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I. BACKGROUND

The error due to estimating outmovers using inmovers in the PES-C is unique to the PES-C model used in the original A.C.E. and the A.C.E. Revision II. For the PES-C model, the members of the P-sample are the residents of the housing units on Census Day. There is some difficulty in identifying all the residents of all the housing units on Census Day because some move prior to the A.C.E. interview. The A.C.E. interview relies on the respondents to identify those who have moved out, the outmovers. Since the outmovers are identified by proxies, many of the outmovers are not recorded. Therefore, the estimate of outmovers is too low. To avoid a bias caused by an underestimate of the number of movers, PES-C uses the number of inmovers to estimate the number of outmovers. The inmovers are those who did not live in the sample blocks on Census Day, but moved in prior to the A.C.E. interview. Theoretically, the number of inmovers in the whole country should equal the number of outmovers. However, the number of inmovers may not equal the number of outmovers in a poststratum because of circumstances such as economic conditions causing more people to move out of an area than to move into it.

In an attempt to determine whether this has an effect on the DSEs, we’re looking to rake the number of outmovers to total inmovers. The distribution of the raked outmovers may better describe the outmovers than the distribution of inmovers. Then we’ll compare the A.C.E. Revision II DSEs that were formed by using the number of inmovers (DSE_{Inmover}) versus the A.C.E. Revision II DSEs that were calculated using the raked number (DSE_{Raked}).

II. QUESTIONS TO BE ANSWERED

A. Are there any significant differences between the DSE_{Inmover} and DSE_{Raked} values?

B. If yes:

   1. where are the differences?
   2. how many differences are there?
III. METHODOLOGY

A. Raking

Rake the number of outmovers to inmovers by the following two sets of marginals:

- 8 age-sex ⊗ 7 domain groups (56 groups)
- 2 tenure ⊗ 4 MSA/TEA ⊗ 3 return rate groups (24 groups)

See Attachment A for the group definitions.

B. Estimation

Obtain $DSE_{Inmover}$ and $DSE_{Raked}$ values for the following variables:

1. full P-Sample post-strata
2. FIPS state code
3. region

See Keathley (2002) for details on the post-strata.

C. Variance Estimation

Calculate variances for the $DSE_{Inmover}$ and $DSE_{Raked}$ values at the post-strata, state, and region levels.

D. Error Estimation ($DSE_{Inmover}$ vs $DSE_{Raked}$ comparisons)

Compare the DSEs for each post-stratum, state and region. Use the usual equation for the variance of a difference, where:

$$Var(DSE_{\text{difference}}) = Var(DSE_{\text{Inmover}}) + Var(DSE_{Raked}) - [2 \times \rho \times SE(DSE_{\text{Inmover}}) \times SE(DSE_{Raked})]$$

where $\rho$ is the correlation coefficient. Use 0.9 and 0.99 as values for $\rho$. Then use t-tests to test for significant differences between the DSEs.
IV. DATA REQUIREMENTS

A. Raking
   1. final weights, incorporating missing data procedures
   2. inmover counts, by the two sets of marginals in III.A.
   3. outmover counts, by each of the combinations of marginals in III.A. (56 × 24 = 1,344 combinations, or cells)
   4. post-stratum codes
   5. FIPS state code
   6. region

B. Error Estimation
   1. DSE_{inmover} values by post-stratum, state, and region
   2. DSE_{raked} values by post-stratum, state, and region
   3. Variance estimates for all DSE_{inmover} and DSE_{raked} values
   4. Variance estimates for all differences (DSE_{inmover} - DSE_{raked})
   5. t-statistics for each difference

V. DIVISION RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Raking (outmovers to inmovers)
   1. specifications (PRED)
   2. software (program) development (DSSD)
   3. software implementation (DSSD)
   4. verification (PRED)

B. Produce DSE_{inmover} and DSE_{raked} values (DSSD)

C. Variance Estimation
   1. specification memo (DSSD)
   2. software development (DSSD)
   3. software implementation (DSSD)

D. Compare DSE_{inmover} vs DSE_{raked}
   1. specifications (PRED)
   2. software development (DSSD)
3. software implementation (DSSD)

E. Analysis (PRED)

1. Analyze the results from V.D.3. above
2. Form conclusions

F. Report (PRED)

Write a report exhibiting the results (V.D.3.), analysis (V.E.1.), and conclusions (V.E.2.)

VI. MILESTONE SCHEDULE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM #</th>
<th>WHO</th>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>WHEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PRED</td>
<td>Develop specifications for raking outmovers to inmovers</td>
<td>12/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PRED</td>
<td>Develop specifications for comparing ( \text{DSE}<em>{\text{raked}} ) and ( \text{DSE}</em>{\text{raked}} ) values</td>
<td>12/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DSSD</td>
<td>Develop and write variance estimation methodologies and specifications</td>
<td>12/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DSSD</td>
<td>Write raking program(s)</td>
<td>12/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DSSD</td>
<td>Write variance estimation program(s)</td>
<td>12/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DSSD</td>
<td>Run the raking program(s)</td>
<td>12/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DSSD</td>
<td>Produce ( \text{DSE}<em>{\text{raked}} ) and ( \text{DSE}</em>{\text{raked}} ) values</td>
<td>12/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DSSD</td>
<td>Run the variance estimation program(s)</td>
<td>12/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>DSSD</td>
<td>Create output file(s) from items 6, 7, and 8</td>
<td>12/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PRED</td>
<td>Obtain output file(s) from item 9</td>
<td>12/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>PRED</td>
<td>Analyze DSE comparisons</td>
<td>12/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>PRED</td>
<td>Write a report, exhibiting the results and conclusions</td>
<td>12/31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. LIMITATIONS

We’re assuming that \( \text{DSE}_{\text{raked}} \) values more closely reflect true DSEs than \( \text{DSE}_{\text{inmover}} \) values.

VIII. RELATED STUDIES
IX. REFERENCES


Raking Marginals

A. 8 age-sex ⊗ 7 domain groups (56 cells), where we defined the individual groups on:

1. Age-sex groups
   a. age 0-9, both sexes
   b. age 10-17, both sexes
   c. age 18-29, male
   d. age 18-29, female
   e. age 30-49, male
   f. age 30-49, female
   g. age 50+, male
   h. age 50+, female

2. Domain groups
   a. American Indian or Alaska Native on a reservation
   b. American Indian or Alaska Native not on a reservation
   c. Hispanic
   d. Non-Hispanic Black
   e. Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
   f. Non-Hispanic Asian
   g. Non-Hispanic White or ‘some other race’

B. 2 tenure ⊗ 4 MSA/TEA ⊗ 3 return rate groups (24 cells), where we defined the individual groups on:

1. Tenure
   a. Owner
   b. Non-owner

2. MSA/TEA
   a. Large MSA MO/MB
   b. Medium MSA MO/MB
   c. Small MSA & Non-MSA MO/MB
   d. All other TEAs
Raking Marginals

3. Return Rate

a. Domains 3, 4, and 7, from section B.1.b. above
   (1) High - tracts with the top quarter of census form return rates
   (2) Low - all other tracts

b. Domains 1, 2, 5, and 6 - no return rate stratification