## Critical Vulnerabilities for LLM based Applications -Architecture and Implementation

From: Vivek Gunasekaran University of Arkansas at Little Rock

> Version 3.0 Apr/17/2023

### Index

#### LLM Operational Vulnerability

- Prompt Injection
- Denial of Service
- Sensitive Information Disclosure

#### LLM Design & Development Vulnerability

• Training Data Poisoning

#### LLM Architecture

Mitigating LLM Vulnerability

# **Prompt Injection Vulnerability**

- LLM limitation No fully reliable way to prevent this attack within the LLM itself. Since LLM considers both Instructions and External data as input from the User.
- When attacker manipulates an LLM's operation through crafted inputs, resulting in the attacker's intention to get executed.
- LLM could act as "Confused Deputy" on behalf of the attacker.

### **Direct Prompt Injection**

Attacker overwrites or reveals the underlying system prompts resulting in the attacker interacting with insecure functions and data objects that are accessible by the LLM

### **Indirect Prompt Injection**

Occurs if the LLM accepts external source inputs that are controlled by the attacker resulting in the conversation being hijacked by the attacker.



### **How to prevent Prompt Injection**

Trust controls can be placed outside of the system to mitigate the impact of prompt injection attempts.



# Model denial of Service Vulnerability

- An attacker interacts with the system in such a way that request consumes an exceptionally high amount of resources, which results in a decline in the quality of service for the users.
- Interfere or manipulate the context window of LLM

### How to prevent Model Denial of Service

Prompt input validation for limits and sanitization for malicious requests.



Reference : https://gbhackers.com/owasp-top-10-llms/

# Sensitive Information Disclosure Vulnerability

- The system accidentally reveals sensitive information, proprietary algorithms, or other confidential details through its responses.

#### How to prevent Sensitive Information Disclosure



**Consumer Terms** -User policy - informs Data Sources

### How to prevent Sensitive Information Disclosure

Restricting Prompt and controlling the Response



# Training Data Poisoning Vulnerability

- Integrity attack.
- Tampering with the training data impacts the model's ability to produce correct output.
- Occurs when an attacker or unaware client of the LLM manipulates the training data or fine-tuning procedures of an LLM to introduce vulnerabilities, backdoors, or biases.

### How to prevent Training Data Poisoning

Validate Source - Verify the supply chain of the training data, and maintain attestations of external sources

Verify Data Legitimacy - Legitimate data must be used for both training and fine-tuning.

HITL - Monitor continuously and alert when skewed response exceed threshold. Human in the loop to review response and audit source.



#### **Data Sources**

2

# **Insecure Plugin Vulnerability**

- Plugins are extensions that are called by the model when responding to a user request.
- Poor Control Since they are automatically invoked in-context and are often chained, there is little application control over their execution.

## How to prevent Insecure Plugin Design





### LLM Architecture

#### A customized generative AI system



### Capability based system design addressing LLM Vulnerability

\_



# Mitigation - Vulnerability of LLM

### Mitigating LLM Vulnerability



## **Thank You**

For any further questions please contact

Vivek Gunasekaran (vgunasekaran@ualr.edu)



How Garak works?



GAI Digital Platform Architecture



### Guardrails with Circuit Breaker Architecture



### LLM Deployment Risk Assessment

