 1 435 1 425 890 440 433	9.2 9.5 10.8 15.0 19.3 32.6
Type of Family									
Manied-couple families	50 090 25 172	3 820 2 545	7.6 10.1	3 528 2 333	7.0 9.3	3 546 2 343	7.1 9.3	3 542 2 339	7. 9. 91.
present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	9 878 6 609 2 030 943	3 557 3 118 264 186	36.0 47.2 13.0 19.7	3 074 2 672 247 173	31.1 40.4 12.2 18.4	3 215 2 813 246 172	32.6 42.6 12.1 18.3	3 130 2 726 249 175	41. 12. 18.
Work Experience of Householder	_								• 4
Total civilian householders	61 301 47 088 36 189 34 269 10 699 14 214	7 610 3 765 1 596 1 289 2 169 3 845	12.4 8.0 4.4 3.8 19.9 27.0	6 821 3 367 1 415 1 148 1 953 3 453	11.1 7.2 3.9 3.3 17.9 24.3	6 979 3 434 1 430 1 153 2 004 3 545	11.4 7.3 4.0 3.4 18.4 24.9	6 892 3 395 1 418 1 149 1 977 3 497	11.3 7.3 3.4 18. 24.4

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

-Con.

		-	Beic	w 125 percent of t	he poverty level			
Characteristic	Current pove definition	rty	Market valu	le .	Recipient va concept	tue	Poverty bud share conc	
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY								-
All Races								
Persons			ĺ	-	ĺ			
Total	47 124	20.3	44 916	19.4	45 303	19.6	45 225	19.5
Age			ļ		ľ			
Under 6 years	6 694 10 914 6 274 11 263 6 081 5 898	31.8 26.7 21.9 16.0 13.7 22.4	6 460 10 356 6 081 10 764 5 846 5 409	30.5 25.3 21.2 15.3 13.2 20.6	6 482 10 409 6 111 10 820 5 923 5 558	30.6 25.4 21.3 15.4 13.4 21.1	6 468 10 378 6 111 10 806 5 929 5 533	30.5 25.4 21.3 15.4 13.4 21.0
Family Status								
In families	37 296 21 996 9 013	18.5 13.3 18.9	35 590 21 040 8 573	17.7 12.7 17.9	35 764 21 121 8 607	17.8 12.7 18.0	35 675 21 068 8 576	17.7 12.7 17.9
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Hetated children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	14 296 7 648 1 004 407 699 9 128 3 349 637 5 780 2 898	47.8 63.2 18.1 27.4 57.7 31.2 25.5 34.2 35.9 43.1	13 598 7 319 954 389 692 8 635 3 252 585 5 383 2 566	45.4 60.5 17.2 26.3 57.1 29.5 24.7 31.4 33.4	13 701 7 387 942 383 689 8 850 3 297 606 5 553 2 708	45.8 60.9 17.0 25.8 56.8 30.2 25.1 32.6 34.5 40.3	13 654 7 341 954 389 698 8 852 3 304 801 5 547 2 671	45.6 60.7 17.2 26.3 57.6 30.3 25.1 32.2 34.5 39.7
Residence				1		ļ		
Inside metropolitan areas	28 901 16 713 12 189 18 222	18.3 25.7 13.1 24.6	27 464 15 791 11 672 17 452	17.4 24.3 12.6 23.6	27 740 16 004 11 737 17 563	17.6 24.7 12.7 23.7	27 679 15 942 11 737 17 546	17.6 24.6 12.7 23.7
Region								
Northeest North Central South West	8 729 11 197 18 180 9 018	17.8 19.2 23.1 19.8	8 170 10 670 17 414 8 662	16.6 18.3 22.2 19.0	8 291 10 749 17 555 8 709	16.9 18.4 22.3 19.1	8 268 10 730 17 525 8 703	16.8 18.4 22.3 19.1
Families			j					
Total	10 358	16.7	9 877	15.9	9 954	16.1	9 907	16.0
Age of Householder								
Under 25 yeers	1 172 5 353 2 458 1 374	36.0 18.7 12.1 14.2	1 130 5 083 2 350 1 313	34.7 17.7 11.5 13.6	1 144 5 130 2 377 1 304	35.1 17.9 11.7 13.5	1 135 5 097 2 359 1 315	34.9 17.8 11.6 13.6
Size of Family								
2 persons 3 3 persons	3 501 2 209 2 066 1 302 682 577	14.1 15.3 15.8 22.0 30.0 43.8	3 336 2 083 2 000 1 258 651 548	13.4 14.4 15.1 21.3 28.6 41.5	3 381 2 106 2 016 1 258 649 545	13.6 14.6 15.2 21.3 28.5 41.3	3 353 2 092 2 006 1 258 651 548	13.5 14.5 15.2 21.3 28.6 41.5
Type of Family								
Married-couple families	5 709 3 737	11.4 14.8	5 476 3 566	10.9 14.2	5 494 3 584	11.0 14.2	5 486 3 568	11.0 14.2
present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	4 306 3 650 343 228	43.6 55.2 18.9 24.2	4 074 3 460 327 222	41.2 52.3 16.1 23.6	4 135 3 511 325 220	41.9 53.1 16.0 23.4	4 094 3 475 327 222	41.4 52.6 16.1 23.6
Work Experience of Householder								
Total civilian householders	10 258 5 484 2 535 2 119 2 949 4 772	16.7 11.6 7.0 6.2 27.1 33.8	9 787 5 187 2 403 2 006 2 785 4 599	16.0 11.0 6.6 5.9 25.5 32.4	9 864 5 242 2 428 2 027 2 814 4 622	16.1 11.1 6.7 6.9 25.8 32.5	9 817 5 199 2 403 2 006 2 796 4 619	16.0 11.0 6.6 5.9 25.7 32.5

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

—Con.

				Below	100 percent of t	ne poverty level	<del> </del>		
Characteristic		Current povi definition	erty	Market val concept	ue	Recipient va concept	three	Poverty but share cond	
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY-CON.									
White					ļ	į			
Persons				ĺ					
Total	197 671	23 974	12.1	22 105	11.2	22 375	11.3	22 285	11.3
Age									
inder 6 years	17 140 33 488 23 939 60 223 39 109 23 771	3 403 5 375 3 242 5 874 3 220 2 860	19.9 16.1 13.5 9.8 8.2 12.0	3 120 4 897 3 081 5 453 3 026 2 529	18.2 14.6 12.9 9.1 7.7 10.6	3 168 4 944 3 099 5 503 3 090 2 573	18.5 14.8 12.9 9.1 7.9 10.8	3 144 4 918 3 099 5 485 3 106 2 532	18.3 14.3 12.5 9.1 7.5 10.7
Family Status	ļ	İ				40.040		16 873	9.6
In families  Married-couple families  Retated children under 18 years  Female householder, no husband	171 418 148 061 41 874	18 269 11 852 4 898	10.7 8.0 11.7	16 771 10 988 4 514	9.8 7.4 10.8	16 948 11 028 4 527	9.9 7.4 10.8	11 026 4 526	7.4 10.6
remain futures into a, to massaul present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In urrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	19 153 7 149 4 204 1 068 884 25 369 11 136 1 613 14 233 6 148	5 958 3 358 481 202 414 5 291 1 926 298 3 365 1 507	31.1 46.9 11.0 19.0 46.9 20.9 17.3 18.5 23.6	5 350 3 003 433 185 401 4 933 1 868 270 3 087 1 280	27.9 42.0 10.3 17.3 45.4 19.4 18.8 16.8 21.5 20.8	5 487 3 084 434 185 401 5 026 1 882 279 3 144 1 319	28.6 43.1 10.3 17.3 45.4 19.8 18.9 17.3 22.1 21.5	5 413 3 037 434 185 401 5 011 1 889 270 3 122 1 282	28.3 42.4 10.3 17.3 45.4 19.6 17.0 16.1 20.1
Residence			İ						
Inside metropolitan areas	131 577 47 384 84 193 66 094	13 770 6 661 7 110 10 204	10.5 14.1 8.4 15.4	12 753 6 165 6 588 9 352	9.7 13.0 7.8 14.1	12 925 6 268 6 659 9 450	9.8 13.2 7.9 14.3	12 877 6 242 6 635 9 408	9.6 13.3 7.9 14.3
Region								Ī	
Northeast North Central South West	43 133 52 395 62 861 39 282	4 745 6 353 7 729 5 148	11.0 12.1 12.3 13.1	4 282 5 916 7 112 4 795	9.9 11.3 11.3 12.2	4 348 5 995 7 189 4 844	10.1 11.4 11.4 12.3	4 347 5 955 7 150 4 833	10. 11. 11. 12.
Families	1				İ	j			
Total	53 934	5 223	9.7	4 790	8.9	4 858	9.0	4 829	9.
Age of Householder Under 25 years	2 727 24 544 17 952 8 710	635 2 767 1 230 591	23.3 11.3 6.9 6.8	576 2 503 1 184 547	21.1 10.2 6.5 6.3	590 2 549 1 174 545	21.6 10.4 6.5 6.3	583 2 523 1 175 547	21. 10. 6. 6.
Size of Family		İ	ļ						
2 persons	22 272 12 510 11 539 4 940 1 782 891	1 807 1 132 1 075 663 317 230	8.1 9.0 9.3 13.4 17.8 25.8	1 677 996 1 005 608 291 214	7.5 8.0 8.7 12.3 16.3 24.0	1 705 1 026 1 015 609 291 212	7.7 8.2 8.8 12.3 16.4 23.8	1 698 1 009 1 007 608 293 214	7. 8. 8. 12. 16. 24.
Type of Family						}			
Married-couple families	45 529 22 334	3 135 2 050	6.9 9.2	2 916 1 891	6.4 8.5	2 929 1 898	8.4 8.5	2 928 1 895	6. 8.
Persent	6 784 4 4 197 1 621 729	1 920 1 670 168 120	28.3 39.8 10.4 18.5	1 714 1 473 160 113	25.3 35.1 9.9 15.6	1 768 1 526 161 114	26.1 36.3 9.9 15.6	1 741 1 498 161 114	25. 35. 9. 15.
Work Experience of Householder			-	_			.	4 800	
Total civilian householders	53 356 41 688 32 217 30 595 9 469 11 670	5 200 2 853 1 254 1 041 1 589 2 347	9.7 6.8 3.9 3.4 16.9 20.1	4 770 2 616 1 149 961 1 467 2 154	8.9 6.3 3.6 3.1 15.5 18.5	4 837 2 639 1 155 963 1 484 2 199	9.1 6.3 3.6 3.1 15.7 18.8	4 809 2 630 1 149 961 1 481 2 179	9. 6. 3. 3. 15. 18.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

–Con.

			Below	w 125 percent of the	ne poverty level			
Characteristic	Current pover definition	ty	Market valu concept	9	Recipient valu concept	ue	Poverty budg share conce	
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY-CON.								
White					[6			
Persons								
Total	33 310	16.9	31 836	16.1	32 148	16.3	32 037	16.2
Age							4 000	25.4
Inder 6 years	4 452 7 186	26.0 21.5	4 297 6 848	25.1 20.4	4 316 6 877	25.2 20.5	4 302 6 852	25.1 20.5
3 to 17 years	4 289	17.9	4 171 7 791	17.4 12.9	4 196 7 820	17.5 13.0	4 180 7 809	17.5 13.0
25 to 44 years	8 120 4 528	13.5   11.6	4 385	11.2	4 443	11.4	4 442 4 452	11.4 18.7
85 years and over	4 528 4 735	19.9	4 347	18.3	4 497	18.9	4 452	10.1
Family Status				44.5	24 803		24 516	14.3
In families	25 560 17 605	14.9 11.9	24 480 16 886	14.3 11.4	24 607 16 951	14.4 11.4	16 906 6 779	11.4 16.2
Related children under 18 years	7 113	17.0	6 776	16.2	6 805	16.3		38.8
Female householder, no husband present	7 372 3 929	38.5 55.0	7 040 3 778	36.8 52.8	7 100 3 800	37.1 53.2	7 055 3 765	52.9
Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present	583	13.9	555	13.2	555 227	13.2 21.2	555 226	13.3 21.3
Related children under 18 years	233 457	21.8 51.7	226 456	21.2 51.6	453	51.2	458	51.0 27.0
In unrelated subfamilies	7 292	28.7	6 900 2 443	27.2 21.9	7 089 2 476	27.9 22.2	7 065 2 479	22.3
Males	2 518 485	22.6 30.1	441	27.4	462	28.7	455 4 586	26. 32.
Females	4 774 2 460	33.5 40.0	4 457 2 185	31.3 35.5	4 613 2 320	32.4 37.7	2 275	37.0
Residence							ļ	
Inside metropolitan areas	19 139	14.5	18 259	13.9	18 472	14.0 18.8	18 387 8 845	14.0 18.
Inside central cities	9 235 9 904 14 170	19.5 11.8 21.4	8 769 9 490 13 578	18.5 11.3 20.5	8 910 9 562 13 676	11.4 20.7	9 542 13 651	11.2 20.
Region		•	l l					14.4
Northeast	6 563 8 530	15.2 16.3	6 151 8 164	14.3 15.6	6 273 8 213	14.5 15.7	6 221 8 203	15.
North Central South	11 089	17.6	10 681	17.0	10 794	17.2	10 747 6 865	17. 17.
West	7 127	18.1	6 839	17.4	6 868	17.5	880	
Families				40.0		13.1	7 048	13.
Total	7 329	13.6	7 034	13.0	7 077	13.1	,	
Age of Householder				28.5	785	28.8	778	28.
Under 25 years	803 3 774	29.4 15.4	776   3 602	14.7	3 621	14.8	3 607	14. 9.
45 to 64 years	1 742 1 011	9.7 11.6	1 684 971	9.4 11.2	1 701 969	9.5 11.1	1 689 974	11.
65 years and over	1 011	11.0	١					
Size of Family	2 607	11.7	2 503	11.2	2 531	11.4	2,514	11.
2 persons	1 544	12.3	1 482	11.8	1 488	11.9 12.7	1 483 1 469	11. 12.
4 normanne	1 530   893	13.3 18.1	1 466   868	12.7 17.6	1 471 868	17.6	1 469 868 430	17.
5 persons	893 450 306	25.3 34.3	430 285	24.1 31.9	434 286	24.3 32.0	430 285	24. 31.
7 persons or more	300						1	
Type of Family	4 708	10.3	4 531	10.0	4 547	10.0	4 540	- 10.
With related children under 18 years	3 028	13.6	2 897	13.0	2 909	13.0	2 898	13.
Fernale householder, no husband present	2 409	35.5 47.7	2 297	33.9	2 324	34.3	2 303 1 925	33. 45.
With related children under 18 years	2 003 214 144	47.7 13.2 19.7	1 921 205 141	45.8 12.6 19.4	1 939 205 142	46.2 12.7 19.4	205 141	12 19
Work Experience of Householder						]		40
Total civillan householders	7 258 4 211	13.6 10.1	6 971 4 028	13.1 9.7	7 014 4 055	13.1 9.7	6 985 4 035	13 9
Worked 50 to 52 weeks	1 953	6.1	1 887	5.9	1 896	5.9	1 887 1 605	5 5
Full time	1 661 2 258	5.4 23.8	1 605 2 142	5.2 22.6	1 613 2 160	5.3 22.8	2 148	22 25
Worked 1 to 49 weeks	3 047	26.1	2 943	25.2	2 958	25.4	2 950	2:

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

—Con.

				Belo	w 100 percent of	the poverty level			
Characteristic		Current por definitio	verty n	Market v conce		Recipient v concep		Poverty bu share con	
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY-CON.									
Black									
Persons			ŀ			1	[	ŧ	
Total	27 668	9 885	35.7	8 480	30.7	8 813	31.9	8 628	31.2
Age	!								
Under 6 years	3 328 6 051 3 919 7 940 4 238 2 191	1 646 2 738 1 465 2 156 1 064 796	49.5 45.2 37.4 27.2 25.6 38.3	1 478 2 281 1 273 1 848 939 662	44.4 37.7 32.5 23.3 22.2 30.2	1 543 2 377 1 312 1 918 986 677	46.3 39.3 33.5 24.2 23.3 30.9	1 510 2 313 1 288 1 877 980 662	45.4 38.2 32.6 23.1 30.2
Family Status	ļ								
In families Married-couple families Related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	24 143 12 896 4 193	8 381 2 344 961	34.7 18.2 22.9	7 133 2 072 851	29.5 16.1 20.3	7 409 2 087 848	30.7 16.2 20.2	7 235 2 085 860	30.0 16.2 20.5
present .  Related children under 18 years .  Male householder, no wife present  Related children under 18 years  In unrelated subfamilies  Unrelated individuals	10 065 4 652 1 182 360 257 3 268 1 682 229 1 586 536	5 754 3 185 283 112 170 1 334 578 105 756 340	57.2 68.5 24.0 31.2 65.9 40.8 34.4 48.0 47.7 63.6	4 806 2 686 256 100 164 1 184 536 93 648 260	47.7 57.7 21.7 27.9 63.6 38.2 31.9 40.7 40.8 48.6	5 075 2 855 246 95 184 1 241 551 94 690 280	50.4 61.4 20.8 26.4 63.6 38.0 32.8 41.0 43.5 52.3	4 892 2 739 259 102 164 1 229 555 93 674 260	48.6 58.9 21.9 28.3 63.6 37.6 33.0 40.7 42.5 48.6
Residence					.	İ			
Inside metropolitan areas	21 039 14 905 6 135 6 628	7 017 5 514 1 503 2 867	33.4 37.0 24.5 43.3	8 006 4 651 1 355 2 475	28.5 31.2 22.1 37.3	6 262 4 874 1 388 2 551	29.8 32.7 22.6 38.5	6 123 4 737 1 386 2 505	29.1 31.8 22.6 37.8
Region	j				]				
Northeast	5 198 5 206 14 641 2 622	1 688 2 039 5 485 672	32.5 39.2 37.5 25.6	1 457 1 703 4 769 552	28.0 32.7 32.8 21.0	1 511 1 799 4 904 600	29.1 34.5 33.5 22.9	1 476 1 730 4 843 579	28.4 33.2 33.1 22.1
Families	ł				1				
Total	6 675	2 162	32.4	1 825	27.3	1 910	28.6	1 856	27.8
Age of Householder				j					
Under 25 years	437 3 406 1 984 848	290 1 157 484 230	66.4 34.0 24.4 27.2	253 959 410 203	57.8 28.1 20.7 24.0	267 1 017 427 199	61.1 29.9 21.5 23.4	262 977 414 203	59.9 28.7 20.9 24.0
Size of Family						İ			
2 persons	2 228 1 587 1 352 775 387 346	840 475 421 271 147 208	29.7 29.9 31.1 35.0 38.0 60.0	536 384 361 242 124 177	24.1 24.2 26.7 31.3 32.0 51.2	568 408 388 244 124 181	25.4 25.7 28.7 31.5 31.9 52.3	551 392 367 242 124 181	24.7 24,7 27.1 31.3 32.0 52.4
Type of Family		,			i			1	
Married-couple families	3 446 2 054	533 368	15.5 17.9	468 322	13.6 15.7	471 322	13.7 15.7	470 323	13.6 15.7
present	2 874 2 250 354 185	1 545 1 367 84 57	53.8 60.7 23.7 30.7	1 282 1 127 74 51	44.6 50.1 21.0 27.8	1 366 1 213 73 50	47.5 53.9 20.7 27.1	1 311 1 156 76 52	45.6 51.4 21.3 28.3
Work Experience of Householder									
Total civilian householders	6 587 4 340 3 135 2 875 1 205 2 246	2 156 804 290 201 514 1 352	32.7 18.5 9.3 7.0 42.7 60.2	1 819 649 215 142 434 1 170	27.8 15.0 6.9 4.9 38.0 52.1	1 904 691 224 145 466 1 214	28.9 15.9 7.2 5.1 38.7 54.0	1 850 662 218 142 444 1 188	29.1 15.3 7.0 4.9 38.9 52.9

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

<b>⊢</b>	A	. 1	Market value		Recipient val		Poverty bud	get
Characteristic	Current pover definition	, I	concept	·	concept		share conce	
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY-CON.					,			
Black								
Persons		ļ		İ			ļ	
Total	12 080	43.7	11 408	41.2	11 485	41.5	11 507	41.6
Age	Ì			,				
Under 6 years	1 942 3 271 1 708 2 689 1 402 1 069	58.3 54.0 43.6 33.9 33.1 48.8	1 867 3 064 1 638 2 543 1 314 982	56.1 50.8 41.8 32.0 31.0 44.8	1 869 3 089 1 641 2 571 1 334 981	56.2 51.0 41.9 32.4 31.5 44.8	1 870 3 081 1 658 2 562 1 337 1 000	56.2 50.6 42.3 32.3 31.4 45.6
Family Status		ĺ		1	1			
In families	10 300 3 381 1 399	42.7 26.2 33.4	9 718 3 181 1 308	40.3 24.7 31.2	9 773 3 204 1 315	40.5 24.8 31.4	9 768 3 188 1 306	40.5 24.3 31.3
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuats Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	6 539 3 519 380 153 196 1 584 702 141 882 409	65.0 75.8 32.1 42.5 76.2 48.5 41.7 81.6 55.8 76.4	6 179 3 344 358 142 190 1 500 683 135 817 360	61.4 71.9 30.3 39.4 73.9 45.9 40.6 59.2 51.5 67.3	6 224 3 370 345 135 190 1 522 694 137 828 367	61.8 72.4 29.2 37.5 73.9 46.8 41.3 59.9 52.2 68.5	6 223 3 380 358 142 196 1 543 698 137 845 374	61.0 72.5 30.0 39.0 78.6 47.6 41.0 60.0 53.0 69.0
Residence		ĺ	ŀ					
Inside metropolitan areas triside central cities	8 583 6 647 1 936 3 496	40.8 44.6 31.6 52.7	8 067 6 225 1 842 3 341	38.3 41.8 30.0 50.4	6 138 6 299 1 839 3 347	38.7 42.3 30.0 50.5	8 147 6 292 1 855 3 360	38. 42. 30. 50.
Region							l	
Northeast North Central South West	1 968 2 485 6 761 865	37.9 47.7 48.2 33.0	1 836 2 331 6 416 825	35.3 44.8 43.8 31.5	1 834 2 360 6 441 849	35.3 45.3 44.0 32.4	1 862 2 346 6 460 639	35. 45. 44. 32.
Families								
Total	2 687	40.3	2 514	37.7	2 552	38.2	2 531	37.
Age of Householder				l				
Under 25 years	319 1 392 644 332	73.0 40.9 32.5 39.1	305 1 304 594 312	69.7 38.3 29.9 36.8	308 1 332 604 307	70.6 39.1 30.5 36.2	307 1 313 599 312	70. 38. 30. 36.
Size of Family		{	ļ					34.
2 persons	817 600 485 355 202 227	36.7 37.8 35.9 45.9 52.1 65.8	757 541 467 337 191 220	34.0 34.1 34.5 43.6 49.4 63.5	7777 558 477 337 188 218	34.9 35.2 35.3 43.8 47.9 82.5	764 549 470 337 191 220	34. 34. 43. 49. 63.
Type of Family			1		]			
Married-couple families	784 539	22.8 26.2	737 506	21.4 24.7	743 512	21.6 24.9	739 506	21. 24
Female householder, no husband present	1 787 1 548 116 75	62.2 68.8 32.7 40.7	1 688 1 442 109 72	58.0 64.1 30.8 39.0	1 702 1 474 107 70	59.2 65.5 30.2 37.7	1 683 1 453 109 72	58 64 30 39
Work Experience of Householder								
Total civilian householders Worked	2 667 1 115 505 391 610 1 552	40.5 25.7 16.1 13.6 50.7 69.1	2 495 1 007 443 340 564 1 488	37.9 23.2 14.1 11.8 46.8 66.2	2 533 1 035 459 363 576 1 498	38.5 23.8 14.6 12.3 47.8 66.7	2 512 1 012 443 340 569 1 500	38 23 14 11 47 68

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

-Con.

(Numbers in thousands. Persons and families as of March 1984. For meaning of symbols, see text)

i	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Belov	v 100 percent of	the poverty level	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Characteristic	_	Current pov definition	verty n	Market va concept		Recipient v concept		Poverty bu share con	dget
	Totai	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY-CON.									
Spanish Origin <sup>1</sup>									
Persons						ì			
Total	14 938	4 249	28.4	3 870	25.9	3 930	26.3	3 912	26.2
Age									
Under 6 years	2 009 3 641 2 019 4 551 2 073 645	840 1 320 554 1 033 353 149	41.8 38.2 27.5 22.7 17.0 23.1	783 1 195 520 943 314 115	39.0 32.8 25.8 20.7 15.1 17.8	795 1 203 523 964 326 117	39.5 33.0 25.9 21.2 15.8 18.2	786 1 204 521 953 333 115	39.1 33.1 25.8 20.8 18.1 17.8
Family Status				İ					
In families Married-couple families	13 754 10 560 4 074	3 822 2 154 1 095	27.8 20.4 26.9	3 489 1 981 1 010	25.4 18.8 24.8	3 535 1 997 1 016	25.7 18.9 24.9	3 515 1 989 1 013	25.6 18.8 24.9
present Related children under 18 years Related children under 18 years Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 85 years and over Females 85 years and over	2 741 1 354 453 142 95 1 089 599 57 490	1 549 956 119 54 57 370 172 22 188	56.5 70.6 26.3 38.0 59.5 34.0 28.7 (B)	1 406 869 102 44 57 324 185 20 159	51.3 64.2 22.6 31.2 59.5 29.7 27.5 (B)	1 435 883 103 44 57 339 167 21	52.4 65.2 22.6 31.2 59.5 31.1 28.0 (B)	1 423 878 103 44 57 340 168 20	51.9 64.8 22.6 31.2 59.5 31.2 28.0 (B) 35.1
	116	53	45.6	32	27.1	34	29.3	32	27.1
Residence	40.047	3 567	27.8	3 249	25.4	3 305	25.8	3 288	25.7
Inside metropolitan areas Inside central cities Outside central cities Outside metropolitan areas	12 817 7 511 5 306 2 121	2 394 1 173 682	31.9 22.1 32.1	2 189 1 061 621	29.1 20.0 29.3	2 243 1 062 625	29.9 20.0 29.5	2 224 1 084 624	29.6 20.1 29.4
Region					-				
Northeast	2 627 1 093 4 880 6 339	1 028 286 1 259 1 675	39.1 26.2 25.8 26.4	893 274 1 136 1 567	34.0 25.1 23.3 24.7	929 274 1 151 1 576	35.4 25.1 23.6 24.9	919 274 1 143 1 576	35.0 25.1 23.4 24.9
Families									
Total	3 587	933	26.1	843	23.6	862	24.2	853	23.9
Age of Householder					,				
Under 25 years	306 2 009 1 019 233	143 563 183 45	46.6 28.0 17.9 19.1	131 506 168 37	43.0 25.2 16.5 16.1	133 520 172 37	43.6 25.9 16.8 15.7	131 512 172 37	43.0 25.5 16.9 16.1
Size of Family						ļ			
2 persons	854 823 865 528 264 233	186 216 207 139 89 95	21.8 26.3 24.0 26.4 33.8 40.6	170 181 192 131 83 87	19.9 21.9 22.2 24.7 31.5 37.3	178 190 195 131 83 86	20.8 23.1 22.5 24.7 31.5 37.0	176 182 195 131 83 87	. 20.6 22.2 22.5 24.7 31.5 37.3
Type of Family									
Married-couple families	2 606 1 865	466 399	17.9 21.4	426 366	16.3 19.6	431 371	16.6 19.9	429 368	16.5 19.7
present	810 631 151 81	433 401 34 27	53.5 63.6 22.5 33.3	388 358 29 22	48.0 56.8 19.0 26.8	401 370 29 22	49.6 58.6 19.1 27.1	395 364 29 22	48.6 57.7 19.1 27.1
Work Experience of Householder							Ī		
Total civilian householders	3 517 2 699 1 911 1 802 788 818	931 484 190 165 275 467	26.5 17.2 9.9 9.1 34.9 57.1	841 424 169 148 255 417	23.9 15.7 8.8 8.1 32.4 51.0	860 428 171 148 258 432	24.5 15.9 8.9 8.2 32.7 52.8	852 426 169 148 257 426	24.2 15.8 8.8 8.1 32.6 52.0

<sup>1</sup>Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

(Numbers in thousands. Persons and families as of March 1984. For meaning of symbols, see text)

			Bek	w 125 percent of t	he poverty level			
Characteristic	Current power definition	erty	Market val concept	ue .	Recipient va concept		Poverty but share cond	
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Pover
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY-CON.								
Spanish Origin <sup>1</sup>								
Persons	1	ŀ	!		ľ		1	
Total	5 587	37.4	5 293	35.4	5 335	35.7	5 309	35
Age		1	ŀ					
Inder 6 years	1 038	51.7	995	49.5	999	49.7	996	49
8 to 17 years	1 713   713	47.0 35.3	1 614 693	44.3 34.3	1 626 699	44.7 34.6	1 614 693	44 34
25 to 44 years	1 379 499	30.3 24.1	1 310	28.8	1 319	29.0	1 313	26
15 to 64 years	245	38.0	469 213	22.6 33.0	477 215	23.0 33.3	474 220	22 34
Family Status								
n families	5 059	36.8	4 798	34.9	4 835	35.2	4 799	34
Married-couple families	3 130 1 566	29.6 38.5	2 943 1 462	27.9 35.9	2 962 1 469	29.1 36.1	2 943 1 462	27 35
Female householder, no husband present	1 802	65.7	1 736	63.3	1 754	64.0	1 738	63
Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present	1 067 1 128	78.8 28.3	1 736 1 035 118	76.4 26.1	1 045	77.2	1 036	78
Related children under 18 years	57	40.3	52	36.3	118 52	26.1 36.3	118 52	26 36
n unrelated subfamilies	61 467	63.7 42.9	61 435	63.7 39.9	61 440	63.7 40.4	61 449	63 41
Males	211 34	35.3 أ	200 29	33.4	201	33.6	204	34
Females	258	(B) 52.2	235	(B) 48.0	29 239	(B) 48.6	29 245	50 50
65 years and over	84	71.9	66	57.1	67	57.9	73	62.
lesidence			1					
nside metropolitan areas	4 678   3 078	36.5 41.0	4 425 2 912	34.5 38.8	4 487 2 957	34.9 39.4	4 440 2 925	34. 38.
Outside central cities  Autside metropolitan areas	1 601 909	30.2 42.8	1 513 869	28.5 41.0	1 510 869	28.5 40.9	1 516 889	28. 41.
Region		12.5		71	305	40.5	909	71.
lortheast	1 223	46.6	1 141	43.4	1 163	44.3	1 150	43.
lorth Central	358 1 718	32.7 35.2	341 1 631	31.2 33.4	348 1 647	31.7 33.7	342   1 636	31 33
Vest	2 290	36.1	2 180	34.4	2 179	34.4	2 182	34
amilies			`					
Total	1 224	34.3	1 165	32.7	1 175	32.9	1 166	32.
ge of Householder				[		İ		
Inder 25 years	167	54.7	164	53.5	165	54.0	164	53.
5 to 44 years	726 256	36.1 25.2	692 240	34.5 23.5	697 244	34.7 23.9	693 240	34. 23.
5 years and over	75	32.2	69	23.5 29.7	69	29.7	69	29.
ize of Family		į					İ	
persons	257 263	30,1 31.9	238 258	27.9	243	28.5	239	28.
persons	267	30.9	255 255 183	31.3 29.5	258 257	31.3 29.7	258 255	31. 29
persons	~ 188 119	35.6 45.3	183 112	34.7 42.3	183 113	34.7 42.8	255 183 112	34 42
persons or more	130	55.9	120	51.4	121	51.8	120	51.
ype of Family					1			
tarried-couple families	681 568	26.1 30.4	644 539	24.7 28.9	649 543	24.9 29.1	644 539	24. 28.
emale householder, no husband resent	506	62.5	487	60.2				
With related children under 18 years laie householder, no wife present	455 37	72.1 24.7	441 34	69.9 22.7	492 443 34	60.7 70.3 22.7	488 442 34	60. 70. 22.
With related children under 18 years /ork Experience of Householder	29	35.6	27	33.2	27	33.2	27	33.
Total civilian householders	1 211	34.4	1 152	20.0	1 400	20.0		
/orked	663 309	24.8	626	32.8 23.2 15.2	1 162 632	33.0 23.4	1 153   626	32. 23.
Full time	309 276	16.2 15.3	290 258	15.2 14.3 42.7	294 262	15.4 14.5	290 258 337	15. 14.
Worked 1 to 49 weeks	355	45.0	337					

<sup>1</sup>Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

-Con.

ŧ	Numbers in thousands.	Persons and families as of March 1984.	. For meaning of symbols, see text)

	<u> </u>				100 percent of f			Douards by	foet
Characteristic		Current poverty definition		Market val concept		Recipient va concept	iue	Poverty budget share concept	
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS			:						
All Races	İ								
Persons		1					[		
Total	231 612	35 268	15.2	23 739	10.2	30 202	13.0	29 935	12.9
Age	ļ							1	
Inder 6 years	21 205 40 935	5 302 8 505	25.0 20.8	3 868 5 812	18.2 14.2	4 792 7 325	22.6 17.9	4 649 7 166	21.5 17.5
8 to 24 years	28 678	4 938	17.2	3 857 6 313	13.4 9.0	4 463 7 460	15.6 10.6	4 430 7 353	15.4 10.4
5 to 44 years	70 230 44 273	8 379 4 430	11.9 10.0	3 021	6.8	3 863	8.7	3 953	8.
35 years and over	26 291	3 711	14.1	869	3.3	2 299	,8.7	2 384	9,
Family Status									44.
in tamilies	201 142	, 27 804 15 001	13.8 9.1	18 831 10 870	9.4 6.6	23 828 12 961	11.8 7.8	23 486 13 041	11.7 7.9
Married-couple families Related children under 18 years	165 661 47 801	6 282	13.1	4 792	10.0	5 574	11.7	5 554	11.0
Female householder, no husband present	29 929	12 020	40.2	7 401	24.7	10 192	34.1	9 755	32. 45.
Related children under 18 years	12 100 5 552	6 709 782	55.4 14.1	4 231 560	35.0 10.1	5 796 675	47.9 12.2	5 508 689	12.
Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years	1 483	335	22.6	243 523	16.4 43.2	262 599	19.0 49.4	288 599	19. 49.
In unrelated subfamilies	1 213 29 257	630 6 832	51.9 23.4	4 385	15.0	5 775	19.7	5 850 2 460	20. 18.
Males	13 155 1 865	2 619 412	19. <del>9</del>   22.1	2 044	15.5 4.5	2 416 300	18.4 16.1	300	16.
65 years and over	16 102	4 213	26.2	2 341	14,5 5.8	3 359 1 134	20.9 18.9	3 390 1 108	21. 16.
65 years and over	6 727	1 881	27.7	387	5.6	1 134	10.0	` ,,,,,	10.
Residence	İ	į							
inside metropolitan areas	157 615	21 750 12 872	13.8 19.8	14 276 8 015	9.1 12.3	18 616 10 930	11.8 16.8	18 404 10 751	31. 16.
Inside central cities  Outside central cities  Outside metropolitan areas	64 907 92 709 73 997	8 878 13 516	9.6 18.3	6 261 9 463	6.8 12.8	7 686 11 587	8.3 15.7	7 653 11 531	8. 15.
Region				ĺ					
Northeast	49 132	6 561	13.4	3 718	7.6	5 379 7 432	10.9 12.7	5 353 7 303	10. 12.
North Central	58 295 78 570	8 536 13 484	14.6 17.2	5 715 9 482	9.8 12.1	11 492	14.6	11 446	14.
South	45 616	6 684	14.7	4 824	10.6	5 899	12.9	5 833	12.
Families							Ì		
Total	61 997	7 641	12.3	5 080	8.2	6 478	10.4	6 389	10.
		İ							
Age of Householder	0.050	962	29.5	724	22.2	876	26.9	835	25.
Under 25 years	3 258 28 695	4 061	14.2	2 879	10.0	3 554	12.4	3 440 1 539	12. 7.
45 to 64 years	20 362 1 9 682	1 776 841	8.7 8.7	1 235 243	6.1 2.5	1 541 507	7.6 5.2	574	5.
65 years and over	0 002							İ	
Size of Family	04.004	2 501	10.1	1 524	6.1	2 028	8.2	2 027	8.
2 persons	24 831 14 427	1 645	11.4	1 089	7.5	1 368	9.5	1 327	9 10
4 persons	13 228 5 918	1 553 974	11.7 18.5	1 103 722	8.3 12.2	1 399 849	10.6 14.3	1 362 850	14
5 persons	2 276	489 478	21.5 36.3	326 317	14.3 24.0	424 410	18.6 31.1	420 403	18 30
7 persons or more	1 319	*″°	30.3	•	2			•	
Type of Family				2 605	5.4	3 229	6.4	3 277	6
Married-couple families	50 090 25 172	3 820 2 545	7.6 10.1	2 685 1 945	7.7	2 255	9.0	2 248	8
Female householder, no husband	9 878	3 557	36.0	2 200	22.3	3 016	30.5	2 875	29 38
with related children under 18 years	6 609	3 118 [	47.2	1 957	29.6	2 676 232	40.5 11.4	2 524 236	38 11
Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	2 030   943	264 186	13.0 19.7	196 141	9.7 15.0	164	17.4	166	17
Work Experience of Householder									
Total civillan householders	61 301	7 610	12.4 8.0	5 052 2 984	8.2 6.3	6 449 3 349	10.5 7.1	6 360 3 272	10 6
Worked 50 to 52 weeks	47 088 36 189	3 765 1 596 1 269	4.4	1 340	3.7	1 404	3.9	1 389	9 3 17
Full time	34 269	1 289	3.8 19.9	1 098 1 644	3.2 15.1	1 138 1 945	3.3 17.8	1 132 1 882	17
Worked 1 to 49 weeks	10 899 14 214	2 169   3 845	27.0	2 068	14.6	3 100	21.8	3 088	2

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

	Below 125 percent of the poverty level									
Characteristic	Current poverty definition		Market valu concept	10	Recipient va concept	lue	Poverty budget share concept			
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Povert		
/ALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS										
All Races										
Persons		1		ļ						
Total	47 124 .	20.3	36 941	15.9	43 466	18.8	43 544	18.		
kge										
Inder 6 years to 17 years 8 to 24 years 5 to 44 years 5 to 64 years 5 to 54 years 5 years and over	6 694 10 914 6 274 11 263 6 081 5 898	31.6 26.7 21.9 16.0 13.7 22.4	5 931 9 267 5 533 9 696 4 589 1 925	28.0 22.6 19.3 13.8 10.4 7.3	8 408 10 241 6 018 10 631 5 621 4 546	30.2 25.0 21.0 15.1 12.7 17.3	6 380 10 220 6 028 10 648 5 726 4 543	30. 25.0 21.0 15.1 12.0 17.1		
Family Status		1	İ		İ		1			
n families	37 296 21 996 9 013	18.5 13.3 18.9	30 169 18 118 7 971	15.0 10.9 16.7	34 497 20 342 8 494	17.2 12.3 17.8	34 617 20 416 8 463	17.2 12.3 17.7		
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years n unrelated subfamilies Inrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	14 296 7 848 1 004 407 699 9 128 3 349 637 5 780 2 858	47.8 63.2 18.1 27.4 57.7 31.2 25.5 34.2 35.9 43.1	11 236 6 350 815 354 685 6 107 2 669 216 3 437 949	37.5 52.5 14.7 23.9 54.9 20.9 20.3 11.8 21.3	13 258 7 253 897 374 683 8 286 3 172 522 5 114 2 304	44.3 59.9 16.2 25.2 56.3 28.3 24.1 28.0 31.8 34.3	13 278 7 220 922 382 692 8 235 3 200 511 5 035 2 175	44, 59.7 16.0 25.8 57.7 28.2 24.0 27.3 31.0 32.0		
Residence								-		
nside metropolitan areas	28 901 16 713 12 189 18 222	18.3 25.7 13.1 24.6	22 395 12 610 9 785 14 545	14.2 19.4 10.6 19.7	26 588 15 342 11 246 16 878	16.9 23.6 12.1 22.8	26 629 15 350 11 279 16 915	16.9 23.6 12.2 22.9		
Region	,		!			!				
Northeast North Central South	8 729 11 197 18 180 9 018	17.8 19.2 23.1 19.8	6 269 8 728 14 632 7 311	12.8 15.0 18.6 16.0	7 903 10 322 16 841 8 400	16.1 17.7 21.4 18.4	7 886 10 343 16 937 8 378	18.0 17.7 21.6 18.4		
amilies							]			
Total	10 358	16.7	8 074	13.0	9 469	15.3	9 516	15.3		
Age of Householder				}	•	İ	İ			
Jnder 25 years	1 172 5 353 2 458 1 374	36.0 18.7 12.1 14.2	1 043 4 622 1 946 463	32.0 16.1 9.6 4.8	1 134 5 067 2 272 996	34.8 17.7 11.2 10.3	1 119 5 033 2 285 1 079	34.3 17.5 11.2 11.1		
Size of Family		İ			1					
2 persons	3 501 2 209 2 086 1 302 682 577	14.1 15.3 15.8 22.0 30.0 43.8	2 307 1 728 1 844 1 144 569 482	9.3 12.0 13.9 19.3 25.0 36.6	3 035 2 026 1 989 1 245 634 540	12.2 14.0 15.0 21.0 27.9 40.9	3 090 2 018 1 981 1 246 642 539	12.4 14.0 15.0 21.1 28.2 40.8		
Type of Family						•				
farried-couple families	5 709 3 737	11.4 14.8	4 450 3 290	8.9 13.1	5 187 3 528	10.4 14.0	5 237 3 516	10.5 14.0		
resent With related children under 18 years Asie householder, no wite present With related children under 18 years	4 306 3 650 343 228	43.6 55.2 16.9 24.2	3 344 2 975 280 201	33.9 45.0 13.8 21.3	3 972 3 442 309 213	40.2 52.1 15.2 22.6	3 962 3 404 317 218	40.1 51.5 15.6 23.1		
Vork Experience of Householder	1									
Total civilian householders	10 256 5 484 2 535 2 119 2 949 4 772	16.7 11.6 7.0 6.2 27.1 33.6	7 984 4 833 2 296 1 937 2 537 3 151	13.0 10.3 6.3 5.7 23.3 22.2	9 379 5 161 2 391 2 004 2 770 4 218	15.3 11.0 6.6 5.8 25.4 29.7	9 426 5 113 2 371 1 962 2 741 4 313	15.4 10.9 8.6 5.6 25.2 30.3		

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

—Con.

1	Below 100 percent of the poverty level								
Characteristic		Current p definit		Market va concep		Recipient v concep		Poverty budget share concept	
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS—CON.									
White						·			
Persons	1				!				
Total	197 671	23 974	12.1	16 958	8.6	20 792	10.5	20 744	10.
Age			İ						
Under 6 years	17 140 33 488 23 939 60 223 39 109 23 771	3 403 5 375 3 242 5 874 3 220 2 860	19.9 16.1 13.5 9.8 8.2 12.0	2 609 3 931 2 733 4 633 2 360 692	15.2 11.7 11.4 7.7 6.0 2.9	3 086 4 722 3 006 5 322 2 881 1 775	18.0 14.1 12.6 8.8 7.4 7.5	3 017 4 667 3 005 5 277 2 942 1 836	17./ 13. 12./ 8./ 7. 7.
Family Status									
In families  Married-couple families	171 418 148 061 41 674	18 269 11 852 4 898	10.7 8.0 11.7	13 170 8 950 3 885	7.7 6.0 9.3	15 922 10 317 4 365	9.3 7.0 10.4	15 835 10 383 4 346	9.3 7.0 10.4
Female householder, no husband present  Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subtramilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females	19 153 7 149 4 204 1 068 884 25 369 11 136 1 613 14 233	5 956 3 356 461 202 414 5 291 1 926 298 3 365	31.1 46.9 11.0 19.0 46.9 20.9 17.3 18.5 23.6	3 870 2 209 350 158 356 3 433 1 544 72 1 889	20.2 30.9 8.3 14.8 40.3 13.5 13.9 4.5 13.3	5 194 2 954 410 178 390 4 480 1 791 224 2 689	27.1 41.3 9.8 18.7 44.1 17.7 18.1 13.9 18.9	5 035 2 848 416 179 390 4 520 1 819 221 2 701 896	26.39.1 9.3 16.44. 17.1 16.3 19.1
65 years and over	6 148	1 507	24.5	325	5.3	919	14.9	880	14.
Residence	131 577	13 770	10.5	9 645	7.3	12 004	9.1	11 946	9.
Inside metropolitan areas	47 384 84 193 66 094	6 661 7 110 10 204	14.1 8.4 15.4	4 498 5 147 7 313	9.5 6.1 11.1	5 806 6 198 8 788	12.3 7.4 13.3	5 789 6 167 8 798	12. 7. 13.
Region									
Northeast North Central South West	43 133 52 395 62 861 39 282	4 745 6 353 7 729 5 148	11.0 12.1 12.3 13.1	2 790 4 471 5 719 3 978	6.5 8.5 9.1 10.1	3 922 5 620 6 649 4 601	9.1 10.7 10.6 11.7	3 897 5 598 6 678 4 571	9. 10. 10. 11.
Families	İ			-					_
Total	53 934	5 223	9.7	3 666	6.8	. 4 500	8.3	4 477	8.
Age of Householder							24.0	560	20.
Under 25 years	2 727 24 544 17 952 8 710	635 2 767 1 230 591	23.3 11.3 6.9 6.8	503 2 057 934 172	18.4 8.4 5.2 2.0	577 2 457 1 112 355	21.2 10.0 6.2 4.1	560 2 401 1 114 402	9. 6. 4.
Size of Family	1	ļ							_
2 persons	22 272 12 510 11 539 4 940 1 782 891	1 807 1 132 1 075 663 317 230	8.1 9.0 9.3 13.4 17.8 25.8	1 143 789 818 511 231 175	5.1 6.3 7.1 10.3 13.0 19.6	1 484 964 982 585 282 203	6.7 7.7 8.5 11.9 15.8 22.7	1 495 943 969 584 280 205	6. 7. 8. 11. 15. 22.
Type of Family				!	1	ļ			
Married-couple families	45 529 22 334	3 135 2 050	6.9 9.2	2 289 1 627	5.0 7.3	2 680 1 831	5.9 8.2	2 715 1 823	6. 8.
present	6 784 4 197 1 621 729	1 920 1 670 168 120	28.3 39.8 10.4 16.5	1 248 1 099 129 98	18.4 26.2 8.0 13.4	1 669 1 463 151 109	24.6 34.9 9.3 14.9	1 608 1 395 154 110	23. 33. 9. 15.
Work Experience of Householder			[			الممداء		, ,,,,,	
Total civilian householders	53 356 41 686 32 217 30 595 9 469 11 670	5 200 2 853 1 254 1 041 1 599 2 347	9.7 6.8 3.9 3.4 16.9 20.1	3 645 2 348 1 096 930 1 252 1 297	6.8 5.6 3.4 3.0 13.2	4 480 2 575 1 139 955 1 436 1 905	8.4 6.2 3.5 3.1 15.2 16.3	4 457   2 537 1 131 953 1 405 1 920	8. 6. 3. 3. 14. 16.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

—Con.

<u></u>	Below 125 percent of the poverty level									
Characteristic	Current poverty definition		Market valu concept	æ	Recipient va concept	lue	Poverty budget share concept			
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty		
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS—CON.										
White								,		
Persons			i							
Total	33 310	16.9	26 360	13.3	30 673	15.5	30 696	15.5		
Age	1		Ì							
Inder 6 years	4 452	26.0	4 016	23.4	4 268	24.9	4 249	24.		
8 to 24 years	7 186 4 289	21.5 17.9	6 250 3 905	18.7 16.3	8 758 4 142	20.2 17.3	6 741 4 135	20.1 17.3		
5 to 44 years	8 120 4 528	13.5   11.6	7 141 3 517	11.9 9.0	7 699 4 199	12.8 10.7	7 706 4 276	12.1 10.1		
5 years and over	4 735	19.9	1 531	6.4	3 608	15.2	3 589	15.		
Family Status			j							
n families	25 560   17 605	14.9 11.9	21 063 14 621	12.3 9.9	23 644 16 288	13.8 11.0	23 717 16 351	13.8 11.0		
Related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	7 113	17.0	6 341	15.1	6 715	16.0	6 694	16.0		
present	7 372 3 929	38.5 55.0	5 958 3 361	31.1 47.0	6 825 3 729	35.6 52.2	6 829 3 713	35.7 51.9		
Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years	- 583 233	13.9 21.8	484 213	11.5 20.0	531 223	12.6 20.9	537 222	12.6 20.6		
n unrelated subfamilies	457	51.7	434 4 863	49.1	447	50.6	450	51.0		
Unrelated individuals	7 292 2 518	28.7 22.6	2 027	19.2 18.2	6 582 2 387	25.9 21.4	6 528 2 400	25.7 21.0		
65 years and over	485 4 774	30.1 33.5	160 2 836	9.9 19.9	390 4 195	24.2 29.5	379 4 128	23.5 29.0		
65 years and over	2 460	40.0	814	13.2	1 933	31.4	1 832	29.8		
Residence		1		ļ		]				
nside metropolitan areas	19 139   9 235	14.5 19.5	15 027 7 066	11.4 14.9	17 564 8 442	13.3 17.8	17 598 8 445	13.4 17.8		
Outside central cities	9 235 9 904 14 170	11.8 21.4	7 961 11 333	9.5 17.1	9 122 13 109	10.8 19.8	9 153 13 098	10.9 19.6		
Region										
Northeast	6 563	15.2	4 694	10.9	5 926	13.7	5 907	13.7		
lorth Central	8 530 11 089	16.3 17.6	6 842 9 028	13.1 14.4	7 864 10 290	15.0 16.4	7 872 10 323	15.0 16.4		
Vest	7 127	16.1	5 796	14.8	6 593	16.8	6 594	16.8		
amilies					ļ	ŀ				
Total	7 329	13.6	5 807	10.8	6 698	12.4	6 743	12.5		
lige of Householder				Ì						
Inder 25 years	803 3 774	29.4 15.4	724   3 327	26.5   13.6	760 3 583	28.6 14.6	769 3 568	28.2 14.5		
5 to 64 years	1 742 1 011	9.7 11.8	1 438 319	8.0 3.7	1 621	9.0	1 632	9.1 8.9		
Size of Family		11.0	3.8	3.7	714	8.2	773	0.2		
persons	2 607	11.7	1 727	7.8	2 250	10.1	2 303	10.3		
persons	1 544 1 530	12.3	1 260	10.1	1 433	11.5	1 427	11.4		
persons	893	13.3 18.1	1 374 803	11.9 16.3	1 453 859	12.6 17.4	1 451 860	12.6 17.4		
persons or more	450 306	25.3 34.3	382 261	21.4 29.3	421 283	23.6 31.7	422 280	23.7 31.4		
ype of Family										
farried-couple familles	4 706	10.3	3 707	8.1	4 280	9.4	4 322	9.5		
With related children under 18 years emale householder, no husband	3 028	13.6	2 693	121	2 868	12.8	2 859	12.8		
resent	2 409 2 003	35.5 47.7	1 920 1 693	28.3 40.3	2 220 1 898	32.7 45.2	2 222 1 886	32.8 44.9		
tale householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	2 003 214 144	13.2 19.7	180 133	11.1 18.3	197	12.2	199	12.3 19.1		
/ork Experience of Householder	'**	18.7	133	10.3	140	19.2	139	18.1		
Total civilian householders	7 258	13.6	5 744	10.8	6 634	12.4	6 680	12.5		
/orked	4 211 1 953	10.1	3 782	9.1	3 997	9.6	3 976	9.5 5.8		
Worked 50 to 52 weeks	1 661	6.1 5.4	1 812 1 559	5.6 5.1	1 869 1 595	5.8 5.2	1 862 1 587	5.2		
Worked 1 to 49 weeks	2 258 3 047	23.8 26.1	1 970 1 982	20.8 16.8	2 127 2 638	22.5 22.8	2 113 2 704	22.3 23.2		

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

-Con.

(Numbers in thousands. Persons and famili	es as or march	304. 1 G 1110G	g 0, 0,111000, 00		slow 100 percent	of the poverty level				
Characteristic	·	Current poverty definition		Market cond		Recipier		Poverty share of		
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS—CON.								·		
Black								ŀ		
Persons :		,			•					
Total	27 668	9 685	35.7	5 866	21.2	8 148	29.4	7 941	28.7	
Age						l i				
Under 6 years	3 328 6 051 3 919 7 940 4 238 2 191	1 646 2 738 1 465 2 156 1 084 798	49.5 45.2 37.4 27.2 25.6 36.3	1 104 1 643 948 1 434 580 157	33.2 27.1 24.2 18.1 13.7 7.2	1 470 2 259 1 240 1 822 875 482	44.2 37.3 31.6 22.9 20.7 22.0	1 408 2 157 1 211 1 761 902 501	42.3 35.7 30.9 22.2 21.3 22.9	
Family Status									07.5	
In families	24 143 12 896 4 193	8 381 2 344 961	34.7 18.2 22.9	4 952 1 419 649	20.5 11.0 15.5	6 888 1 910 815	28.5 14.8 19.4	6 648 1 929 817	27.5 15.0 19.5	
remaie recent dues ; no inscent present	10 065 4 652 1 182 360 257 3 268 1 682 229 1 586 536	5 754 3 185 283 112 170 1 334 578 105 758 340	57.2 68.5 24.0 31.2 65.9 40.8 34.4 48.0 47.7 63.6	3 348 1 920 187 76 137 776 398 11 378 60	33.2 41.3 15.8 21.2 53.4 23.8 23.7 4.9 23.8 11.1	4 739 2 898 239 94 164 1 097 512 68 585 205	47.1 58.0 20.2 26.1 63.6 33.6 30.5 29.7 36.9 38.3	4 469 2 528 248 99 164 1 132 528 71 604 202	44.4 54.3 21.0 27.4 63.8 34.6 31.0 38.1 37.7	
Residence										
Inside metropolitan areas	21 039 14 905 6 135 6 628	7 017 5 514 1 503 2 867	33.4 37.0 24.5 43.3	4 067 3 141 926 1 798	19.3 21.1 15.1 27.1	5 746 4 486 1 260 2 402	27.3 30.1 20.5 36.2	5 612 4 341 1 272 2 328	26.7 29.1 20.7 35.1	
Region						1	1			
Northeast	5 198 5 206 14 641 2 622	1 688 2 039 5 485 672	32.5 39.2 37.5 25.6	837 1 142 3 523 384	16.1 21.9 24.1 13.9	1 342 1 673 4 592 541	25.8 32.1 31.4 20.6	1 340 1 579 4 509 512	25.8 30.3 30.8 19.5	
Families										
Total	6 675	2 162	32.4	1 254	18.8	1 757	26.3	1 693	25.4	
Age of Householder Under 25 years	437 3 406 1 984 848	290 1 157 484 230	66.4 34.0 24.4 27.2	193 734 263 63	44.2 21.6 13.3 7.5	976 381	59.9 28.7 19.2 16.4	243 921 374 158	55.5 27.0 18.8 18.4	
Size of Family					1					
2 persons	2 228 1 587 1 352 775 387 346	640 475 421 271 147 208	28.7 29.9 31.1 35.0 38.0 60.0	344 273 246 188 80 124	15.4 17.2 18.2 24.3 20.6 35.7	363 229 119	22.6 23.4 26.8 29.6 30.7 49.6	486 351 345 231 117 162	21.8 22.1 25.5 29.8 30.1 47.0	
Type of Family		E	}							
Married-couple families	3 448 2 054	533 368	15.5 17.9	303 240	8.8 11.7	309	12.1 15.0	428 309 1 193	12.4 15.1 41.5	
present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	2 874 2 250 354 185	1 545 1 367 84 57	53.8 60.7 23.7 30.7	894 802 58 38	31.1 35.6 16.3 20.3	1 141 71	44.2 50.7 20.1 26.6	1 061 72 50	47.2 20.3 27.0	
Work Experience of Householder								. <u></u> .		
Total civilian householders  Worked 50 to 52 weeks  Full time  Vorked 1 to 49 weeks  Old not work last year	6 587 4 340 3 135 2 875 1 205 2 246	2 156 804 290 201 514 1 352	32.7 18.5 9.3 7.0 42.7 60.2	126 350	12.6 6.3 4.4 29.0	674 216 139 458	26.6 15.5 6.9 4.8 38.0 48.0	1 687 639 208 134 431 1 048	25.6 14.7 6.8 4.7 35.7 46.7	

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

—Con.

			Belo	w 125 percent of the	he poverty level			
Characteristic	Current pove definition	rty	Market valu concept	•	Recipient val concept	U-6	Poverty bud share conc	get ept
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS—CON.								
Black					• ]			
Persons						ļ		
Total	12 080	43.7	9 118	32.9	11 154	40.3	11 211	40.5
Age		.	-			i		
Under 6 years	1 942 3 271 1 708 2 689 1 402 1 069	58.3 54.0 43.6 33.9 33.1 48.8	1 645 2 610 1 383 2 164 957 357	49.4 43.1 35.3 27.2 22.6 16.3	1 849 3 044 1 803 2 507 1 281 870	55.5 50.3 40.9 31.6 30.2 39.7	1 843 3 039 1 630 2 513 1 304 881	55.4 50.2 41.6 31.7 30.8 40.2
Family Status	ļ					ļ		
In families  Married-couple families  Related children under 18 years  Female householder, no husband	10 300 3 381 1 399	42.7 26.2 33.4	7 893 2 623 1 174	32.7 20.3 28.0	9 494 3 100 1 292	39.3 24.0 30.8	9 549 3 099 1 260	39.6 24.0 30.5
present Fleisted children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Fleisted children under 18 years Housted children under 18 years Hurnelated subfamilies Urrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	6 539 3 519 380 153 196 1 584 702 141 882 409	65.0 75.8 32.1 42.5 76.2 48.5 41.7 61.6 55.6 76.4	4 975 2 823 294 121 185 1 038 525 50 513 128	49.4 60.7 24.9 33.7 72.0 31.8 31.2 21.9 32.3 23.5	6 067 3 333 327 131 190 1 470 658 123 811 351	60.3 71.8 27.7 36.4 73.9 45.0 39.1 53.9 51.2 66.4	6 105 3 321 346 140 198 1 465 674 123 792 323	60.7 71.4 29:3 38.8 76.2 44.8 40.1 53.7 49.9 60.2
Residence			1			ŀ		•
Inside metropolitan areas - Inside central cities	8 583 6 647 1 936 3 496	40.8 44.6 31.6 52.7	6 405 4 875 1 531 2 711	30.4 32.7 25.0 40.9	7 915 6 119 1 796 3 239	37.6 41.1 29.3 48.9	7 924 6 133 1 791 3 267	37.7 41.1 29.2 49.6
Region	ţ			1			, and the second	
Northeast North Central South West	1 968 2 485 6 761 865	37.9 47.7 46.2 33.0	1 425 1 740 5 300 651	27.4 33.4 36.2 24.8	1 796 2 288 6 236 834	34.6 44.0 42.6 31.8	1 618 2 297 6 297 798	35.0 44.1 43.0 30.4
Families		İ		*			İ	
Total	2 687	40.3	1 985	29.7	2 454	36.8	2 454	36.8
Age of Householder		ĺ					ľ	
Under 25 years	319 1 392 644 332	73.0 40.9 32.5 39.1	270 1 132 451 132	61.8 33.2 22.7 15.6	304 1 311 581 258	69.6 38.5 29.3 30.4	300 1 292 583 280	68.6 37.9 29.4 33.0
Size of Family						ļ		
2 persons	617 600 485 355 202 227	36.7 37.8 35.9 45.9 52.1 65.8	521 421 408 294 158 185	23.4 26.5 30.1 37.9 40.7 53.5	717 537 489 333 183 215	32.2 33.8 34.7 43.0 47.3 62.1	716 535 462 333 189 218	32.2 33.7 34.2 43.0 48.9 63.0
Type of Family								
Married-couple families	784 539	22.8 26.2	565 448	16.4 21.7	707 498	20.5 24.2	711 494	20.6 24.1
present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	1 787 1 548 116 75	62.2 68.8 32.7 40.7	1 333 1 200 88 59	46.4 53.3 24.7 32.1	1 647 1 450 100 65	57.3 64.4 28.1 35.3	1 638 1 427 105 70	57.0 63.4 29.7 37.8
Work Experience of Householder								
Total civilian householders	2 667 1 115 505 391 610 1 552	40.5 25.7 16.1 13.6 50.7 69.1	1 967 910 413 319 497 1 057	29.9 21.0 13.2 11.1 41.2 47.1	2 435 1 015 449 348 566 1 420	37.0 23.4 14.3 12.1 47.0 63.2	2 435 987 436 334 551 1 448	37.0 22.7 13.9 11.6 45.7 64.5

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

(Numbers in thousands. Persons and families as of March 1984. For meaning of symbols, see text)

(Numbers in thousands. Persons and familie		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		ow 100 percent of	of the poverty lev	 el		
Characteristic		Current p defini	overty ion	Market v		Recipien		Poverty bu share con	idget cept
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS—CON.									
Spanish Origin¹	.								
Persons	ì			İ			İ	i	
Total	14 938	4 249	28.4	3 015	20.2	3 739	25.0	3 704	24.8
Age									
Under 6 years	2 009 3 641 2 019 4 551 2 073 645	840 1 320 554 1 033 353 149	41.8 36.2 27.5 22.7 17.0 23.1	629 920 435 775 233 23	31.3 25.3 21.5 17.0 11.2 3.6	773 1 151 506 923 302 84	38.5 31.8 25.1 20.3 14.6 13.1	752 1 141 500 911 316 85	37.4 31.3 24.8 20.0 15.3 13.1
Family Status							İ	,	
In families Married-couple families Related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	13 754 10 560 4 074	3 822 2 154 1 095	27.8 20.4 26.9	2 719 1 692 888	19.8 16.0 21.8	3 375 1 929 997	24.5 18.3 24.5	3 334 1 927 991	24.2 18.3 24.3
present Related children under 16 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 16 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Maies 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	2 741 1 354 453 142 95 1 089 599 57 490 116	1 549 956 119 54 57 370 172 22 198 53	56.5 70.6 26.3 38.0 59.5 34.0 28.7 (B) 40.3 45.6	944 578 83 37 43 254 138 4 116 5	34.4 42.7 18.3 25.9 45.1 23.3 23.0 (B) 23.7 4.6	1 349 830 97 43 55 310 159 16 151 23	49.2 61.3 21.4 30.4 57.3 28.5 26.5 (B) 30.9 19.5	1 311 805 95 41 55 315 158 14 157 22	47.8 59.5 21.0 29.1 57.3 28.9 26.4 (B) 32.0
Residence				İ					
Inside metropolitan areas	12 817 7 511 5 306 2 121	3 567 2 394 1 173 682	27.8 31.9 22.1 32.1	2 475 1 581 894 540	19.3 21.1 16.8 25.5	3 137 2 122 1 015 602	24.5 28.3 19.1 28.4	3 093 2 078 1 015 610	24.1 27.7 19.1 28.8
Region	İ					•		!	
Northeast	2 627 1 093 4 860 6 339	1 028 286 1 259 1 875	39.1 26.2 25.8 26.4	513 195 987 1 320	19.5 17.8 20.2 20.8	858 249 1 115 1 517	32.7 22.8 22.9 23.9	834 244 1 112 1 513	31.8 22.4 22.8 23.9
Families	ł				İ		j		
Total	3 587	933	26.1	637	17.9	814	22.8	801	22.5
Under 25 years	306 2 309 1 019 233	143 563 183 45	48.6 28.0 17.9 19.1	103 398 127 9	33.7 19.8 12.5 3.9	132 495 162 26	43.1 24.6 15.9 11.0	126 484 184 27	41.1 24.1 16.1 11.6
Size of Family		1			1				
2 persons	854 823 865 528 264 233	186 216 207 139 89 95	21.8 26.3 24.0 26.4 33.8 40.6	116 130 149 100 68 75	13.8 15.8 17.2 18.9 25.7 32.0	160 174 189 124 82 85	18.7 21.1 21.9 23.5 31.0 36.6	159 168 188 121 81 81	18.6 20.2 21.7 22.9 30.7 36.9
Type of Family						1			
Married-couple families	2 606 1 865	466 399	17.9 21.4	352 319	13.5 17.1	410 362	15.7 19.4	411 358	15.8 19.2
Present	810 631 151 81	433 401 34 27	53.5 63.6 22.5 33.3	263 242 23 18	32.4 38.4 15.2 22.4	378 350 27 21	48.6 55.4 17.8 26.0	364 335 26 19	45.0 53.2 17.3 23.7
Work Experience of Householder	j	ļ			- 1				
Total civilian householders	3 517 2 699 1 911 1 802 788 818	931 464 190 165 275 467	26.5 17.2 9.9 9.1 34.9 57.1	636 377 160 140 217 259	18.1 14.0 8.4 7.8 27.5 31.6	813 418 169 147 250 394	23.1 15.5 8.8 8.2 31.7 48.2	800 411 167 145 244 389	22.7 15.2 8.7 8.0 30.9 47.6

Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

	<del></del>		Bek	w 125 percent of t	he poverty level	·		
Characteristic	Current pove definition	erty	Market vali concept	Je .	Recipient va concept	lue	Poverty bud share conc	
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Povert rati
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS—CON.								
Spanish Origin¹								
Persons	ļ			İ			i	
Total	5 587	37.4	4 617	30.9	5 193	34.8	5 177	34.7
Age		İ						
Under 6 years	1 038 1 713 713 1 379 499 245	51.7 47.0 35.3 30.3 24.1 38.0	933 1 438 647 1 177 385 58	46.4 39.5 32.0 25.9 17.6 9.0	994 1 592 695 1 301 448 164	49.5 43.7 34.4 28.6 21.6 25.4	987 1 580 687 1 294 457 172	49.1 43.4 34.0 28.4 22.1 26.7
Family Status								
In families	5 059 3 130 1 566	36.8 29.6 38.5	4 235 2 665 1 367	30.8 25.2 33.6	4 717 2 906 1 458	34.3 27.5 35.8	4 699 2 897 1 445	34.2 27.4 35.5
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	1 802 1 087 128 57 61 487 211 34 256 84	65.7 78.8 28.3 40.3 63.7 42.9 35.3 (B) 52.2 71.9	1 467 896 103 45 59 324 170 11	53.5 66.3 22.7 31.7 61.5 29.7 26.4 (B) 31.4 7.9	1 698 1 018 112 50 61 416 194 24 222 53	62.0 75.2 24.8 34.8 63.7 38.2 32.4 (B) 45.2 45.6	1 689 1 012 112 50 61 417 197 24 220	61.6 74.7 24.8 34.8 63.7 38.3 33.0 (B 44.8
Residence		1	1		~		70	72.0
Inside metropolitan areas	4 678 3 078 1 601 909	36.5 41.0 30.2 42.8	3 838 2 456 1 383 779	29.9 32.7 26.1 38.7	4 339 2 861 1 478 854	33.9 38.1 27.9 40.3	4 320 2 842 1 478 856	33.7 37.6 27.9 40.4
Region						İ	ļ	
Northeast North Central South West	1 223 358 1 716 2 290	48.6 32.7 35.2 38.1	892 321 1 465 1 939	34.0 29.4 30.0 30.6	1 128 344 1 604 2 118	42.9 31.5 32.9 33.4	1 121 338 1 607 2 110	42.7 31.0 32.6 33.3
Families					i			
Total	1 224	34.3	997	28.0	1 135	31.8	1 137	31.9
Age of Householder		Ì						
Under 25 years	167 726 256 75	54.7 36.1 25.2 32.2	159 622 197 20	51.8 30.9 19.3 8.8	164 692 231 48	53.7 34.5 22.7 20.4	164 686 231 56	53.5 34.1 22.7 24.1
Size of Family		·		İ				
2 persons	257 263 267 188 119 130	30.1 31.9 30.9 35.8 45.3 55.9	174 212 232 165 107 108	20.4 25.7 26.8 31.2 40.6 48.2	219 251 252 183 111 120	25.8 30.5 29.1 34.7 42.0 51.4	226 249 251 183 110	26.5 30.2 29.1 34.7 41.9 50.1
Type of Family		i.				ļ		
Married-couple families	681 568	26.1 30.4	563 501	21.6 26.8	627 537	24.0 28.8	630 534	24.2 28.6
present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	506 455 37 29	62.5 72.1 24.7 35.6	404 374 30 24	49.8 59.3 20.2 29.2	476 435 32 25	58.8 68.9 21.3 30.6	475 431 32 25	58.7 68.4 21.3 30.6
Work Experience of Householder								
Total civillan householders Worked	1 211 683 309 276 355 547	34.4 24.6 16.2 15.3 45.0 66.9	984 585 272 , 243 313 399	26.0 21.7 14.3 13.5 39.7 48.8	1 122 624 287 257 337 498	31.9 23.1 15.0 14.3 42.8 60.9	1 124 620 286 255 335 504	32.0 23.0 14.9 14.1 42.5 61.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

-Con

				Belov	100 percent of	the poverty level		, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
Characteristic		Current pov definition		Market va concept		Recipient v concept		Poverty bu share con	
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES									-
All Races									
Persons		İ				[			
Total	231 612	35 266	15.2	24 334	10.5	30 585	13.2	29 935	12.9
Age								1	
Under 6 years 6 to 17 years 18 to 24 years 25 to 44 years 45 to 64 years 65 years and over	21 205 40 835 28 678 70 230 44 273 26 291	5 302 8 505 4 938 8 379 4 430 3 711	25.0 20.8 17.2 11.9 10.0	3 940 5 935 3 921 6 399 3 177 963	18.6 14.5 13.7 9.1 7.2 3.7	4 810 7 360 4 490 7 499 3 942 2 485	22.7 18.0 15.7 10.7 8.9 9.5	4 649 7 166 4 430 7 353 3 953 2 384	21.9 17.5 15.4 10.5 8.9 9.1
Family Status			1					ŀ	
in families  Manied-couple families  Related children under 18 years  Female householder, no husband	201 142 165 661 47 801	27 804 15 001 6 262	13.8 9.1 13.1	19 308 11 137 4 891	9.6 6.7 10.2	24 028 13 073 5 589	11.9 7.9 11.7	23 488 13 041 5 554	11.7 7.9 11.6
present children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilia Unrelated subfamilia Males 65 years and over Fernalos 65 years and over	29 929 12 100 5 552 1 483 1 213 29 257 13 155 1 865 16 102 6 727	12 020 6 709 782 335 630 6 832 2 619 412 4 213 1 861	40.2 55.4 14.1 22.6 51.9 23.4 18.9 22.1 26.2 27.7	7 570 4 308 602 256 533 4 493 2 085 89 2 408 418	25.3 35.6 10.8 17.2 44.0 15.8 4.8 15.0 6.2	10 278 5 831 677 282 605 5 953 2 450 319 3 503 1 247	34.3 48.2 12.2 19.0 49.9 20.3 18.6 17.1 21.8	9 755 5 508 689 208 599 5 850 2 460 300 3 390 1 108	32.6 45.5 12.4 19.4 49.4 20.0 18.7 16.1 21.1
Residence	1.2.	1 301			J.E.		10.3	' '00'	10.5
Inside metropolitan areas	157 615 64 907 92 709 73 997	21 750 12 872 8 878 13 516	13.8 19.8 9.6 18.3	14 599 8 159 6 440 9 735	9.3 12.6 6.9 13.2	18 831 11 061 7 770 11 754	11.9 17.0 8.4 15.9	18 404 10 751 7 653 11 531	11.7 16.6 8.3 15.6
Region				·					
Northeast	49 132 58 295 78 570 45 616	6 561 8 536 13 484 6 684	13.4 14.6 17.2 14.7	3 891 5 800 9 779 4 864	7.9 9.9 12.4 10.7	5 515 7 496 11 655 5 920	11.2 12.9 14.8 13.0	5 353 7 303 11 448 5 833	10.9 12.5 14.8 12.8
Families				,	İ			ŀ	
Tótal	61 997	7 641	12.3	5 210	8.4	6 551	10.6	6 389	10.3
Age of Householder						ļ			
Under 25 years	3 258 28 695 20 362 9 682	962 4 061 1 776 841	29.5 14.2 8.7 8.7	728 2 905 1 294 284	22.3 10.1 6.4 2.9	882 3 563 1 564 543	27.1 12.4 7.7 5.6	835 3 440 1 539 574	25.6 12.0 7.6 5.9
Size of Family								ľ	
2 persons	24 831 14 427 13 228 5 918 2 276 1 319	2 501 1 645 1 553 974 489 478	10.1 11.4 11.7 16.5 21.5 36.3	1 577 1 105 1 128 736 341 324	6.3 7.7 8.5 12.4 15.0 24.5	2 070 1 385 1 409 853 424 410	8.3 9.6 10.7 14.4 18.6 31.1	2 027 1 327 1 362 850 420 403	8.2 9.2 10.3 14.4 18.5 30.5
Type of Family	ì								
Married-couple families	50 090 25 172	3 820 2 545	7.6 10.1	2 749 1 989	5.5 7.9	3 274 2 265	6.5 9.0	3 277 2 248	6.5 8.9
present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	9 878 6 609 2 030 943	3 557 3 118 264 186	36.0 47.2 13.0 19.7	2 255 1 996 205 149	22.8 30.2 10.1 15.8	3 045 2 692 233 164	30.8 40.7 11.5 17.4	2 875 2 524 236 168	29.1 38.2 11.6 17.6
Work Experience of Householder	Ì	İ							
Total civilian householders Worked Worked 50 to 52 weeks Full time Worked 1 to 49 weeks Did not work last year.	61 301 47 088 38 189 34 269 10 899 14 214	7 610 3 765 1 596 1 289 2 169 3 845	12.4 8.0 4.4 3.8 -19.9 27.0	5 181 2 999 1 345 1 102 1 654 2 182	8.5 6.4 3.2 15.2	6 523 3 357 1 406 1 140 1 951 3 166	10.6 7.1 3.9 3.3 17.9 22.3	6 360 3 272 1 389 1 132 1 882 3 088	10.4 6.9 3.8 3.3 17.3 21.7

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

—Con.

	Current pover	ty	Market valu	9	Recipient val	ue	Poverty bud	
Theracteristic	definition	Poverty	concept	Poverty	concept	Poverty	share conce	Poverty
	Number	rate	Number	raté	Number	rate	Number	rate
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES								
All Races								
Persons	•	1						
Total	47 124	20.3	38 136	16.5	43 716	18.9	43 544	18.0
Nge	Į.	ł		l				
Inder 6 years	6 694	31.6	6 019	28.4	6 414	30.2	6 380	30.
to 17 years	10 914 6 274	26.7 21.9	9 492 5 630	23.2 19.6	10 274 6 044	25.1 21.1	10 220   6 028	25. 21.
8 to 24 years	11 263	16.0	9 884	14.1	10 663 5 682	15.2	10 648 5 726	15.: 12.:
15 to 64 years	6 081 5 898	13.7 22.4	4 819 2 292	10.9 8.7	4 640	12.8 17.8	4 543	17.
amily Status	1	1		•				
n families	37 298	18.5	30 968	15.4	34 686	17.2	34 617	17.
Married-couple familles	21 996	13.3 18.9	18 476 8 080	11.2 16.9	20 400 8 495	12.3 17.8	20 416 8 463	1 <u>2.</u> 17.
Related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	9 013							44.
present	14 296 7 648	47.8 63.2	11 663 6 551	39.0 54.1	13 370 7 286	44.7 60.2	13 279   7 220	59.
Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years	1 004 407	18.1 27.4	829 359	14.9 24.2	916 378	18.5 25.5	922 382	16. 25.
n unrelated subfamilies	699	57.7	665	54.9	683	56.3	692	57. 28.
Unrelated individuals	9 126 3 349	31.2 25.5	6 503 2 780	22.2 21.1	8 347 3 193	28.5 24.3	8 235   3 200	24.
65 years and over	637 5 780	34.2 35.9	279 3 723	15.0 23.1	532 5 155	28.5 32.0	511 5 035	27 31
Females	2 898	43.1	1 150	17.1	2 337	34.7	2 175	32
Residence	1		1	1.		i	}	
nside metropolitan areas	28 901	18.3	23 100	14.7	26 772	17.0	26 629	16.
Inside central cities	16 713 12 189 18 222	25.7 13.1 24.6	13 076 10 024 15 036	20.1 10.8 20.3	15 471 11 301 16 944	23.8 12.2 22.9	15 350 11 279 16 915	23. 12. 22.
Region			1			1		
Northeast	8 729	17.8	6 525	13.3	7 970	16.2	7 886	16 17
North Central	11 197 18 180	19.2 23.1	9 039 15 149	15.5 19.3	10 365 16 942	17.8 21.6	10 343 16 937	21
West	9 018	19.8	7 423	18.3	8 439	18.5	8 378	18
Families					ŀ			
Total	10 358	16.7	8 299	13.4	9 537	15.4	9 516	15
Age of Householder		İ						
Under 25 years	1 172	36.0	1 055	32.4	1 134	34.8	1 119	34 17
25 to 44 years	5 353   2 458	18.7 12.1	4 703 2 014	16.4 9.9	5 076 2 300	17.7 11.3	5 033 2 285	11
85 years and over	1 374	14.2	527	5.4	1 027	10.6	1 079	11
Size of Family				l		. 1		
2 persons	3 501	14.1	2 412 1 766	9.7	3 080 2 039	12.4 14.1	3 090 2 018	12 14
3 persons	2 209 2 086	15.3 15.8	1 866	12.2 14.1	1 993	15.1	1 981	15
5 persons	1 302	22.0 30.0	1 163 593	19.7 26.1	1 246 639	21.1 28.1	1 248 842	21 28
7 persons or more	682 577	43.8	499	37.8	540	40.9	539	40
Type of Family	ļ				ľ			
Married-couple families	5 709	11.4	4 543	9.1	5 210	10.4	5 237	10 14
With related children under 19 years Female householder, no husband	3 737	14.8	3 331	13.2	3 529	14.0	3 516	
present With related children under 18 years	4 306 3 650	43.6 55.2	3 472 3 069	35.1 46.4	4 009 3 458	40.6 52.3	3 952 3 404	40 51
Male householder, no wife present	343 228	16.9	284	14.0 21.5	317 218	15.6 23.1	317 218	15 23
With related children under 18 years	228	24.2	203	21.5	210	23.1	2.5	2.
Work Experience of Householder	40				6 447	45.4	9 426	1!
Total civilian householders	10 256 5 484	16.7 11.6	8 209 4 870	13.4 10.3	9 447 5 166	15.4 11.0	5 113	10
Worked 50 to 52 weeks	2 535 2 119	7.0 6.2	2 310 1 949	8.4 5.7	2 396 2 008 2 770	6.5 5.9	2 371 1 982	
Full time	2 949	27.1	2 560	23.5	2 770	25.4 30.1	2 741	2: 3:

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

				Belov	v 100 percent of	the poverty level			
Characteristic		Current pov definition	rerty	Market val		Recipient vi		Poverty bu share con	dget cept
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.									<del>-</del>
White									
Persons									
Total	197 671	23 974	12.1	17 323	8.8	21 020	10.6	20 744	10.5
Age									
Under 6 years	17 140 33 488 23 939 60 223 39 109 23 771	3 403 5 375 3 242 5 874 3 220 2 860	19.9 16.1 13.5 9.8 8.2 12.0	2 657 3 996 2 764 4 694 2 463 750	15.5 11.9 11.5 7.8 6.3 3.2	3 096 4 738 3 022 5 350 2 915 1 900	18.1 14.1 12.6 8.9 7.5 8.0	3 017 4 667 3 005 5 277 2 942 1 836	17.6 13.9 12.6 8.8 7.5 7.7
Family Status								}	
In families	171 418 148 061 41 874	18 269 11 852 4 898	10.7 8.0 11.7	13 460 9 143 3 959	7.9 6.2 9.5	16 027 10 391 4 379	9.3 7.0 10.5	15 835 10 383 4 346	9.2 7.0 10.4
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	19 153 7 149 4 204 1 068 884 25 369 11 136 1 613 14 233 6 148	5 956 3 356 481 202 414 5 291 1 926 298 3 385 1 507	31.1 46.9 11.0 19.0 48.9 20.9 17.3 18.5 23.6 24.5	3 954 2 244 363 162 356 3 507 1 573 76 1 934	20.6 31.4 8.6 15.2 40.3 13.8 14.1 4.7 13.6 5.6	5 223 2 963 412 176 395 4 599 1 818 237 2 783 996	27.3 41.4 9.8 16.7 44.7 16.1 16.3 14.7 19.6	5 035 2 848 416 179 390 4 520 1 819 221 2 701 696	26.3 39.8 9.9 16.0 44.1 17.8 16.3 13.7
Residence	5 (45)	1 307	24.5	3,3	3.0	880	16.2	090	14.6
Inside metropolitan areas	131 577 47 384 84 193 66 094	13 770 6 661 7 110 10 204	10.5 14.1 8.4 15.4	9 823 4 559 5 264 7 500	7.5 9.6 6.3 11.3	12 113 5 866 6 247 8 908	9.2 12.4 7.4 13.5	11 946 5 789 6 157 8 798	9.1 12.2 7.3 13.3
Region	Ì							İ	
Northeast North Central South	43 133 52 395 62 861 39 282	4 745 8 353 7 729 5 148	11.0 12.1 12.3 13.1	2 898 4 538 5 873 4 013	6.7 8.7 9.3 10.2	4 012 5 684 6 727 4 617	9.3 10.8 10.7 11.8	3 897 5 598 6 678 4 571	9.0 10.7 10.6 11.6
Families									
Total	53 934	5 223	9.7	3 748	6.9	4 540	8.4	4 477	8.3
Age of Householder					,				
Under 25 years	2 727 24 544 17 952 8 710	635 2 767 1 230 591	23.3 11.3 6.9 6.8	503 2 076 975 195	18.4 8.5 5.4 2.2	583 2 483 1 117 376	21.4 10.0 6.2 4.3	560 2 401 1 114 402	20.5 9.8 6.2 4.6
Size of Family									
2 persons. 3 persons. 4 persons. 5 persons. 6 persons. 7 persons or more.	22 272 12 510 11 539 4 940 1 782 891	1 807 1 132 1 075 663 317 230	8.1 9.0 9.3 13.4 17.8 25.8	1 184 799 830 515 241 180	5.3 6.4 7.2 10.4 13.5 20.2	1 508 973 987 587 282 203	6.8 7.8 8.6 11.9 15.8	1 495 943 969 584 280 205	6.7 7.5 8.4 11.8 15.7 22.9
Type of Family				ŀ					
Married-couple families	45 529 22 334	3 135 2 050	6.9 9.2	2 336 1 657	5.1 7.4	2 708 1 840	5.9 8.2	2 715 1 823	6.0 8.2
present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	6 784 4 197 1 821 729	1 920 1 670 168 120	28.3 39.8 10.4 16.5	1 279 1 116 133 101	18.8 26.6 8.2 13.8	1 681 1 467 152 109	24.8 35.0 9.3 14.9	1 608 1 395 154 110	23.7 33.2 9.5 15.1
Work Experience of Householder									
Total civillan householders	53 356 41 686 32 217 30 595 9 489 11 670	5 200 2 853 1 254 1 041 1 599 2 347	9.7 6.8 3.9 3.4 16.9	3 728 2 360 1 101 934 1 259 1 368	7.0 5.7 3.4 3.1 13.3	4 519 2 583 1 141 957 1 442 1 937	8.5 6.2 3.5 3.1 15.2 16.6	4 457 2 537 1 131 953 1 405 1 920	8.4 6.1 3.5 3.1 14.8 16.5

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

<u> </u>			Belo	w 125 percent of the	ne poverty level			
Characteristic	Current pove definition	rty	Market valu concept	•	Recipient va. concept	lue	Poverty bud share conce	
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.								
White								
Persons	1	Ė		1		1		
Total	33 310	16.9	27 042	13.7	30 855	15.6	30 696	15.5
Age				1		1		
Under 6 years	4 452 7 188 4 289 8 120 4 528 4 735	26.0 21.5 17.9 13.5 11.6 19.9	4 047 6 371 3 944 7 248 3 656 1 779	23.6 19.0 16.5 12.0 9.3 7.5	4 272 6 773 4 158 7 719 4 245 3 688	24.9 20.2 17.4 12.8 10.8 15.5	4 249 6 741 4 135 7 706 4 276 3 589	24.8 20.1 17.3 12.8 10.9 15.1
Family Status			i					
In families	25 560 17 605 7 113	14.9 11.9 17.0	21 466 14 821 6 399	12.5 10.0 15.3	23 776 16 337 6 717	13.9 11.0 16.0	23 717 16 351 6 694	13.6 11.0 16.0
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wite present Related children under 18 years Interelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females	7 372 3 929 583 233 457 7 292 2 518 485 4 774 2 460	38.5 55.0 13.9 21.8 51.7 28.7 22.6 30.1 33.5 40.0	6 158 3 455 490 213 434 5 142 2 104 203 3 038 953	32.1 48.3 11.7 20.0 49.1 20.3 18.9 12.6 21.3	6 901 3 748 538 223 447 6 632 2 403 400 4 229 1 961	36.0 52.4 12.8 20.9 50.8 26.1 21.6 24.8 29.7 31.9	6 829 3 713 537 222 450 6 528 2 400 379 4 128 1 832	35.7 51.9 12.8 20.8 51.0 25.7 21.6 23.5 29.8
65 years and over	2 400	40.0	<b>633</b>	15.5	' 30' )	31.6	1 832	25.0
Inside metropolitan areas	19 139 9 235 9 904 14 170	14.5 19.5 11.8 21.4	15 401 7 250 8 151 11 642	11.7 15.3 9.7 17.6	17 690 6 520 9 170 13 165	13.4 18.0 10.9 19.9	17 598 8 445 9 153 13 098	13.4 17.8 10.9 19.8
Region	i				i	į		
Northeast North Central South West	6 563 8 530 11 089 7 127	15.2 16.3 17.6 18.1	4 857 7 034 9 278 5 873	11.3 13.4 14.8 15.0	5 984 7 895 10 347 6 630	13.9 15.1 16.5 16.9	5 907 7 872 10 323 6 594	13.7 15.0 16.4 16.8
Families								
Total	7 329	13.6	5 934	11.0	6 747	12.5	6 743	12.5
Age of Householder		ĺ	ŀ			ļ		
Under 25 years	803 3 774 1 742 1 011	29.4 15.4 9.7 11.6	731 3 370 1 471 381	26.8 13.7 8.2 4.1	780 3 587 1 641 739	28.6 14.6 9.1 8.5	769 3 568 1 632 773	28.2 14.5 9.1 8.9
Size of Family							ł	
2 persons	2 607 1 544 1 530 893 450 306	11.7 12.3 13.3 18.1 25.3 34.3	1 791 1 284 1 388 813 390 268	8.0 10.3 12.0 16.4 21.9 30.1	2 284 1 441 1 455 860 424 283	10.3 11.5 12.8 17.4 23.8 31.7	2 303 1 427 1 451 860 422 280	10.3 11.4 12.6 17.4 23.7 31.4
Type of Family	İ	1					İ	
Married-couple families	4 708 3 028	10.3 13.6	3 767 2 714	8.3 12.2	4 301 2 869	9.4 12.8	4 322 2 859	9.5 12.8
present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	2 409 2 003 214 144	35.5 47.7 13.2 19.7	1 984 1 737 183 133	29.2 41.4 11.3 16.3	2 247 1 908 199 140	33.1 45.5 12.3 19.2	2 222 1 886 199 139	32.8 44.9 12.3 19.1
Work Experience of Householder								
Total civilian householders	7 258 4 211 1 953 1 661 2 258 3 047	13.6 10.1 6.1 5.4 23.8 26.1	5 871 3 799 1 819 1 565 1 980 2 072	11.0 9.1 5.6 5.1 20.9	6 684 4 000 1 872 1 597 2 128 2 684	12.5 9.6 5.8 5.2 22.5 23.0	6 680 3 976 1 862 1 587 2 113 2 704	12.5 9.5 5.8 5.2 22.3 23.2

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

	<b>⊢</b>	Cimant	merby T	Market va	huo	Recipient va	hue T	Douards has	rings
Characteristic		Current por definition	nerty 1	concept		concept	108	Poverty bu share con	
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.									•
Black				j					
Persons									
Total	27 668	9 885	35.7	6 091	22.0	8 299	30.0	7 941	28.7
Age									
Under 6 years	3 328 6 051 3 919 7 940 4 238 2 191	1 646 2 738 1 465 2 156 1 084 796	49.5 45.2 37.4 27.2 25.6 36.3	1 127 1 698 981 1 458 631 194	33.9 28.1 25.0 18.4 14.9 8.9	1 478 2 277 1 251 1 832 920 540	44.4 37.6 31.9 23.1 21.7 24.6	1 408 2 157 1 211 1 761 902 501	42.3 35.7 30.9 22.2 21.3 22.6
Family Status	j								
In families	24 143 12 896 4 193	8 381 2 344 961	34.7 18.2 22.9	5 135 1 492 673	21.3 11.6 16.1	6 982 1 947 815	28.9 15.1 19.4	6 646 1 929 817	27.5 15.0 19.5
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	10 065 4 652 1 182 360 257 3 288 1 682 229 1 586	5 754 3 185 283 112 170 1 334 578 105 758 340	57.2 68.5 24.0 31.2 65.9 40.8 34.4 46.0 47.7 63.8	3 427 1 958 216 85 147 809 409 12 400 72	34.0 42.1 18.3 23.5 57.1 24.8 24.3 5.3 25.2 13.5	4 796 2 725 239 94 184 1 153 522 74 831 238	47.6 58.6 20.2 26.1 63.6 35.3 31.1 32.3 39.8 44.5	4 469 2 528 248 99 164 1 132 528 71 604 202	44.4 54.5 21.6 27.4 63.6 34.6 31.4 31.0 38.1
Residence		0.0			.5.5		,		J
Inside metropolitan areas	21 039 14 905 6 135 6 628	7 017 5 514 1 503 2 867	33.4 37.0 24.5 43.3	4 212 3 224 988 1 879	20.0 21.6 16.1 28.3	5 850 4 555 1 295 2 449	27.8 30.6 21.1 36.9	5 612 4 341 1 272 2 328	26.7 29.1 20.7 35.1
Region									
Northeast North Central South West	5 198 5 206 14 641 2 622	1 688 2 039 5 485 672	32.5 39.2 37.5 25.6	902 1 158 3 666 364	17.4 22.2 25.0 13.9	1 385 1 692 4 678 543	26.7 32.5 32.0 20.7	1 340 1 579 4 509 512	25.8 30.3 30.8 19.5
Families									
Total	6 675	2 162	32.4	1 299	19.5	1 792	26.8	1 693	25.4
Age of Householder									
Under 25 years	437 3 406 1 984 848	290 1 157 484 230	66.4 34.0 24.4 27.2	196 743 280 82	44.8 21.8 14.1 9.6	262 979 398 153	59.9 28.7 20.1 18.1	243 921 374 158	55.5 27.0 18.8 18.4
Size of Family	1		i						
2 persons	2 228 1 587 1 352 775 387 346	640 475 421 271 147 208	28.7 29.9 31.1 35.0 38.0 60.0	358 278 258 198 85 125	16.0 17.5 19.1 25.5 21.9 36.1	521 380 389 231 119	23.4 23.9 27.3 29.9 30.7 49.6	486 351 345 231 117 162	21.8 22.1 25.5 29.8 30.1 47.0
Type of Femily									
Married-couple families	3 448 2 054	533 368	15.5 17.9	320 253	9.3 12.3	433 309	12.6 15.1	428 309	12.4 15.1
present. With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	2 874 2 250 354 185	1 545 1 387 84 57	53.8 60.7 23.7 30.7	917 823 63 43	31,9 36,6 17,7 23.0	1 288 1 153 71 49	44.8 51.2 20.1 26.6	1 193 1 061 72 50	41.5 47.2 20.3 27.0
Work Experience of Householder				1					
Total civilian householders	6 587 4 340 3 135 2 875 1 205 2 248	2 156 804 290 201 514 1 352	32.7 18.5 9.3 7.0 42.7 60.2	1 293 551 198 126 354 742	19.6 12.7 6.3 4.4 29.3 33.0	1 786 674 216 139 458 1 112	27.1 15.5 6.9 4.8 38.0 49.5	1 687 639 208 134 431 1 048	25.6 14.7 6.6 4.7 35.7 48.7

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

1

-Con.

(Numbers in thousands. Persons and families as of March 1984. For meaning of symbols, see text) Below 125 percent of the poverty level Poverty budget share concept Recipient value concept Market value Current poverty definition Characteristic **Poverty** Poverty rate Poverty rate Poverty rate rate Number Number Number Number VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON. Black Persons 40.5 11 220 40.6 11 211 43.7 9 598 12 080 Total..... 55.4 50.2 41.6 31.7 30.8 40.2 1 851 3 060 1 613 2 520 1 293 884 843 039 630 1 942 3 271 1 708 2 689 1 402 1 069 58.3 54.0 43.6 33.9 33.1 48.8 Under 6 years 6 to 17 years 18 to 24 years 25 to 44 years 45 to 64 years 65 years and over 2 710 1 437 2 241 1 041 471 44.8 36.7 28.2 24.6 21.5 50.6 41.2 31.7 30.5 40.3 Family Status 39.6 24.0 30.5 9 551 3 108 1 293 39.6 24.1 30.8 9 549 3 099 1 280 8 263 2 774 1 220 34.2 21.5 29.1 10 300 3 381 1 399 26.2 33.4 5 188 2 925 6 105 3 321 60.7 71.4 29.3 38.8 76.2 44.8 40.1 53.7 49.9 60.2 51.5 62.9 25.5 34.9 72.0 35.2 33.0 29.6 37.5 60.6 71.9 28.7 37.5 73.9 45.3 39.4 53.9 51.4 66.3 65.0 925 301 126 185 75.6 32.1 42.5 76.2 48.5 41.7 61.6 339 135 190 479 683 123 816 346 Male householder, no wife present .... Related children under 18 years .... 380 153 140 196 465 674 123 792 196 584 702 141 882 409 In unrelated subfamilies
Unrelated individuals
Unrelated individuals
Males
65 years and over
Females
65 years and over 149 555 68 594 188 Residence 6 714 5 133 1 580 2 884 31.9 34.4 25.8 43.5 7 971 6 168 1 803 3 250 37.9 7 924 37.7 40.8 6 133 1 791 3 267 6 647 1 936 3 496 29.4 49.0 1 618 2 297 6 297 798 34.7 44.2 42.9 31.8 35.0 805 1 968 2 485 6 761 29.2 35.5 37.9 47.7 1 518 2 301 6 280 834 46.2 33.0 2 454 36.8 2 473 37.0 2 078 31.1 Total..... 2 687 40.3 Age of Householder 300 1 292 583 280 304 1 315 588 265 275 1 168 62.9 69.6 38.6 34.3 24.3 18.1 1 392 644 481 Size of Family 716 535 462 333 189 32.2 33.7 34.2 25.2 27.3 30.6 38.9 44.9 56.2 727 541 471 333 185 215 560 433 414 302 174 36.7 37.8 32.6 34.1 34.8 43.0 47.9 600 485 355 202 227 35.9 43.0 48.9 63.0 45.9 52.1 65.8 5 persons ..... Type of Family 20.6 24.1 20.6 24.2 711 494 596 464 710 498 784 539 638 427 105 70 658 456 105 70 62.2 68.8 32.7 787 1 393 present
With related children under 18 years
Male householder, no wife present
With related children under 18 years... 55.4 25.3 1 246 29.7 37.7 81 33.1 40.7 Work Experience of Householder 37.0 22.7 13.9 11.6 45.7 64.5 2 435 987 438 334 551 40.5 25.7 16.1 2 059 928 418 31.3 21.4 13.3 11.2 2 454 1 017 451 37.3 667 Total civilian householders ...... 23.4 14.4 12.2 47.0 115 505 Worked ..... Worked 50 to 52 weeks ..... 350 

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

—Con.

	<u> </u>			Belo	w 100 percent of	the poverty level			
Characteristic		Current po definitk	overty on	Market va concep		Recipient v concep		Poverty bu	
	, Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.									
Spanish Origin <sup>1</sup>									
Persons		l	ļ	İ			i	]	
Total	14 938	4 249	28.4	3 067	20.5	3 764	25.2	3 704	24.8
Age	1	}		]	1			Ţ	
Under 6 years 6 to 17 years 18 to 24 years 18 to 24 years 19 to 44 years 19 to 64 years 19 to 64 years 19 years	2 009 3 641 2 019 4 551 2 073 645	840 1 320 554 1 033 353 149	41.8 38.2 27.5 22.7 17.0 23.1	639 934 437 763 242 32	31.8 25.6 21.7 17.2 11.7 5.0	774 1 154 508 926 312 90	38.5 31.7 25.2 20.4 15.1 14.0	752 1 141 500 911 316 85	37.4 31.3 24.8 20.0 15.3 13.1
Family Status	j				1		ļ	ļ	
In families Married-couple families. Retated children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	13 754 10 560 4 074	3 822 2 154 1 095	27.8 20.4 26.9	2 768 1 723 902	20.1 16.3 22.1	3 390 1 940 996	24.6 18.4 24.5	3 334 1 927 991	24.2 18.3 24.3
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females	2 741 1 354 453 142 95 1 089 599 57 490	1 549 958 119 54 57 370 172 22 198	58.5 70.6 26.3 38.0 59.5 34.0 28.7 (B) 40.3	960 587 86 37 43 255 139	35.0 43.3 18.9 25.9 45.1 23.5 23.2 (B) 23.8	1 353 832 97 43 55 319 160	49.4 61.4 21.4 30.4 57.3 29.3 26.7 (B)	1 311 805 95 41 55 315 158	47.8 59.5 21.0 29.1 57.3 28.9 26.4 (B) 32.0
65 years and over	116	53	45.6	117 6	5.0	159 26	32.5 22.0	157 22	32.0 18.8
Residence									
Inside metropolitan areas Inside central cities Outside central cities Outside metropolitan areas	12 817 7 511 5 306 2 121	3 567 2 394 1 173 682	27.8 31.9 22.1 32.1	2 511 1 609 902 558	19.6 21.4 17.0 26.2	3 162 2 142 1 020 602	24.7 28.5 19.2 28.4	3 093 2 078 1 015 610	24.1 27.7 19.1 28.8
Region			ì		İ				
Northeast	2 627 1 093 4 880 6 339	1 028 286 1 259 1 675	39.1 26.2 25.8 26.4	532 196 1 012 1 327	20.2 17.9 20.7 20.9	875 249 1 120 1 520	33.3 22.8 23.0 24.0	834 244 1 112 1 513	31.8 22.4 22.8 23.9
Families	1		į.						
Total	3 567	933	26.1	648	18.2	820	23.0	801	22.5
Age of Householder									
Inder 25 years	306 2 009 1 019 233	143 563 183 45	46.6 26.0 17.9 19.1	103 401 132 12	33.7 20.0 12.9 5.0	132 497 163 28	43.1 24.7 16.0 11.9	126 484 164 27	41.1 24.1 16.1 11.6
Size of Family			•	i	ľ	ŀ			
persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons or more	854 823 885 528 264 233	186 216 207 139 89 95	21.8 26.3 24.0 26.4 33.8 40.6	119 132 152 100 69 76	13.9 16.1 17.6 18.9 26.1 32.8	162 177 190 124 82 85	19.0 21.5 22.0 23.5 31.0	159 166 188 121 81 86	18.6 20.2 21.7 22.9 30.7
ype of Family			1		J20	03	30.0	80	36.9
farried-couple families	2 606 1 865	466 399	17.9 21.4	358 323	13.7 17.3	413 363	15.9 19.5	411 358	15.8 19.2
resent. With related children under 18 years lale householder, no wife present With related children under 19 years	810 631 151 81	433 401 34 27	53.5 63.6 22.5 33.3	266 246 24 18	32.9 39.0 15.9 22.4	379 351 27 21	46.9 55.8 17.8 26.0	364 335 26	45.0 53.2 17.3 23.7
Vork Experience of Householder								"	EQ./
Total civilian householders /orked Worked 50 to 52 weeks Full time Worked 1 to 49 weeks id not work last year	3 517 2 699 1 911 1 802 788	931 464 190 165 275	26.5 17.2 9.9 9.1 34.9	647 380 161 140 219	18.4 14.1 8.4 7.8 27.7	818 418 169 147 250	23.3 15.5 8.8 8.2 31.7	800 - 411 167 145 244	22.7 15.2 8.7 8.0 30.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

<del></del>	Cumant accord	<u>,,,                                    </u>	Market valu	<u> </u>	Dealalast :	hio	Poverty bud	lnat
Characteristic	Current pover definition	·y	concept	~	Recipient va concept	100	share conc	ept
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Povert
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.								
Spanish Origin¹								
Persons		ŀ						
Total	5 587	37.4	4 700	31.5	5 243	35.1	5 177	34.7
Age	ì					ļ		-
Under 6 years	1 038 1 713 713 1 379 499 245	51.7 47.0 35.3 30.3 24.1 38.0	943 1 466 655 1 192 374 70	48.9 40.3 32.4 28.2 18.0 10.9	996 1 603 696 1 309 459 179	49.6 44.0 34.5 28.8 22.2 27.8	987 1 580 687 1 294 457 172	49. 43.4 34.0 28. 22. 26.1
Family Status			]				1	
In families	5 059 3 130 1 566	36.8 29.6 38.5	4 305 2 683 1 374	31.3 25.4 33.7	4 762 2 921 1 459	34.6 27.7 35.8	4 699 2 897 1 445	34.2 27.4 35.5
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subtamilles Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females	1 802 1 067 128 57 61 467 211 34 256	65.7 78.8 28.3 40.3 63.7 42.9 35.3 (B) 52.2	1 519 930 103 45 59 337 175 13	55.4 68.7 22.7 31.7 61.5 30.9 29.2 (B)	1 723 1 029 118 52 61 420 196 24	62.9 76.0 26.1 36.3 63.7 38.6 32.6 (B) 45.8	1 689 1 012 112 50 61 417 197 24 220	61.0 74.7 24.0 34.1 63.7 38.0 33.0 (8 44.1
65 years and over	84	71.9	15	13.0	55	47.3	49	42.3
Residence	4 670	20.5	0.044	20.5			4 000	
Inside metropolitan areas Inside central cities Outside central cities Outside metropolitan areas	4 678 3 078 1 601 909	36.5 41.0 30.2 42.8	3 911 2 525 1 387 789	30.5 33.6 26.1 37.2	4 380 2 891 1 489 863	34.2 38.5 28.1 40.7	4 320 2 842 1 478 858	33.1 37.8 27.6 40.4
Region			ŀ					
Northeast North Central South West	1 223 358 1 716 2 290	46.6 32.7 35.2 36.1	932 332 1 489 1 947	35.5 30.4 30.5 30.7	1 143 344 1 623 2 133	43.5 31.5 33.3 33.7	1 121 338 1 607 2 110	42.7 31.0 32.0 33.0
Families	j.							
Total	1 224	34.3	1 016	28.5	1 150	32.2	1 137	31.9
Age of Householder			1		ľ			
Under 25 years	167 726 256 75	54.7 36.1 25.2 32.2	160 632 201 23	52.4 31.4 19.7 9.8	164 692 235 58	53.7 34.5 23.1 25.0	164 688 231 56	53.1 34.1 22.1 24.1
Size of Family						ļ		
2 persons	257 263 267 188 119 130	30.1 31.9 30.9 35.6 45.3 55.9	178 216 238 168 108 109	20.8 26.2 27.5 31.9 41.0 48.6	229 254 252 183 112 120	26.8 30.9 29.1 34.7 42.5 51.4	226 249 251 183 110 117	26.5 30.3 29.5 34.5 41.5 50.
Type of Family								
Married-couple families	681 566	26.1 30.4	567 504	21.8 27.0	633 537	24.3 28.8	630 534	24.3 28.0
present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	506 455 37 29	62.5 72.1 24.7 35.6	418 388 31 24	51.7 61.5 20.3 29.2	482 436 34 27	59.5 69.2 22.7 33.2	475 431 32 25	58.1 68.4 21.3 30.6
Work Experience of Householder				.				
Total civilian householders	1 211 663 309 276 355	34.4 24.6 16.2 15.3 45.0	1 003 589 272 243 317	28.5 21.8 14.3 13.5 40.2	1 136 625 288 257 337	32.3 23.2 15.0 14.3 42.8	1 124 620 286 255 335	32.0 23.0 14.0 14.1 42.0

Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 3. Number of Families and Unrelated Individuals Receiving Noncash Benefits and Average Benefits Received, by Type of Benefit and Valuation Method, by Poverty Status: 1983

		To	tal			Below the p	overty level			Above the	coverty level	·
Type of Benefit	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS								ì				
Familles												
Total										1		
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	30 484 18 673 1 902 16 343 24 956 13 389 309 11 258 4 563 423 3 915 225 945	1 968 516 1 631 2 690 1 400 223 1 360 2 800 4 131 2 271 4 274 5 155 6 546	799 492 1 127 796 513 216 740 859 1 822 1 641 1 813 2 325 3 434	746 510 1 342 652 417 222 928 636 1 888 1 949 1 890 1 742 3 912	6 193 5 178 1 109 4 142 2 652 1 768 50 835 2 664 173 2 421 70 816	3 503 1 358 1 774 3 014 1 630 (8) 3 481 4 492 2 794 4 610 (B) 6 358	1 741 1 278 1 218 654 747 717 (B) 799 2 181 2 149 2 180 (B) 3 534	1 878 1 342 1 602 671 732 760 (B) 628 2 332 2 616 2 317 (B) 4 103	24 331 13 494 792 12 202 22 303 11 621 258 10 424 1 899 250 1 494 155 129	1 582 1 432 2 848 1 372 1 40 1 323 2 747 3 624 1 909 3 729 5 383 7 738	562 191 999 845 485 485 140 697 864 1 319 1 288 1 219 2 342 2 804	461 191 978 845 380 140 821 637 1 268 1 487 1 198 1 564 2 701
Two-Person Families, Householder Under 65 Years					•	:						
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits.	3 497 1 968 573 2 231 2 471 1 004 1 333 776 1 277 587 61 250	2 040 507 1 537 2 355 1 425 1 82 2 379 3 107 1 914 3 198 (B) 4 810	802 480 884 604 468 171 1688 672 1 358 1 231 1 381 (B) 2 370	809 497 1 291 497 390 180 936 493 1 472 1 654 1 409 (8) 2 889	1 197 963 330 889 435 234 23 178 540 52 455 33 222	2 787 844 1 726 2 201 1 468 448 (B) 2 795 3 062 (B) 3 036 (B) 4 704	1 311 791 1 095 502 519 404 (B) 606 1 470 (B) 1 441 (B) 2 479	1 465 829 1 542 503 528 543 (B) 497 1 605 (B) 2 968	2 300 1 005 243 1 344 2 036 770 111 1 155 238 75 132 29 28	1 651 183 1 261 2 457 1 416 101 1 181 2 315 3 210 1 668 (B) (B)	537 181 620 671 458 101 596 682 1 103 927 1 174 (B)	467 179 952 493 361 100 802 493 1 167 1 368 1 042 (B)
Two-Person Families, Householder 65 Years and Over						i			·			
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving tree benefits	7 689 359 153 7 685 7 215 3 3 7 212 439 - 321 118 35	2 995 457 1 556 2 944 2 848 (B) 2 850 5 040 (B) 4 901 5 420 (B)	985 457 1 932 926 924 (B) (B) 925 1 787 (B) 1 412 2 805 (B)	688 455 1 139 644 (B) (B) 644 1 273 (B) 1 112 1 710 (B)	616 163 35 614 435 2 - 433 166 - 146 20	4 301 586 (B) 4 055 3 886 (B) 3 901 5 153 (B) 5 087 (B) (B)	1 236 586 (B) 916 899 (B) (B) (B) 1 852 (B) 1 543 (B) (B)	888 586 (B) 646 643 (B) (B) 644 1 359 (B) 1 245 (B)	7 073 195 119 7 071 6 781 2 - 6 779 273 - 174 99 20	2 881 349 1 482 2 847 2 782 (B) (B) 4 772 (P) 4 74 5 375 (B)	963 349 1 631 926 926 (B) 926 1 747 (B) 1 301 2 535 (B)	870 345 1 018 644 (B) (B) 644 1 220 (B) 1 000 1 509 (B)
Three-Person Families								, 545	4 700	4 400	474	404
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	6 035 4 238 464 2 883 4 728 2 957 88 1 684 1 063 109 930 25 243	1 779 451 1 634 2 797 1 159 184 1 440 2 893 3 622 2 107 3 768 (B) 5 750	722 433 985 716 397 159 758 795 1 608 1 463 1 614 (B) 3 167	725 447 1 331 648 345 163 803 641 1 697 1 834 1 675 (B) 3 854	1 309 1 168 268 900 496 367 11 118 599 31 557 12	3 043 1 178 1 789 2 367 1 138 567 (B) 3 703 (B) 3 744 (B) 5 611	1 114 1 094 580 585 531 (B) 718 1 880 (B) 1 863	1 813 1 163 1 591 855 602 562 (B) 648 2 040 (B) 1 997 (B) 3 987	4 726 3 070 196 1 983 4 233 2 590 76 1 566 464 77 374 14 30	1 428 175 1 423 2 992 1 162 1 107 1 421 2 894 3 518 1 719 3 800 (B)	175 838 777 375 107 731 801 1 257 1 118 1 242 (B)	424 175 977 642 315 107 714 640 1 255 1 449 1 194 (B)

Table 3. Number of Families and Unrelated Individuals Receiving Noncash Benefits and Average Benefits Received, by Type of Benefit and Valuation Method, by Poverty Status: 1983—Con.

		To	tal			Below the p	overty level			Above the	poverty level	
Type of Benefit	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS—CON.									· · ·			
Families-Con.												
Four-Person Families												
Receiving at least one benefit, total	6 749 6 067	1 104 366	532 352	572 364	1 263 1 177	3 216 1 330	1 692 1 261	1 930 1 320	5 486 4 890	617 135	268 133	260 134
Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Housing and Food end Receiving three benefits Food end End End End End End End End End End E	328 1 626 5 648 4 978 52 619 930 93 825 12	1 643 2 682 468 164 (B) 2 618 3 912 2 436 4 037 (B) 6 904	971 701 230 157 (B) 761 1 853 1 853 1 853 1 853 3 338	1 330 751 235 162 (B) 753 1 963 2 071 1 953 (B) 4 171	204 758 535 449 9 77 582 48 533	1 698 2 839 1 140 698 (B) 3 680 4 295 (B) 4 415 (B) 6 501	756 658 661 635 (B) 798 2 219 (B) 2 232 (B) 3 355	750 711 690 (B) 758 2 428 (B) 2 390 (B) 4 395	123 868 5 114 4 529 43 542 348 45 292 11	1 549 2 920 395 1111 (B) 2 695 3 271 (B) 3 345 (B) (B)	1 326 738 185 110 (B) 755 1 242 (B) 1 104 (B)	967 751 185 110 (B) 752 1 187 (B) 1 155 (B) (B)
Five-Person Families												
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food	3 805 3 524	1 296	686 485	704 496	851 821	3 352 1 478	1 927 1 421	2 006	2 955	704	328	329
Housing Medical Medical Medical Medical Medical Housing Medical Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	192 918 3 078 2 801 29 248 628 59 565 4	1 665 3 114 469 249 (B) 2 831 4 364 (B) 4 548 (B) 7 523	1 331 703 289 244 (B) 743 2 049 (B) 2 037 (B) 4 369	1 410 720 298 248 (B) 734 2 118 (B) 2 092 (B) 4 418	124 431 415 388 7 21 345 25 318 2	1 734 3 299 875 767 (B) (B) 5 209 (B) 5 393 (B) 7 634	1 493 668 728 722 (B) (B) 2 714 (B) 2 728 (B) 4 433	1 465 1 577 713 760 751 (B) (B) 2 829 (B) 2 831 (B) 4 586	2 703 87 485 2 663 2 413 22 228 282 34 246 2 9	202 (B) 2 949 406 167 (B) 2 826 3 329 (B) 3 457 (B) (B)	201 (B) 737 221 167 (B) 744 1 235 (B) 1 144 (B) (B)	201 (B) 727 223 167 (B) 735 1 242 (B) 1 138 (B) (B)
Six-Person Families							-					
Receiving at least one benefit, total  Noncash benefits totals: Food. Housing Medical. Receiving one benefit only Food. Housing Medical. Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits.	1 638 1 518 107 520 1 212 1 095 5 5 113 344 18 323 3 81	2 002 755 1 597 3 772 654 390 (B) 3 199 5 073 (B) 5 242 (B) 9 092	737 1 428 713 406 372 (B) 725 2 181 (B) 2 209 (B) 4 894	745 1 419 759 423 385 (B) 2 276 (B) 2 775 (B) 4 755	447 439 77 253 194 187 7 185 8 176 1 69	4 508 1 871 1 700 4 202 1 142 1 085 (B) (B) 6 554 (B) 6 720 (B)	2 434 1 806 1 452 724 979 984 (B) (B) 3 017 (B) 3 060 (B)	2 513 1 636 1 652 751 1 047 1 057 (B) (B) 3 103 (B) 3 115 (B) (B)	1 191 1 079 30 267 1 019 909 5 108 159 11 147 2 13	302 (B) 3 366 561 (B) 3 366 561 (B) 3 234 (B) 3 358 (B) 3 472 (B) (B)	465 302 (B) 702 297 247 (B) 717 1 214 (B) 1 189 (B)	466 302 (B) 767 305 247 (B) 771 1 279 (B) 1 272 (B)
Seven-or-More-Person Families							1		1			
Receiving at least one benefit, total  Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical  Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical  Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing Housing Food and medical Receiving three benefits	998 85 482 602 551 1 384 18 365	3 248 1 426 2 312 3 724 843 692 (B) 5 873 (B) 5 997 (B) (B)	1 689 1 269 1 781 701 683 681 (B) 2 625 (B) 2 627 (B) (B)	1 921 1 409 1 908 935 709 691 (B) 3 074 (B) (B) (B) (B)	451 447 71 299 144 142 - 2 247 10 236 2 59	5 737 2 570 (B) 4 286 1 857 1 657 (B) 7 005 (B) 7 200 (B) (B)	3 030 2 271 (B) 694 1 604 1 613 (B) (B) 3 222 (B) 3 234 (B) (B)	3 485 2 534 (8) 936 1 643 1 651 (B) (B) 3 783 (B) 3 832 (B) (B)	551 14 183 458 409 1 137 8 129	1 379 497 (B) 2 842 587 357 (B) (B) 3 829 (B) 3 804 (B) (B)	683 492 (B) 712 394 356 (B) 1 545 (B) 1 520 (B)	762 496 (B) 934 417 357 (B) (B) 1 794 (B) 1 760 (B)

Table 3. Number of Families and Unrelated Individuals Receiving Noncash Benefits and Average Benefits Received, by Type of Benefit and Valuation Method, by Poverty Status: 1983—Con.

		To	tal			Below the p	overty level		Above the poverty level			
Type of Benefit	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS—CON.												<del></del>
FamiliesCon.												
Fernale Householder, No Husband Present								:		İ		
Receiving at least one benefit, total	5 084	2 672	1 444	1 615	2 856	3 894	2 146	2 441	2 228	1 107	544	556
Noncash benefits totals:	4 693	1 020	956	1 007	2 699	1 567	1 457	1 547	1 994	280	277	278
Housing	1 068 2 760	1 736 2 517	1 168 585	1 531 670	805 2 206	1 814 2 462	1 192 562	1 654 665	261 554	1 494 2 737	1 091 677	1 155 693
Receiving one benefit only	2 357	581	353	377	654	1 024	670	720	1 703	411	232	245
FoodHousing	2 012 73	311 (B)	296 (B)	309 (B)	529 15	726   (B)	670   (B)	716   (B)	1 483 58	163   (B)	163 (B)	163 (B)
Medical	272	2 369 3 883	658 1 993	(B) 670	110	2 372	(B) 623	624	162	2 368	682	(B) 702
Food and housing	2 020 239	2 311	1 687	2 190 2 042	1 551 106	4 154 2 947	2 186 2 248	2 426 2 732	468 - 133	2 986 1 801	1 356 1 238	1 405 1 489
Food and medical	1 734 47	4 079	2 037	2 215	1 412	4 247	2 195	2 410	322	3 343	1 344	1 356
Receiving three benefits	708	(B) 6 180	(B) 3 511	4 098	33   651	(B) 6 154	(B) 3 535	(B) 4 203	14 57	(B) (B)	(B) (B)	(B) (B)
Unrelated Individuals				i				ĺ		, ,	,-,	<b>\-</b> 7
Total								İ				
Receiving at least one benefit, total	10 786	2 193	688	610	3 764	2 749	805	702	7 022	1 896	625	561
Noncash benefits totals:	1 948	381	355	372	1 570	401	372	392	378	296	288	289
Housing	1 460	1 449	1 300	727	669	1 528	1 392	825	790	1 382	1 223	644
Medical	9 816 8 682	2 119 1 739	492 493	488 475	3 222 2 341	2 698 1 901	470 466	458 454	6 594 6 341	1 836 1 680	503 503	503 482
Food	591	362	316	348	411	411	348	396	180	249	243	239
Housing	332 7 759	1 044 1 874	729 496	163 497	1 842	1 071 2 273	827 474	308 473	244 5 917	1 034 1 750	693 503	111 505
Receiving two benefits	1 772	3 743	1 289	1 085	1 149	3 747	1 081	990	622	3 735	1 674	1 259
Food and housing	47 977	3 602	(B) 843	(B) 827	43   843	(B)   3 590	(B) 845	(B) 827	135	(B) 3 681	(B) 835	(B) 826
Housing and medical	747	4 059	1 876	1 439	264	4 571	1 801	1 531	484	3 779	1 916	1 388
Receiving three benefits	333	5 784	2 572	1 619	· 274	5 808	2 549	1 617	59	(B)	(B)	(B)
Receiving at least one benefit, total	2 443	2 055	652	448	1 585	2 256	710	542	878	1 697	550	280
Noncash benefits totals:	1 230	431	392	418	986	465	418			1		
Housing	608	1 170	781	149	315	1 280	887	451 206	244 294	1 053	285 669	285 88
Medical	1 475 1 704	2 562 1 447	431 457	332 304	1 022 927	2 610 1 456	410 422	332 358	452	2 451	479	332
Food	591	362	316	348	411	411	348	396	777 180	1 436 249	498 243	240 239
Housing	330 783	1 045 2 435	729 449	159 332	89 427	1 071 2 540	827 410	308 332	241	1 036	692	105 332
Receiving two benefits	607	3 094	936	762	518	3 009	939	794	356 89	2 309 3 587	496 918	332 575
Food and housing	47 461	(B) 2 936	(B) 862	(B) 821	43 412	(B) 2 927	(B) 868	(B) 827	4		(B) (B)	(B) (B)
Housing and medical	99	4 506	1 135	459	63	(B)	(B)	(B)	48 37	(B) (B) (B)	(B) (B)	(B) (B)
Receiving three benefits	132	5 131	1 871	863	120	5 1B4	1 940	881	12	(B)	(B)	(B)
Receiving at least one benefit, total	8 344	2 234	698	657	2 199	3 099	873	815	6 145	1 924	636	601
Voncash benefits totals:	717	296	293	294	584	294	293	293	134	302	295	
Housing	851	1 648	1 671	1 140	355	1 748	1 840	1 374	497	1 576	1 550	297 973
Medical	8 341 6 978	2 041 1 811	503 502	516 516	2 199 1 414	2 739 2 193	498 494	516 516	6 142 5 564	1 791 1 714	505 504	516
Food		(B)	(B)	(B)	. 7.7	(B)	(B)	(B)	-	(B)		516 (B)
Housing	6 976	(B) 1 811	(B) 502	(B)   516	1 414	(B) 2 193	(B) 494	(B) 516	5 561	(B) 1 1 714	(B) (B) 504	(B) (B)
Receiving two benefits	1 164	4 082	1 474	1 253	631	4 353	1 199	1 151	533	3 760	1 800	516 1 374
Food and housing	517	(B) 4 196	(B) 827	(B) 832	430	(B) 4 225	(B) 822	(B) 827	-	(B) 4 053	(B)	(B)
Housing and medical	648	3 990	1 989	1 589	201	4 627	2 004	1 845	86 447	4 053 3 704	851 1 983	855 1 474
leceiving three benefits	201	6 213	3 033 1	2 115	154	6 296	3 026	2 192	47	(9)	· ~~	(B)

Table 3. Number of Families and Unrelated Individuals Receiving Noncash Benefits and Average Benefits Received, by Type of Benefit and Valuation Method, by Poverty Status: 1983—Con.

		To	al			Below the p	overty level			Above the s	coverty level	_
Type of Benefit	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES												
Families												
Total												
Receiving at least one benefit, total	30 464	1 717	765	746	6 133	3 084	1 685	1 875	24 331	1 372	533	461
Food Housing Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	18 673 1 902 16 343 24 958 13 389 309 11 258 4 563 423 3 915 225 945	516 1 631 2 422 1 231 223 1 360 2 427 3 514 2 271 3 612 4 150 5 863	492 1 127 732 488 216 740 805 1 743 1 641 1 728 2 206 3 349	510 1 342 651 417 222 928 636 1 888 1 949 1 889 1 742 3 912	5 178 1 109 4 142 2 652 1 768 50 635 2 684 173 2 421 70 616	1 358 1 774 2 394 1 345 768 (B) 2 553 3 955 2 794 4 044 (B) 5 892	1 278 1 218 570 712 717 (B) 686 2 109 2 149 2 105 (B) 3 461	1 342 1 602 671 732 760 (B) 627 2 332 2 616 2 317 (B) 4 103	13 494 792 12 202 22 303 11 621 258 10 424 1 899 250 1 494 155 129	192 1 432 2 431 1 218 140 1 323 2 417 2 895 1 909 2 912 4 324 5 680	191 999 787 462 140 697 815 1 231 1 288 1 118 2 231 2 635	191 978 645 380 140 821 636 1 265 1 487 1 197 1 563 2 701
Two-Person Families, Householder Under 65 Years												
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals:	3 497	1 743 507	759 480	809 497	1 197	2 415	1 254	1 465	2 300	1 393	501 181	467 179
Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	1 968 573 2 231 2 471 1 004 1 333 776 127 587 61 250	1 537 1 889 1 224 182 1 254 2 007 2 578 1 914 2 621 (8) 4 271	618 1 283 1 297 (B) 2 289	1 291 497 390 180 936 493 1 472 1 654 1 409 (B) 2 889	963 330 888 435 234 23 178 540 522 455 33 222	844 1 726 1 698 1 175 448 (B) 2 078 2 646 (B) 2 625 (B) 4 280	791 1 095 425 477 404 (B) 505 1 407 (B) 1 379 (B) 2 404	829 1 542 503 526 443 (B) 497 1 605 (B) 1 516 (B) 2 968	1 005 243 1 344 2 036 770 111 1 155 236 75 132 29 28	183 1 281 2 015 1 235 101 1 181 1 996 2 422 1 666 2 609 (B)	620 610 431 101 596 635 998 927 1 012 (B)	952 493 381 100 802 493 1 167 1 388 1 042 (B) (B)
Two-Person Families, Householder 65 Years and Over												
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	7 689 359 153 7 685 7 215 3 -7 212 439 -2 321 118 35	2 596 457 1 556 2 545 2 524 (B) (B) 2 525 3 587 (B) 3 281 4 415 (B)	927 457 1 932 887 875 (B) 875 1 600 (B) 1 196 2 697 (B)	688 455 1 139 644 643 (B) (B) 644 1 272 (B) 1 111 1 710 (B)	616 163 35 814 435 2 433 166 146 20 15	3 013 586 (B) 2 763 2 774 (B) (B) 2 784 3 444 (B) 3 297 (B) (B)	1 072 588 (B) 752 769 (B) (B) 772 1 608 (B) 1 290 (B)	588 (B) 648 642 (B) (B) (B) 1 244 (B) (B) 1 244 (B)	7 073 195 119 7 071 6 781 2 2 6 779 273 174 99 20	2 560 349 1 482 2 526 2 508 (B) 2 508 3 673 (B) 3 258 4 389 (B)	914 349 1 631 877 881 (B) (B) (B) (B) 1 595 (B) 1 117 2 441 (B)	670 345 1 018 644 643 (B) 644 1 220 (B) 1 000 1 609 (B)
Three-Person Families												
Receiving at least one benefit, total Norcash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Housing and medical Housing and tree benefits	6 035 4 238 464 2 883 4 728 2 957 88 1 684 1 063 108 930 25 243	1 530 451 1 634 2 278 981 1 84 1 440 2 392 2 107 3 186 (B) 5 369	689 433 985 646 373 159 758 728 1 539 1 463 1 538 (B) 3 115	725 447 1 331 648 345; 163 803 641 1 697 1 834 1 675 (B)	1 309 1 168 268 900 496 367 11 110 599 31 557 12	2 762 1 176 1 789 1 959 993 557 (B) 2 267 3 298 (B) 3 312 (B) 5 363	1 575 1 114 1 094 519 564 531 (B) 631 1 823 (B) 1 803 (B) 3 223	1 813 1 163 1 591 655 602 562 (B) 648 2 040 (B) 1 997 (B) 3 987	4 726 3 070 196 1 983 4 233 2 590 76 1 566 484 77 374 14 30	1 189 175 1 423 2 423 980 107 1 421 2 402 2 832 1 719 2 999 (B)	175 838 704 350 107 731 735 1 173 1 118 1 144 (B)	423 175 842 315 107 714 640 1 255 1 449 1 194 (B)

Table 3. Number of Families and Unrelated Individuals Receiving Noncash Benefits and Average Benefits Received, by Type of Benefit and Valuation Method, by Poverty Status: 1983—Con.

		To	tal			Below the p	overty level			Above the	poverty level	
Type of Benefit	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.									,			
Familles-Con.												
Four-Person Families												
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical	6 749 6 067 328 1 626 5 648 4 978 52 619	984 366 1 843 2 385 414 164 (B) 2 344	517 352 971 637 224 157 (B)	572 364 1 330 750 234 162 (B) 751	1 263 1 177 204 758 535 449 9	2 970 1 330 1 699 2 428 1 024 698 (B) 2 873	1 655 1 261 756 597 848 635 (B) 706	1 930 1 320 1 549 750 711 690 (B) 757	5 488 4 890 123 888 5 114 4 529 43 542	526 135 1 549 2 347 350 111 (B) 2 268	255 133 1 326 672 179 110 (B) 703	260 134 967 750 185 110 (B) 750
Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits.  Five-Person Families	930 93 825 12 171	3 484 2 436 3 570 (B) 6 220	1 798 1 853 1 770 (B) 3 254	1 963 2 071 1 952 (B) 4 171	582 48 533 1 147	3 958 (B) 4 044 (B) 6 149	2 168 (B) 2 176 (B) 3 289	2 427 (B) 2 390 (B) 4 395	348 45 292 11 24	2 695 (B) 2 704 (B) (B)	1 175 (B) 1 030 (B) (B)	1 185 (B) 1 154 (B) (B)
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals:	3 805	1 157	667	703	851	3 085	1 894	2 006	2 955	602	314	328
Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	3 524 192 918 3 078 2 801 29 248 628 59 565 4	500 1 665 2 538 422 249 (B) 2 248 3 872 (B) 4 002 (B) 6 776	485 1 331 627 282 244 (B) 658 1 987 (B) 1 968 (B) 4 262	496 1 410 720 298 248 (B) 733 2 115 (B) 2 091 (B) 4 418	821 124 431 415 388 7 21 345 25 318 290	1 478 1 734 2 772 851 757 (B) (B) 4 757 (B) 4 902 (B) 6 955	1 421 1 493 599 724 722 (B) (B) 2 659 (B) 2 669 (B) 4 341	1 465 1 577 713 760 751 (B) (B) 2 829 (B) 2 831 (B) 4 586	2 703 67 485 2 683 2 413 22 228 282 34 246 2 9	202 (B) 2 329 356 167 (B) 2 233 2 789 (B) 2 839 (B)	201 (B) 651 213 167 (B) 659 1 165 (B) 1 084 (B)	201 (B) 726 223 167 (B) 734 1 241 (B) 1 136 (B)
Six-Person Familles												
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food	1 638 1 518 107 520 1 212 1 095 5 113 344 18 323 3	1 717 755 1 597 2 874 598 390 (B) 2 577 4 232 (B) 4 349 (B) 7 771	974 737 1 428 623 400 372 (B) 665 2 096 (B) 2 118 (B) 4 784	745 1 419 758 423 385 (B) 769 2 256 (B) 2 275 (B) 4 755	447 439 77 253 194 187 7 185 8 176 1 69	3 949 1 871 1 700 3 214 1 126 1 085 (B) (B) 5 541 (B) 6 (B) (B)	2 374 1 806 1 452 618 977 984 (B) 2 915 (B) 2 954 (B)	2 513 1 836 1 652 750 1 047 1 057 (B) 3 101 (B) 3 114 (B) (B)	1 191 1 079 30 267 1 019 909 5 106 159 11 147 2 13	879 302 (B) 2 553 247 (B) 2 600 2 717 (B) 2 777 (B)	302 (B) 627 291 247 (B) 1 147 (B) 1 117 (B) (B)	485 302 (B) 785 305 247 (B) 1 278 (B) 1 270 (B) (B)
Seven-or-More-Person Families  Receiving at least one benefit, total	1 051	3 006	1 662	1 920	451	5 355	2 988	3 465	600	1 244	666	760
Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	998 85 482 602 551 1 51 384 18 365 2 65	1 426 2 312 3 197 826 692 (B) 5 327 (B) 5 423 (B)	1 289 1 781 641 681 681 (B) (B) 2 560 (B) 2 558 (B)	1 409 1 908 934 709 691 (B) (B) 3 073 (B) 3 097 (B) (B)	447 71 299 144 142 2 247 10 238 2 59	2 570 (B) 3 688 1 656 1 657 (B) 6 444 (B) 6 611 (B) (B)	2 271 (B) 631 1 603 1 613 (B) 3 157 (B) 3 168 (B) (B)	2 534 (B) 936 1 643 1 651 (B) 3 783 (B) 3 832 (B) (B)	551 14 183 458 409 1 1 49 137 8 129	497 (B) 2 397 586 357 (B) (B) 3 311 (B) 3 255 (B) (B)	492 (B) 656 391 356 (B) (B) 1 481 (B) 1 452 (B) (B)	496 (B) 930 418 357 (B) 1 791 (B) 1 757 (B) (B)

Table 3. Number of Families and Unrelated Individuals Receiving Noncash Benefits and Average Benefits Received, by Type of Benefit and Valuation Method, by Poverty Status: 1983—Con.

		Tot	al		_	Below the p	overty level		Above the poverty level			
Type of Benefit	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mear poverty budge share
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.												
Families-Con.			:									
Female Householder, No Husband Present												
Receiving at least one benefit, total	5 084	2 449	1 410	1 615	2 856	3 <del>6</del> 18	2 103	2 441	2 228	951	522	55
Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Fecelving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	4 693 1 068 2 760 2 357 2 012 73 272 2 020 1 734 47 708	1 020 1 738 2 108 529 311 (B) 1 917 3 520 2 311 3 679 (B) 5 790	956 1 168 522 345 296 (B) 583 1 938 1 687 1 977 (B) 3 454	1 007 1 531 670 377 309 (B) 689 2 189 2 042 2 214 (B) 4 096	2 699 805 2 206 654 529 15 110 1 551 106 1 412 33 651	1 567 1 814 2 105 931 726 (B) 1 820 3 820 2 947 3 898 (B) 5 835	1 457 1 192 506 654 670 (B) 529 2 135 2 248 2 143 (B) 3 483	1 547 1 654 684 720 716 (B) 624 2 426 2 732 2 410 (B) 4 203	1 994 261 554 1 703 1 483 58 162 468 133 322 14 57	280 1 494 2 111 374 163 (B) 1 983 2 525 1 801 2 722 (B)	277 1 091 587 226 163 (B) 619 1 285 1 236 1 248 (B)	27 1 15 69 24 18 70 1 40 1 35 (E
Unrelated individuals								•				
Total										,		
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncesh benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing Food and medical Receiving three benefits	10 786 1 948 1 460 9 816 8 682 591 332 7 759 1 772 47 977 747 333	1 743 381 1 449 1 624 1 458 382 1 044 1 559 2 692 (B) 2 235 3 355 4 118	639 355 1 300 438 460 316 729 459 1 182 (B) 702 1 807 2 417	610 372 727 488 475 348 163 497 1 085 (B) 827 1 439 1 619	3 764 1 570 669 3 222 2 341 411 89 1 842 1 149 43 843 264 274	1 928 401 1 528 1 740 1 398 411 1 071 1 631 2 495 (B) 2 212 3 520 4 101	719 372 1 392 370 411 348 827 405 952 (B) 1 703 2 385	702 392 825 458 454 398 308 473 990 (B) 627 1 531 1 617	7 022 378 790 6 594 6 341 180 244 5 917 622 4 135 484 59	296 1 382 1 587 1 481 249 1 537 3 055 (B) 2 381 3 285 (B)	596 288 1 223 471 478 243 693 476 1 608 (B) 722 1 863 (B)	56 64 56 48 23 11 56 1 25 1 36 1 36
Under 65 Years						İ						
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	2 443 1 230 608 1 475 1 704 591 330 783 607 47 481 99	1 587 431 1 170 1 788 1 171 382 1 045 1 834 2 258 (B) 2 058 3 485 3 888	392 781 345 425 316 729 380 843 (B) 761 1 037 1 738	448 418 149 332 304 348 159 332 762 (B) 821 459 883	1 585 988 315 1 022 927 411 89 427 518 43 412 63 120	1 654 485 1 280 1 690 1 071 411 1 071 1 706 2 172 (B) 2 050 (B) 3 925	643 418 887 309 381 348 827 320 844 (B) 765 (B) 1 806	542 451 208 332 358 308 308 332 794 (B) 827 (B) 881	244 294 452 7777 180 241 356 89 4 48 37	1 467 293 1 053 2 004 1 289 249 1 038 1 988 2 744 (B) (B)	523 285 669 426 478 243 452 452 841 (B) (B) (B)	26 33 22 21 33 55 ()
65 Years and Over							'					
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical	717 651 8 341 6 978 	1 788 296 1 648 1 595 1 526 (B) 1 528 2 919 (B) 2 395 3 338 4 265	850 293 1 671 455 468 (B) (B) 488 1 359 (B) 649 1 925 2 882	857 294 1 140 516 618 (B) 518 1 253 (B) 832 1 589 2 115	201	1 608 (B) (B) 1 608 2 761 (B) 2 367 3 606	293 1 840 399 430 (B) (B) 430 1 040 (B) 634 1 909	815 293 1 374 516 516 (B) 516 1 151 (B) 827 1 845 2 192	8 145 134 497 6 142 5 584 	1 668 302 1 576 1 535 1 508 (B) (B) 1 508 3 107 (B) 2 534 3 217 (B)	608 295 1 550 475 478 (B) (B) 478 1 736 (B) 720 1 932 (B)	6/ 99 5 5 ( ( 1 3 ( 8 1 4

Table 4. Families and Unrelated Individuals Below the Poverty Thresholds Based on Income, Cash Transfers, and Noncash Transfers, by Selected Characteristics: 1983

	Pover	ty before trans	sfers	Pove	rty after socia	al security ben	efits	Poverty after all cash transfers			
Cheracteristic	Number (thou- sands)	Poverty rate	Mean deficit	Number (thou- sands)	Poverty rate	Mean deficit	Mean amount in excess of poverty thresholds	Number (thou- sands)	Poverty rate	Mean deficit	Mean amount in excess of poverty thresholds
FAMILIES	ļ										
Total	12 085 5 952 2 402 3 550 2 240 1 744 1 085 555 509	19.5 24.0 14.2 44.9 15.5 13.2 18.3 24.4 38.6	5 298 4 249 4 521 4 066 5 087 6 045 6 844 7 819 10 302	8 127 2 728 1 981 748 1 764 1 606 1 022 513 495	13.1 11.0 11.7 9.5 12.2 12.1 17.3 22.5 37.5	5 279 3 687 4 257 2 176 4 687 5 695 6 405 7 396 9 585	4 769 4 756 3 931 4 880 4 925 5 177 (B) (B)	7 641 2 501 1 863 639 1 645 1 553 974 489 478	12.3 10.1 11.0 8.1 11.4 11.7 16.5 21.5 36.3	4 020 2 872 3 251 1 766 3 550 4 291 4 995 5 630 7 139	4 551 4 627 3 438 4 847 4 526 4 393 3 537 (B)
Type of Family		[						-	.		
Married-couple families With related children under 18 years Without related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband present With related children under 18 years Without related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years Without related children under 18 years Without related children under 18 years	7 259 2 839 4 419 4 431 3 492 939 395 207 188	14.5 11.3 17.7 44.9 52.8 28.7 19.5 22.0 17.3	4 640 5 557 4 051 6 391 6 870 4 608 5 120 6 045 4 100	4 033 2 644 1 389 3 801 3 255 548 293 193 100	8.1 10.5 5.6 38.5 49.3 16.7 14.4 20.5 9.2	4 484 5 234 3 000 6 184 6 843 3 453 4 748 5 552 3 190	4 969 4 491 5 000 3 834 4 001 3 733 4 233 (B) 4 485	3 820 2 545 1 275 3 557 3 118 439 264 186 78	7.6 10.1 5.1 38.0 47.2 13.4 13.0 19.7 7.2	3 807 4 361 2 702 4 269 4 490 2 701 3 761 4 170 2 790	4 856 3 676 4 968 3 428 3 232 3 571 4 073 (B) 4 215
Recipiency of Benefits	ŀ	j									
Neither cash nor noncash benefits	1 413 280 2 211 8 181	4.7 16.3 15.4 50.9	3 776 3 797 4 397 5 855	1 413 97 2 211 4 407	4.7 5.7 15.4 27.4	3 776 2 438 4 397 6 266	(B) 4 490 (B) 4 783	1 413 95 2 211 3 922	4.7 5.8 15.4 24.4	3 776 2 440 4 397 3 935	(B) 4 477 (B) 4 555
UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS				ĺ			-				
Total.  Under 65 years 65 years and over. Males. Under 65 years 65 years and over. Females Under 65 years 65 years and over. 65 years and over.	10 880 5 063 5 797 3 551 2 410 1 140 7 309 2 652 4 657	37.1 24.5 67.5 27.0 21.3 61.2 45.4 28.3 69.2	3 548 3 383 3 689 3 431 3 336 3 633 3 602 3 427 3 702	7 124 4 673 2 451 2 703 2 247 456 4 421 2 426 1 995	24.3 22.8 28.5 20.5 19.9 24.4 27.5 25.9 29.7	2 623 3 087 1 739 2 862 3 075 1 812 2 477 3 098 1 722	2 334 2 224 2 347 2 550 2 245 2 623 2 271 2 209 2 276	6 832 4 559 2 273 2 619 2 207 412 4 213 2 352 1 861	23.4 22.1 26.5 19.9 19.5 22.1 26.2 25.1 27.7	2 232 2 728 1 237 2 545 2 779 1 322 2 037 2 685 1 218	2 252 1 971 2 292 2 439 2 091 2 537 2 198 1 890 2 228
Recipiency of Benefits	l	Ī			i					ļ	
Neither cash nor noncash benefits	2 922 349 721 6 667	16.5 48.3 55.3 72.4	2 909 3 241 3 321 3 857	2 922 151 721 3 329	16.5 20.9 55.3 35.1	2 909 1 827 3 321 2 257	(B) 2 298 (B) 2 336	2 922 148 721 3 043	16.5 20.2 55.3 32.1	2 909 1 704 3 321 1 349	(B) 2 295 (B) 2 250

Table 4. Families and Unrelated Individuals Below the Poverty Thresholds Based on Income, Cash Transfers, and Noncash Transfers, by Selected Characteristics: 1983—Con.

					Poverty at	ter both casi	n and noncas	sh transfers	-			
		Marke	t value			Recipie	nt value			Poverty bu	udget share	-
Characteristic	Number (thou- sands)	Poverty rate	Mean deficit	Mean amount in excess of poverty thresholds	Number (thou- sands)	Poverty rate	Mean deficit	Mean amount in excess of poverty thresholds	Number (thou- sands)	Poverty rate	Mean deficit	Mean amount in excess of poverty thresholds
FAMILIES		-										41001010
Total .  2 persons .    Under 65 years .    65 years and over .  3 persons .    4 persons .    5 persons .    5 persons .    6 persons .    7 persons or more .	5 080 1 524 1 372 152 1 089 1 103 722 326 317	8.2 6.1 8.1 7.5 8.3 12.2 14.3 24.0	3 208 2 768 2 856 1 980 2 875 3 244 3 711 3 909 4 467	2 741 3 072 2 438 3 714 2 277 2 157 2 619 3 252 3 638	6 478 2 028 1 682 346 1 368 1 399 849 424 410	10.4 8.2 9.9 4.4 9.5 10.6 14.3 18.6 31.1	3 260 2 588 2 738 1 858 2 891 3 330 3 927 4 159 5 269	906 824 649 931 820 819 828 (B)	6 389 2 027 1 625 402 1 327 1 362 650 420 403	10.3 8.2 9.6 5.1 9.2 10.3 14.4 18.5 30.5	3 186 2 587 2 780 1 809 2 834 3 249 3 843 4 056 4 849	891 753 902 603 917 1 013 836 (B) 1 313
Type of Family						,						
Married-couple families With related children under 18 years Without related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband present. With related children under 18 years Without related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years With related children under 18 years Without related children under 18 years	2 685 1 945 740 2 200 1 957 243 198 141 55	5.4 7.7 3.0 22.3 29.8 7.4 9.7 15.0 5.0	3 539 3 649 3 248 2 833 2 883 2 433 2 676 2 983 (B)	2 961 2 197 3 817 2 559 2 382 3 609 (B) (B)	3 229 2 255 975 3 016 2 676 340 232 164 68	6.4 9.0 3.9 30.5 40.5 10.4 11.4 17.4 6.2	3 484 3 734 2 907 3 031 3 116 2 365 3 111 3 327 (B)	779 718 838 1 018 1 070 785 (B) (B)	3 277 2 246 1 031 2 875 2 524 352 238 166 70	6.5 8.9 4.1 29.1 38.2 10.8 11.6 17.6 6.4	3 400 3 685 2 823 2 956 3 041 2 343 3 014 3 219 (B)	690 773 588 1 043 1 094 696 (B) (B)
Recipiency of Benefits					İ			1			``	, ,
Neither cash nor noncash benefits	1 413 95 1 869 1 704	4.7 5.6 13.0 10.6	3 776 2 440 3 844 2 082	(B) (B) 1 168 2 984	1 413 95 1 972 2 998	4.7 5.6 13.7 18.7	3 776 2 440 3 954 2 587	(B) (B) 605 983	1 413 95 1 951 2 930	4.7 5.6 13.6 18.2	3 776 2 440 3 899 2 451	(B) (B) 803 914
UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS		İ			ļ		İ	l	i			
Totat Under 65 years 65 years and over Males Under 65 years 85 years and over Enables Under 65 years 65 years and over Enables Under 65 years 65 years	4 385 3 913 472 2 044 1 959 85 2 341 1 954 387	15.0 18.9 5.5 15.5 17.4 4.5 14.5 20.8 5.8	2 621 2 747 1 580 2 723 2 768 1 674 2 532 2 725 1 559	2 690 2 847 2 634 2 711 2 908 2 562 2 684 2 810 2 649	5 775 4 341 1 434 2 416 2 116 3 300 3 359 2 225 1 134	19.7 21.0 16.7 18.4 18.7 16.1 20.9 23.7 16.9	2 265 2 639 1 135 2 507 2 706 1 104 2 092 2 576 1 143	815 590 873 672 598 731 849 584 895	5 850 4 442 1 408 2 460 2 159 300 3 390 2 283 1 108	20.0 21.5 16.4 18.7 19.1 16.1 21.1 24.3 16.5	2 259 2 618 1 134 2 479 2 673 1 090 2 099 2 562 1 146	617 261 665 514 (B) 624 638 (B) 671
Recipiency of Benefits		İ	ļ			j						
Neither cash nor noncash benefits	2 922 146 633 684	16.5 20.2 48.6 7.2	2 909 1 704 2 819 1 403	(B) (B) 1 009 2 753	2 922 146 683 2 024	16.5 20.2 52.4 21.3	2 909 1 704 3 070 1 106	(B) (B) (B) 832	2 922 146 692 2 089	16.5 20.2 53.1 22.0	2 909 1 704 3 003 1 143	(B) (B) (29

# Appendix A. U.S. Senate Statement, "Data Collection and Poverty Level"

Department of State, Justice, and Commerce, The Judiciary and Related Agencies Appropriation Bill, 1981.

U.S. Senate, 96th Congress, 2nd Session. September 16, 1980: 33-34.

Official poverty statistics published by the Bureau of the Census currently ignore billions of dollars of Government in-kind benefits, such as food stamps, public housing rental subsidies, and medical care. The Congressional Budget Office has estimated that including in-kind benefits in the income statistics would cause the number of people in poverty to decline to about 9 million as compared to official statistics showing nearly 25 million paople in poverty. The official statistics show no significant reduction in recent years in the incidence of poverty, although in-kind benefit programs have expanded greatly. The Committee considers it essential that official poverty statistics reflect, at the earliest possible date, the effects of in-kind benefits. Without such information, Congress and the Executive Branch cannot be certain that Government transfer programs are properly targeted.

The Census Bureau has recognized the need for better data on in-kind benefits. The most recent March Current Population Survey has collected data on some types of in-kind program benefits. In addition, Census has under way an experimental survey—known as the Survey of Income and Program

Participation—which collects more extensive data. However, Census has not yet published the data collected thus far and has no current plans for integrating such data with cash income data now reported routinely.

The Committee has inscribed language in the bill directing the Secretary of Commerce to expedite the program of collecting, through surveys, data on benefits received and data on participation in federally funded, in-kind benefit programs. Programs on which data are to be reported include, but are not necessarily limited to, food stamps, Medicaid, Medicare and subsidies in areas such as housing, nutrition, child care, and transportation. The Secretary of Commerce is further directed to continue research and testing of techniques for assigning monetary values to in-kind benefits and for calculating the impact of such benefits on income and poverty estimates. The Secretary of Commerce is also directed to include in survey reports, beginning no later than October 1, 1981, appropriate summaries of data on in-kind benefits and estimates of the effect of in-kind benefits on the number of families and individuals below the poverty level.

## Appendix B. Description of Noncash Valuation Techniques

This appendix contains descriptions of the procedures used to develop and assign values to each of the five types of non-cash benefits valued in this study. These benefits are (1) food stamps, (2) school lunches, (3) public or other subsidized rental housing, (4) Medicaid, and (5) Medicare. The first section describes procedures for the market value approach; the second, procedures for the recipient or cash equivalent approach; and the third, procedures for the poverty budget share approach.

## **MARKET VALUE**

The market value concept values the noncash benefit at the cost of the specific goods or services in the private market place. The procedures used to assign market values to noncash benefits require the identification of analogous goods or services in the private market place and estimation of the cost of the goods or services. Because it is sometimes difficult to find and value goods or services in the private market place that are precisely the same as those provided by the noncash benefit program, various assumptions and compromises were made in the estimation process. Details of the market value estimation process are contained in the following subsections for each noncash benefit.

Food stamps. Valuing food stamps was the simplest and most straightforward of the market value procedures. The market value assigned was the annual face value as reported in the survey; i.e., the face value is equal to the purchasing power of the food stamps in the market place.

School lunches. All children eating lunches prepared in schools that participate in the National School Lunch Program receive a subsidy or benefit because the price paid by the student is less than the cost of the meal. The value of the benefit varies depending on how much the student pays for the lunch. In the case of school lunches, it is difficult to identify the analogous good in the private market place since such a large proportion of schools participate in the program. It was decided, therefore, to assign market values that were equal to the amount of money and value of commodities contributed by the Department of Agriculture and State governments (excluding contributions directly from student payments for lunches).

Data from the Department of Agriculture allowed the calculation of the amount of contributions per meal served. These con-

tributions differ for each of the three categories of lunches: (1) paid (full price), (2) reduced price, and (3) free. Table B-1 shows the total contributions per meal by type of lunch for 1979 to 1983. These figures were multiplied by 167 days to obtain an annual estimate per child. This assumes an average school year of 180 days and 93 percent attendance. Annual market values per child are also shown in table B-1. These amounts were multiplied by the number of children in each family reporting that they usually ate a hot lunch offered at school.

Public and other subsidized rental housing. The noncash benefit for public or other subsidized rental housing was defined as the difference between the market rent of the housing unit and the subsidized or lower rent paid by the participant. The market value of the benefit is equal to this difference. Data on the market rent of public housing units are not readily available. Since these data are the key to estimating market values, procedures were developed to estimate market rents.

The market rent estimation procedure was based on survey data from the 1979 and 1981 Annual Housing Survey (AHS) national samples conducted by the Bureau of the Census. The AHS was chosen for several reasons. First, it collected relatively current data on monthly amounts paid for rent and utilities. Second, it allowed identification of public or other subsidized housing units. Third, the AHS had a relatively large sample size, about 60,000 households. Finally, the survey can provide data needed for future updates.

The first step in the market rent estimation procedure was development of a method to "statistically" match public and private market rental units with similar housing characteristics. In this process, each sample public or subsidized housing unit was matched to two nonsubsidized units with similar housing unit characteristics. The average market rent for two matching private market units was assigned as the market rent for each matching public or other subsidized rental unit. The average market rent for two nonsubsidized units was assigned rather than a rental amount from only one unit in order to help stabilize the estimated market rents.

Once the assignment of a market rent had been made to each public or subsidized rental housing unit on the 1979 and 1981 AHS sample files, tabulations of average market rents and average subsidized rents paid were made. An examination of these data indicated that the data for both years should be com-

Table B-1. Contributions Per Meal and Annual Value Subsidies for National School Lunch Program Benefits, by Type of Lunch: 1979-83

	1979		1980		1981		1982		1983	
Type of lunch	Per meal	Annual	Per meal	Annual	Per meal	Annual	Per meal	Annual	Per meal	Annual
Full price	.31	51.77	.34	56.78	.32	53.44	.23	38.41	. 24	40.08
Reduced price	.84	140.28	.95	158.65	.99	165.33	.84	140.28	•90	150.30
Free	1.04	173.68	1.15	192.05	1.19	198.73	1.24	207.08	1.30	217.10

bined in order to provide larger sample sizes and thus more stable estimates for the market and subsidized rents.

The tabulation and combination of the market rent and subsidized rent data for 1979 and 1981 were followed by the calculation of average market values for the rent subsidy. These averages were simply the difference between the average simulated market rents and the average reported subsidized rents paid. Tables B-2, B-3, and B-4 show the average market rents, average subsidized rents, and average market value subsidies used in the assignment of market values for public housing. The values in these tables are averages derived by combining the 1979 and 1981 data. The averages were replaced by rent-to-income ratios for purposes of making the actual calculation.

Market value estimates for public housing described here differ somewhat from those used in the original Technical Paper No. 50 work because slightly different procedures were used. The original work covering 1979 used data from the 1979 AHS; however, valuation techniques based on hedonic regression procedures yielded lower estimates of market rent for the public housing units and thus lower market values for the noncash housing benefit.

The rent-to-income ratios used in the assignment of the market value subsidy were held constant for all years. This meant that the market value subsidy for public housing was fixed as a function of income level based on the combined 1979 and 1981 data. This procedure yielded market value subsidies that changed only slightly over the period.

Medicare and Medicaid. Procedures used to assign the market value of Medicare and Medicaid coverage are based on an insurance value concept. A major problem in the assignment of market values is the identification of a comparable good in the private market and estimation of the cost of the comparable good. The comparable private market, in the case of Medicare and Medicaid, would be nonprofit insurance companies charging premium amounts that cover the cost of benefits and overhead.

In the absence of a similar private market, the market values of Medicare and Medicaid were determined using program data

covering the total amount of medical vendor payments and numbers of persons covered or enrolled in the program, including those covered but not receiving medical care benefits from the program.

The market values for Medicare are shown in table B-5 for 1979 and 1983. These values were obtained by dividing medical benefits paid by the number of enrollees. All calculations of market value were made separately by State and risk class. As can be seen in the table, the Medicare risk classes were the aged (persons over age 65) and the disabled. Supplemental medical insurance (SMI) premiums were assumed to be paid by all enrollees and were, therefore, deducted in the market value calculation process. These amounts of SMI premiums have not been deducted from the values shown in table B-5. The data in these tables include expenditures for the institutionalized population. The market values based on vendor payments that exclude institutional expenditures were estimated to be about 2 percent lower in all States even though this factor differed slightly from State to State. Unlike the earlier study, no adjustment was made to the average value to account for small amounts of program administrative costs. All of the data used in the estimation of the market value of Medicare are readily available from the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), Department of Health and Human Services.

The market values for Medicaid are shown in tables B-6 and B-7 for 1979 and B-8 and B-9 for 1983. Separate market values based on inclusion and exclusion of institutional expenditures have been provided to illustrate the large differences in market values resulting from the exclusion or inclusion of benefits paid on behalf of institutionalized individuals. Four risk classes were defined for estimating the market value of Medicaid. These were aged, blind or disabled, dependent children under age 21, and adults aged 21 to 64. The calculations for the child and adult risk classes were restricted to expenditures and recipients in Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) units. Calculations excluded the "other title XIX" recipients and benefits as shown in the annual HCFA tabulation.

The computation of market values for Medicaid was not made based on the "ever enrolled" population. Estimating ever enrolled

Table B-2. Mean Annual Market Rent for Public or Other Subsidized Housing Units, by Total Household Money Income and Size of Family Unit

(Figures in dollars. Combined data from the 1979 and 1981 Annual Housing Survey)

			Total	househo1d	money in	come		
Size of family unit	Less than \$5,000	\$5,000 to \$7,499	\$7,500 to \$9,999	\$10,000 to \$12,499	\$12,500 to \$14,999	\$15,000 to \$17,499	\$17,500 to \$19,999	\$20,000 or more
Householder 65 year and over 1 person 2 persons or more	2,675 3,049	3,211 3,208	3,597 3,158	2,884 3,728	3,841 3,472	2,388 3,604	-	2,648 5,068
Householder under 65 years Married-couple family households: 2 persons	2,894 3,316 3,450 4,264 3,924 4,025 3,185 3,305 3,386 3,325 3,111 3,341 2,678 3,489 5,670	3,203 3,268 3,470 3,533 3,699 3,009 3,500 3,478 3,450 3,481 3,298 3,712 3,073 4,378 5,082	4,190 3,691 3,321 4,381 4,980 3,312	3,590 3,388 3,110 3,831 3,882 4,319 3,933 4,122 3,994 3,323	3,858 4,155 3,001 4,809 3,831 3,528 4,527 3,388 5,658 5,278 3,262 3,498	4,313 3,685 4,424 3,726 4,192 4,908 4,826 5,748 3,011	4,355 4,313 4,578 3,764 4,290 4,418 3,534 6,994 4,481 3,389 4,294 6,468 9,120	2,646 4,824 3,490

populations within risk class and State for Medicaid is difficult. There are no administrative or survey data available that can be used to develop accurate ever enrolled figures and the figures on those receiving benefits are weak for some States, often requiring revision. An examination of estimates of market value based on recipients of Medicaid benefits with market value estimates based on the ever enrolled figures derived for the original Technical Paper No. 50 study covering 1979 showed relatively small differences for most States. Some large differences were discovered during this comparison. These apparent problems were traced to major revisions to the HCFA Medicaid data following completion of the original valuation work. Considering the relatively small differences in these comparisons, the problems in obtaining an adequate ever enrolled estimate, and the major revisions made to the 1979 Medicaid data, it was decided to compute the market values for Medicaid based on estimated recipient counts readily available from HCFA. Use of this procedure may overstate the value somewhat but provides a more consistent and stable data base for the examination of the effect of noncash benefits on changes in poverty levels during the 1979 to 1983 period. Administrative costs were also excluded in the calculation of Medicaid benefits.

## RECIPIENT OR CASH EQUIVALENT VALUE

The recipient or cash equivalent concept assigns a value to the noncash benefit that would make the recipient feel just as well off as the noncash benefit itself. This concept reflects the value the recipient places on the benefit. The recipient or cash equivalent concept assures that the value assigned never exceeds the market value and is, in most cases, less than the market value.

Two procedures have been used by researchers to estimate recipient values. These are the utility function approach and the normal expenditures approach. Both of these approaches have advantages and disadvantages. The major problem in either case, however, is a lack of data needed to adequately estimate recipient value accurately. A more detailed discussion of the recipient value concept and problems of estimation is contained in Technical Paper No. 50.

Table B-3. Mean Annual Subsidized Rent for Public or Other Subsidized Housing Units, by Total Household Money Income and Size of Family Unit

(Figures in dollars. Combined data from the 1979 and 1981 Annual Housing Surveys)

·		Total household money income										
Size of family unit	Less than \$5,000	\$5,000 to \$7,499	\$7,500 to \$9,999	\$10,000 to \$12,499	\$12,500 to \$14,999	\$15,000 to \$17,499	\$17,500 to \$19,999	\$20,000 or more				
Householder 65 years and over 1 person 2 persons or more	1,058 1,290	1,541 1,518	2,217 2,066	1,942 2,172	3,145 2,102	1,632 2,232	1,631 3,032	1,885 3,171				
Householder under 65 years Married-couple family households:						2,292	3,032	J,				
2 persons	1,454 2,111	1,990	2,249	2,428	2,285	3,013	2,953	3,092				
4 persons	1,794	1,933 1,849	2,433 2,256	2,549	2,869	2,984	3,333	2,928				
5 persons	1,945	1,859	2,230	2,481 2,243	2,451	2,976	3,607	2,799				
6 persons	1,696	1,852	2,203	2,243	2,469	2,642	3,358	2,538				
7 persons or more Other family households:	1,492	1,652	1,959	1,976	1,947 3,691	3,224 2,242	2,423 2,493	3,792 3,553				
2 persons	1,482	1,552	2,119	2,688	2,749	2,912	2,933	3,332				
3 persons	1,344	1,863	2,150	2,265	2,394	3,157	2,331	2,297				
4 persons	1,434	1,976	2,055	3,141	3,703	2,289	2,493	1,845				
5 persons	1,352	1,903	1,869	2,832	1,728	2,400	2,756	3,494				
6 persons	1,387	1,494	1,541	1,908	3,324	2,665	1,591	2,375				
7 persons or more Nonfamily households:	1,264	1,763	2,007	1,595	1,746	2,616	2,006	1,380				
l person	1,232	1,618	2,237	2,286	2,620	2,219	5,784	3,142				
2 persons	1,585	2,900	2,590	2,424	2,304	2,482	3,204	3,011				
3 persons or more	2,820	1,464	1,794	2,239	2,808	3,480	708	2,640				

The normal expenditure approach was used to estimate recipient values in this study. The first step in this technique is to obtain expenditure data for households purchasing the good or service in the private market. In this valuation effort, the general procedure was to tabulate an average annual household expenditure matrix defined by a set of cross-classifying variables. The next step was comparison of the previously assigned market value of the noncash benefit to the average (normal) expenditure in the appropriate cell of this matrix. The recipient value assigned was equal to the average value in the matrix unless this value is greater than the market value. In this situation, the recipient value is constrained, making it equal to the market value.

Food stamps. The recipient or cash equivalent values for food stamps were based on some of the newly available expenditure data from the Consumer Expenditure Survey (CES) diary sample. The CES is conducted by the Bureau of the Census under the sponsorship of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Since this survey has a relatively small sample size, it was necessary to combine expenditure data for 1980, 1981, and 1982 in order

to improve the stability of the normal expenditure matrix. Table B-10 shows the figures used in the assignment of recipient value for food stamps. These average expenditures include both food consumed at home and 100 percent of the reported expenditures away from home. In practice, the average subsidy amounts were replaced by subsidy-to-income ratios in order to compute recipient values. These ratios are shown in table B-11 and were used in the estimation process throughout the 1979-83 period.

Since food stamps may have been received for a specified number of months during the year, the calculation of recipient value should be based only on the months during which the stamps were received. Data collected in the March CPS on the number of months received were used to account for these part-year recipients. This was accomplished by transforming the average annual normal food expenditures and market value of food stamps to average monthly figures. In these cases, if the average monthly normal expenditure was less than the average monthly food stamp amount, the annual recipient value was made equal to the average monthly normal expenditure multiplied by the number of months in which food stamps were received. If the monthly normal expenditure was greater than the market

Table B-4. Mean Annual Market Value of Housing Subsidies for Public or Other Subsidized Housing Units, by Total Household Money Income and Size of Family Unit

(Figures in dollars. Combined data from the 1979 and 1981 Annual Housing Surveys)

			Tota	l househo	ld money	income		·
Size of family unit	Less	\$5,000	\$7,500	\$10,000	\$12,500	\$15,000	\$17,500	\$20,000
	than	to	to	to	to	to	to	or
	\$5,000	\$7,499	\$9,999	\$12,499	\$14,999	\$17,499	\$19,999	more
Householder 65 years and over 1 person	1,617	1,670	1,380	942	696	756	713	763
	1,760	1,690	1,092	1,556	1,370	1,371	595	1,897
Householder under 65 years  Married-couple family households:  2 persons	1,440 1,205 1,656 2,318 2,228 2,532 1,703 1,961 1,952 1,972 1,724 2,077 1,446 1,903 2,850	1,948 1,615 1,474 1,578 1,804 1,950	1,452 2,840 2,973 1,074 1,593	1,177 1,101 2,214 2,399 1,037 2,016	3,531 642 1,194	2,161 3,132 792	2,288 684 5,916	1,26 1,68 47

value, the annual recipient value equaled the annual market value of food stamps.

School lunches. Estimating normal expenditures for school lunches is difficult since virtually all school children eating lunches prepared at school are participating in the program; i.e., there is no private market from which to estimate normal expenditures. Given this problem and the relatively small size of the benefits, a decision was made to assign recipient values to school lunch benefits that were equal to the market value of these benefits.

Public or other subsidized rental housing. Estimates of recipient value for public housing tenants were based on data from the 1979 and 1981 Annual Housing Survey as were the estimates of market value. The first step in the procedure was tabulation of average or normal annual rental expenditures in the private market place—in this case, rental units in nonpublic housing. Data for 1979 and 1981 were combined to increase the sample size in order to stabilize the average rental amounts. The

normal expenditure estimates tabulated for the recipient value calculations are shown in table 8-12.

The second step, calculation of recipient value for public housing, is somewhat more complicated than for food stamps because the recipients pay a reduced price rather than obtaining the goods at no cost. First, the market rent established as part of the market value procedures (table B-2) was compared to the appropriate normal expenditures figure in table B-12. If the market rent figure was less than the normal expenditure, the recipient value was assigned to be equal to the market value of the benefit. If the market rent figure was greater than the normal expenditure, the recipient value was determined as the difference between the normal expenditure and the subsidized rental payment (table B-4). In practice, the average figures shown in these tables were replaced by expenditure-to-income ratios. These ratios were then used in the calculations for each of the 4 years.

Medical care benefits. The procedures used to estimate recipient value of medical care benefits were based on simple updates

Table B-5. Annual Market Values for Medicare, by State and Risk Class for 1979 and 1983

	1	979	1983					
State	Risk	class	Risk	class				
	Age 65 and over	Blind and disabled	Age 65 and over	Blind and disabled				
United States	929	1,167	1,538	2,041				
Alabama	767	1,001	1,329	1,753				
Alaska	1,065	2,012	1,460	2,036				
Arizona	869	1,075	1,494	2,022				
Arkansas	690	673	1,263	1,475				
California	1,207	1,555	1,890	2,658				
Colorado	895	1,186	1,466	1,964				
Connecticut	972	1,325	1,504	2,374				
Delaware	934	1,378	1,414	1,817				
District of Columbia	1,369	1,840	2,473	4,051				
Florida	990	1,196	1,697	2,209				
Georgia	706	953	1,249	1,740				
Hawaii	901	1,419	1,332	1,975				
Idaho	683	804	1,159	1,347				
Illinois	1,068	1,509	1,688	2,526				
Indiana	801	1,116	1,275	1,724				
Iowa	, 774	1,120	1,237	1,896				
Kansas	898	1,295	1,408	2,182				
Kentucky	660	757	1,211	1,360				
Louisiana	747	788	1,369	1,628				
Maine	847	1,013	1,403	1,723				
Maryland	1,100	1,487	1,744	2,526				
Massachusetts	1,162	1,398	1,815	2,247				
Michigan	1,126	1,459	1,769	2,330				
Minnesota	846	1,188	1,242	1,831				
Mississippi	703	788	1,270	1,464				
Missouri	910	1,107	1,480	1,814				
Montana	718	753	1,264	1,497				
Nebraska	784	1,245	1,277	2,000				
Nevada	1,117	1,501	1,716	2,197				
New Hampshire	784	1,225	1,270	1,859				
New Jersey	954 769	1,327	1,690	2,475				
New York	768 1,027	1,020	1,319	1,517 2,024				
North Carolina	672	1,158	1,672	1,600				
North Dakota	871	897	1,150 1,526	1,733				
Ohio	887	1,451	l	1,947				
Oklahoma	792	1,109	1,461 1,384	1,714				
Oregon	845	998	1,428	1,835				
Pennsylvania	963	1,252	1,711	2,347				
Rhode Island	1,047	1,137	1,423	1,694				
South Carolina	605	788	1,066	1,526				
South Dakota	707	766	1,221	1,711				
Tennessee	729	910	1,329	1,695				
Texas	867	1,154	1,551	2,249				
Utah	706	917	1,016	1,498				
Vermont	784	1,106	1,203	1,820				
Virginia	789	1,026	1,356	1,854				
Washingt m	779	963	1,252	1,737				
West Virginia	696	711	1,258	1,270				
Wisconsin	847	1,174	1,364	1,765				
Wyoming	723	946	1,230	1,521				
	i	1		1				

Table B-6. Annual Market Values for Medicaid Including Institutional Expenditures, by State and Risk Class for 1979

	Age 65	Blind and	Age 21-64,	Age less than 21,
State	andover	disabled	nondisabled	nondisabled
H. do. 1 Co	2 006	2.5/5	702	222
United States	2,096	2,565	703	333
Alabama	1,184	1,121	580	229
Alaska	4,504	4,133	719 703	292 333
Arizona	2,096	2,565		236
Arkansas	1,364	1,682	. 508	332
California	1,355	1,527	673	238
Colorado	2,002	3,851	640 716	360
Connecticut	4,356	3,076	563	250
Delaware	3,574	2,584 3,489		511
District of Columbia	1,976		954 533	271
Florida	1,333	1,217	647	259
Georgia	1,488	1,751 2,440	637	281
Hawaii	2,623		569	287
Illinois	2,632 2,638	3,105   2,972	765	347
		4,155	733	296
Indiana	3,981	3,514	697	323
Iowa	2,718		625	242
Kansas	2,511	3,815	452	202
Kentucky	1,175	1,296	515	202
Louisiana	1,405	1,847	451	230
Maine	2,187	1,190		381
Maryland	2,535	1,713	714	367
Massachusetts	1,354	3,226	816	365
Michigan	2,785	3,508	959	279
Minnesota	3,940	4,419	652 402	187
Mississippi	928	1,164	522	217
Missouri	1,312	1,551	-	217 270
Montana	3,145	2,727	676 660	307
Nebraska	2,793	3,464		286
Nevada	2,700	3,538	680 552	307
New Hampshire	3,846	2,743	653	401
New Jersey	3,944	2,635	550	233
New Mexico	1,323	1,667	1,081	551
New York	3,691	6,002	547	228
North Dakota	1,559	1,895	811	383
MOZZII Zamozzi VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII V	3,322 2,900	2,686	624	257
Ohio		2,498	385	279
Oklahoma	2,017	3,036	408	161
Oregon	2,575 3,265	2,939 2,380	516	248
Pennsylvania			508	241
Rhode Island	2,177	2,089	531	172
South Carolina	1,565	1,227	594	265
South Dakota	2,915	3,658	604	303
Tennessee	1,594	1,568	<b>!</b>	
Texas	1,873	2,616	778 662	267 425
Utah	2,677	3,600	545	288
Vermont	2,567	2,743		284
Virginia	2,096	2,092	640	280
Washington	2,271	3,360	634	
West Virginia	890	890	890	890 295
Wisconsin	3,513	3,538	576	196 196
Wyoming	3,476	2,201	545	
			<u> </u>	<u>.                                    </u>

Table B-7. Annual Market Values for Medicaid Including Institutional Expenditures, by State and Risk Class for 1983

State	Age 65	Blind and disabled	Age 21-64, nondisabled	Age less than 21 nondisable
state				<del></del>
United States	3,682	3,968	866	41
Alabama	1,612	2,222	779	34
Alaska	6,575	6,314	1,062	61
Arizona	3,682	3,968	866	41
Arkansas	2,271	3,069	867	38
California	1,537	2,395	750	35
Colorado	2,679	5,200	705	33
Connecticut	7,689	6,390	992	47
	4,738	4,094	788	40
Delaware	4,710	5,026	1,125	52
District of Columbia	2,444	2,600	668	32
Florida		2,637	978	39
Georgia	2,158	4,124	845	39
Hawaii	4,607	4,620	765	35
Idaho	3,855	3,995	831	41
Illinois	3,508		1,206	46
Indiana	5,730	6,162	917	47
Iowa	3,472	5,463	I	51
Kansas	3,402	5,363	1,011	29
Kentucky	2,187	2,324	704	39
Louisiana	2,600	4,318	904	4(
Maine	4,333	3,599	870	54
Maryland	4,799	2,607	1,021	_
Massachusetts	4,567	5,134	1,079	57
Michigan	4,092	4,054	902	34
Minnesota	7,309	10,243	843	4:
Mississippi	1,960	1,731	726	3:
Missouri	3,030	3,036	750	42
Montana	-4,801	4,151	1,061	39
Nebraska	4,190	5,096	825	. 46
Nevada	3,911	6,879	1,221	62
New Hampshire	6,262	3,734	491	₹ 26
New Jersey	6,008	4,373	944	4:
New Mexico	2,194	2,802	906	36
New York	7,884	6,801	1,037	60
North Carolina	2,697	3,441	788	40
North Dakota	4,253	4,030	1,030	58
Ohio	5,086	5,030	1,063	4
Oklahoma	2,878	3,196	1,184	6
Oregon	3,526	4,711	825	3.
Pennsylvania	5,066	4,599	675	3
Rhode Island	5,017	5,190	657	3
South Carolina	2,289	2,124	489	1
South Dakota	3,981	5,646	816	4
Tennessee	2,481	2,536	958	5
Texas	2,593	4,550	1,124	4
Utah	1,986	4,477	998	3
Vermont	4,123	4,521	705	3
Virginia	3,483	3,401	804	3
ATINING	3,836	4,756	820	3
Washington	2,222	1,337	573	2
West Virginia	5,465	5,867	914	4
Wisconsin		3,714	893	4
Wyoming	4,781	3,714	2,5	•

Table B-8. Annual Market Values for Medicaid Excluding Institutional Expenditures, by State and Risk Class for 1979

State	Age 65 and over	Blind and disabled	Age 21-64, nondisabled	Age less than 21 nondisable
		<del></del>		<u> </u>
United States	417	1,267	695	31
Alabama	300	789	580	22
Alaska	486	1,109	716	27
Arizona	417	1,267	695	31
Arkansas	315	695	508	23
California	460	1,189	673	33
Colorado	331	1,050	638	21
Connecticut	546	1,350	694	32
elaware	411	1,197	563	25
District of Columbia	1,260	2,559	953	49
lorida	444	964	533	27
Georgia	371	1,021	647	2:
lawali	497	1,130	636	28
daho	408	1,084	569	28
Illinois	532	1,530	763	34
	554	1,573	730	2
Indiana	472	1,042	690	3:
lowa	370	853	623	2
Cansas	223	744	451	20
Kentucky	421	735	515	2
ouisiana	281	818	450	2
laine		1,324	712	3
faryland	472		810	3
lassachusetts	173	1,516	940	3
dichigan	426	1,768	648	2
Minnesota	529	1,280 779	401	. 1
dississippi	332	855	522	2
dissouri	335		673	2
Iontana	438	1,410	657	2
Nebraska	492	1,205	680	2
Nevada	457	1,963	552	3
New Hampshire	469	1,400	653	3
New Jersey	491	1,329	549	2
New Mexico	346	1,090	1,054	4
New York	517	2,549	546	2
North Carolina	424	1,131	811	3
North Dakota	420	1,574	624	2
Ohio	440	1,130	7	2
Oklahoma	464	826	378	1
Oregon	365	728	408	2
Pennsylvania	313	890	487	2
Rhode Island	778	966	508	
South Carolina	257	664	526	1
South Dakota	315	896	594	2
Cennessee	359	852	603	2
Cexas	397	1,026	778	2
Utah	359	996	659	3
Vermont	414	1,291	528	2
Virginia	527	1,123	638	2
Washington	479	1,358	633	. 2
West Virginia	319	716	889	8
Wisconsin	620	1,342	566	2
Wyoming	249	1,024	544	1

Table B-9. Annual Market Values for Medicaid Excluding Institutional Expenditures, by State and Risk Class for 1983

no.

Alabama. 2,76 1,106 779 Alabama. 2,027 3,059 1,031 66 Arizona. 1,014 1,948 851 44 Arizona. 1,014 1,948 851 44 Arizona. 1,014 1,948 851 44 Arizona. 1,014 1,948 851 44 Arizona. 1,010 1,948 851 44 Arizona. 1,010 1,948 851 44 Arizona. 1,010 2,927 989 44 65 65 66 60 91,741 679 33 66 67 67 1,727 788 67 1,727 788 67 1,727 788 67 1,727 788 67 1,727 788 67 1,727 788 67 1,727 788 67 1,727 788 67 1,727 788 67 1,727 788 67 1,727 788 67 1,727 788 67 1,727 788 67 1,727 788 1,728 1,72	State	Age 65 and over	Blind and disabled	Age 21-64, nondisabled	Age less than 21, nondisabled
Alabama	United States	1 014	1 948	851	404
Alaska.   2,027   3,059   1,031   66   Arizona   1,014   1,948   851   44   Arizona   1,014   1,948   851   44   Arizona   1,014   1,948   851   44   Arizona   1,014   1,948   851   44   Arizona   1,014   1,948   851   44   Arizona   1,014   1,309   867   38   38   37   30   30   30   30   30   30   30					347
Arizona					609
Arkansas					404
California				II.	388
Colorado					357
Connecticut		1	- 1		320
Delaware					468
District of Columbia   1,459   3,349   1,125   5     Florida   721   1,599   667   33     Georgia   704   1,673   977   33     Hawaii   839   1,778   845   35     Hawaii   839   1,778   845   35     Hawaii   846   1,467   765   33     Hindian   866   1,716   831   44     Louisian   863   3,054   1,205   44     Lowa   603   1,775   916   44     Kansas   698   1,740   1,010   44     Kansas   420   1,405   703   22     Louisiana   777   1,538   904   33     Maine   723   1,812   870   44     Maryland   883   1,975   1,017   55     Massachusetts   1,685   2,382   1,078   35     Michigan   767   2,233   901   30     Minnesota   597   1,047   726   33     Missispipi   597   1,047   7726   33     Missispipi   597   1,047   7726   34     Montana   747   2,329   1,057   33     Nevada   819   4,687   1,220   66     New Hampshire   474   1,860   491     New Jersey   921   2,123   943   44     New Mexico   581   1,712   905   35     North Dakota   574   1,953   1,029     Ohio   1,402   2,633   1,063   44     North Dakota   574   1,953   1,029     Ohio   1,402   2,633   1,063   44     North Dakota   574   1,955   1,029   57     North Carolina   424   818   486   1     Pennsylvania   1,907   2,006   657   33     North Dakota   564   2,567   816   47     Texas   817   1,754   1,124   44     Texas   817   1,754   1,124   44     Texas   817   1,754   1,124   44     Texas   817   1,754   1,124   44     Texas   817   1,754   1,124   44     Texas   817   1,754   1,124   44     Texas   817   1,754   1,124   44     Texas   817   1,754   1,124   44     Texas   817   1,754   1,124   44     Texas   826   2,566   704     Virginia   749   1,482   794   33     Washington   611   1,689   816     West Virginia   749   1,482   794   33     Washington   611   1,689   816     West Virginia   864   1,995   899   44	1				404
Florida	· <del>-</del> · · ·				514
Georgia. 704 1,673 977 33 48481 1,778 845 33 1,778 845 33 1,778 845 33 1,778 845 33 1,778 845 33 1,778 845 33 1,778 845 33 1,776 765 33 1,716 831 1,716 831 1,716 831 1,716 831 1,716 831 1,716 1,716 831 1,716 1,716 831 1,716 1,715 916 44 1,715 916 44 1,715 916 44 1,715 916 44 1,715 916 44 1,715 916 1,715 9		- 1			320
Hawaii					390
Idaho.		-			395
Illinois					359
Indiana					406
Iowa		3			462
Kansas.       698       1,740       1,010       44         Kentucky.       420       1,405       703       25         Louisiana.       777       1,538       904       33         Maine.       723       1,812       870       44         Maryland.       883       1,975       1,017       55         Massachusetts.       1,685       2,382       1,078       55         Michigan.       767       2,233       901       33         Minnesota.       995       2,632       841       34         Missouri.       597       1,047       726       33         Missouri.       597       1,406       750       44         Montana.       747       2,329       1,057       33         Nebraska.       800       1,939       824       44         New Alexanghire.       474       1,860       491       22         New Jersey.       921       2,123       943       4         New York.       3,222       3,142       959       5         North Dakota.       574       1,953       1,029       5         North Carolina.       614       1,71	_ · · ·			-	454
Kentucky.       420       1,405       703       22         Louisiana       777       1,538       904       33         Maine.       723       1,812       870       44         Maryland.       883       1,975       1,017       55         Massachusetts       1,685       2,382       1,078       55         Michigan       767       2,233       901       33         Minnesota       995       2,632       841       44         Missouri       597       1,406       750       44         Missouri       597       1,406       750       44         Montana       747       2,329       1,057       33         Nebraska       800       1,939       824       46         Nevada       819       4,687       1,220       66         New Hampshire       474       1,860       491       22         New Jersey       921       2,123       943       44         New Mexico       581       1,712       905       33         New York       3,222       3,142       959       55         North Carolina       614       1,717				t t	498
Louisiana 777 1,538 904 33					290
Maine       723       1,812       870       44         Maryland       883       1,975       1,017       56         Massachusetts       1,685       2,382       1,078       55         Michigan       767       2,233       901       33         Minnesota       995       2,632       841       44         Mississippi       597       1,047       726       33         Montana       747       2,329       1,057       36         Montana       747       2,329       1,057       36         Nevada       800       1,939       824       44         Nevada       819       4,687       1,220       66         New Hampshire       474       1,860       491       26         New Jersey       921       2,123       943       4         New York       3,222       3,142       959       5         New York       3,222       3,142       959       5         North Dakota       574       1,953       1,063       44         North Dakota       574       1,953       1,063       44         Oklahoma       939       1,311 <td< td=""><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td></td><td>,</td><td></td><td>392</td></td<>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,		392
Maryland       883       1,975       1,017       56         Massachusetts       1,685       2,382       1,078       55         Michigan       767       2,233       901       33         Minnesota       995       2,632       841       44         Mississippi       597       1,047       726       33         Missouri       597       1,406       750       44         Montana       747       2,329       1,057       33         Nebraska       800       1,939       824       44         New Alexic       819       4,687       1,220       66         New Hampshire       474       1,860       491       22         New Jersey       921       2,123       943       4         New York       3,222       3,142       959       55         North Dakota       581       1,712       905       33         North Dakota       574       1,953       1,029       55         Oklahoma       939       1,31       993       1,31       993       50         Oregon       841       1,780       815       33       36       36       36 </td <td>-<del>-</del></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>405</td>	- <del>-</del>				405
Massachusetts	-			• •	542
Michigan       767       2,233       901       36         Minnesota       995       2,632       841       44         Mississippi       597       1,047       726       33         Missouri       597       1,406       750       44         Montana       747       2,329       1,057       33         Nevada       800       1,939       824       44         Nevada       819       4,687       1,220       66         New Hampshire       474       1,860       491       24         New Jersey       921       2,123       943       4         New Mexico       581       1,712       905       33         New York       3,222       3,142       959       55         North Dakota       574       1,953       1,029       55         North Dakota       574       1,953       1,029       55         Oklahoma       939       1,131       993       55         Oregon       841       1,780       815       39         Pennsylvania       465       1,421       619       33         Rhode Island       1,907       2,006 <t< td=""><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>576</td></t<>	•				576
Minnesota       995       2,632       841       44         Mississippi       597       1,047       726       33         Missouri       597       1,406       750       44         Montana       747       2,329       1,057       33         Nebraska       800       1,939       824       44         New Assa       819       4,687       1,220       66         New Hampshire       474       1,860       491       24         New Jersey       921       2,123       943       44         New Mexico       581       1,712       905       33         New York       3,222       3,142       959       55         North Carolina       614       1,717       788       33         North Dakota       574       1,953       1,029       55         Ohio       1,402       2,633       1,063       44         Oklahoma       939       1,311       993       50         Oregon       841       1,780       815       33         Pennsylvania       465       1,421       619       33         Rhode Island       1,907       2,006	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				305
Mississippi 597 1,047 726 33 Missouri 597 1,406 750 44 Montana 747 2,329 1,057 34 Montana 800 1,939 824 44 Nevada 819 4,687 1,220 66 New Hampshire 474 1,860 491 22 New Jersey 921 2,123 943 44 New Mexico 581 1,712 905 33 New York 3,222 3,142 959 55 North Carolina 614 1,717 788 33 North Dakota 574 1,953 1,029 56 Oklahoma 939 1,131 993 56 Oklahoma 939 1,131 993 55 Oregon 841 1,780 815 33 Oregon 841 1,780 815 33 Pennsylvania 465 1,421 619 33 Rhode Island 1,907 2,006 657 35 South Carolina 422 818 486 1 South Dakota 564 2,567 816 45 Tennessee 514 1,261 996 36 Vermont 826 2,566 704 Virginia 749 1,482 794 34 Washington 611 1,689 816 38 West Virginia 436 1,022 572 28 Wisconsin 864 1,995 899 44		L		· · · .	424
Missouri       597       1,406       750       44         Montana       747       2,329       1,057       33         Nevada       800       1,939       824       44         Nevada       819       4,687       1,220       66         New Hampshire       474       1,860       491       26         New Jersey       921       2,123       943       4         New York       3,222       3,142       959       5         Now York       3,222       3,142       959       5         North Carolina       614       1,717       788       3         North Dakota       574       1,953       1,029       5         Ohlo       1,402       2,633       1,063       4         Ohlo       1,402       2,633       1,063       4         Oregon       841       1,780       815       3         Pennsylvania       465       1,421       619       3         South Carolina       424       818       486       1         South Dakota       564       2,567       816       4         Texas       817       1,754       1,124				•	327
Montana       747       2,329       1,057       33         Nebraska       800       1,939       824       44         New Ada       819       4,687       1,220       66         New Hampshire       474       1,860       491       21         New Jersey       921       2,123       943       44         New Mexico       581       1,712       905       36         New York       3,222       3,142       959       55         North Dakota       574       1,953       1,029       55         North Dakota       574       1,953       1,029       55         Ohio       1,402       2,633       1,063       44         Oklahoma       939       1,131       993       5         Oregon       841       1,780       815       3         Pennsylvania       465       1,421       619       3         South Carolina       424       818       486       1         South Dakota       564       2,567       816       4         Tennessee       514       1,261       956       4         Texas		I			423
Nebraska       800       1,939       824       44         New dada       819       4,687       1,220       66         New Hampshire       474       1,860       491       2         New Jersey       921       2,123       943       4         New Mexico       581       1,712       905       3         New York       3,222       3,142       959       5         North Carolina       614       1,717       788       3         North Dakota       574       1,953       1,029       5         Ohlo       1,402       2,633       1,063       4         Oklahoma       939       1,131       993       5         Oregon       841       1,780       815       3         Pennsylvania       465       1,421       619       3         Rhode Island       1,907       2,006       657       3         South Dakota       564       2,567       816       4         Tennessee       514       1,261       956       4         Texas       817       1,754       1,124       4         Utah       388       1,370       996					390
Nevada					456
New Hampshire.       474       1,860       491       26         New Jersey.       921       2,123       943       4         New Mexico.       581       1,712       905       3         New York.       3,222       3,142       959       5         North Carolina.       614       1,717       788       3         North Dakota.       574       1,953       1,029       5         Ohio.       1,402       2,633       1,063       4         Oklahoma.       939       1,131       993       5         Oregon.       841       1,780       815       3         Pennsylvania.       465       1,421       619       3         Rhode Island.       1,907       2,006       657       3         South Carolina.       424       818       486       1         South Dakota.       564       2,567       816       4         Tennessee.       514       1,261       956       4         Texas.       817       1,754       1,124       4         Utah.       388       1,370       996       3         Vermont       826       2,566				- 1	629
New Jersey       921       2,123       943       4         New Mexico       581       1,712       905       36         New York       3,222       3,142       959       5         North Carolina       614       1,717       788       3         North Dakota       574       1,953       1,029       5         Ohio       1,402       2,633       1,063       44         Oklahoma       939       1,131       993       5         Oregon       841       1,780       815       3         Pennsylvania       465       1,421       619       3         South Carolina       424       818       486       1         South Dakota       564       2,567       816       4         Tennessee       514       1,261       956       4         Texas       817       1,754       1,124       4         Utah       388       1,370       996       3         Vermont       826       2,566       704       3         Virginia       749       1,482       794       3         Washington       864       1,995       899       4		I			265
New Mexico       581       1,712       905       36         New York       3,222       3,142       959       55         North Carolina       614       1,717       788       33         North Dakota       574       1,953       1,029       55         Ohio       1,402       2,633       1,063       44         Oklahoma       939       1,131       993       5         Oregon       841       1,780       815       30         Pennsylvania       465       1,421       619       33         Rhode Island       1,907       2,006       657       3         South Carolina       424       818       486       1         South Dakota       564       2,567       816       4         Texas       817       1,754       1,124       4         Utah       388       1,370       996       3         Vermont       826       2,566       704       3         Virginia       794       1,482       794       3         Washington       816       3       3       3       3         West Virginia       436       1,022	•	i i		· .	-417
New York       3,222       3,142       959         North Carolina       614       1,717       788         North Dakota       574       1,953       1,029         Ohio       1,402       2,633       1,063         Oklahoma       939       1,131       993         Oregon       841       1,780       815         Pennsylvania       465       1,421       619         Rhode Island       1,907       2,006       657         South Carolina       424       818       486         South Dakota       564       2,567       816         Tennessee       514       1,261       956         Utah       388       1,370       996       3         Vermont       826       2,566       704       3         Virginia       749       1,482       794       3         Washington       611       1,689       816       3         West Virginia       436       1,022       572       2         Wisconsin       864       1,995       899		· ·			361
North Carolina       614       1,717       788       33         North Dakota       574       1,953       1,029       55         Ohio       1,402       2,633       1,063       44         Oklahoma       939       1,131       993       55         Oregon       841       1,780       815       33         Pennsylvania       465       1,421       619       34         Rhode Island       1,907       2,006       657       3         South Carolina       424       818       486       1         South Dakota       564       2,567       816       4         Tennessee       514       1,261       956       4         Utah       388       1,370       996       3         Vermont       826       2,566       704       3         Virginia       749       1,482       794       3         West Virginia       436       1,022       572       2         Wisconsin       864       1,995       899       4					546
North Dakota       574       1,953       1,029       56         Ohio       1,402       2,633       1,063       44         Oklahoma       939       1,131       993       5         Oregon       841       1,780       815       3         Pennsylvania       465       1,421       619       3         Rhode Island       1,907       2,006       657       3         South Carolina       424       818       486       1         South Dakota       564       2,567       816       4         Tennessee       514       1,261       956       4         Texas       817       1,754       1,124       4         Utah       388       1,370       996       3         Vermont       826       2,566       704       3         Virginia       749       1,482       794       3         Washington       611       1,689       816       3         West Virginia       436       1,022       572       2         Wisconsin       864       1,995       899       4			- 1		395
Ohio       1,402       2,633       1,063       44         Oklahoma       939       1,131       993       5         Oregon       841       1,780       815       3         Pennsylvania       465       1,421       619       3         Rhode Island       1,907       2,006       657       3         South Carolina       424       818       486       1         South Dakota       564       2,567       816       4         Tennessee       514       1,261       956       4         Texas       817       1,754       1,124       4         Utah       388       1,370       996       3         Vermont       826       2,566       704       3         Virginia       749       1,482       794       3         Washington       611       1,689       816       3         West Virginia       436       1,022       572       2         Wisconsin       864       1,995       899					584
Oklahoma       939       1,131       993       5         Oregon       841       1,780       815       3         Pennsylvania       465       1,421       619       3         Rhode Island       1,907       2,006       657       3         South Carolina       424       818       486       1         South Dakota       564       2,567       816       4         Tennessee       514       1,261       956       4         Texas       817       1,754       1,124       4         Utah       388       1,370       996       3         Vermont       826       2,566       704       3         Virginia       749       1,482       794       3         Washington       611       1,689       816       3         West Virginia       436       1,022       572       2         Wisconsin       864       1,995       899       4				1,063	480
Oregon	1				588
Pennsylvania       465       1,421       619       3         Rhode Island       1,907       2,006       657       3         South Carolina       424       818       486       1         South Dakota       564       2,567       816       4         Tennessee       514       1,261       956       4         Texas       817       1,754       1,124       4         Utah       388       1,370       996       3         Vermont       826       2,566       704       3         Virginia       749       1,482       794       3         Washington       611       1,689       816       3         West Virginia       436       1,022       572       2         Wisconsin       864       1,995       899       4		1			309
Rhode Island       1,907       2,006       657       3         South Carolina       424       818       486       1         South Dakota       564       2,567       816       4         Tennessee       514       1,261       956       4         Texas       817       1,754       1,124       4         Utah       388       1,370       996       3         Vermont       826       2,566       704       3         Virginia       749       1,482       794       3         Washington       611       1,689       816       3         West Virginia       436       1,022       572       2         Wisconsin       864       1,995       899       4					300
South Carolina       424       818       486       1         South Dakota       564       2,567       816       4         Tennessee       514       1,261       956       4         Texas       817       1,754       1,124       4         Utah       388       1,370       996       3         Vermont       826       2,566       704       3         Virginia       749       1,482       794       3         Washington       611       1,689       816       3         West Virginia       436       1,022       572       2         Wisconsin       864       1,995       899       4					310
South Dakota       564       2,567       816       44         Tennessee       514       1,261       956       44         Texas       817       1,754       1,124       44         Utah       388       1,370       996       3         Vermont       826       2,566       704       3         Virginia       749       1,482       794       3         Washington       611       1,689       816       3         West Virginia       436       1,022       572       2         Wisconsin       864       1,995       899       4					166
Tennessee					447
Texas					489
Utah	•				416
Vermont       826       2,566       704       3         Virginia       749       1,482       794       3         Washington       611       1,689       816       3         West Virginia       436       1,022       572       2         Wisconsin       864       1,995       899       4					389
Virginia     749     1,482     794     3       Washington     611     1,689     816     3       West Virginia     436     1,022     572     2       Wisconsin     864     1,995     899     4					383
Washington       611       1,689       816       3         West Virginia       436       1,022       572       2         Wisconsin       864       1,995       899       4					325
West Virginia	<del>-</del>				399
Wisconsin	*	I			236
W18CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	T				462
Wyoming	Wyoming	328	2,614	893	415

Table B-10. Mean Annual Normal Expenditures for Food, by Total Household Money Income and Size of Family Unit

(Figures in dollars. Combined data from 1980, 1981, and 1982 Current Expenditure Survey Monthly Diaries)

	Total household money income								
Size of family	Less	\$5,000	\$7,500	\$10,000	\$12,500	\$15,000	\$17,500	\$20,000	
unit	than \$5,000	\$7,499	to \$9,999	\$12,499	\$14,999	\$17,499	\$19,999	or more	
Householder 65 years and over		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-					
1 person	1,015	1,328	1,464	1,683	1,394	1,676	2,370	2,293	
2 persons or more	1,414	1,806	2,143	2,536	2,556	2,383	2,810	3,577	
Householder under 65 years Married-couple family households:									
2 persons	648	1,916	2,103	2,465	2,369	2,842		3,293	
3 persons	344	2,683	2,308	2,395	2,612	3,036	2,912	3,716	
4 persons	621	2,774	2,521	2,902	2,791	3,278		4,352	
5 persons	931	2,159	3,119	3,091	3,299	2,778	4,319		
6 persons	1,000	2,188	2,517	3,582	3,710	4,226	4,058		
7 persons or more	1,250	2,938	3,914	4,642	4,291	5,191	4,563	5,570	
Other family households:			. 760		2 520	2 722	2 460	2,938	
2 persons	991	1,472	1,769	1,782	2,539	2,732 3,250	2,468 3,272		
3 persons	1,404	2,177	1,719 2,009	2,329 2,958	2,958 3,491	2,913			
4 persons	1,125	2,203 2,159	3,119	3,091	3,299	2,778	4,319		
5 persons	1,000	2,139	2,517	3,582	3,710	4,226	4,058		
6 persons	1,250	2,138	3,914	4,642	4,291	5,191	4,563	5,570	
Nonfamily households:	1,230	2,730	',''	','''	',-/-	-,		 	
1 person	714	1,123	1,303	1,600	1,637	1,782	2,123	2,626	
2 persons or more	999	1,799	2,265	2,386		2,052	2,339		

of the original 1979 techniques. For the purpose of estimating normal expenditures for medical care, a nonsubsidized population is, for all practical purposes, nonexistent. The aged population is almost totally covered by the Medicare program and the population under 65 years of age receives widespread coverage from employer-provided group health insurance.

The estimates of normal expenditures for medical care were made using data from the 1972-73 Consumer Expenditure Survey (CES) in spite of the major problems cited above. The normal expenditure tabulation used as the basis for this study is shown in table B-13. The data for the under-age-65 population were derived from CES survey cases reporting partial employer-provided coverage. The expenditure data do not include the amount of the employer's contribution, and therefore, the normal expenditures for this group are probably underestimated. The sample group used to derive the normal expenditures for the 65-and-over population included persons with Medicare coverage but excluded persons covered by Medicaid and those covered by both Medicaid and Medicare. Use of the Medicare population in estimates of normal expenditures is

undesirable and probably results in underestimates of recipient value as well.

The normal expenditure data in table B-13 were tabulated from the 1972-73 CES. Adjustments were then made to the 1972-73 average medical expenditures and income classes to account for the increases in consumer prices. The expenditure data were adjusted by the change in the medical component within the overall Consumer Price Index (CPI). The income classes were adjusted by the change in the overall CPI. These same adjustments were made annually to update the 1979 figures in this table to the appropriate year between 1980 and 1983.

The assignment of recipient values followed the same procedures as outlined for food stamps. Separate estimates of recipient value were made based on the inclusion or exclusion of institutional care expenditures.

#### **POVERTY BUDGET SHARES**

The third procedure used to value noncash benefits in this study was the poverty budget share (PBS) approach. The PBS

Table B-11. Annual Food Expenditure to income Ratios, by Total Household Money Income and Size of Family Unit

(Combined data from 1980, 1981, and 1982 Current Expenditure Survey Montly Diaries)

	Total household money income								
Size of family unit	Less than \$5,000	\$5,000 to \$7,499	\$7,500 to \$9,999	\$10,000 to \$12,499	\$12,500 to \$14,999	\$15,000 to \$17,499	\$17,500 to \$19,999	\$20,000 or more	
Householder 65 years and over								-	
l person	.286	.221	.170	.149	.102	.102	.128	•074	
2 persons or more	.399	. 284	. 244	.228	.186	.148	.151	.103	
Householder under 65 years Married-couple family households:									
2 persons	•480	-286	.237	.222	.172	.177	.156	.093	
3 persons	.391	-411	.274	.215	•190	.188	.155	.107	
4 persons	-409	.419	.282	.256	.204	.202	.179	.123	
5 persons	378	.332	.365	.270	.241	.172	.232	.138	
6 persons	•400	.350	.274	.327	.270	.262	.216	.142	
7 persons or more Other family households:	•500	•470	.435	. 417	.312	.315	.239	.160	
2 persons	.342	. 244	203	.160	.184	.170	.132	.098	
3 persons	.490	. 344	.200	.210	.213	.203	.176	.119	
4 persons	•450	.374	.225	.263	.255	.179	.121	.147	
5 persons	.378	.332	. 365	.270	. 241	.172	.232	.138	
6 persons	.400	•350	.274	.327	.270	.262	.216	.142	
7 persons or more Nonfamily households:	.500	.470	-435	.417	.312	.315	. 239	.160	
l person	. 266	.183	.152	.144	.120	.112	.115	.088	
2 persons or more	.340	.280	252	209	.150	.126	.129	.103	

approach is a different and much more limited valuation technique that links the value of the noncash benefit directly to the current money income poverty concept. The PBS approach assumes that, for purposes of measuring poverty, the value assigned to the benefit can be no greater than the amount that is usually spent on the specified good or service by people near the poverty level, since values in excess of this amount cannot always substitute for other needs.

Food benefits. The values of food stamps and school lunch benefits were combined for the calculation of the PBS value for food benefits. The amount spent on food by families near the poverty line was assumed to be one-third of the appropriate poverty level. This reflects directly the food-to-income ratio used to develop the current poverty definition. The PBS limits for food benefits are shown in table B-14 for 1979 through 1982. The figures in this table are simply the weighted average

poverty threshold for the specified family type multiplied by one-third.

The PBS value was computed by comparing the combined market value of food stamps and school lunch to the PBS limit. If the market value was greater than the PBS limit, the PBS value was constrained to the PBS limit. If the market value was lower, the PBS value was equal to the market value.

Public or other subsidized rental housing. The PBS values for public or other subsidized rental housing were computed using the 1979 and 1983 AHS data. Calculation of the PBS limits were based on the housing expenditure to income ratios shown in table B-15. These ratios represent the proportion of income spent on nonsubsidized rental housing by families with incomes within  $\pm$  25 percent of the poverty level and are averages of the 1979 and 1981 data from the AHS for nonsubsidized housing units.

The calculation of the PBS limit was made by multiplying the appropriate proportion in table B-15 by the family's poverty level.

Table B-12. Mean Annual Normal Expenditures for Rental Units in Nonsubsidized Housing, by Total Household Money Income and Size of Family Unit

(Figures in dollars. Combined data from 1979 and 1981 Annual Housing Survey)

	Total household money income								
Size of family unit	Less than \$5,000	\$5,000 to \$7,499	\$7,500 to \$9,999	\$10,000 to \$12,499	\$12,500 to \$14,999	\$15,000 to \$17,499	\$17,500 to \$19,999	\$20,000 or more	
Householder 65 years and over	2,092	2,702	3,002	3,073	3,583	4,023	3,439	3,915	
1 person	2,396	2,805	3,223	3,546	3,356	3,690	3,798	4,674	
Householder under 65 years Married-couple family households:									
2 persons	2,680	2,821	2,864	3,181	3,140	3,165	3,316	4,441	
3 persons	2,836	2,846	2,889	3,134	3,284	3,502		4,495	
4 persons	3,115	3,042	3,247	3,207	3,422	3,387		4,789	
5 persons	2,829	2,852	3,118	3,498	3,513	3,567	3,500	4,864	
6 persons	3,799	2,973	2,927			2,806		4,106	
7 persons or more	3,307	2,094	2,965	3,405	3,511	3,870	4,161	4,701	
Other family households:					2 / 70	2 574	2 722	4,485	
2 persons	2,721	3,032	2,991	3,197	3,479	3,574	3,733		
. 3 persons	2,819	2,930	3,317	3,274	3,572	3,520 3,873		4,678	
4 persons	2,971	3,027	3,324	3,680	3,209	3,803		4,163	
5 persons	2,773	3,414	3,616	3,214	3,065		•	4,188	
6 persons	2,614	3,346	3,358	3,042		2,498	1	4,602	
7 persons or more	3,209	3,204	3,204	3,467	3,332	2,383	3,594	4,002	
Nonfamily households:	200	2 400	2 622	2 050	3,012	3,205	3,352	4,204	
l person	2,306	2,480	2,632	2,858		3,595			
2 persons	2,934 3,061	3,082 3,238	3,264 3,870	3,436 3,902	4,703	3,975		6,203	
3 persons or more	3,001	3,230	3,070	] 3,702	7,705	,,,,,	]	[	

If the previously assigned market rent exceeded the PBS limit, the PBS value for public housing was made equal to the difference between the PBS limit and the amount of subsidized rent paid. If the market rent was less than the PBS limit, the PBS value for public housing was made equal to the market value of the subsidy.

Medical care. The PBS values for noncash medical care benefits were computed using the same expenditure to income ratios at the poverty line as used in the previous study. These ratios,

which were derived from the 1960-61 Consumer Expenditure Survey, are shown in table B-16. The data from the 1960-61 survey were selected originally because they reflect expenditure patterns for medical care that existed prior to the Medicare program and expansion of employer-provided benefits. The PBS value for medical care was computed by comparing the combined market value of Medicare and/or Medicaid for the family with the PBS limit. The PBS value was equal to the PBS limit if the market value exceeded the limit or equal to the market value if the market value was lower.

Table B-13. Normal Expenditure Values for Medical Care, by Age or Disability Status of the Householder and Size of Household

(In 1979 dollars)

	years old	der age 65 d and over sabled	Householder under 65 years old and not disabled						
Total household income		2							
	1	persons	1	2	3	4	persons		
	person	or more	person	persons	persons	persons	or more		
Under \$1,250	341	637	99	209	307	380	410		
\$1,250 to \$2,499	291	547	146	219	373	402	430		
\$2,500 to \$3,749	385	578	178	290	390	396	421		
\$3,750 to \$4,999	443	608	209	311	263	364	393		
\$5,000 to \$6,249	488	828	248	336	256	383	414		
\$6,250 to \$7,499	646	770	306	520	443	460	497		
\$7,500 to \$8,749	610	891	289	549	518	419	575		
\$8,750 to \$9,999	642	807	315	576	572	450	601		
\$10,000 to \$11,24	684	868	302	585	652	637	675		
\$11,250 to \$12,49	718	862	309	588	655	662	721		
\$12,500 to \$13,74	738	1,060	299	606	662	588	712		
\$13,750 to \$14,99	695	1,070	290	601	661	582	715		
\$15,000 or more	753	1,202	375	678	803	867	926		

Table B-14. Poverty Budget Shares for Food, by Year and Size of Family Unit

	Year						
Size of family unit	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983		
1 person (unrelated individual)	1,228	1,395	1,540	1,634	1,687		
	1,258	1,429	1,576	1,673	1,727		
	1,157	1,314	1,453	1,542	1,592		
2 persons  Householder 15 to 64 years  Householder 65 years and over	1,567	1,779	1,972	2,094	2,161		
	1,619	1,839	2,037	2,162	2,232		
	1,455	1,651	1,833	1,945	2,008		
3 persons	1,921	2,180	2,417	2,564	2,646		
	2,462	2,795	3,096	3,287	3,393		
5 persons	2,912	3,308	3,669	3,895	4,016		
	3,283	3,738	4,150	4,402	4,543		
7 persons (or more <sup>1</sup> )	4,071	4,628	4,703	5,012	5,167		
	(X)	(X)	5,218	5,573	5,723		
9 persons or more	(X)	(X)	6,191	6,566	6,770		

<sup>11979</sup> and 1980.

X Not applicable.

Table B-15. Poverty Bidget Shares for Public or Other Subsidized Rental Housing, by Size of Family Unit

Size of family unit	Expenditure to income ratio
Householder 65 years and over	
1 person	
2 persons or more	.525
Householder under 65 years	
Married-couple family households:	
2 persons	.498
3 persons	.446
4 persons	.384
5 persons	.324
6 persons	.288
7 persons or more	.270
Other family households:	
2 persons	.548
3 persons	.471
4 persons	.401
5 persons	.344
6 persons	.299
7 persons or more	.306
Nonfamily households:	
1 person	.572
2 persons	.522
3 persons or more	.487

Table B-16. Poverty Budget Shares for Medical Benefits, by Size of Family Unit

Size of family unit	Expenditure to income ratio			
1 person (unrelated individual): 15 to 64 years	.044			
2 persons: Householder 15 to 64 years Householder 65 years and over	1 100			
3 persons	.053			
4 persons	.044			
5 persons	.054			
6 persons or more	.048			

## Appendix C. Source and Reliability of Estimates

## **SOURCE OF DATA**

The estimates in this report are based on data obtained during the month of March in the years 1980 to 1984 from the Current Population Survey (CPS) conducted by the Bureau of the Census and from supplementary questions to the CPS. The monthly CPS deals mainly with labor force data for the civilian noninstitutional population. Questions relating to labor force participation are asked about each member 14 years old and over in every sample household. In addition, each March supplementary questions are asked about money income, noncash benefits, and work experience for the previous year. In order to obtain more reliable data for the Spanish origin population, the March CPS samples are enlarged to include all households from the previous November sample which contained at least one sample person of Spanish origin. For this report, persons in the Armed Forces living off post or with their families on post are also included.

The present CPS sample was initially selected from the 1970 census files with coverage in all 50 States and the District of Columbia. The sample is continually updated to reflect new construction. The Spanish supplemental sample adds about 2,500 eligible households.

The following table provides a description of some aspects of the CPS sample designs in use during the referenced data collection periods.

Each year about 4 percent of occupied units were visited but interviews were not obtained because the occupants were not found at home after repeated calls or were unavailable for some other reason.

For a description of the previous CPS sample designs see the detailed reports in the series, Current Population Reports, Series P-60, Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.

The estimation procedure used in this survey involved the inflation of the weighted sample results to independent estimates of the total civilian noninstitutional population of the United States by age, race, and sex. These independent estimates were based on statistics from the 1980 Decennial Census of Population; statistics on births, deaths, immigration and emigration; and statistics on the strength of the Armed Forces. The estimation procedure for the data in the report also involved a further adjustment so that the husband and wife of a household received the same weight.

#### RELIABILITY OF THE ESTIMATES

Since the CPS estimates are based on a sample, they may differ somewhat from the figures that would have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same questionnaire, instructions, and enumerators. There are two types of errors possible in an estimate based on a sample survey—sampling and nonsampling. The standard errors provided for this

## Description of the Current Population Survey (1980-84)

			Housing units eligible <sup>C</sup>		
Time period	Number of sample areas <sup>a</sup>	Sample civil divisions <sup>b</sup>	Interviewed	Not interviewed	
May 1981 to March 1984	629 629	1,148 1,133	57,000 63,000	2,500 3,000	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>These areas were chosen to provide coverage in each State and the District of Columbia.

bincludes counties, independent cities and minor civil divisions in the United States.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup>Monthly averages, excluding supplemental Spanish households.

report primarily indicate the magnitude of the sampling error. They also partially measure the effect of some nonsampling errors in response and enumeration, but do not measure any systematic biases in the data. The full extent of nonsampling error is unknown. Consequently, particular care should be exercised in the interpretation of figures based on a relatively small number of cases or on small differences between estimates.

Nonsampling variability. Nonsampling errors can be attributed to many sources, e.g., inability to obtain information about all cases in the sample, definitional difficulties, differences in the interpretation of questions, inability or unwillingness on the part of the respondents to provide correct information, inability to recall information, errors made in collection such as in recording or coding the data, errors made in processing the data, errors made in estimating values for missing data, and failure to represent all units within the sample (undercoverage).

Undercoverage in the CPS results from missed housing units and missed persons within sample households. Overall undercoverage, as compared to the level of the 1980 Decennial Census, is about 7 percent. It is known that CPS undercoverage varies with age, sex, and race. Generally, undercoverage is larger for males than for females and larger for Blacks and other races combined than for Whites. Ratio estimation to independent age-sex-race population controls partially corrects for the bias due to survey undercoverage. However, biases exist in the estimates to the extent that missed persons in missed households or missed persons in interviewed households have different characteristics from interviewed persons in the same age-sex-race group. Further, the independent population controls used have not been adjusted for undercoverage in the decennial census.

The estimates of income and noncash benefits received (as derived from the March CPS) are subject to underreporting.

Underreporting in household surveys can be attributed to several factors. These include a failure to report benefits received and misclassification of the source of benefits.

For additional information on nonsampling error including the possible impact on CPS when known, refer to Statistical Policy Working Paper 3, An Error Profile: Employment as Measured by the Current Population Survey, Office of Federal Statistical Policy and Standards, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1978 and Technical Paper 40, The Current Population Survey: Design and Methodology, Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Sampling variability. The standard errors given in Tables C-1 through C-4 are primarily measures of sampling variability, that is, of the variation that occurred by chance because a sample rather than the entire population was surveyed. The sample estimate and its standard error enable one to construct confidence intervals — ranges that would include the average result of all possible samples with a known probability. For example, if all possible samples were selected, each of these being surveyed under essentially the same general conditions and using the same sample design, and if an estimate and its standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

- Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.6 standard errors below the estimate to 1.6 standard errors above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples.
- Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from two standard errors below the estimate to two standard errors above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples.

The average estimate derived from all possible samples is or is not contained in any particular computed interval. However,

Table C-1. Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers of Households or Persons: 1979-83

(Numbers in thousands)

Size of estimate	Standard <sup>1</sup> error	Size of estimate	Standard <sup>1</sup> error
75	11	7,500	
100	13	10,000	111
250	21	15 000	127
500	29	15,000	154
1,000		25,000	192
2,000	41	50,000	247
2,000	58	100,000	269
3,000	71	125,000	243
5,000	91	160,000	139

 $<sup>^{</sup>m l}$ These values must be multiplied by the appropriate "f" factor in tables C-3 and C-4 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.

Table C-2. Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages of Households or Persons: 1979-83

Base of estimated	Estimated percentage <sup>1</sup>							
percentage (thousands)	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	25 or 75	50			
75	2.1	3.3	4.5	6.6	7.6			
100	1.8	2.9	3.9	5.7	6.6			
250	1.2	1.8	2.5	3.6	4.1			
500	0.8	1.3	1.8	2.5	2.9			
1,000	0.6	0.9	1.2	1.8	2.1			
2,000	0.4	0.6	0.9	1.3	1.5			
3,000	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.0	1.2			
5,000	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.9			
10,000	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.7			
15,000	0.15	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.5			
25,000	0.12	0.2	- 0 • 2	0.4	0.4			
50,000	0.08	0.13	0.2	0.3	0.3			
100,000	0.06	0.09	0.12	0.2	0.2			
125,000	0.05	0.08	0.11	0.2	0.2			
160,000	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.14	0.2			

lThese values must be multiplied by the appropriate "f" factor in tables C-3 and C-4 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.

for a particular sample, one can say with a specified confidence that the average estimate derived from all possible samples is included in the confidence interval.

Standard errors may also be used to perform hypothesis testing, a procedure for distinguishing between population parameters using sample estimates. The most common types of hypotheses are: 1) the population parameters are identical, versus 2) they are different. An example of this would be comparing the poverty rate for Whites versus the poverty rate for Blacks. Tests may be performed at various levels of significance, where a level of significance is the probability of concluding that the parameters are different when, in fact, they are identical.

All statements of comparison in the text have passed a hypothesis test at the 0.10 level of significance or better, and most have passed a hypothesis test at the 0.05 level of significance or better. This means that, for most differences cited in the text, the estimated difference between parameters is greater than twice the standard error of the difference. For the other differences mentioned, the estimated difference between parameters is between 1.6 and 2.0 times the standard error of the difference. When this is the case, the statement of comparison will be qualified in some way; e.g., by use of the phrase "some evidence."

Note when using small estimates. Summary measures (such as means and percent distributions) are shown only when the base is 75,000 or greater. Because of the large standard errors involved, there is little chance that summary measures would

reveal useful information when computed on a smaller base. Estimated numbers are shown, however, even though the relative standard errors of these numbers are larger than those for corresponding percentages. These smaller estimates are provided primarily to permit such combinations of the categories as serve each user's needs.

### STANDARD ERRORS OF ESTIMATES

Standard error tables and their use. In order to derive standard errors that would be applicable to a large number of estimates and that could be prepared at a moderate cost, a number of approximations were required. Therefore, instead of providing an individual standard error for each estimate, generalized sets of standard errors are provided for various types of characteristics. As a result, the sets of standard errors provided give an indication of the order of magnitude of the standard error of an estimate rather than the precise standard error.

The figures presented in tables C-1 and C-2 are approximations to standard errors of various estimates for households and persons in the United States. To obtain the approximate standard error for a specific characteristic, the appropriate standard error in table C-1 or C-2 must be multiplied by the "f" factor for that characteristic given in tables C-3 or C-4. These factors must be applied to the generalized standard errors in order to adjust for the combined effect of the sample design and the estimating procedure on the value of the characteristic.

Table C-3. "a" and "b" Parameters for Computing Approximate Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers and Percentages of Households and Persons: 1979-83

	Paramete	ers	
Characteristics	a	ь	"f" factor
HOUSEHOLDS			
Total Households		l	
Race and Spanish origin:			
Total or White	-0.000010	1389	0.90
Black and/or other races	-0.000087	1255	0.85
Spanish origin	-0.000020	1422	0.91
South region	-0.000016	1361	0.89
Other regions	-0.000010	1389	0.90
Inside metropolitan and central city areas	-0.000016	2170	1.12
Below the Poverty Level			
Type of Residence:			
Metropolitan	0.000076	1876	1.04
Nonmetropolitan	0.000114	2814	1.28
Region (1979-81):			
Northeast	0.000078	1932	1.06
North Central	0.000079	1951	1.06
South	0.000083	2045	1.09
West	0.000071	1745	1.01
Region (1982-83):			
Northeast	0.000075	1857	1.04
North Central	0.000078	1914	1.05
South	0.000074	1838	1.03
West	0.000064	1576	0.96
Race:			
Total or White	0.000076	1876	1.04
Black and/or other races	0.000076	1876	1.04
Spanish origin	-0.000014	2420	1.19
Type of household, age of householder, size of household,			
work experience of householder, and tenure	0.000076	1876	1.04
PERSONS			
Cotal Persons	-		
Race and Spanish origin:			
Total or White	-0.000017	3500	1.43
Black and/or other races	-0.000017	5020	1.43
Spanish origin	-0.000210	4432	1.60
			,
outh region	-0.000017	3430	1.41
ther regions	-0.000016	3360	1.40
nside metropolitan and central city areas	-0.000020	4253	1.57

Table C-3. "a" and "b" Parameters for Computing Approximate Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers and Percentages of Households and Persons: 1979-83—Continued

	Parame	}	
Characteristics	a	b	"f" factor
Below the Poverty Level			
Region (1979-81): Northeast	-0.000032 -0.000032 -0.000034 -0.000029	8184 3264 8661 7390	2.18 2.19 2.24 2.07
Region (1982-83): Northeast North Central South	-0.000031 -0.000032 -0.000030 -0.000026	7867 8105 7787 6675	2.14 2.17 2.13 1.97
Race: Total or White <sup>1</sup> Black and/or other races <sup>1</sup> Spanish origin <sup>1</sup>	-0.000031 -0.000270 -0.000063	7946 7946 11528	2.15 2.15 2.59
Relationship to and age of family householder	-0.000031	7946	2.15

<sup>1</sup> For nonmetropolitan residence categories multiply the "a" and "b" parameters by 1.5 and the "f" factor by 1.22.

Standard errors for intermediate values not shown in the generalized tables of standard errors may be approximated by linear interpolation.

Two parameters (denoted "a" and "b") are used to calculate standard errors for each type of characteristic; they are presented in tables C-3 and C-4. These parameters were used to calculate the standard errors in tables C-1 and C-2 and to calculate the "f" factors in tables C-3 and C-4. They also may be used to calculate directly the standard errors for estimated numbers and percentages. Methods for computation are given in the following sections.

Standard errors of estimated numbers. The approximate standard error,  $\sigma_{\chi}$ , of an estimated number shown in this report can be obtained in two ways. It may be obtained by use of the formula

$$\sigma_{x} = f\sigma$$
 (1)

where f is the appropriate "f" factor from table C-3 or C-4 and  $\sigma$  is the standard error on the estimate obtained by interpolation from table C-1. Alternatively, it may be approximated by the following formula, from which the standard errors in table C-1

were calculated. Use of this formula will provide more accurate results than the use of formula (1) above.

$$\sigma_{x} = \sqrt{ax^{2} + bx} \tag{2}$$

Here x is the size of the estimate and a and b are the parameters in tables C-3 and C-4 associated with the particular type of characteristic.

Standard errors of estimated percentages. The reliability of an estimated percentage, computed using sample data for both numerator and denominator, depends upon both the size of the percentage and the size of the total upon which this percentage is based. Estimated percentages are relatively more reliable than the corresponding estimates of the numerators of the percentages, particularly if the percentages are 50 percent or more. When the numerator and denominator of the percentage are in different categories, use the "f" factor or parameters from table C-3 or C-4 indicated by the numerator. The approximate standard error,  $\sigma_{\{x,p\}}$ , of an estimated percentage can be obtained by use of the formula

$$\sigma_{(x,p)} = f\sigma$$
 (3)

Table C-4. Parameters for Estimated Numbers and Percentages of Persons, by Poverty Status, Age, Sex, Race, and Spanish Origin: 1979-83

	Below the poverty level						"f"	
Characteristic	All races and White		Black and other races		Spanish origin		factors	
	а	ь	а	ь	а	Ъ	1	2
Male	-0.000064 -0.000060	7946 7946	-0.000577 -0.000508	7946 7946	-0.000130 -0.000123	11528 11528	2.15 2.15	2.59 2.59
Under 14 years	-0.000052 -0.000019	6057 3017	-0.000052 -0.000178	6057 3017	-0.000052 -0.000032	6057 4520	1.88	1.88
14 to 24 years	-0.000077 -0.000098	3017 3017	-0.000542 -0.000939	3017 3017	-0.000122 -0.000169	4520 4520	1.32	1.62
35 to 44 years	-0.000134 -0.000070	3017 3017	-0.001253 -0.000765	3017 3017	-0.000230 -0.000117	4520 4520	1.32	1.62 1.62
65 years and over	-0.000139	3017	-0.001683	3017	-0.000153	4520	1.32	1.62

luse these factors for total or any race.

In this formula, f is the appropriate "f" factor from table C-3 or C-4 and  $\sigma$  is the standard error on the estimate from table C-2. Alternatively, it may be approximated by the following formula, from which the standard errors in table C-2 were calculated. Use of this formula will give more accurate results than use of formula (3) above.

$$\sigma_{(x,p)} = \sqrt{\frac{b}{x} \cdot p (100 - p)}$$
 (4)

Here x is the size of the subclass of persons or households which is the base of the percentage, p is the percentage (0 , and b is the parameter in table C-3 or C-4 associated with the particular type of characteristic in the numerator of the percentage.

Illustration of the use of standard error tables. Table B of this report shows that there were 35,266,000 persons below the poverty level in 1983. Using table C-1 and the "f" factor of 2.15, the standard error of an estimate of this size is approximately 461,000. Alternately, using the parameters in table C-3 and formula (2) gives a more accurate estimate of the standard error of

$$492,000 \doteq \sqrt{(-0.000031)(35,266,000)^2 + (7946)(35,266,000)}$$

A 90-percent confidence interval as shown by the data is from 34,479,000 to 36,053,000. Therefore, a conclusion that in 1983 the average estimate of the number of persons below the

poverty level, derived from all possible samples, lies within a range computed in this way would be correct for roughly 90 percent of all possible samples. Similarly, we could conclude with 95-percent confidence that in 1983 the average estimate of the number of persons below the poverty level, derived from all possible samples, lies within the interval from 34,282,000 to 36,250,000 (using twice the standard error).

Table B of this report also shows that 35.7 percent of Black persons were below the poverty level in 1983. Table 2 of this report displays the total number of Black persons in 1983 as 27,668,000. Table C-2 shows the standard error of 35.7 percent on a base of 27,668,000 to be approximately 0.8 percent. (An "f" factor of 2.15 was applied here.)

Alternatively, this standard error could have been derived by using the "b" parameter for Blacks from table C-3 in formula (4).

$$0.8 = \sqrt{\frac{7946}{27,668,000}} \quad (35.7) (64.3)$$

Consequently, a 90-percent confidence interval for the percentage of Black persons below the poverty level in 1983, as shown by these data, is from 34.4 to 37.0 percent, and a 95-percent confidence interval is from 34.1 to 37.3 percent.

Comparisons of estimates. Estimates are often compared by computing their difference or ratio. The two sections which follow, "Standard Error of a Difference" and "Standard Error of a Ratio" give general procedures for the computation of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Use these factors for Spanish origin

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ Use these parameters for work experience and employment status data for persons.

standard errors when making comparisons. This report also discusses several different estimates of poverty when noncash benefits are included as income. The standard errors needed for the comparison of such estimates are discussed in the section "Comparisons of Alternative Poverty Estimates for the Same Population."

Standard error of a difference. For a difference between two sample estimates, the standard error is approximately equal to

$$\sigma_{(x-y)} = \sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 - 2\rho \sigma_x \sigma_y}$$
 (5)

where  $\sigma_{\rm X}$  and  $\sigma_{\rm Y}$  are the standard errors of the estimates x and y (from tables C-1 through C-4). The estimates can be numbers, percents, ratios, etc. The correlation coefficient  $\rho$  can be determined from table C-5 for year-to-year comparisons for poverty estimates and proportions (assuming the same yearly poverty definition); for other comparisons assume that  $\rho$  equals zero. Making this assumption will result in accurate estimates of standard errors for the difference between two estimates of the same characteristic in two different areas, or for the difference between separate and uncorrelated characteristics in the same area. If, however, there is a high positive (negative) correlation between the two characteristics, the assumption of zero correlation will overstate (understate) the true standard error.

**Illustration of the computation of the standard error of a difference in estimates.** Table B of this report shows that the number of persons below the poverty level in 1983 was 35,266,000, and in 1982 the figure was 34,398,000. The apparent difference is 868,000. The standard error on the 35,266,000 persons below the poverty level, computed from formula (2), is 492,000, and similarly, the standard error on the 34,398,000 persons is 486,000. From table C-5 we obtain the correlation coefficient,  $\rho = 0.45$ . The standard error associated with the estimated difference of 868,000 is:

$$513.000 \pm \sqrt{(492.000)^2 + (486.000)^2 - 2(0.45)(492.000)(486.000)}$$

This means that the 90-percent confidence interval around the 868,000 difference is from 47,000 to 1,689,000, i.e., 868,000

 $\pm$  821,000. A conclusion that the average estimate of the difference derived from all possible samples lies within a range computed in this way would be correct for roughly 90 percent of all possible samples. Thus, we can conclude with 90-percent confidence that the number of persons below the poverty level in 1983 is higher than the figure for 1982. However, the 95-percent confidence interval around the 868,000 difference is 868,000  $\pm$ 1,026,000, i.e., from -158,000 to 1,894,000. Because this interval contains the value 0 (zero) we cannot conclude with 95-percent confidence that the number of persons below the poverty level in 1983 is higher than the figure for 1982. These data show there is some evidence of a difference between the two numbers.

Standard error of a ratio. Certain mean values for persons in households listed in the tables of this report were calculated as the ratio of two numbers. For example, the mean number of persons per household is calculated as

Standard errors for these means may be approximated as shown below. There are two cases to consider. In either case, the denominator y represents a count of households of a certain class, and the numerator x represents a count of persons with the characteristic under consideration who are members of these households.

Case 1. There is at least one person having the characteristic in every household of the class; for example, the mean number of persons per household, or the mean number of persons per household with a male householder. For ratios of this kind, the standard error is approximated by the following formula.

$$\sigma_{x/y} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^2 \left[ \left(\frac{\sigma_y}{y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_x}{x}\right)^2 - 2\rho \left(\frac{\sigma_x}{x}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma_y}{y}\right) \right]}$$
(6)

The standard error of the estimated number of households,  $\sigma_{\rm y}$ , and the standard error of the estimated number of persons with the characteristic in those households,  $\sigma_{\rm v}$ , may be obtained from

Table C-5. Year-to-Year Correlation Coefficients for Poverty Estimates of Households and Persons: 1979-83<sup>1</sup>

Characteristic	Households	Persons		
Total White Black and/or other races Spanish origin	0.35 0.30 0.35 0.55	0.45 0.35 0.45 0.65		

lfor estimates two or more years apart assume the correlation to be zero.

formula (1) or (2). In formula (6),  $\rho$  represents the correlation coefficient between the numerator and the denominator of this estimate. In the above example, and for other ratios of this kind, use 0.7 as an estimate of  $\rho$ .

Case 2: The number of persons having the characteristic in a given household may be 0, 1, 2, 3, or more, for example, the mean number of persons under 18 years of age per household. For ratios of this kind the standard error is approximated by formula (6) but  $\rho$  is assumed to be zero. If  $\rho$  is actually positive (negative), then this procedure will provide an overestimate (underestimate) of the standard error of the ratio.

Comparisons of alternate poverty estimates for the same population. As discussed in this report, several estimates of poverty may be obtained for any given population by using different income concepts and valuation techniques in determining poverty status. The most meaningful comparisons between two measures of poverty are those in which either the income concept or the valuation technique is fixed, e.g., a comparison between a poverty estimate determined by income and the market value of food and housing benefits and a poverty estimate determined by income and the market value of food, housing, and medical benefits. All comparisons presented in this section make this assumption.

Standard errors for within-year differences between poverty estimates. In a given year the standard error for the difference of two poverty estimates (numbers or percentages) is given by the formula:

$$\sigma_{(x,y)} = \sigma_{d} \tag{7}$$

where d = |x-y|, the absolute difference between the two estimates x and y, and  $\sigma_d$  is computed by using formula (1) or (2) using d as the size of the estimate or by using formula (3) or (4) using d as the estimated percentage.

Standard errors for differences of yearly change between poverty estimates. In comparing year-to-year changes between two poverty estimates (e.g., change in poverty from 1982 to 1983 using cash income alone in determining poverty versus the change in poverty using income and food and housing benefits in determining poverty), the standard error of a difference of differences is needed.

If  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  ( $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ ) are the x(y) estimates in years 1 and 2 and  $d = (x_1 - x_2) - (y_1 - y_2)$  then

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{d}} = \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbf{d}_1}^2 + \sigma_{\mathbf{d}_2}^2 \cdot 2\rho \, \sigma_{\mathbf{d}_1} \, \sigma_{\mathbf{d}_2}}$$
(8)

where for i=1 and 2,  $d_i = |x_i - y_i|$  is the absolute difference for the estimates in year i. The variance of  $d_i$ ,  $\sigma_{d_i}^2$  is obtained using formula (7) and  $\rho$  is obtained from table C-5.

Standard error of the ratio of an alternative poverty estimate to the official poverty estimate. When computing the ratio of the number of persons in poverty using an alternative poverty definition divided by the number of persons in poverty using the official poverty definition (only income included) the standard error of the ratio can be approximated by the formula:

$$\sigma_{x/y} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^2 \left[ \left(\frac{\sigma_x}{x}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\sigma_y}{y}\right)^2 \right]}$$
 (9)

where  $\sigma_{x}$  and  $\sigma_{y}$  are the estimates of the standard errors of the estimates x and y as determined by formula (1) or (2).

Illustration of the computation of a standard error when comparing alternate definitions of poverty. Table C of this report shows that the number of people below the poverty level as determined by two definitions of poverty are as listed in the following table:

Method	1982	1983	Yearly Increase
Official Definition	34,398,000	35,266,000	868,000
including food/housing	30,688,000	31,903,000	1,215,000

The data show in the period from 1982 to 1983 that the apparent difference in the increase in poverty between the two methods is 347,000.

Using formula (8) we have

$$d_1 \doteq 3,710,000,^1 \sigma_{d_1} \doteq 170,000,^2$$
  
 $d_2 \doteq 3,363,000, \ \sigma_{d_2} \doteq 162,000,$ 

and p = 0.45 so that the standard error associated with 347,000 is

 $174,000 = \sqrt{(170,000)^2 + (162,000)^2 + 2(0.45)(170,000)(162,000)}$ 

A 90-percent confidence interval around 347,000 is from 69,000 to 625,000. Thus, we can conclude with 90-percent confidence that between 1982 and 1983 more people have been

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>d_{1} = 34,398,000 - 30,688,000$ 

 $<sup>^{2}\</sup>sigma_{d_{1}} = \sqrt{(-0.000031)(3,710,000)^{2} + 7946(3,710,000)}$ 

added to poverty status by using method 2 than by using method 1. However, the 95-percent confidence interval around the 347,000 difference is 347,000  $\pm$  348,000, i.e., from -1,000 to 695,000. Because this interval contains the value 0 (zero) we cannot conclude with 95-percent confidence that between 1982 and 1983 method 2 has added more people to poverty

status than method 1. These data show there is some evidence of difference between the two numbers.

Standard errors of estimated means. Standard errors for estimated means shown in this report can be obtained by calling Population Division of the Census Bureau.

## Appendix D. Program Descriptions and Data Collection

Appendix D contains brief descriptions of each public in-kind transfer program covered in the March CPS, a description of the questions used to collect the data, and an evaluation of the data quality. The description of each program begins with a statement of program objectives and is followed by general comments regarding program characteristics, eligibility, and so forth. Next is a review of the survey questions and the limitations associated with the question wording and design.

#### **FOOD STAMPS**

The Food Stamp Act of 1977 defines this Federally funded program as one intended to "permit low-income households to obtain a more nutritious diet." (From title XIII of P.L. 95-113, The Food Stamp Act of 1977, declaration of policy.) Food purchasing power is increased by providing eligible households with coupons which can be used to purchase food. The Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) administers the Food Stamp program through State and local welfare offices. The Food Stamp program is the major national income support program to which all low-income and low-resource households, regardless of household characteristics, are eligible.

The Food Stamp Act was amended by the 1981 Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act which changed the criteria used to determine food stamp eligibility (P.L. 97-35, title I, subtitle A). As of October 1, 1981, households without an elderly or disabled member must have gross monthly income below 130 percent of the Federal poverty level. Previously, eligibility was based on "countable" income (gross income less specified deductions for shelter, medical expenses, child care, etc.) so, e.g., a household with a gross income of twice the poverty guideline and substantial specified deductions could have been eligible for food stamps. The asset (resources) limit was \$1,750 per household until July 1980, when it was changed to \$1,500. For households of two or more persons with at least one member 60 or over, the asset limit was \$3,000. This \$3,000 limit has not changed since 1979. The questions on participation in the Food Stamp program in the March CPS were designed to identify households in which one or more of the current members received food stamps during the calendar year. Once a food stamp household was identified, a question was asked to determine the number of current household members covered by food stamps during the year. Questions were also asked about the number of months food stamps were received and the total face value of all food stamps received during that period.

#### **SCHOOL LUNCHES**

The National School Lunch program is designed "to help safeguard the health and well-being of the Nation's children by assisting the States in providing an adequate supply of foods" (P.L. 79-396, the National School Lunch Act of 1946) for all children at moderate cost. Additional assistance is provided for children determined by local school officials to be unable to pay the "full established" price for lunches. Like the Food Stamp program, the National School Lunch program is administered by the Food and Nutrition Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture through State educational agencies or through regional USDA nutrition services for some nonprofit private schools.

All students eating lunches prepared at participating schools pay less than the total cost of the lunches. Some students pay the "full established" price for lunch (which itself is subsidized), while others pay a "reduced" price for lunch, and still others receive a "free" lunch. Until January 1981, children were eligible for free school lunches if their household's income was below 125 percent of the poverty guidelines or reduced-price lunches if their household's income was between 125 and 195 percent of the poverty guidelines. The term "income" basically followed the Census Bureau definition but excluded certain Federal benefits and specified "hardship" expenses. Effective January 1, 1981, the hardship exclusion was replaced by a standard deduction. (Ref. Federal Register, Vol. 46, No. 11, January 16, 1981.) Beginning August 13, 1981, the income definition was amended to a gross income concept with the standard deduction being eliminated. At the same time, the income eligibility criteria were changed to 130 percent for free lunches and to 185 percent for reduced-price lunches. (Ref. Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981, P.L. 97-35, title VIII.)

The questions on the March CPS provide a limited amount of data for the School Lunch program. Questions concerning the program were designed to identify the number of household members 5 to 18 years old who "usually" ate hot lunches during the year. This defined the universe of household members receiving this noncash benefit. This approach was necessary

because the majority of children benefit indirectly; i.e., they pay full-established price but are not aware that these lunches are subsidized. A second question identified the number of members receiving free or reduced-price lunches.

#### **PUBLIC OR OTHER SUBSIDIZED HOUSING**

There are numerous programs designed to "remedy the unsafe and unsanitary housing conditions and the acute shortage of decent, safe, and sanitary dwellings for low-income families" (U.S. Housing Act of 1937, declaration of policy). Several Federal, State, and local agencies administer these programs. Some are funded by USDA (for rural families) or largely by Statelocal agencies, but most are administered by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Among the most important HUD rental housing programs are Low Rent Public Housing and Sections 8, 236, and 101 (rent supplements) of various U.S. Housing Acts.

Low Rent Public Housing projects are owned, managed, and administered by a local housing authority. Partial financing may be provided by the State or HUD. Participation in public housing is determined by two factors: program eligibility and the availability of housing. Income standards for initial and continuing occupancy vary by local housing authority, although the limits are constrained by Federal guidelines. Rental charges, which, in turn, define net benefits, are set by a Federal statute not to exceed 30 percent of adjusted monthly money income. A recipient household can either be a family or two or more related persons or an individual who is handicapped, elderly, or displaced by urban renewal or natural disaster. Other HUD programs provide similar types of housing assistance to low-income families and individuals.

Two of the more common types of programs in which Federal, State, and local funds are used to subsidize private sector rental housing are rent supplement and interest reduction plans. Under a rent supplement plan (e.g., Sections 8 and 101), the difference between the "fair market" rent and the rent charged to the tenant is paid to the owner by a government agency. Under an interest reduction program (e.g., Section 236), the amount of interest paid on the mortgage by the owner is reduced so that subsequent savings can be passed along to low-income tenants in the form of lower rent changes.

There were two questions dealing with public and low-cost rental housing on the March CPS supplement questionnaire. The first question identified residence in a housing unit owned by a public agency. The second question identified beneficiaries who were not living in public housing projects but who were paying lower rent because of a government subsidy.

#### **MEDICAID**

The Medicaid program is designed to furnish medical assistance for needy families with dependent children and for aged, blind, or disabled individuals whose incomes and resources are insufficient to meet the costs of necessary medical services.

The program is administered by State agencies through grants from the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) of the Department of Health and Human Services.

Medicaid is, for the most part, a categorical program with complex eligibility rules which vary from State to State. There are two basic groups of eligible individuals; the categorically eligible and the medically needy. The major categorically eligible groups are all Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) recipients and most Supplemental Security Income (SSI) recipients.<sup>2</sup> Other categorically eligible groups are (1) those who meet basic State cash assistance eligibility rules (the aged, blind, or disabled; needy single parents with children; and, in some States, needy unemployed parents with children who are not currently receiving money payments) and (2) needy persons meeting categorical eligibility standards who are institutionalized for medical reasons (e.g., low-income elderly persons in nursing homes). Institutionalized persons are not included in the CPS universe and, therefore, are not reflected in the CPS recipiency statistics.

In 30 States, Medicaid coverage is also extended to the medically needy: persons meeting categorical age, sex, or disability criteria and having money incomes and assets which exceed eligibility levels for cash assistance but are not sufficient to meet the cost of medical care. Families with large medical expenses relative to their incomes and assets may also meet medically needy eligibility standards by "spending down" (i.e., having high enough medical expenses) to obtain eligibility.

The Medicaid question on the March CPS attempted to identify all persons 15 years old and over who were covered by Medicaid at any time during the year. The term "covered" means enrolled in the Medicaid program, i.e., had a Medicaid medical assistance card or incurred medical bills which were paid for by Medicaid. In order to be counted, the person did not necessarily have to receive medical care paid for by Medicaid.

After data collection and creation of an initial microdata file, further refinements were made to assign Medicaid coverage to children. In this procedure, all children under 21 years old in families were assumed to be covered by Medicaid if either the householder or spouse reported being covered by Medicaid.<sup>3</sup> AFDC recipients in all States and SSI recipients living in the 36 States which legally require Medicaid coverage of all SSI recipients were also assigned coverage. The data shown in this report exclude children covered by Medicaid in households where no adult member was covered. Because there are no administrative data which separately identify these recipients, the extent of the bias is unknown.

#### **MEDICARE**

The Medicare program consists of two separate but complementary health plans to provide adequate medical care for the aged and disabled. The Hospital Insurance Plan (Part A) is

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Taken from title XIX of the 1965 Amendments to P.L. 89-97, The Social Security Act, "Grants to States for Medical Assistance Programs," declaration of policy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In 1981, Public Law 97-35 made several changes in AFDC eligibility determinations under the Medicaid program. Changes in treatment of earnings and other income and resources have resulted in some persons being dropped not only from the AFDC rolls but also off of automatic Medicaid coverage. Some of these individuals may be able to regain coverage if their State offers medically needy protection; however, the range of available benefits may be less.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This procedure was required mainly because the Medicaid coverage question was asked only for persons 15 years old and over.

designed to provide basic protection against the costs of hospital and related post-hospital services. In addition to the elderly, this plan also covers virtually all persons under 65 years old who receive Social Security or Railroad Retirement benefits based on long-term disability. Part A is financed jointly by employers and employees through Social Security payroll deductions. Qualified persons 65 years old and over who are not otherwise eligible for Part A benefits may pay premiums directly to obtain this coverage. The Supplemental Medical Insurance Plan (Part B) is a voluntary plan which builds upon the hospital insurance protection provided by the basic plan and is available to all Medicare Part A beneficiaries. It provides insurance protection covering

physicians' and surgeons' services and a variety of medical and other health services received either in hospitals or on an ambulatory basis. It is financed through monthly preminum payments (about \$8.50 per month in 1979 and \$12.20 in 1983) by each enrollee and further subsidized by Federal general revenue funds.

The Medicare question on the March CPS attempted to identify all persons 15 years old and over who were covered by Medicare at any time during the year. The term "covered" means enrolled in the Medicare program. In order to be counted, the persons did not necessarily have to receive medical care paid for by Medicare.

## **Appendix E. Definitions and Explanations**

Population coverage. This report includes the civilian noninstitutional population of the United States (the 50 States and the District of Columbia) and members of the Armed Forces living off post or with their families on post but excludes all other members of the Armed Forces.

Current poverty definition. Families and unrelated individuals are classified as being above or below the poverty level using the poverty index originated at the Social Security Administration in 1964 and revised by Federal Interagency Committees in 1969 and 1980. The poverty index is based solely on money income and does not reflect the fact that many low-income persons receive noncash benefits such as food stamps, Medicaid, and public housing. The index is based on the Department of

Agriculture's 1961 Economy Food Plan and reflects the different consumption requirements of families based on their size and composition. It was determined from the Department of Agriculture's 1955 Survey of Food Consumption that families of three or more persons spend approximately one-third of their income on food; the poverty level for these families was, therefore, set at three times the cost of the economy food plan. For smaller families and persons living alone, the cost of the economy food plan was multiplied by factors that were slightly higher in order to compensate for the relatively larger fixed expenses of these smaller households. The poverty thresholds are updated every year to reflect changes in the CPI. The average weighted poverty thresholds for 1979 to 1983 are shown in table E-1. The average annual CPI for 1947 through 1983 is shown in table E-2.

Table E-1. Weighted Average Poverty Thresholds: 1979-83

Size of family unit	1983	1982	1981	1980	1979
l person (unrelated individual)	\$.5,061	\$ 4,901	\$ 4,620	\$ 4,184	\$ 3,683
15 to 64 years	5,180	5,019	4,729	4,286	3,773
65 years and over	4,775	4,626	4,359	3,941	3,472
2 persons	6,483	6,281	5,917	5,338	4,702
Householder 15 to 64 years	6,697	6,487	6,111	5,518	4,858
Householder 65 years and over	6,023	5,836	5,498	4,954	4,364
3 persons	7,938	. 7,693	7,250	6,539	5,763
4 persons	10,178	9,862	9,287	8,385	7,386
5 persons	12,049	11,684	11,007	9,923	8,736
6 persons	13,630	13,207	12,449	11,215	9,849
7 persons (or more)	15,500	15,036	14,110	13,883	12,212
8 persons	17,170	16,719	15,655	(X)	(X)
9 persons or more	20,310	19,698	18,572	(X)	(X)

X Not applicable.

<sup>11979</sup> and 1980.

Table E-2. Annual Average Consumer Price Index (CPI): 1947-83

(1977 = 100)

Year	CPI	Year	CPI	Year	CPI	Year	CPI
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	39.7 39.3 39.7 42.9 44.6 44.1 44.4	1956		1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973	52.1 53.6 55.1 57.4 60.5 64.1 66.8 69.0 73.3	1974	81.4 88.8 93.9 100.0 107.6 119.8 136.0 150.1 159.3

Source: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

The poverty definition was modified slightly in 1981 based on recommendations made by the Federal Interagency Committee. These revisions (1) eliminated distinctions made between families with a female householder, no husband present, and all other families; (2) eliminated the distinctive poverty levels used for nonfarm and farm residence categories; and (3) expanded the matrix of poverty levels to include eight-person families, and nine-or-more person families that previously had been limited to seven persons or more.

An evaluation of the effect of this change showed that in 1980 the estimated poverty rate was 13.2 percent based on the revised definition compared to 13.0 percent using the definition prior to revision.

Money income. Total money income is the sum of the amounts received from wages and salaries, self-employment income (including losses), Social Security, Supplemental Security Income, public assistance, interest, dividends, rent, royalties, estates or trusts, veterans' payments, unemployment and workers' compensation, private and government retirement and disability pensions, alimony, child support, and any other source of money income which was regularly received. Capital gains (or losses) and lump sum or one-time payments such as life insurance settlements are excluded.

Underreporting. As in most household surveys, estimates from the March CPS of the number of money income recipients and the total amount of money income received are somewhat less than comparable estimates derived from independent sources, such as the Bureau of Economic Analysis, Social Security Administration, and Veterans Administration. The difference between the survey estimate and the independent estimate is generally termed "underreporting." Underreporting tends to be more pronounced for income sources such as public assistance and welfare, unemployment compensation, and property income (interest, dividends, and net rental income). Estimates of income from wages and salaries tend to have less underreporting than most income types. For further details concerning the reporting of cash income and noncash benefits, see appendix F.

Family. The term "family" refers to a group of two or more persons related by blood, marriage, or adoption and residing together; all such persons are considered members of the same family. Thus, if the son of the householder and the son's wife are in the household, they are treated as part of the householder's family. However, a lodger and his wife not related to the householder or an unrelated servant and his wife are considered as additional families, not a part of the householder's family. These unrelated subfamilies are not included in the count of total families.

Unrelated individuals. The term "unrelated individuals" refers to persons 15 years old and over (other than inmates of institutions) who are not living with any relatives. An unrelated individual may (1) constitute a one-person household, (2) be part of a household including one or more families or other unrelated individuals, or (3) reside in group quarters (such as a rooming house). Thus, a widow living by herself or with one or more other persons not related to her, a lodger not related to the householder or to anyone else in the household, and a servant living in an employer's household with no relatives are examples of unrelated individuals.

# Appendix F. Underreporting of Cash Income and Noncash Benefits

This appendix discusses some important aspects of underreporting and its measurement and presents some estimates of underreporting for the year 1982. The general survey phenomenon that is commonly termed underreporting actually refers to the tendency of household surveys to underestimate the number of income or noncash benefit recipients and/or the amount of income or benefits received. There are three main causes for underreporting. These are failure to report receipt of the income type, underreporting of the amount received, and misclassification of the income type received.

Accurately measuring the extent of underreporting of cash income and noncash benefits is difficult for many of the income types and noncash benefit programs. There are two main components of measuring underreporting: the number of income or noncash benefit recipients and the total amount of income or benefits received. Measuring the survey undercount of recipients for the March CPS is extremely difficult because independent estimates (benchmarks or controls) for the CPS noninstitutional, "ever-received during the year" recipient concept are difficult to validate. In addition, some of the administrative sources required for the derivation of independent estimates have significant errors themselves.

The derivation of accurate underreporting estimates for amounts of income or noncash benefits is easier but still not without similar problems. In general, better administrative data are available on the annual amount of benefits received, or income earned, than recipients. Some of the more important problems associated with development of the independent controls for amounts are adjusting independent estimates to the CPS noninstitutional population, significant differences between alternate sources of independent estimates, especially for self-employment income, interest, dividends, and rents, and periodic revisions to the sources of independent estimates that delay availability of data and significantly alter estimates of underreporting. In the case of noncash benefits, the face value of food stamps was the only noncash benefit amount collected.

Shown in table F-1 are estimates of underreporting for amounts of cash income for 1982.

Estimates of the extent of underreporting for most noncash benefits are less well defined. Following are discussions of the underreporting for each benefit type. Food stamps. The March CPS estimate for the face value of food stamps received in 1982 was about \$7.1 billion, 72 percent of the independent estimate derived for that year. The 21.2 million recipient (persons covered) estimate for 1982 compares to a 27.3 million independent estimate of recipients. Since this independent estimate was developed using USDA monthly person recipiency counts and average months of participation as reported in the CPS, it is difficult to validate its accuracy.

School lunches. The March CPS data for 1982 show 11.2 million children usually eating free or reduced-price school lunches and 16.5 million usually eating full-price school lunches. Since independent estimates on the "ever-participated" universe are not available for this group, comparisons of the CPS estimates with peak monthly average daily participation have been made. These peak figures were 11.1 million for free or reduced-price lunches and 11.3 million for full-price lunches. The CPS estimate is 100 percent of the peak monthly average for free or reduced-price. The CPS estimate of full-price lunch participants was 146 percent of the peak monthly figure. The obvious conceptual differences between the CPS and USDA figures make these comparisons difficult to interpret.

Public or other subsidized housing. In 1982 the March CPS estimate for the number of households residing in public or other subsidized rental housing was 3.2 million. An independent estimate was derived by summing housing units in (1) low-income public housing, (2) Section 8 (including Section 202), (3) rent supplements (Section 101), and (4) Section 236. This summing yielded a figure of 3.3 million. While the CPS estimate was about 95 percent of the independent figure, it is likely that the survey estimate contains some units, such as student or military housing, that were not intended to be counted. The magnitude of this problem is probably small, but unknown.

Medicare. The independent estimate for persons covered by Medicare is probably the most reliable of all independent estimates. In 1982, this figure was 27.3 million persons. This compares to a survey estimate of 27.5 million, 101 percent of the control. The survey count probably contains some persons

Table F-1. Comparisons of CPS Aggregate Money Income in 1982 With Independently Derived Estimates, by Income Type

(Billions of dollars)

Source of income	Independent estimate	CPS estimate	CPS as a percent of independent
Total Wages and salaries Self-employment Social security 1 Supplemental security income. Aid to families with dependent children 2 Interest, dividends, and rental income Veterans payments Unemployment compensation Worker's compensation Private, government, and military pensions	2,273.5	2,029.1	89.2
	1,542.3	1,516.9	98.4
	99.6	115.6	116.1
	145.2	135.1	93.1
	8.5	6.6	77.6
	12.9	9.8	76.4
	314.1	139.0	44.3
	13.8	8.7	63.0
	25.4	20.0	78.5
	13.4	5.9	44.2
	98.4	71.4	72.5

lIncludes Railroad Retirement Benefits.

<sup>2</sup>Includes general assistance.

covered by Medicaid that report coverage under Medicare by mistake. The magnitude of this misreporting problem is not known.

Medicaid. While the previous technical paper contained figures labeled independent estimates, the derivation of these estimates used a combination of administrative counts for persons "ever receiving" benefits and the March CPS "ever covered" figures.

Because the derivation of the independent estimates should not be based, even partially, on the survey data, this comparison has not been made here. The CPS estimate of 18.9 million for 1982 was about 93 percent of the "unduplicated" administative figure of 20.4 million persons "ever receiving" benefits available from the HCFA. This HCFA figure has not been adjusted for decedents or the institutionalized population and does not include persons who were covered by Medicaid but did not receive benefits in 1982.