## Telework, Childcare, and Labor Supply

Misty L. Heggeness

U.S. Census Bureau

Palak Suri

**University of Maryland** 

January 7, 2021

Disclaimer: All opinions and any errors are solely those of the authors and do not represent any official position of the U.S. Census Bureau. All data presented is public use data and, as such, does not require a disclosure review.





#### Are Women Leaving the Labor **Market in Droves?** USA TODAY How US strikes killed ci...

#### Women are leaving the workforce in droves

By Stephanie Ebbert Globe Staff, Updated October 2, 2020, 6:43 p.m.





Multiple crises disproportionately affected women's jobs during the pandemic. DGLIMAGE

Four times more women than men dropped out of the workforce in September, a released Friday, affirming fears that women's careers are collapsing under the pri educating children through a pandemic.

#### **POLITICO**

Ask Congress if your medicine will be at risk in Medicare.

PhRMA Learn more

#### The pandemic drove women out of the workforce. Will they come back?

Their absence could hurt the broader U.S. economy, so policymakers are weighing ways to help them return to work.



Virus numbers by state

NOT VACCINATED? Questions + answe

Opinion

Entertainment

#### The pandemic is driving moms out of the workforce, COVID-19 child care crisis persists

Hannan Adely NorthJersey.com

Published 11:58 a.m. ET Oct. 22, 2020 | Updated 12:05 p.m. ET Oct. 22, 2020






Shape your future **START HERE >** 




#### **Employment Status of Custodial Mothers**



Graph shows the share of custodial mothers in each category.

#### Employment Status of Women without Dependent Children


(aged 25 to 54 with children above 18, or no children)



Graph shows the share of women without dependent children in each category.


#### **Employment Status of Custodial Fathers**

(aged 25 to 54 living with own school-age children).



Graph shows the share of custodial fathers in each category.

#### Employment Status of Men without Dependent Children



Graph shows the share of men without dependent children in each category.

Shape your future **START HERE >** 



- 1. What would happen to labor supply if childcare did not exist?
- 2. Can telework mitigate the effect of a lack of childcare on labor supply? If so, how?
- 3. Are the effects of no childcare on labor supply immediate, longer-term, or both?



#### Data

Current Population Survey (CPS) Monthly Data (ipums.org, U.S. Census Bureau/Bureau of Labor Statistics)

January 2018-September 2021

Prime-age (25 to 54) Adults:

Living with own school-age (5 to 17) children

Living without own dependent children

**Pooled into Two Groups:** 

**Before March 2020** 

On or after March 2020

Variations in Sample Size:

6, 9, 12, 15, and 18 months pre/post

Main results focus on 9 months out (up to December 2020)





## Difference-in-Difference Framework

$$Y_{icst} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * \text{Kids}_{icst} + \beta_2 * \text{Post}_{icst} + \beta_3 * \text{Adult}_{icst}$$

$$+ \delta * \text{Kids}_{icst} * \text{Post}_{icst} + \zeta_c + \phi_s + \nu_t + \epsilon_{icst}$$

$$(1)$$

$$Y_{icst} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * \text{Kids}_{icst} + \beta_2 * \text{Post}_{icst} + \beta_3 * \text{Adult}_{icst} + \beta_4 * \text{Female}_{icst}$$

$$+ \delta * \text{Kids}_{icst} * \text{Post}_{icst} + \theta * \text{Kids}_{icst} * \text{Post}_{icst} * \text{Female}_{icst} + \zeta_c + \phi_s + \nu_t + \epsilon_{icst}$$
(2)

 $\delta$  ,  $\theta$  = effect of a childcare shock on outcome of interest relative to comparison group





### **Outcomes of Interest**

- 1. Labor Force Participation (everyone)
- 2. Actively Working (conditional on being in the labor force)
- 3. Unemployed (conditional on being in the labor force)
- 4. On Leave (conditional on having a job)



## **Validation Checks**

1. Pseudo-Pandemic (March 2019)



## Pseudo-Pandemic

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Treatment	-4.175	4325	.3655	.07883	8.756**	1.544**	-1.305*	2712
	(0.6654)	(0.1368)	(0.1794)	(0.2443)	(0.4466)	(0.1045)	(0.1281)	(0.2531)
Post*Treatment	1087	468	.1341	.3462	.2334	2617	.206	.06391
	(0.1295)	(0.0864)	(0.1903)	(0.2240)	(0.2860)	(0.1522)	(0.1116)	(0.2201)
Post*Treatment*Female					3831	1941	0776	.2769
					(0.3247)	(0.1502)	(0.1041)	(0.0717)
R2	0.018	0.006	0.007	0.003	0.042	0.005	0.006	0.003
Observations	302133	233100	233100	226159	584115	483401	483401	468759





## **Validation Checks**


- 1. Pseudo-Pandemic (March 2019)
- 2. Parallel Trends Assumption





## Parallel Pre-Trends...











Shape your future START HERE >

## Results: Labor Force Participation Outcomes (9 months; full sample)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Treatment	-4.297	8332	.6307*	.2299	8.943**	1.389	-1.042	3723
	(0.8870)	(0.1859)	(0.0980)	(0.0603)	(0.4569)	(0.2341)	(0.1867)	(0.0882)
Post*Treatment	(-1.511*)	.05166	7323*	.7263*	.2274	.9846	-1.149	.09167
	(0.1587)	(0.1571)	(0.0923)	(0.0638)	(0.4407)	(0.2206)	(0.2108)	(0.1535)
Post*Treatment*Female					-1.735**	9291	.4192	.6273
					(0.0873)	(0.2355)	(0.2461)	(0.1589)
R2	0.020	0.021	0.019	0.008	0.041	0.019	0.017	0.007
Observations	257120	197890	197890	188083	498860	410855	410855	390410



## Results: Labor Force Participation Outcomes (9 months; full sample)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Treatment	-4.297	8332	.6307*	.2299	8.943**	1.389	-1.042	3723
	(0.8870)	(0.1859)	(0.0980)	(0.0603)	(0.4569)	(0.2341)	(0.1867)	(0.0882)
Post*Treatment	-1.511*	.05166	(7323*)	(.7263*)	.2274	.9846	-1.149	.09167
	(0.1587)	(0.1571)	(0.0923)	(0.0638)	(0.4407)	(0.2206)	(0.2108)	(0.1535)
Post*Treatment*Female					-1.735**	9291	.4192	.6273
					(0.0873)	(0.2355)	(0.2461)	(0.1589)
R2	0.020	0.021	0.019	0.008	0.041	0.019	0.017	0.007
Observations	257120	197890	197890	188083	498860	410855	410855	390410



## Results: Labor Force Participation Outcomes (9 months; full sample)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Treatment	-4.297	8332	.6307*	.2299	8.943**	1.389	-1.042	3723
	(0.8870)	(0.1859)	(0.0980)	(0.0603)	(0.4569)	(0.2341)	(0.1867)	(0.0882)
Post*Treatment	-1.511*	.05166	7323*	.7263*	.2274	.9846	-1.149	.09167
	(0.1587)	(0.1571)	(0.0923)	(0.0638)	(0.4407)	(0.2206)	(0.2108)	(0.1535)
Post*Treatment*Female					(-1.735**)	9291	.4192	.6273
					(0.0873)	(0.2355)	(0.2461)	(0.1589)
R2	0.020	0.021	0.019	0.008	0.041	0.019	0.017	0.007
Observations	257120	197890	197890	188083	498860	410855	410855	390410



#### 1. What would happen to labor supply if childcare did not exist?

Mothers would disproportionately exit; disproportionately take leave from work.

#### Nine months out:

Mother's LFP decreased by 1.7ppt relative to custodial fathers.

Mother's LFP decreased by **1.5ppt** relative to female counterparts without children.

Mother's who stay in the labor force would compensate for the extra childcare burden by taking leave from work (0.73ppt increase).





- 1. What would happen to labor supply if childcare did not exist?
- 2. Can telework mitigate the effect of a lack of childcare on labor supply? If so, how?



### **Telework**

Use telework classifications of occupations as defined by Dingel and Neiman (2020) Don't know who teleworked; only if job is telework-compatible Pros/Cons:

Allows us to include individuals who left the labor market over the past 12 months May not capture with precision the effect of actually teleworking

	Worked Remotely for Pay Due to COVID-19					
	No	Yes				
Onsite Occupation	65.4%	21.5%				
Telework-Compatible Occupation	34.6%	<b>78.5</b> %				
Total	100%	100%				





# Results: LFP Outcomes by Telework & Educ Attainment (9 months)

#### **Onsite Occupations**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Treatment	121	-1.082	1.101	.009594	.05491	6263	0652	.7098
	(0.1277)	(0.1775)	(0.2528)	(0.0661)	(0.1753)	(0.2499)	(0.4397)	(0.1791)
Post*Treatment	06806	.7161	-1.458	.8014**	1368	2.54	-2.557	08806
	(0.1218)	(0.2908)	(0.4361)	(0.0540)	(0.1814)	(0.5645)	(0.7749)	(0.2458)
R2	0.009	0.041	0.037	0.019	0.014	0.038	0.044	0.021
Observations	65488	64648	64648	59888	32166	31950	31950	30740

#### Telework-Compatible

Occupations	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Treatment	1198	-1.46	.5784	.9415	03429	.2458	09817	1602
	(0.2837)	(0.5410)	(0.5378)	(0.1893)	(0.0433)	(0.2534)	(0.2041)	(0.1724)
Post*Treatment	2565	.3125	4514	.201	1656**	9673	.1783	.8295*
	(0.3165)	(0.2038)	(0.4425)	(0.0848)	(0.0108)	(0.1773)	(0.1732)	(0.0835)
R2	0.014	0.040	0.036	0.023	0.009	0.019	0.019	0.012
Observations	40066	39712	39712	37720	61678	61372	61372	59727

# Results: LFP Outcomes by Telework & Educ Attainment (9 months)

#### **Onsite Occupations**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Treatment	121	-1.082	1.101	.009594	.05491	6263	0652	.7098
	(0.1277)	(0.1775)	(0.2528)	(0.0661)	(0.1753)	(0.2499)	(0.4397)	(0.1791)
Post*Treatment	06806	.7161	-1.458	.8014**	1368	2.54	-2.557	08806
	(0.1218)	(0.2908)	(0.4361)	(0.0540)	(0.1814)	(0.5645)	(0.7749)	(0.2458)
R2	0.009	0.041	0.037	0.019	0.014	0.038	0.044	0.021
Observations	65488	64648	64648	59888	32166	31950	31950	30740

#### Telework-Compatible

Occupations	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Treatment	1198	-1.46	.5784	.9415	03429	.2458	09817	1602
	(0.2837)	(0.5410)	(0.5378)	(0.1893)	(0.0433)	(0.2534)	(0.2041)	(0.1724)
Post*Treatment	2565	.3125	4514	.201	1656**	9673	.1783	.8295*
	(0.3165)	(0.2038)	(0.4425)	(0.0848)	(0.0108)	(0.1773)	(0.1732)	(0.0835)
R2	0.014	0.040	0.036	0.023	0.009	0.019	0.019	0.012
Observations	40066	39712	39712	37720	61678	61372	61372	59727



- 1. What would happen to labor supply if childcare did not exist?
- 2. Can telework mitigate the effect of a lack of childcare on labor supply? If so, how?

Yes and no.

Yes: if you are cash strapped and need to provide financially for your family but likely not easy; mental health concerns.

No: telework without childcare is stressful; roles are blurred; exhausting and not likely to be manageable long-term without childcare supports.





- 1. What would happen to labor supply if childcare did not exist?
- 2. Can telework mitigate the effect of a lack of childcare on labor supply? If so, how?
- 3. Are the effects of no childcare on labor supply immediate, longer-term, or both?



## Labor Force Participation

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	6mo	9mo	12mo	15mo	18mo	6mo	9mo	12mo	15mo	18mo
Treatment	08399	09938*	09084*	08634	06431	.1636*	.1512*	.1556***	.1592***	.1757***
	(0.0144)	(0.0148)	(0.0257)	(0.0397)	(0.0309)	(0.0242)	(0.0124)	(0.0153)	(0.0159)	(0.0244)
Post*Treatment	1336*	1448*	1208*	1135***	1257***	.1797	.1449	.1619**	.1595***	.1403**
	(0.0130)	(0.0222)	(0.0311)	(0.0147)	(0.0094)	(0.0480)	(0.0286)	(0.0299)	(0.0258)	(0.0303)
Post*Treatment*Female						3141	294**	2812**	2731***	2658***
						(0.0580)	(0.0215)	(0.0314)	(0.0424)	(0.0257)
R2	0.961	0.959	0.960	0.961	0.962	0.956	0.954	0.956	0.957	0.958
Observations	198536	257120	378447	482110	567336	384695	498860	734664	935510	1100391



## **Active Work**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	6mo	9mo	12mo	15mo	18mo	6mo	9mo	12mo	15mo	18mo
Treatment	7527	8134	9256**	8232***	7501***	.8154	.7741	.7296*	.7988**	.8645**
	(0.1933)	(0.2073)	(0.1446)	(0.0800)	(0.1144)	(0.2487)	(0.2308)	(0.2155)	(0.1706)	(0.1594)
Post*Treatment	0483	.04348	08448	1143	2083	1.279	1.037	1.077***	1.039***	.9099***
	(0.2048)	(0.1586)	(0.2008)	(0.1747)	(0.1923)	(0.3903)	(0.2472)	(0.1028)	(0.0967)	(0.1422)
Post*Treatment*Female						-1.348	-1.014	-1.181**	-1.17***	-1.128***
						(0.4420)	(0.2932)	(0.1746)	(0.1466)	(0.1624)
R2	0.063	0.054	0.050	0.047	0.045	0.059	0.051	0.047	0.045	0.043
Observations	153203	197890	290490	369815	435330	317606	410855	604188	769292	905246



## Unemployment

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	6mo	9mo	12mo	15mo	18mo	6mo	9mo	12mo	15mo	18mo
Treatment	.9285	.9677	.9521**	.8173**	.8535**	524	4873	5518	6861**	691**
	(0.3238)	(0.3053)	(0.1905)	(0.1811)	(0.1676)	(0.1514)	(0.1437)	(0.2202)	(0.1865)	(0.1847)
Post*Treatment	-1.674	-1.551	-1.057	8456	7559	-1.539	-1.181	-1.104***	-1.03***	9343***
	(0.4143)	(0.4049)	(0.6532)	(0.5634)	(0.5910)	(0.3062)	(0.2003)	(0.0944)	(0.1125)	(0.1216)
Post*Treatment*Female						.8611	.4939	.6108*	.6606**	.5812**
						(0.3716)	(0.2560)	(0.1927)	(0.1423)	(0.1454)
R2	0.061	0.051	0.045	0.041	0.037	0.060	0.054	0.053	0.051	0.051
Observations	74886	96603	141807	180814	213449	317606	410855	604188	769292	905246



## Leave from Paid Work

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	6mo	9mo	12mo	15mo	18mo	6mo	9mo	12mo	15mo	18mo
Treatment	.2027	.2216	.3445**	.3669***	.2757	2878	2828	1739	1148	1792
	(0.0632)	(0.0808)	(0.0694)	(0.0423)	(0.1261)	(0.1425)	(0.1197)	(0.1227)	(0.0943)	(0.1382)
Post*Treatment	.7889*	.7034*	.6378***	.5314**	.6027**	.1369	.0554	0544	08073	04081
	(0.0868)	(0.0633)	(0.0562)	(0.1316)	(0.1694)	(0.2035)	(0.1688)	(0.1471)	(0.0659)	(0.0979)
Post*Treatment*Female						.6696	.646	.6957**	.6184***	.6498***
						(0.1443)	(0.1848)	(0.1532)	(0.0729)	(0.1020)
R2	0.019	0.014	0.011	0.009	0.008	0.015	0.011	0.009	0.008	0.007
Observations	145787	188083	276980	353338	416746	302322	390410	575564	734009	865669



- 1. What would happen to labor supply if childcare did not exist?
- 2. Can telework mitigate the effect of a lack of childcare on labor supply? If so, how?
- 3. Are the effects of no childcare on labor supply immediate, longer-term, or both?

Both.

Regarding the pandemic, the immediate effect had more of a gender equal response when everything came crashing down on everyone.

As the pandemic lingered, dads have gone back to work while moms have been left to disproportionately care for children in a way that decreases their ability to engage at work.



## Rethinking Women's Labor Supply Post-Pandemic

Today's households rely on more than one income for survival

Women have higher levels of education than ever before

Women identify with careers and work more than ever before

Younger women expect to have both careers AND families

Women are burnt out today precisely BECAUSE they did not exit the labor market in droves but STILL provided disproportional care effort within their home

Because of these facts, affordable and accessible childcare for all is ESSENTIAL to eliminate gender disparities at work AND at home





