

# CARI Monitoring: Issues and Responses

Kristin F. Miller (presenting); Susan Kinsey;  
Orin Day; Courtney Gainey

# Acknowledgements:

Thank you to the members of the RTI Quality Monitoring Initiative Team for their contributions.

# Objective of Presentation:

- To explore the perceived benefits and challenges of employing a standardized CARI (Computer Assisted Recorded Interviewing) monitoring system and process across both telephone and field projects

# Why deploy CARI monitoring for telephone studies?

- Increase efficiency of call center monitoring operations
- Allow recordings to be archived and reviewed by multiple people as necessary
- Allow database storage of feedback forms
- Increase quality of reports

# Standardized CARI monitoring across telephone and field studies:

- Why are we looking at standardizing CARI monitoring across telephone and field studies at RTI?
  - Ease of project start-up
  - Consistent performance metrics and evaluation criteria
  - Uniform monitoring methodologies for projects that implement a multi-mode design
  - Expanded data to evaluate trends over time and inform interviewer training

# Issues Considered:

- Evaluation Criteria & Process
- Feedback Process
- Session Monitoring Sampling Options
- Recording of Interviews
- Reporting Options
- Systems Development
- Management of Recorded Files

# Evaluation Criteria and Process

- Develop a design:
  - Consider all evaluation criteria currently used in assessing interviewer performance for field and telephone projects at RTI
  - Identify common evaluation items
  - Identify similar items which can be combined
  - Select items to represent the standard across phone and field projects
  - Identify items which allow for evaluation of interview authenticity vs. quality of interviewer performance

# Evaluation Criteria and Process (cont.)

- Determine where variations would be necessary between projects and how this could be implemented
- Examine processes to ensure inter-monitor reliability



# Evaluation Criteria and Process (cont.)

- Skill Areas that should be monitored :
  - Case Management
  - Initial Contact
  - Keying
  - Reading
  - Probing
  - Feedback
  - Presentation
  - Professional Behavior
  - Interview Protocol
- Other Ranking Areas
  - Authenticity
  - Technical Issues

# Evaluation Criteria and Process (cont.)

- Possible variations in evaluation criteria:
  - “Exceptional” behavior items
  - Telephone vs. Field requirements
  - Conversational interviewing
  - N/A options (example: authenticity only)
  - Other (specify) options
- Processes to ensure inter-monitor reliability
  - Training of monitors
  - Tallying of observed behaviors and automatic scoring

# Feedback Process

- Mechanism for providing feedback to the interviewer
  - Format of feedback
  - Method of transmission
  - Delivery of feedback to interviewer
- Feedback at the case level / file level / interviewer level?
  - Different for telephone and field?
- Timeliness of feedback

# Considerations for Sampling Monitoring Sessions

- Sampling of the sessions
  - First “n” interviews for each interviewer
  - Percentage of each interviewer’s work
  - Percentage of overall work on the project
- Sampling of files within a case to review
  - Automated selection vs. manual selection
  - Time-based vs. section-based
  - Combinations

# Challenges in Standardizing Sampling Methods across Telephone and Field

- Current RTI Method for telephone studies
  - Portion of hours worked by interviewer on project
  - Time based monitoring session
  - “Hit or miss” with portions captured

# Challenges in Standardizing Sampling Methods across Telephone and Field

- Challenges going forward
  - Call center sampling needs differ from field
    - Field: monitoring of completed interview only
    - Call center: completed interviews; refusals; initial contact information; pending cases
  - Multiple interviewers working a single case
  - Handling pending, partial and completed interviews

# Recording of Interviews

- Considerations for recording
  - Amount to record
  - Initiation of recordings
  - Time constraints vs. Section / Item constraints
- Tailoring the approach for projects
  - Some projects may require a higher level of monitoring than others

# Reporting Options

- Individual feedback summaries
  - Storage issues
  - Access issues
- Project reports
  - Types of data for interviewer-level reports
  - Reports at the question and file recording level
- Additional options
  - Project level trend reports (not defined by interviewer)
  - Project specific data vs. cross-project data (interviewer level)



# Systems Development

- Usability and functionality of system
- Control access issues
- Support of sampling rates and constraints
  - Ability to increase at the project and interviewer levels
- Production of feedback report
- Creation of database for session evaluations

# Management of Recorded Files

- Linking audio files to interview outcomes (i.e., to identify completed interview files vs. others)
- Process for labeling and storing audio files
- Control access issues
- Plans for archiving audio files

# A Few Topics for Discussion:

- Telephone studies – multiple interviewers working different parts of the same case
  - Should this be a sub-sampling issue?
  - Should there be a mechanism to provide multiple reviews, at the interviewer level, of the same case?
- Identification of reviewers
  - Should a core group trained on CARI reviews be employed?
  - Should individual projects conduct their own reviews?
- Determination of monitoring rates for veteran vs. new interviewers

# What do you think?

Kristin F. Miller

[kristinf@rti.org](mailto:kristinf@rti.org)

919-541-8801