### THE SURVEY OF INCOME AND PROGRAM PARTICIPATION

How long do early career decisions follow women? The impact of industry and firm size history on the gender and motherhood wage gaps

No. 264

Holly Monti, Lori Reeder, Martha Stinson U.S. Census Bureau

U.S. Department of Commerce U.S. CENSUS BUREAU

How long do early career decisions follow women? The impact of industry and firm size history on the gender and motherhood wage gaps

Holly Monti, Lori Reeder, Martha Stinson SOLE Annual Meeting May 3, 2014



### Persistence of the Gender-Wage Gap

- The convergence of male and female wages has slowed.
  - Ratio of female/male median weekly earnings of full-time wage and salary workers is still only 81.7 in 2013 (CPS data, BLS time series).
- What choices are women making early in their careers that affect them into middleage?
- Can a more complete accounting of a woman's work history with respect to types of jobs held account for some of this continuing difference?



### Motherhood Wage Gap

- Motherhood (or family) wage gap between 5 and 20%.
- Women's labor force attachment related to fertility decisions.
- Work history could explain motherhood wage gap as well:
  - Mothers may choose industries/occupations with more flexibility and non-wage benefits.



# Why might past job characteristics be related to current wages?

- Men/women and mothers/non-mothers begin their careers in different industries and the return to work experience varies by industry.
- Men might work for different sizes of employers early in their careers and the return to work experience varies by firm size.
- Men change jobs more often early in their careers, thus arriving at a "career" job faster.
- Once men arrive at a "career" job, they accumulate longer tenure.



### Methods

- Sample of men and women surveyed at age 40+
  - Use administrative tax data to look back on their working lives (age 22-40+)
  - Summarize work history by calculating:
    - Percent of working years with positive earnings
    - Percent of working years spent in different industries
    - Percent of working years spent in firms of different sizes
    - Job counts by age
    - Job counts by tenure category
- How much of the wage differential is explained by differences in observed characteristics?
  - Use Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition of differences in average wages in mid-forties
  - Compare effect of demographics, current job characteristics, and work history characteristics



### **Data Sources**

- Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP)
  - 2004, 2008 panels
  - Sample of almost 20,000 individuals born between 1956 and 1968
  - Links to administrative earnings by SSN
- Detailed Earnings Record (DER) from W-2 Tax Records
  - Annual earnings from 1978-2009, by employer
  - Links to Census Bureau Firm Data using EIN
- Business Register (BR)
  - Master list of all businesses operating in the U.S. by year
  - Contains industry and firm size
  - Links to LBD by common firm identifier
- Longitudinal Business Database (LBD)
  - A longitudinally edited and standardized version of the BR
  - Contains longitudinal industry codes standardized to 2007 NAICS



### Descriptive Results: Differences in Male/Female Work Histories

- Industry Work History Summary:
  - Different industry sector employment patterns exist for men and women at age 25 and these differences are persistent.
  - Women more often than men in retail and food/accommodation sectors at age 25 and age 40.
- Firm Size Work History Summary:
  - Men work for smaller firms at age 25 relative to women
  - Women spend more time in larger firms
  - Distribution across firm size converges by age 40, because men move to larger firms and become more similar to women.
- Job count history
  - Men hold more jobs earlier in their careers. By age 30, they have held on average 1.25 more jobs than women
  - Women catch up by age 40 except for right tail of the distribution; having a very high numbers of employers remains predominantly a male phenomenon.





#### Figure 8: Average Weekly Earnings by Major NAICS Sector for 1990, 2004, and 2009

Source: Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. All wages are in constant 2009 dollars.



### Men and Women Industry Distribution over Time



#### Summary of Oaxaca-Blinder Regression

#### **Decomposition Male-Female Wage Differences**

| Male Average Log Wage     | 3.0726*** |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| Female Average Log Wage   | 2.8387*** |
| Difference                | 0.2339*** |
| Difference in Observables | 0.1373*** |

#### **Differences in Observables by Component**

| SIPP Job Characteristics  |                  | 0.1010*** |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| % Years Positive Earnings |                  | 0.0310*** |
| Work History:             |                  | 0.0035    |
|                           | % Industry Years | 0.0396*** |
|                           | Current Sector % | -0.0346*  |



### Descriptive Work Histories of Mothers/Non-mothers

- Industry Work History Summary:
  - Non-mothers more likely to be in retail, information, and accommodations/food at every age, while mothers more often non-earners
- Firm Size Work History Summary:
  - Very little difference in firm size distributions at younger ages
  - By age 40, non-mothers more likely to work at largest firms
- Job Count History:

- Non-mothers have held more jobs at every age



#### Moms/Non-Moms Industry Distribution over Time



#### Summary of Oaxaca-Blinder Regression

**Decomposition Moms/Non-Moms Wage Differences** 

| Non-Moms Average Log Wage | 2.9071*** |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| Moms Average Log Wage     | 2.7847*** |
| Difference                | 0.1224*** |
| Difference in Observables | 0.1343*** |

#### **Differences in Observables by Component**

| SIPP Job Characteristics  |                  | 0.0587*** |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| % Years Positive Earnings |                  | 0.0400*** |
| Work History:             |                  | -0.0037   |
|                           | % Industry Years | 0.0137**  |
|                           | Current Sector % | -0.0143*  |



## Work History Results

- Industry history:
  - If women looked more like men and mothers more like non-mothers, wage gaps would decrease.
  - But percent of year's spent in one's current sector has negative impact on the wage gaps.
  - Overall, industry history not significant.
- Firm size, job counts history:
  - Job counts not significant for either wage gap.
  - Firm size history has a small, positive effect on motherhood wage gap.



### **Summary of Results**

- Gender wage gap is about 20%
  - 64% of the gap explained by differences in observables
- Motherhood wage gap is about 12%
  Differences in observables explain entire gap
- Wage gaps explained by:
  - Actual work experience (accounts for 13% of gender gap and 27% of motherhood gap)
  - Current job characteristics (account for about half of both wage gaps)
  - Career industry distribution (but offset by share of one's career spent in current industry)

